Problem 1. (a) Find $n$ events so that any $n-1$ are independent but all together are not.
(b) Show that if these events are in some probability space $\Omega$ then $\Omega$ has at least $2^{n-1}$ points.

## Solution.

(a) Consider $n-1$ independent fair coins. Let $n-1$ of the events be that coin $i$ is heads. Let the last event be that the total number of heads is odd. It is easy to verify that any $n-1$ are independent, but all are not.
This is equivalent to the probability space where $\mathbb{P}$ is the uniform distribution on the $2^{n-1}$ vectors in $\{0,1\}^{n}$ with even sum, and the indicator of $A_{i}$ is coordinate $i$.
(b) Intersections of $A_{i}$ or $A_{i}^{c}$ for $i=1, \ldots, n-1$ gives $2^{n-1}$ disjoint events. If none of the events has probability $\{0,1\}$, then these events have non-zero probability, and so $|\Omega| \geq 2^{n-1}$.
If some $A_{i}$ has probability 0 or 1 , then the other $n-1$ events are independent, but then $A_{i}$ is also independent of all of them. (Exercise: a trivial event is independent of everything), so all $n$ events are independent, which we know is not the case.

Problem 2. (a) Let $\left(A_{i}\right)_{i \leq n}$ be independent events with probability $1 / n$ each. Find the probability that exactly $k$ of them occur, and the limit as $n \rightarrow \infty$.
(b) Consider a random uniform permutation $\sigma \in S_{n}$. What is the probability that it has exactly $k$ fixed points? What is the limit as $n \rightarrow \infty$ ?
(c) Is there a direct connection between parts a and b?
(a) The probability is $\binom{n}{k}(1 / n)^{k}(1-1 / n)^{n-k}$. For $k$ fixed, as $n \rightarrow \infty$ this tends to $e^{-1} / k$ !.
(b) By inclusion exclusion, the probability a permutation has no fixed point is $p_{n}=(1-1+1 / 2!-1 / 3$ ! + $\cdots \pm 1 / n!) \sim 1 / e$. The probability that $k$ specific points are the fixed points is $\frac{(n-k)!}{n!} p_{n-k}$. Multiplying by $\binom{n}{k}$ possibilities gives $\frac{p_{n-k}}{k!}$, which also tends to $e^{-1} / k!$.
(c) In both cases there are independent or almost independent events with total probability 1. The number of such events that occur converges in many such cases to Poisson, and is part of a general theme of Poisson approximations. This is an analogue of the CLT for rare events.

Problem 3. Let $A_{n}$ be independent events with $\mathbb{P}\left(A_{n}\right) \neq 1$ for every $n$. Prove that $\mathbb{P}\left(\cup A_{n}\right)=1$ if and only if $\mathbb{P}\left(A_{n}\right.$ i.o. $)=1$.

Solution. Clearly $A_{n}$ i.o. implies that some of the events occur.
To prove the converse, assume $\mathbb{P}\left(A_{n}\right.$ i.o. $)=0$ (it must be 0 or 1 as a tail event). By Borel-Cantelli, this implies $\sum \mathbb{P}\left(A_{n}\right)<\infty$. We can find some $N$ so that $\sum_{n>N} \mathbb{P}\left(A_{n}\right)<1 / 2$. By independence,

$$
\mathbb{P}\left(\cap A_{n}^{c}\right)=\prod_{n \leq N} \mathbb{P}\left(A_{n}^{c}\right) \cdot \mathbb{P}\left(\cap_{n>N} A_{n}^{c}\right)>0
$$

The product is positive since $\mathbb{P}\left(A_{n}\right)<1$. The last term is at least $1 / 2$ by a union bound:

$$
\mathbb{P}\left(\cup_{n>N} A_{n}\right) \leq \sum_{n>N} \mathbb{P}\left(A_{n}\right)<1 / 2
$$

Definition 1. The $m$-ary tree is a graph with a single vertex on level 0 . Each vertex on level $k$ has $m$ edges to vertices on level $k+1$, all distinct (so there are $m^{k}$ vertices on level $k$ ).

Problem 4. Consider percolation on the $m$-ary tree, where each edge is open independently with probability $p$. Let $C_{0}$ be the cluster of the root vertex. Prove that if $p<1 / m$ then $\mathbb{P}\left(\left|C_{0}\right|=\infty\right)=0$.
$\left.{ }^{*}\right)$ Prove the same also for $p=1 / m$.

Solution. Each vertex on level $n$ is connected to 0 with probability $p^{n}$. Thus the expected number of such vertices connected is $(p m)^{n}$. If $p<1 / m$ then by a union bound, $\mathbb{P}(0 \rightarrow \infty)<(p m)^{n}$ for every $n$ so must be 0 .

For the bonus: Let $\theta=\theta(p)=\mathbb{P}(0 \rightarrow \infty)$. Then $(1-\theta)=(1-p \theta)^{m}$, since the probability of being connected through each child is $p \theta$ and these are independent. If $p \leq 1 / m$ the only solution to this in $[0,1]$ is $\theta=0$, since $(1-x)^{m} \geq 1-m x$ with equality only for $x=0$.
*Problem 5. Construct a graph with $0<p_{c}<1$ where $\theta\left(p_{c}\right)>0$. Prove your claims. (There are many different solutions.)

Solution. See some problems in the next assignment.
Problem 6. If $X_{n}$ are independent random variables on some probability space, show that $\mathbb{P}\left(\sum X_{n}\right.$ converges $) \in$ $\{0,1\}$.

Solution. Convergence does not depend on the first $n$ variables, so is a tail event. The claim follows from the Kolmogorov 0-1 law.

Problem 7. Let $X_{1}, X_{2}, \ldots$ be i.i.d. (independent and identically distributed) random variables with standard exponential distribution:

$$
\mathbb{P}\left(X_{i}>t\right)=e^{-t} \quad \text { for } t>0
$$

For any $a>0$ compute $\mathbb{P}\left(X_{n}>a \log n\right.$ i.o. $)$. Deduce that $\lim \sup \frac{X_{n}}{\log n}=1$ a.s.
Solution. Fix $a>1$, and note that $\mathbb{P}\left(X_{n}>a \log n\right)=e^{-a \log n}$ is summable. Therefore eventually $X_{n} \leq a \log n$. This implies $\lim \sup \frac{X_{n}}{\log n} \leq a$ a.s., and since $a>1$ was arbitrary, the limsup is at most 1 .

For the lower bound, fix $a<1$, and note that $\mathbb{P}\left(X_{n}>a \log n\right)=e^{-a \log n}$ is not summable. Therefore $X_{n} \geq a \log n$ infinitely often. This implies $\lim \sup \frac{X_{n}}{\log n} \geq a$ a.s., and since $a<1$ was arbitrary, the $\lim$ sup is exactly 1.

Problem 8. Show that for any sequence of random variables $X_{n}$ there is a sequence of constants $a_{n}>0$ so that $a_{n} X_{n} \rightarrow 0$ a.s.

Solution. For a random variable $X$ and any $\varepsilon>0$ we can find $M$ so that $\mathbb{P}(X \geq M) \leq \varepsilon$. This is since $\mathbb{P}(X \leq t)=F(t) \rightarrow 1$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$.

For $X_{n}$, take some $M_{n}$ so that $\mathbb{P}\left(X_{n} \leq M_{n}\right) \leq e^{-n}$ (or any summable sequence of probabilities). By Borel-Cantelli, a.s. eventually $X_{n} \leq M_{n}$, and therefore $X_{n} /\left(n M_{n}\right) \rightarrow 0$ a.s.

