

# PLP - 15

## TOPIC 15—NESTED QUANTIFIERS

Demirbaş & Rechnitzer

# NESTED QUANTIFIERS

# NESTED QUANTIFIERS

Quantifiers do not commute

$$\forall x, \exists y \text{ s.t. } P(x, y) \neq \exists y \text{ s.t. } \forall x, P(x, y)$$

Consider:

$$\forall z \in \mathbb{Z}, \exists w \in \mathbb{N} \text{ s.t. } z^2 < w$$

Must do quantifiers *in order* — like a 2 player game:

- Player 1: picks the value of  $z$  first
- Player 2: knows what Player 1 did, and chooses  $w$

So

- Player 1 picks some integer  $z$
- Player 2 needs  $w$  to be big enough so that  $w > z^2$  — pick  $w = z^2 + 1$

# NESTED QUANTIFIERS

$$\forall z \in \mathbb{Z}, \exists w \in \mathbb{N} \text{ s.t. } z^2 < w$$

## PROOF.

- Let  $z$  be any integer.
- Now choose  $w = z^2 + 1$ .
- We know that  $w \in \mathbb{Z}$  and that  $w \geq 1$ , so  $w \in \mathbb{N}$ .
- Further we know that  $w > z^2$  so the statement is true.
- Player 1 picks *any*  $z \in \mathbb{Z}$  — universal quantifier
- Player 2 picks *a single*  $w$  based on that choice — existential quantifier
- We verify that  $w \in \mathbb{N}$ .
- We confirm that the inequality holds.

# THE OTHER WAY AROUND

$$\exists w \in \mathbb{N} \text{ s.t. } \forall z \in \mathbb{Z}, z^2 < w$$

Must do quantifiers *in order* — like a 2 player game:

- Player 1: chooses *one* value of  $w$  first
- Player 2: knows what Player 1 did, but must check *all*  $z$

## Scratch work

- P1 picks  $w = 1$ , but then  $z = 2$  is too big
- P1 picks  $w = 2$ , but then  $z = 3$  is too big
- P1 picks  $w = 3$ , but then  $z = 4$  is too big

Smells false, so check the negation.

# LOOK AT NEGATION

$$\forall w \in \mathbb{N}, \exists z \in \mathbb{Z} \text{ s.t. } z^2 \geq w$$

- Player 1 picks *any*  $w \in \mathbb{N}$
- Player 2 chooses *one*  $z \in \mathbb{Z}$ . What worked above?

## PROOF.

We prove the statement is false by showing the negation is true.

- Let  $w \in \mathbb{N}$ .
- Now choose  $z = w + 1 \in \mathbb{Z}$
- Then  $z^2 = w^2 + 2w + 1 > w$  since  $w^2 \geq 0$  and  $w \geq 1$ .

Since the negation is true, the original statement is false.

# ANOTHER NESTED EXAMPLE

$$\forall x \in \mathbb{R}, \exists y \in \mathbb{R} \text{ s.t. } xy = x + y$$

## Scratch work

- P1 picks any  $x$  they want.
- P2 needs to pick  $y$  so that  $xy = x + y$
- We can solve that  $xy - y = x$  so  $y = \frac{x}{x-1}$

So is this true?

What happens when  $x = 1$ ?

## ANOTHER NESTED EXAMPLE — NEGATION

$$\exists x \in \mathbb{R} \text{ s.t. } \forall y \in \mathbb{R}, xy \neq x + y$$

**Scratch work.** Failed last time when  $x = 1$ .

- P1 picks  $x = 1$ .
- Then no matter what  $y \in \mathbb{R}$  we have  $y \neq y + 1$ .

**PROOF.**

The statement is false. Pick  $x = 1$ . Then no matter what  $y \in \mathbb{R}$  we choose, we have  $y \neq y + 1$  as required. Since the negation is true, the original statement is false.



## ANOTHER ONE

$$\forall x \in \mathbb{R}, \exists y \in \mathbb{R} \text{ s.t. } (y \neq 0) \implies xy = 1$$

### Scratch work

- P1 *first* picks one value of  $x$
- P2 then picks  $y$  to make the implication true.
- If the hypothesis is false, implication is true. P2 just picks  $y = 0$ .

### PROOF.

We prove the statement is true. Pick any  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ , and then set  $y = 0$ . Since the hypothesis of the implication is false, the implication is always true.

## A SIMILAR ONE

$$\exists x \in \mathbb{R} \text{ s.t. } \forall y \in \mathbb{R}, (y \neq 0) \implies xy = 1$$

### Scratch work

- P1 *first* picks one value of  $x$
- P2 then picks  $y$  to make the implication true.
- Implication is false when  $(H,C) = (T,F)$  — can that happen?
- Sure  $x = 1$  then pick  $y = 2$

Better look at the negation.

**Recall:**  $\sim (P \implies Q) \equiv (P \wedge \sim (Q))$

## A SIMILAR ONE — NEGATED

$$\forall x \in \mathbb{R}, \exists y \in \mathbb{R} \text{ s.t. } (y \neq 0) \wedge xy \neq 1$$

### Scratch work

- P1 picks *any*  $x$
- P2 knows  $x$ , so based on that picks  $y \neq 0$  so that  $xy \neq 1$ .
- If P2 picks  $y = 1$  that will work nicely unless  $x = 1$
- If P1 has picked  $x = 1$  then P2 can pick  $x = 2$

### PROOF.

We show the statement is false by proving the negation is true. Pick any  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ . Either  $x = 1$  or  $x \neq 1$

- If  $x = 1$  then set  $y = 2$ .
- If  $x \neq 1$  then set  $y = 1$ .

In both cases,  $y \neq 0$  and  $xy \neq 1$  as required.