

# The Cauchy–Riemann Equations

Let  $f(z)$  be defined in a neighbourhood of  $z_0$ . Recall that, by definition,  $f$  is differentiable at  $z_0$  with derivative  $f'(z_0)$  if

$$\lim_{\Delta z \rightarrow 0} \frac{f(z_0 + \Delta z) - f(z_0)}{\Delta z} = f'(z_0)$$

Whether or not a function of one real variable is differentiable at some  $x_0$  depends only on how smooth  $f$  is at  $x_0$ . The following example shows that this is no longer the case for the complex derivative.

**Example 1** Let  $f(z) = \bar{z}$ . Then, writing  $\Delta z$  in its polar form  $re^{i\theta}$ ,

$$\frac{f(z_0 + \Delta z) - f(z_0)}{\Delta z} = \frac{\overline{z_0 + \Delta z} - \bar{z}_0}{\Delta z} = \frac{\overline{\Delta z}}{\Delta z} = \frac{re^{-i\theta}}{re^{i\theta}} = e^{-2\theta i}$$

So

- if we send  $\Delta z$  to zero along the real axis, so that  $\theta = 0$  or  $\theta = \pi$  and hence  $e^{-2\theta i} = 1$ ,  $\frac{f(z_0 + \Delta z) - f(z_0)}{\Delta z}$  tends to 1, and
- if we send  $\Delta z$  to 0 along the imaginary axis, so that  $\theta = \frac{\pi}{2}$  or  $\frac{3\pi}{2}$  and hence  $e^{-2\theta i} = -1$ ,  $\frac{f(z_0 + \Delta z) - f(z_0)}{\Delta z}$  tends to  $-1$ .

Thus  $\lim_{\Delta z \rightarrow 0} \frac{f(z_0 + \Delta z) - f(z_0)}{\Delta z}$  does not exist and  $f(z) = \bar{z}$  is nowhere differentiable. Note that if we write  $f(x + iy) = \overline{x + iy} = x - iy = u(x, y) + iv(x, y)$ , then all partial derivatives of all orders of  $u(x, y) = x$  and  $v(x, y) = -y$  exist even though  $f'(z)$  does not exist.

This example shows that differentiability of  $u(x, y)$  and  $v(x, y)$  does not imply the differentiability of  $f(x + iy) = u(x, y) + iv(x, y)$ . These notes explore further the relationship between  $f'(z)$  and the partial derivatives of  $u$  and  $v$ . We shall first ask the question “Suppose that we know that  $f'(z_0)$  exists. What does that tell us about  $u(x, y)$  and  $v(x, y)$ ?” Here is the answer.

**Theorem 2** Let  $f(z)$  be defined in a neighbourhood of  $z_0$ . Assume that  $f$  is differentiable at  $z_0$ . Write  $f(x + iy) = u(x, y) + iv(x, y)$ . Then all of the partial derivatives  $\frac{\partial u}{\partial x}(x_0, y_0)$ ,  $\frac{\partial u}{\partial y}(x_0, y_0)$ ,  $\frac{\partial v}{\partial x}(x_0, y_0)$ , and  $\frac{\partial v}{\partial y}(x_0, y_0)$  exist and

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial x}(x_0, y_0) = \frac{\partial v}{\partial y}(x_0, y_0) \quad \frac{\partial u}{\partial y}(x_0, y_0) = -\frac{\partial v}{\partial x}(x_0, y_0) \quad (\text{CR})$$

and

$$f'(x_0 + iy_0) = \frac{\partial u}{\partial x}(x_0, y_0) + i\frac{\partial v}{\partial x}(x_0, y_0)$$

The equations (CR) are called the Cauchy–Riemann equations.

**Proof:** By assumption

$$\begin{aligned} f'(z_0) &= \lim_{\Delta z \rightarrow 0} \frac{f(z_0 + \Delta z) - f(z_0)}{\Delta z} \\ &= \lim_{\Delta z \rightarrow 0} \frac{[u(x_0 + \Delta x, y_0 + \Delta y) - u(x_0, y_0)] + i[v(x_0 + \Delta x, y_0 + \Delta y) - v(x_0, y_0)]}{\Delta z} \end{aligned}$$

In particular, by sending  $\Delta z = \Delta x + i\Delta y$  to zero along the real axis (i.e. setting  $\Delta y = 0$  and sending  $\Delta x \rightarrow 0$ ), we have

$$f'(x_0 + iy_0) = \lim_{\Delta x \rightarrow 0} \frac{[u(x_0 + \Delta x, y_0) - u(x_0, y_0)] + i[v(x_0 + \Delta x, y_0) - v(x_0, y_0)]}{\Delta x}$$

and hence

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{Re} f'(z_0) &= \lim_{\Delta x \rightarrow 0} \frac{u(x_0 + \Delta x, y_0) - u(x_0, y_0)}{\Delta x} \\ \operatorname{Im} f'(z_0) &= \lim_{\Delta x \rightarrow 0} \frac{v(x_0 + \Delta x, y_0) - v(x_0, y_0)}{\Delta x} \end{aligned}$$

This tells us that the partial derivatives  $\frac{\partial u}{\partial x}(x_0, y_0)$ ,  $\frac{\partial v}{\partial x}(x_0, y_0)$  exist and

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial x}(x_0, y_0) = \operatorname{Re} f'(x_0 + iy_0) \quad \frac{\partial v}{\partial x}(x_0, y_0) = \operatorname{Im} f'(x_0 + iy_0) \quad (1)$$

This gives the formula for  $f'(x_0 + iy_0)$  in the statement of the theorem.

If, instead, we send  $\Delta z = \Delta x + i\Delta y$  to zero along the imaginary axis (i.e. set  $\Delta x = 0$  and send  $\Delta y \rightarrow 0$ ), we have

$$\begin{aligned} f'(x_0 + iy_0) &= \lim_{\Delta y \rightarrow 0} \frac{[u(x_0, y_0 + \Delta y) - u(x_0, y_0)] + i[v(x_0, y_0 + \Delta y) - v(x_0, y_0)]}{i\Delta y} \\ &= \lim_{\Delta y \rightarrow 0} \frac{[v(x_0, y_0 + \Delta y) - v(x_0, y_0)] - i[u(x_0, y_0 + \Delta y) - u(x_0, y_0)]}{\Delta y} \end{aligned}$$

and hence

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{Re} f'(z_0) &= \lim_{\Delta y \rightarrow 0} \frac{v(x_0, y_0 + \Delta y) - v(x_0, y_0)}{\Delta y} \\ \operatorname{Im} f'(z_0) &= - \lim_{\Delta y \rightarrow 0} \frac{u(x_0, y_0 + \Delta y) - u(x_0, y_0)}{\Delta y} \end{aligned}$$

This tells us that the partial derivatives  $\frac{\partial v}{\partial y}(x_0, y_0)$ ,  $\frac{\partial u}{\partial y}(x_0, y_0)$  exist and

$$\frac{\partial v}{\partial y}(x_0, y_0) = \operatorname{Re} f'(x_0 + iy_0) \quad \frac{\partial u}{\partial y}(x_0, y_0) = -\operatorname{Im} f'(x_0 + iy_0) \quad (2)$$

Comparing (1) and (2) gives (CR). ■

Theorem 2 says that it is necessary for  $u(x, y)$  and  $v(x, y)$  to obey the Cauchy–Riemann equations in order for  $f(x + iy) = u(x + iy) + v(x + iy)$  to be differentiable. The following theorem says that, provided the first order partial derivatives of  $u$  and  $v$  are continuous, the converse is also true — if  $u(x, y)$  and  $v(x, y)$  obey the Cauchy–Riemann equations then  $f(x + iy) = u(x + iy) + v(x + iy)$  is differentiable.

**Theorem 3** *Let  $z_0 \in \mathbb{C}$  and let  $G$  be an open subset of  $\mathbb{C}$  that contains  $z_0$ . If  $f(x + iy) = u(x, y) + iv(x, y)$  is defined on  $G$  and*

- *the first order partial derivatives of  $u$  and  $v$  exist in  $G$  and are continuous at  $(x_0, y_0)$*
- *$u$  and  $v$  obey the Cauchy–Riemann equations at  $(x_0, y_0)$ ,*

*then  $f$  is differentiable at  $z_0 = x_0 + iy_0$  and  $f'(x_0 + iy_0) = \frac{\partial u}{\partial x}(x_0, y_0) + i\frac{\partial v}{\partial x}(x_0, y_0)$ .*

**Proof:** Write

$$\frac{f(z_0 + \Delta z) - f(z_0)}{\Delta z} = U(\Delta z) + iV(\Delta z)$$

where

$$U(\Delta z) = \frac{u(x_0 + \Delta x, y_0 + \Delta y) - u(x_0, y_0)}{\Delta z}$$

$$V(\Delta z) = \frac{v(x_0 + \Delta x, y_0 + \Delta y) - v(x_0, y_0)}{\Delta z}$$

Our goal is to prove that  $\lim_{\Delta z \rightarrow 0} [U(\Delta z) + iV(\Delta z)]$  exists and equals  $\frac{\partial u}{\partial x}(x_0, y_0) + i\frac{\partial v}{\partial x}(x_0, y_0)$ .

Concentrate on  $U(\Delta z)$ . The first step is to rewrite  $U(\Delta z)$  in terms of expressions that will converge to partial derivatives of  $u$  and  $v$ . For example  $\frac{u(x_0, y_0 + \Delta y) - u(x_0, y_0)}{\Delta y}$  converges to  $u_y(x_0, y_0)$  when  $\Delta y \rightarrow 0$ . We can achieve this by adding and subtracting  $u(x_0, y_0 + \Delta y)$ :

$$U(\Delta z) = \frac{u(x_0 + \Delta x, y_0 + \Delta y) - u(x_0, y_0)}{\Delta z}$$

$$= \frac{u(x_0 + \Delta x, y_0 + \Delta y) - u(x_0, y_0 + \Delta y)}{\Delta z} + \frac{u(x_0, y_0 + \Delta y) - u(x_0, y_0)}{\Delta z}$$

To express  $U(\Delta z)$  in terms of partial derivatives of  $u$ , we use the (ordinary first year Calculus) mean value theorem. Recall that it says that, if  $F(x)$  is differentiable everywhere between  $x_0$  and  $x_0 + \Delta x$ , then  $F(x_0 + \Delta x) - F(x_0) = F'(x_0^*) \Delta x$  for some  $x_0^*$  between  $x_0$  and  $x_0 + \Delta x$ . Applying the mean value theorem with  $F(x) = u(x, y_0 + \Delta y)$  to the first half of  $U(\Delta z)$  and with  $F(y) = u(x_0, y)$  to the second half gives

$$U(\Delta z) = \frac{u_x(x_0^*, y_0 + \Delta y)\Delta x}{\Delta z} + \frac{u_y(x_0, y_0^*)\Delta y}{\Delta z}$$

for some  $x_0^*$  between  $x_0$  and  $x_0 + \Delta x$  and some  $y_0^*$  between  $y_0$  and  $y_0 + \Delta y$ . Because  $u_x$  and  $u_y$  are continuous,  $u_x(x_0^*, y_0 + \Delta y)$  is almost  $u_x(x_0, y_0)$  and  $u_y(x_0, y_0^*)$  is almost  $u_y(x_0, y_0)$

when  $\Delta z$  is small. So we write

$$U(\Delta z) = \frac{u_x(x_0, y_0)\Delta x}{\Delta z} + \frac{u_y(x_0, y_0)\Delta y}{\Delta z} + E_1(\Delta z) + E_2(\Delta z)$$

where the “error terms” are

$$E_1(\Delta z) = [u_x(x_0^*, y_0 + \Delta y) - u_x(x_0, y_0)] \frac{\Delta x}{\Delta z}$$

$$E_2(\Delta z) = [u_y(x_0, y_0^*) - u_y(x_0, y_0)] \frac{\Delta y}{\Delta z}$$

Similarly

$$\begin{aligned} V(\Delta z) &= \frac{v_x(x_0^{**}, y_0 + \Delta y)\Delta x}{\Delta z} + \frac{v_y(x_0, y_0^{**})\Delta y}{\Delta z} \\ &= \frac{v_x(x_0, y_0)\Delta x}{\Delta z} + \frac{v_y(x_0, y_0)\Delta y}{\Delta z} + E_3(\Delta z) + E_4(\Delta z) \end{aligned}$$

for some  $x_0^*$  between  $x_0$  and  $x_0 + \Delta x$ , and some  $y_0^*$  between  $y_0$  and  $y_0 + \Delta y$ . The error terms are

$$E_3(\Delta z) = [v_x(x_0^{**}, y_0 + \Delta y) - v_x(x_0, y_0)] \frac{\Delta x}{\Delta z}$$

$$E_4(\Delta z) = [v_y(x_0, y_0^{**}) - v_y(x_0, y_0)] \frac{\Delta y}{\Delta z}$$

Now as  $\Delta z \rightarrow 0$

- both  $x_0^*$  and  $x_0^{**}$  (both of which are between  $x_0$  and  $x_0 + \Delta x$ ) must approach  $x_0$  and
- both  $y_0^*$  and  $y_0^{**}$  (both of which are between  $y_0$  and  $y_0 + \Delta y$ ) must approach  $y_0$  and
- $|\frac{\Delta x}{\Delta z}| \leq 1$  and  $|\frac{\Delta y}{\Delta z}| \leq 1$

Recalling that  $u_x$ ,  $u_y$ ,  $v_x$  and  $v_y$  are all assumed to be continuous at  $(x_0, y_0)$ , we conclude that

$$\lim_{\Delta z \rightarrow 0} E_1(\Delta z) = \lim_{\Delta z \rightarrow 0} E_2(\Delta z) = \lim_{\Delta z \rightarrow 0} E_3(\Delta z) = \lim_{\Delta z \rightarrow 0} E_4(\Delta z) = 0$$

and, using the Cauchy–Riemann equations,

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{\Delta z \rightarrow 0} \frac{f(z_0 + \Delta z) - f(z_0)}{\Delta z} &= \lim_{\Delta z \rightarrow 0} [U(\Delta z) + iV(\Delta z)] \\ &= \lim_{\Delta z \rightarrow 0} \left[ \frac{u_x(x_0, y_0)\Delta x}{\Delta z} + \frac{u_y(x_0, y_0)\Delta y}{\Delta z} + i \frac{v_x(x_0, y_0)\Delta x}{\Delta z} + i \frac{v_y(x_0, y_0)\Delta y}{\Delta z} \right] \\ &= \lim_{\Delta z \rightarrow 0} \left[ \frac{u_x(x_0, y_0)\Delta x}{\Delta z} - \frac{v_x(x_0, y_0)\Delta y}{\Delta z} + i \frac{v_x(x_0, y_0)\Delta x}{\Delta z} + i \frac{u_x(x_0, y_0)\Delta y}{\Delta z} \right] \\ &= \lim_{\Delta z \rightarrow 0} \left[ u_x(x_0, y_0) \frac{\Delta x + i\Delta y}{\Delta z} + i v_x(x_0, y_0) \frac{\Delta x + i\Delta y}{\Delta z} \right] \\ &= u_x(x_0, y_0) + i v_x(x_0, y_0) \end{aligned}$$

as desired. ■

**Example 4** The function  $f(z) = \bar{z}$  has  $f(x + iy) = x - iy$  so that

$$u(x, y) = x \text{ and } v(x, y) = -y$$

The first order partial derivatives of  $u$  and  $v$  are

$$\begin{aligned} u_x(x, y) &= 1 & v_x(x, y) &= 0 \\ u_y(x, y) &= 0 & v_y(x, y) &= -1 \end{aligned}$$

As the Cauchy–Riemann equation  $u_x(x, y) = v_y(x, y)$  is satisfied nowhere, the function  $f(z) = \bar{z}$  is differentiable nowhere. We have already seen this in Example 1.

**Example 5** The function  $f(z) = e^z$  has

$$f(x + iy) = e^{x+iy} = e^x \{ \cos y + i \sin y \} = u(x, y) + iv(x, y)$$

with

$$u(x, y) = e^x \cos y \text{ and } v(x, y) = e^x \sin y$$

The first order partial derivatives of  $u$  and  $v$  are

$$\begin{aligned} u_x(x, y) &= e^x \cos y & v_x(x, y) &= e^x \sin y \\ u_y(x, y) &= -e^x \sin y & v_y(x, y) &= e^x \cos y \end{aligned}$$

As the Cauchy–Riemann equations  $u_x(x, y) = v_y(x, y)$ ,  $u_y(x, y) = -v_x(x, y)$  are satisfied for all  $(x, y)$ , the function  $f(z) = e^z$  is entire and its derivative is

$$f'(z) = f'(x + iy) = u_x(x, y) + iv_x(x, y) = e^x \cos y + ie^x \sin y = e^z$$

**Example 6** The function  $f(x + iy) = x^2 + y + i(y^2 - x)$  has

$$u(x, y) = x^2 + y \text{ and } v(x, y) = y^2 - x$$

The first order partial derivatives of  $u$  and  $v$  are

$$\begin{aligned} u_x(x, y) &= 2x & v_x(x, y) &= -1 \\ u_y(x, y) &= 1 & v_y(x, y) &= 2y \end{aligned}$$

As the Cauchy–Riemann equations  $u_x(x, y) = v_y(x, y)$ ,  $u_y(x, y) = -v_x(x, y)$  are satisfied only on the line  $y = x$ , the function  $f$  is differentiable on the line  $y = x$  and nowhere else. So it is nowhere analytic.

**Example 7** The function  $f(x + iy) = x^2 - y^2 + 2ixy$  has

$$u(x, y) = x^2 - y^2 \text{ and } v(x, y) = 2xy$$

The first order partial derivatives of  $u$  and  $v$  are

$$\begin{aligned} u_x(x, y) &= 2x & v_x(x, y) &= 2y \\ u_y(x, y) &= -2y & v_y(x, y) &= 2x \end{aligned}$$

As the Cauchy–Riemann equations  $u_x(x, y) = v_y(x, y)$ ,  $u_y(x, y) = -v_x(x, y)$  are satisfied for all  $(x, y)$ , this function is entire. There is another way to see this. It suffices to observe that  $f(z) = z^2$ , since  $(x + iy)^2 = x^2 - y^2 + 2ixy$ . So  $f$  is a polynomial in  $z$  and we already know that all polynomials are differentiable everywhere.

**Example 8** The function  $f(x + iy) = x^2 + y^2$  has

$$u(x, y) = x^2 + y^2 \text{ and } v(x, y) = 0$$

The first order partial derivatives of  $u$  and  $v$  are

$$\begin{aligned} u_x(x, y) &= 2x & v_x(x, y) &= 0 \\ u_y(x, y) &= 2y & v_y(x, y) &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

As the Cauchy–Riemann equations  $u_x(x, y) = v_y(x, y)$ ,  $u_y(x, y) = -v_x(x, y)$  are satisfied only at  $x = y = 0$ , the function  $f$  is differentiable only at the point  $z = 0$ . So it is nowhere analytic. There is another way to see that  $f(z)$  cannot be differentiable at any  $z \neq 0$ . Just observe that  $f(z) = z\bar{z}$ . If  $f(z)$  were differentiable at some  $z_0 \neq 0$ , then  $\bar{z} = \frac{f(z)}{z}$  would also be differentiable at  $z_0$  and we already know that this is not case.