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## TOPIC 38-TWO VERY FAMOUS PROOFS

Demirbaş \& Rechnitzer

IRRATIONALITY OF $\sqrt{2}$

## IRRATIONALITY OF $\sqrt{2}$

## THEOREM: (HIPPASUS 500BC?).

The real number $\sqrt{2}$ is not rational

This is one of the most famous results in mathematics

- Existence of $\sqrt{2}$ as a real quantity follows from Pythagoras' Theorem
- This was first proof that there are reals that are not rational

- It was, and is, a big deal!

We'll need

$$
\text { Let } n \in \mathbb{N} \text {. Then } n \text { is even if and only if } n^{2} \text { is even. }
$$

## $\sqrt{2}$ is irrational

## Scratchwork

- Do proof by contradiction, so we can write $\sqrt{2}=a / b$ with $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$
- Rearrange this to get $a=\sqrt{2} b$
- Square it to get rid of the $\sqrt{ }$. $\quad a^{2}=2 b^{2}$
- But this means $a$ is even. So we can write $a=2 c$
- This tells us $2 b^{2}=4 c^{2}$ and so $b^{2}=2 c^{2}$.
- This means that $b$ is even
- Hold on - can't we just make sure $a, b$ have no common factors?


## PROOF

## $\sqrt{2}$ is irrational

## PROOF.

Assume, to the contrary, that $\sqrt{2} \in \mathbb{Q}$. Hence we can write $\sqrt{2}=\frac{a}{b}$, so that $b \neq 0$ and $\operatorname{gcd}(a, b)=1$ Since $\sqrt{2}=\frac{a}{b}$ and so $a^{2}=2 b^{2}$. Thus $a^{2}$ is even, and so $a$ is even. Hence write $a=2 c$ where $c \in \mathbb{Z}$ But now, since $a^{2}=2 b^{2}$, we know that $4 c^{2}=2 b^{2}$ and so $b^{2}=2 c^{2}$. Hence $b^{2}$ is even, and so $b$ is even. This gives a contradiction since we assumed that $\operatorname{gcd}(a, b)=1$. Thus $\sqrt{2}$ is irrational.

## PRIMES ARE INFINITE

## PROPOSITION: (EUCLID 300BC).

There are an infinite number of primes.

We prove this by contradiction, but need the following result along the way

## LEMMA:

Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$. If $n \geq 2$ then $n$ is divisible by a prime.

```
Let n}\in\mathbb{N}\mathrm{ . If n }\geq2\mathrm{ then n is divisible by a prime.
```


## PROOF.

We prove this by strong induction.

- Base case: Since 2 is prime and $2 \mid 2$, the result holds when $n=2$.
- Inductive step: Let $k \in \mathbb{N}$ with $k \geq 2$, and assume that the result holds for all integers $2,3, \ldots, k$.
- If $k+1$ is prime then since $(k+1) \mid(k+1)$, the result holds at $n=k+1$
- If $k+1$ is not prime, then $(k+1)=a b$ for integers $a, b \geq 2$. But, by assumption, both $a, b$ have prime divisors, and so $a=p c, b=q d$ where $c, d \in \mathbb{N}$ and $p, q$ prime. Hence $(k+1)=p q c d$ and so the result holds at $n=k+1$
Since the base case and inductive step hold, the result follows by induction.


## There are an infinite number of primes.

## PROOF.

Assume, to the contrary, that there is finite list of primes: $\left\{p_{1}, p_{2}, \ldots, p_{n}\right\}$.
Use this list to construct $N=p_{1} \cdot p_{2} \cdot p_{3} \cdots p_{n} \in \mathbb{N}$, and then consider $(N+1)$.

- If $(N+1)$ is prime, then we have found a new prime larger than all on our list - contradiction!
- If it is not prime, then (by lemma) $(N+1)$ has some $p_{k}$ as a divisor.

But then $p_{k} \mid N$ and $p_{k} \mid(N+1)$, and so

$$
1=(N+1)-N=\left(p_{k} b\right)-\left(p_{k} a\right)=p_{k}(b-a) \quad \text { for some } a, b \in \mathbb{N}
$$

which implies that $p_{k} \mid 1-$ contradiction!
So the list cannot be finite.

