

Design Theory and Extremal Combinatorics

Richard Anstee
Farzin Barekat Attila Sali
UBC, Vancouver

AMS, January 7, 2016

Definition Given an integer $m \geq 1$, let $[m] = \{1, 2, \dots, m\}$.

Definition Given integers $k \leq m$, let $\binom{[m]}{k}$ denote all k -subsets of $[m]$.

Definition Given parameters t, m, k, λ , a t - (m, k, λ) design \mathcal{D} is a multiset of subsets in $\binom{[m]}{k}$ such that for each $S \in \binom{[m]}{t}$ there are exactly λ blocks $B \in \mathcal{D}$ containing S .

A t - (m, k, λ) design \mathcal{D} is **simple** if \mathcal{D} is a set (i.e. no repeated blocks).

Definition Given an integer $m \geq 1$, let $[m] = \{1, 2, \dots, m\}$.

Definition Given integers $k \leq m$, let $\binom{[m]}{k}$ denote all k -subsets of $[m]$.

Definition Given parameters t, m, k, λ , a t - (m, k, λ) design \mathcal{D} is a multiset of subsets in $\binom{[m]}{k}$ such that for each $S \in \binom{[m]}{t}$ there are exactly λ blocks $B \in \mathcal{D}$ containing S .

A t - (m, k, λ) design \mathcal{D} is **simple** if \mathcal{D} is a set (i.e. no repeated blocks).

Definition Given parameters t, m, k, λ , a t - (m, k, λ) packing \mathcal{P} is a set of subsets in $\binom{[m]}{k}$ such that for each $S \in \binom{[m]}{t}$ there are at most λ blocks $B \in \mathcal{P}$ containing S .

(we will require a simple packing).

Theorem (Dehon, 1983) Let m, λ be given. Assume $m \geq \lambda + 2$ and $m \equiv 1, 3 \pmod{6}$. Then there exists a simple $2-S(m, 3, \lambda)$ design.

Theorem (Dehon, 1983) Let m, λ be given. Assume $m \geq \lambda + 2$ and $m \equiv 1, 3 \pmod{6}$. Then there exists a simple $2-S(m, 3, \lambda)$ design.

Let $T_{m,\lambda}$ denote the element-triple incidence matrix of a simple $2-S(m, 3, \lambda)$ design.

Theorem (Dehon, 1983) Let m, λ be given. Assume $m \geq \lambda + 2$ and $m \equiv 1, 3 \pmod{6}$. Then there exists a simple 2 - $S(m, 3, \lambda)$ design.

Let $T_{m,\lambda}$ denote the element-triple incidence matrix of a simple 2 - $S(m, 3, \lambda)$ design.

Thus $T_{m,\lambda}$ is an $m \times \frac{\lambda}{3} \binom{m}{2}$ simple matrix with all columns of column sum 3 and having no submatrix

$$\begin{array}{c} \lambda+1 \\ \overbrace{\left[\begin{array}{cccc} 1 & 1 & \cdots & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & \cdots & 1 \end{array} \right]} \end{array}$$

Definition We say that a matrix A is *simple* if it is a $(0,1)$ -matrix with no repeated columns.

Definition Let $\mathbf{1}_k$ denote the column of k 1's.

Definition Let $\mathbf{1}_k \mathbf{0}_\ell$ denote the column of k 1's on top of ℓ 0's.

Definition Let $s \cdot F$ denote $\overbrace{[F|F|\cdots|F]}^s$.

Definition Let K_k^ℓ denote the simple $k \times \binom{k}{\ell}$ matrix of all columns of sum ℓ .

Theorem Let A be an $m \times n$ simple matrix with no submatrix

$$q \cdot \mathbf{1}_2 = \overbrace{\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & \cdots & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & \cdots & 1 \end{bmatrix}}^q$$

Then

$$n \leq \binom{m}{0} + \binom{m}{1} + \binom{m}{2} + \frac{q-2}{3} \binom{m}{2}$$

with equality only for

$$A = [K_m^0 K_m^1 K_m^2 T_{m,q-2}]$$

if $m \geq q$ and $m \equiv 1, 3 \pmod{6}$.

Note that a $t - (m, k, \lambda)$ design has the maximum number of columns all of sum k with no submatrix $(\lambda + 1) \cdot \mathbf{1}_t$.

Theorem (A., Barekat) Let q be given. Then for $m > q$, if A is an $m \times n$ simple matrix with no submatrix which is a row permutation of

$$q \cdot \mathbf{1}_2 \mathbf{0}_1 = \begin{bmatrix} \overbrace{1 & 1 & \cdots & 1}^q \\ 1 & 1 & \cdots & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

Then

$$n \leq \binom{m}{0} + \binom{m}{1} + \binom{m}{2} + \frac{q-2}{3} \binom{m}{2} + \binom{m}{m}$$

with equality only for

$$A = [K_m^0 K_m^1 K_m^2 T_{m,q-2} K_m^m]$$

if $m \equiv 1, 3 \pmod{6}$.

Theorem (A., Barekat) Let q be given. Then there exists an M so that for $m > M$, if A is an $m \times n$ simple matrix with no submatrix which is a row permutation of

$$q \cdot \mathbf{1}_2 \mathbf{0}_2 = \begin{bmatrix} \overbrace{1 & 1 & \cdots & 1}^q \\ 1 & 1 & \cdots & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

Then

$$n \leq \binom{m}{0} + \binom{m}{1} + \binom{m}{2} + \frac{q-3}{3} \binom{m}{2} + \binom{m}{m-2} + \binom{m}{m-1} + \binom{m}{m}$$

with equality only for

$$A = [K_m^0 K_m^1 K_m^2 T_{m,a} T_{m,b}^c K_m^{m-2} K_m^{m-1} K_m^m]$$

(for some choice a, b with $a + b = q - 3$)

if $m \geq q$ and $m \equiv 1, 3 \pmod{6}$.

Problem Let q be given. Does there exist an M so that for $m > M$, if A is an $m \times n$ simple matrix with no $4 \times q$ submatrix which is a row permutation of

$$q \cdot \mathbf{1}_3 \mathbf{0}_1 = \begin{matrix} & \overbrace{\hspace{4em}}^q & \\ \left[\begin{array}{cccc} 1 & 1 & \cdots & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & \cdots & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & \cdots & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \end{array} \right] \end{matrix}$$

Then

$$n \leq \binom{m}{0} + \binom{m}{1} + \binom{m}{2} + \binom{m}{3} + \frac{q-3}{4} \binom{m}{3} + \binom{m}{m}$$

with equality only if there exists a simple $3 - (m, 4, \lambda)$ design with $\lambda = q - 2$?

Theorem (Keevash 14) Let $1/m \ll \theta \ll 1/k \leq 1/(t+1)$ and $\theta \ll 1$. Suppose that $\binom{k-i}{t-i}$ divides $\binom{m-i}{t-i}$ for $0 \leq i \leq r-1$. Then there exists a t - (m, k, λ) **simple** design for $\lambda \leq \theta m^{k-t}$.

Our Extremal Problem

Definition We say that a matrix A is *simple* if it is a $(0,1)$ -matrix with no repeated columns.

Definition We define $\|A\|$ to be the number of columns in A .

Definition For a given $(0,1)$ -matrix F , we say $F \prec A$ (or A contains F as a *configuration*) if there is a submatrix of A which is a row and column permutation of F

Our Extremal Problem

Definition We say that a matrix A is *simple* if it is a $(0,1)$ -matrix with no repeated columns.

Definition We define $\|A\|$ to be the number of columns in A .

Definition For a given $(0,1)$ -matrix F , we say $F \prec A$ (or A contains F as a *configuration*) if there is a submatrix of A which is a row and column permutation of F

$\text{Avoid}(m, F) = \{ A : A \text{ is } m\text{-rowed simple, } F \not\prec A \}$

$\text{forb}(m, F) = \max_A \{ \|A\| : A \in \text{Avoid}(m, F) \}$

Nearly exact bounds

$$F = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

Forbidding F forces that the columns of any $A \in \text{Avoid}(m, F)$ have the property of being **2-laminar** when viewed as sets.

Theorem (Dukes 14)

$$1.3818 \leq \limsup_{m \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\text{forb}(m, F)}{\binom{m}{2}} \leq 1.3821$$

Asymptotic Bounds

We are interested in $\text{forb}(m, s \cdot F)$. An example:

$$\text{Let } F = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

Then $\text{forb}(m, F)$ is $O(m^2)$. Now $s \cdot \mathbf{1}_3 \prec s \cdot F$ and so $\text{forb}(m, s \cdot F) \geq \text{forb}(m, s \cdot \mathbf{1}_3)$ (for any s).

Theorem Let $\alpha > 0$ be given. Then $\text{forb}(m, m^\alpha \cdot F)$ is $\Theta(m^{3+\alpha})$.

The upper bound is a challenge but the lower bound corresponds to constructing an $A \in \text{Avoid}(m, m^\alpha \cdot \mathbf{1}_3)$ with $\|A\|$ being $\Omega(m^{3+\alpha})$.

$$s \cdot \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

We find that $[K_m^0 K_m^1 K_m^2 K_m^3] \in \text{Avoid}(m, m \cdot \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix})$ and then we can show (by pigeonhole principle) that:

Theorem $\text{forb}(m, m \cdot \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}) = \binom{m}{0} + \binom{m}{1} + \binom{m}{2} + \binom{m}{3}.$

Thus $\text{forb}(m, m \cdot \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix})$ is $\Theta(m^3)$.

$$s \cdot \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

We find that $[K_m^0 K_m^1 K_m^2 K_m^3] \in \text{Avoid}(m, m \cdot \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix})$ and then we can show (by pigeonhole principle) that:

Theorem $\text{forb}(m, m \cdot \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}) = \binom{m}{0} + \binom{m}{1} + \binom{m}{2} + \binom{m}{3}.$

Thus $\text{forb}(m, m \cdot \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix})$ is $\Theta(m^3)$.

We find that $[K_m^0 K_m^1 K_m^2 K_m^3 K_m^4] \in \text{Avoid}(m, (m + \binom{m-2}{2}) \cdot \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix})$ and then we can show (by pigeonhole principle) that:

Theorem

$$\text{forb}(m, (m + \binom{m-2}{2}) \cdot \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}) = \binom{m}{0} + \binom{m}{1} + \binom{m}{2} + \binom{m}{3} + \binom{m}{4}.$$

Thus $\text{forb}(m, (m + \binom{m-2}{2}) \cdot \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix})$ is $\Theta(m^4)$.

$$s \cdot \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

We find that $[K_m^0 K_m^1 K_m^2 K_m^3] \in \text{Avoid}(m, m \cdot \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix})$ and then we can show (by pigeonhole principle) that:

Theorem $\text{forb}(m, m \cdot \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}) = \binom{m}{0} + \binom{m}{1} + \binom{m}{2} + \binom{m}{3}.$

Thus $\text{forb}(m, m \cdot \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix})$ is $\Theta(m^3)$.

We find that $[K_m^0 K_m^1 K_m^2 K_m^3 K_m^4] \in \text{Avoid}(m, (m + \binom{m-2}{2}) \cdot \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix})$ and then we can show (by pigeonhole principle) that:

Theorem

$$\text{forb}(m, (m + \binom{m-2}{2}) \cdot \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}) = \binom{m}{0} + \binom{m}{1} + \binom{m}{2} + \binom{m}{3} + \binom{m}{4}.$$

Thus $\text{forb}(m, (m + \binom{m-2}{2}) \cdot \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix})$ is $\Theta(m^4)$.

Can we deduce the growth of $\text{forb}(m, m^\alpha \cdot \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix})$?

Simple Triple Systems

Theorem (Dehon, 1983) Let m, λ be given. Assume $m \geq \lambda + 2$ and $m \equiv 1, 3 \pmod{6}$. Then there exists a **simple** triple system, a simple $2 - (m, 3, \lambda)$ design.

Simple Triple Systems

Theorem (Dehon, 1983) Let m, λ be given. Assume $m \geq \lambda + 2$ and $m \equiv 1, 3 \pmod{6}$. Then there exists a **simple** triple system, a simple $2 - (m, 3, \lambda)$ design.

Let $T_{m,\lambda}$ denote the element-triple incidence matrix of a simple $2 - (m, 3, \lambda)$ design. Thus $T_{m,\lambda}$ is an $m \times \frac{\lambda}{3} \binom{m}{2}$ simple matrix with all columns of column sum 3 and $T_{m,\lambda} \in \text{Avoid}(m, (\lambda + 1) \cdot \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix})$

Simple Triple Systems

Theorem (Dehon, 1983) Let m, λ be given. Assume $m \geq \lambda + 2$ and $m \equiv 1, 3 \pmod{6}$. Then there exists a **simple** triple system, a simple $2 - (m, 3, \lambda)$ design.

Let $T_{m,\lambda}$ denote the element-triple incidence matrix of a simple $2 - (m, 3, \lambda)$ design. Thus $T_{m,\lambda}$ is an $m \times \frac{\lambda}{3} \binom{m}{2}$ simple matrix with all columns of column sum 3 and $T_{m,\lambda} \in \text{Avoid}(m, (\lambda + 1) \cdot \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix})$

Thus, choosing $\lambda = m^{1/2} - 2$, we have

$\text{forb}(m, m^{1/2} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix})$ is $\Theta(m^{5/2})$

or more generally, $\text{forb}(m, m^\alpha \cdot \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix})$ is $\Theta(m^{2+\alpha})$ for $0 < \alpha \leq 1$.

Theorem (Keevash 14) Let $1/m \ll \theta \ll 1/k \leq 1/(t+1)$ and $\theta \ll 1$. Suppose that $\binom{k-i}{t-i}$ divides $\binom{m-i}{t-i}$ for $0 \leq i \leq r-1$. Then there exists a t -(m, k, λ) **simple** design for $\lambda \leq \theta m^{k-t}$.

This covers a fraction θ of the possible range for $\lambda \in \left(0, \binom{m}{k} \binom{k}{t} / \binom{m}{t}\right)$.

Let $\mathbf{1}_t$ denote the column of t 1's. The following result follows from Keevash 14.

Weak Packing: Let α and t be given. There exist a constant $c_{\alpha,t} > 0$ so that

$$\text{forb}(m, m^\alpha \cdot \mathbf{1}_t) \geq c_{\alpha,t} m^{t+\alpha}$$

i.e. $\text{forb}(m, m^\alpha \cdot \mathbf{1}_t)$ is $\Theta(m^{t+\alpha})$

We form a matrix in $\text{Avoid}(m, m^\alpha \cdot \mathbf{1}_t)$ by first taking all columns up to some appropriate size k , and then use the Weak Packing of $k+1$ -sets that follows as a Corollary to Keevash' design result.

There are cases which do not yield the desired results.

$$\text{Let } F = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

Theorem (Frankl, Füredi, Pach 87) $\text{forb}(m, F) = \binom{m}{2} + 2m - 1$
i.e. $\text{forb}(m, F)$ is $O(m^2)$.

Theorem (A. and Lu 13) Let s be given. Then $\text{forb}(m, s \cdot F)$ is $\Theta(m^2)$.

Conjecture $\text{forb}(m, m^\alpha \cdot F)$ is $\Theta(m^{2+\alpha})$.

We can only prove that $\text{forb}(m, m^\alpha \cdot F)$ is $O(m^{3+\alpha})$.

Thanks to Peter Dukes and Esther Lamken for the invite to this great minisymposium.