

Refined mixing and hitting relations

[Basu - Hermon - Peres]

$$f, g: S \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \quad \langle f, g \rangle_{\pi} = \sum_{x \in S} f(x)g(x)\pi(x)$$

If P is reversible wrt π (invariant distr.), then there exist $(\lambda_j)_{j=1}^{|S|}$ eigenvalues with corresponding eigenvectors $(f_j)_{j=2}^{|S|}$ and $\lambda_1 = 1$ and $f_1 = (1, \dots, 1)$.

$$\text{Then } \frac{P^t(x, y)}{\pi(y)} = 1 + \sum_{j=2}^{|S|} \lambda_j^t f_j(x) f_j(y)$$

Proof Idea $A(x, y) = \frac{\sqrt{\pi(x)}}{\sqrt{\pi(y)}} P(x, y) \rightarrow \text{symmetric} \quad \square$

If P is reversible we write the eigenvalues in decreasing order

$$1 = \lambda_1 > \lambda_2 \geq \dots \geq \lambda_n \geq -1.$$

Define $\lambda_* = \max \{ |\lambda| : \lambda \text{ is an eigenvalue of } P \text{ with } \lambda \neq 1 \}$

and $\gamma_* = 1 - \lambda_*$: absolute spectral gap

$\gamma = 1 - \lambda_2$: spectral gap.

For lazy chains $\gamma_* = \gamma$.

Def. $\tau_{\text{relax}} = \frac{1}{\gamma_*}$: relaxation time.

$$f: S \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \quad \mathbb{E}_{\pi}(f) = \sum_x f(x)\pi(x) \quad \text{and} \quad \text{Var}_{\pi}(f) = \mathbb{E}_{\pi}[(f - \mathbb{E}_{\pi}(f))^2].$$

Poincaré $\text{Var}_{\pi}(P^t f) \leq e^{-2t/\tau_{\text{relax}}} \text{Var}_{\pi}(f) \quad P \text{ reversible, lazy}$
 $\forall f, \forall t \geq 0.$

$$Pf(x) = \sum_y P(x, y) f(y)$$

Define for $\epsilon, \alpha \in (0, 1)$

$$\text{hit}_{\alpha}(\epsilon) = \min \{ t : \max_{x, A: \pi(A) \geq \alpha} \mathbb{P}_x(\tau_A > t) \leq \epsilon \}$$

Theorem BHP

Let X be a reversible and lazy Markov chain on S with P & π . \nearrow finite

$$\text{Then } \forall \epsilon \in (0, \frac{1}{2}) \quad \tau_{\text{mix}}(2\epsilon) \leq \text{hit}_{\frac{\epsilon}{2}}(\epsilon) + \lceil 2\tau_{\text{relax}} \cdot \log\left(\frac{2}{\epsilon^3}\right) \rceil \quad (*)$$

and $t_{\text{mix}}(1-\epsilon) \leq \text{hit}_{1-\epsilon}(1-2\epsilon) + \left\lceil 2t_{\text{tree}} \cdot \log\left(\frac{8}{\epsilon^3}\right) \right\rceil$. (**)
Exercise

Remark: Mixing happens in 2 stages: in the first one ($\text{hit}_{1-\epsilon}(\epsilon)$) we have to wait to escape some small set with high prob and in the second one we wait for tree steps.

Proof of (**): Set $t = \text{hit}_{1-\epsilon}(\epsilon)$ and $s = \left\lceil 2t_{\text{tree}} \cdot \log\left(\frac{2}{\epsilon^3}\right) \right\rceil$.

Want to show that $\forall x, A$

$$|P^{t+s}(x, A) - \pi(A)| \leq 2\epsilon.$$

Idea: Want to define an intermediate set G s.t. we hit it whp before time t and conditional on hitting it by time t we want to be close to $\pi(A)$ at time $t+s$ by at most ϵ , i.e.

$$|P_x(X_{t+s} \in A | \tau_G \leq t) - \pi(A)| \leq \epsilon.$$

If $P_x(\tau_G > t) < \epsilon$, then we will be done, because

$$\begin{aligned} |P_x(X_{t+s} \in A) - \pi(A)| &= |P_x(X_{t+s} \in A | \tau_G \leq t) P_x(\tau_G \leq t) + \\ &\quad + P_x(X_{t+s} \in A | \tau_G > t) P_x(\tau_G > t) - \pi(A)| \\ &\leq |P_x(X_{t+s} \in A | \tau_G \leq t) - \pi(A)| + P_x(\tau_G > t). \end{aligned}$$

$$\leq \sup_{\substack{y \in G \\ r \geq s}} |P_y(X_r \in A) - \pi(A)| + P_x(\tau_G > t)$$

Define $G = \{y : \sup_{r \geq s} |P_y(X_r \in A) - \pi(A)| \leq \epsilon\}$.

Then

$$|P_x(X_{t+s} \in A) - \pi(A)| \leq \epsilon + P_x(\tau_G > t).$$

So suffices to show that $P_x(\tau_G > t) \leq \epsilon$.

It suffices to prove that $\pi(G) > 1-\epsilon$, since $t = \text{hit}_{1-\epsilon}(\epsilon)$.

NTS $\pi(G) > 1-\epsilon$

$$f: S \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, \text{ define } f^*(x) = \sup_{k \geq 0} |P^{2k} f(x)|$$

$$f_t(x) = P^t(x, A) - \pi(A) = P^t(1(A) - \pi(A))(x)$$

$$f_t^*(x) = \sup_{k \geq 0} |P^{2k} f_t(x)| = \sup_{k \geq 0} |P^{2k+t}(x, A) - \pi(A)|$$

$$(Pf_t)^*(x) = \sup_{k \geq 0} |P^{2k} Pf_t(x)| = \sup_{k \geq 0} |P^{2k+t+1}(x, A) - \pi(A)|$$

$$G_\varepsilon = \{y : f_s^*(y), (Pf_s)^*(y) \leq \varepsilon\}$$

$$\pi(G_\varepsilon^c) \leq \pi(\{y : f_s^*(y) > \varepsilon\}) + \pi(\{y : (Pf_s)^*(y) > \varepsilon\})$$

$$\leq \frac{\mathbb{E}_\pi [(f_s^*)^2]}{\varepsilon^2} + \frac{\mathbb{E}_\pi [(Pf_s)^*(y)^2]}{\varepsilon^2} \quad \text{Markov's ineq.} \quad (***)$$

We write for $p \in (1, \infty)$ $\|f\|_p = \mathbb{E}_\pi [|f|^p]$

$$\|Pf_s\|_2^2 \leq \|f_s\|_2^2 = \text{Var}_\pi(P^s(1(A))) \leq e^{-\frac{2s}{\text{diam}} \text{Var}_\pi(1(A))} =$$

\uparrow
P is a contraction

\uparrow
Poincaré

\downarrow substituting value of s

$$= \frac{\varepsilon^3}{2} \cdot \pi(A) \cdot (1 - \pi(A)) \leq \frac{\varepsilon^3}{8}$$

Theorem (Starr's maximal ineq.) P revers. wrt π , $p \in (1, \infty)$

$$\forall f : S \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$$

$$\|f^*\|_p \leq \frac{p}{p-1} \|f\|_p$$

$$\|(Pf_s)^*\|_2^2 \leq 4 \cdot \|Pf_s\|_2^2 \leq \frac{\varepsilon^3}{2} \quad \text{and} \quad \|f_s^*\|_2^2 \leq 4 \cdot \|f_s\|_2^2 \leq \frac{\varepsilon^3}{2}$$

Plug into (***) $\rightarrow \pi(G_\varepsilon^c) < \frac{\varepsilon}{2} + \frac{\varepsilon}{2} = \varepsilon$. \square

Proof of Starr $f^*(x) = \sup_{k \geq 0} |P^{2k} f(x)|$

Let X be a MC with $X_0 \sim \pi$.

$$P^{2n} f(X_0) = \mathbb{E}[f(X_{2n}) | X_0] = \mathbb{E}[\mathbb{E}[f(X_{2n}) | X_n, X_0] | X_0] =$$

\downarrow tower property

$$= \mathbb{E}[\mathbb{E}[f(X_{2n}) | X_n] | X_0] \quad (\text{Markov property})$$

Set $R_n = \mathbb{E}[f(X_{2n}) | X_n]$

Goal: Show that R is a backwards martingale

in other words we will show that if N is fixed, then

$(R_{N-n})_{0 \leq n \leq N}$ is a martingale.

Since $X_0 \sim \pi$ and X is reversible, it follows that

$$(X_n, X_{n+1}, \dots, X_{2n}) \sim (X_n, X_{n-1}, \dots, X_0)$$

$$\text{So } R_n = \mathbb{E}[f(X_{2n}) | X_n] = \mathbb{E}[f(X_0) | X_n] = \mathbb{E}[f(X_0) | X_n, X_{n+1}, \dots]$$

$$\text{Set } \mathcal{F}_n = \sigma(X_n, X_{n+1}, \dots)$$

↑
Markov property

and fix $N \geq 0$. Then $(R_{N-n})_{0 \leq n \leq N}$ is a martingale wrt (\mathcal{F}_n)

$$\| \max_{0 \leq n \leq N} R_n \|_p = \| \max_{0 \leq n \leq N} R_{N-n} \|_p \stackrel{\text{Doob's } L_p\text{-ineq.}}{\leq} \frac{p}{p-1} \cdot \|R_0\|_p = \frac{p}{p-1} \|f(X_0)\|_p = \frac{p}{p-1} \|f\|_p.$$

$$\left| \max_{0 \leq n \leq N} p^{2n} f(X_0) \right| = \left| \max_{0 \leq n \leq N} \mathbb{E}[R_n | X_0] \right| \leq \mathbb{E} \left[\max_{0 \leq n \leq N} R_n \mid X_0 \right]$$

Conditional Jensen implies that

$$\| \max_{0 \leq n \leq N} p^{2n} f(X_0) \|_p \leq \| \max_{0 \leq n \leq N} R_n \|_p \leq \frac{p}{p-1} \cdot \|f\|_p$$

Letting $N \rightarrow \infty$ and using MONOTONE cvg completes the proof. \square