

# PLP - 17

## TOPIC 17—DISPROOFS

Demirbaş & Rechnitzer

# DISPROOFS

# DISPROVING A STATEMENT

To disprove the statement  $P$ , we prove that  $(\sim P)$  is true.

## universal quantifier

Since

$$\sim (\forall x, P(x)) \equiv \exists x \text{ s.t. } \sim P(x)$$

our disproof can be a **counter example**

## existential quantifier

Since

$$\sim (\exists x \text{ s.t. } P(x)) \equiv \forall x, \sim P(x)$$

we have to work harder to show that  $(\sim P(x))$  is true *for all*  $x$ .

Counter examples *do not* disprove existential quantifiers

# DISPROVE A UNIVERSAL QUANTIFIER

*For every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $2^n - 1$  or  $2^n + 1$  is prime.*

**scratch work** — smells false

- $n = 1$  gives 1, 3      and       $n = 2$  gives 3, 5
- $n = 3$  gives 7, 9      and       $n = 4$  gives 15, 17
- $n = 5$  gives 31, 33      and       $n = 6$  gives 63, 65

**PROOF.**

Pick  $n = 6$ . Since neither  $2^n - 1 = 63$  or  $2^n + 1 = 65$  are prime, the statement is false.

Our counter-example proves “ $\exists n \in \mathbb{N}$  s.t. neither  $2^n - 1, 2^n + 1$  are prime.”

## ANOTHER EXAMPLE

*For all  $a, b, c \in \mathbb{N}$ , if  $(a \mid bc)$  then  $(a \mid c)$  or  $(a \mid b)$*

**scratch work** — again smells false.

- Since is universal quantifier, a counter example is sufficient
- Negation is “ $\exists a, b, c$  s.t.  $(a \mid bc) \wedge (a \nmid b \wedge a \nmid c)$ ”
- Something about prime-factors feels like the right thing here
- Pick  $a = 4$  and  $b = 2, c = 2$ . Then  $(4 \mid 2 \cdot 2)$  but  $4 \nmid 2$ .

**PROOF.**

The statement is false. Let  $a = 4$  and  $b = c = 2$ . Then  $a \mid bc$  but  $a \nmid b$  and  $a \nmid c$ .

# DISPROVING AN EXISTENTIAL QUANTIFIER

*There exist prime numbers  $p, q$  so that  $p - q = 999$*

Typically this is much harder. Sometimes we can reduce to a finite number of cases.

## scratch work

- Since odd-odd = even, we must have that  $q = 2$
- Then since  $1001 = 7 \times 11 \times 13$ , no such primes exist

## PROOF.

This is false. Either  $q$  is even or odd.

- If  $q$  is even, then  $q = 2$ . Since  $999 + 2 = 1001$  is divisible by 7 it is not prime.
- Now assume that  $q$  is odd. Then we must have that  $q = 2k + 1$  for some  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ . But then  $p = 2k + 1000$  which is divisible by 2 and so not prime.

Hence no such primes exist.