

## Analysis on arithmetic quotients

Bill Casselman  
 University of British Columbia  
 cass@math.ubc.ca

### Chapter III. Modular forms and representations of $GL(2)$

This essay will explain the relationship between classical automorphic forms and representations of  $GL_2(\mathbb{R})$ .

#### Contents

1. Introduction .....	1
2. Proof of the Theorem .....	4
3. Modular forms.....	6
4. References .....	7

#### 1. Introduction

Let  $G = GL_2^+(\mathbb{R})$ . I'll define here three equivalent spaces of functions on which  $G$  acts.

**BASES.** Let

$$\mathfrak{H} = \{(z_1, z_2) \in \mathbb{C}^2 \mid z_2 \neq 0, \text{IM}(z_1/z_2) > 0\}.$$

The group  $G$  acts on this through the standard left action:

$$\begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix} : \begin{bmatrix} z_1 \\ z_2 \end{bmatrix} \mapsto \begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} z_1 \\ z_2 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Define

$$\Omega_k^\omega(\mathfrak{H}) = \{F \text{ holomorphic on } \mathfrak{H} \mid F(c\zeta) = c^{-k}F(\zeta) \text{ for all } c \in \mathbb{C}^\times\}.$$

The group  $G$  commutes with scalar multiplication, so acts on  $\Omega_k^\omega$  by the left regular action:

$$L_g F(\zeta) = F(g^{-1}\zeta).$$

**UPPER HALF-PLANE.** The map  $(z_1, z_2) \mapsto z = z_1/z_2$  identifies the quotient  $\mathfrak{H}/\mathbb{C}^\times$  with

$$\mathcal{H} = \{z \in \mathbb{C} \mid \text{IM}(z) > 0\}.$$

The map taking  $z$  to  $(z, 1)$  is a section of the quotient projection. The image of the section is not  $G$ -stable, but instead

$$\begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} z \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} = (cz + d) \begin{bmatrix} (az + b)/(cz + d) \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

The factor  $cz + d = j(g, z)$  is called the **automorphy factor**. The equation above implies immediately that

$$(III.1.1) \quad j(gh, z) = j(g, h(z))j(h, z).$$

and that  $G$  acts on  $\mathcal{H}$  by fractional linear transformations. The projection is  $G$ -equivariant, and the factor  $j(g, z)$  measures the extent to which the section is not  $G$ -stable. Equation (III.1.1) is a kind of cocycle relation.

Suppose  $F$  to lie in  $\Omega_k^\omega(\mathfrak{H})$ . Define

$$f(z) = f_F(z) = F(z, 1),$$

If  $g = \begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix}$  then

$$F(g(z), 1) = F(az + b, cz + d) = (cz + d)^{-k} F(az + b/cz + d, 1) = [f|[g]_k](z)$$

if

$$(III.1.2) \quad [f|[g]_k](z) = j(g, z)^{-k} f(g(z)).$$

This defines a right action of  $G$  on  $\Omega_k^\omega(\mathcal{H}) = \Omega^\omega(\mathcal{H})$ , the space of holomorphic forms on  $\mathcal{H}$ . Associated to it is a left action

$$L_{k,g}f = f|[g^{-1}]_k.$$

I must emphasize that the space doesn't depend on  $k$ , but the action of  $G$  does.

The action is motivated by the observation that if  $\omega = f(z)dz$  is a holomorphic differential form on  $\mathcal{H}$ , then

$$L_g^*\omega(z) = f(g(z)) \frac{\det(g) dz}{(cz + d)^2}.$$

In general,  $\mathcal{H}$  is a  $G$ -homogeneous space, and the isotropy subgroup of  $i$  is the copy of  $\mathbb{C}^\times$  obtained from the embedding

$$\iota: a + ib \mapsto \begin{bmatrix} a & -b \\ b & a \end{bmatrix}.$$

The space  $\Omega_k^\omega(\mathcal{H})$  is the space of holomorphic sections of an associated line bundle.

The map from  $F$  to  $f$  has a simple inverse:

$$F(z_1, z_2) = z_2^{-k} F(z_1/z_2, 1) = z_2^{-k} f(z_1/z_2).$$

**THE GROUP.** The space  $\mathfrak{H}$  is a principal homogeneous  $G$ -space. For  $F$  in  $\Omega_k^\omega(\mathfrak{H})$ , define

$$\Phi(g) = \Phi_F(g) = F(g(i, 1)).$$

It is a smooth function on  $G$ . Since

$$\iota(a + bi)(i, 1) = (a + bi)(i, 1),$$

$$(III.1.3) \quad \Phi(g \cdot \iota(c)) = \Phi(g) c^{-k}$$

for all  $c$  in  $\mathbb{C}^\times$ .

How does holomorphicity relate to a condition on  $\Phi$ ? The Lie algebra of  $G$  acts on the right on  $C^\infty(G)$ , and contains the element

$$x_\pm = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & \mp i \\ \mp i & \mp 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

It will turn out, as we shall see soon, that holomorphicity on  $F$  translates to the condition

$$(III.1.4) \quad R_{x_\pm} \Phi = 0.$$

Here  $R$  is the right regular representation. Thus if  $F$  is in  $\Omega_k^\omega(\mathfrak{H})$  then  $\Phi$  will satisfy both (III.1.3) and (III.1.4).

Let  $\Omega_k^\omega(G)$  be the space of all such functions.

I have defined maps from  $\Omega_k^\omega$  to  $\Omega^\omega(\mathcal{H})$  and to  $\Omega_k^\omega(G)$ . There is also a map from  $\Omega_k^\omega(\mathcal{H})$  to  $\Omega_k^\omega(G)$ , taking  $f$  to

$$\Phi(g) = f(g(i)) j(g, i)^{-k}.$$

Conversely, of course:

$$f(x + iy) = \Phi(p) \quad \text{if} \quad p = \begin{bmatrix} y & x \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

There are maps between the three spaces I have just defined. We therefore have a diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \Omega_k^\omega(\mathfrak{H}) & \longrightarrow & \Omega_k^\omega(G) \\ & \searrow & \nearrow \\ & \Omega_k^\omega(\mathcal{H}) & \end{array}$$

that is easily verified to be commutative. One of the principal results of this note is:

**III.1.5. Theorem.** *All maps in this diagram are isomorphisms, equivariant with respect to the left regular representations.*

The only non-trivial part of this the isomorphism of  $\Omega_k^\omega(\mathfrak{H})$  with  $\Omega_k^\omega(G)$ . This requires looking at the Lie algebra of  $G$  more closely, which I'll do in the next section.

## 2. Proof of the Theorem

Next, I'll show how to characterize the image of  $C_m^\omega(\mathfrak{H})$  with respect to  $\Phi$ . Before I state the main result, I'll recall some elementary facts.

**COMPLEX ANALYSIS.** A smooth  $\mathbb{C}$ -valued function  $f = u(x, y) + iv(x, y)$  on an open subset of  $\mathbb{C}$  is holomorphic if and only if the real Jacobian matrix of  $f$

$$\begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} & \frac{\partial u}{\partial y} \\ \frac{\partial v}{\partial x} & \frac{\partial v}{\partial y} \end{bmatrix}$$

considered as a map from  $\mathbb{R}^2$  to itself lies in the image of  $\mathbb{C}$  in  $M_2(\mathbb{R})$ . (Since this image generically coincides with the group of orientation-preserving similitudes, this means precisely that it is conformal.) This condition is equivalent to the Cauchy-Riemann equations

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial x} = \frac{\partial v}{\partial y}, \quad \frac{\partial u}{\partial y} = -\frac{\partial v}{\partial x}.$$

Holomorphicity may also be expressed by the single equation

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial \bar{z}} = 0 \quad \text{where} \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}} = \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + i \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \right).$$

When  $f$  is holomorphic, its complex derivative is

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial z} = \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial x} - i \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \right).$$

The notation is designed so that for an arbitrary smooth function

$$df = \frac{\partial f}{\partial z} dz + \frac{\partial f}{\partial \bar{z}} d\bar{z}$$

where  $dz = dx + i dy$ .

**LIE ALGEBRA AND HOLOMORPHY.** I recall that

$$x_\pm = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & \mp i \\ \mp i & 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Suppose  $f$  holomorphic on  $\mathcal{H}$ , and set  $\Phi = \Phi_f$ , so that  $\Phi(g) = f(g(i, 1))j(g, i)^{-k}$ .

**III.2.1. Lemma.** *In these circumstances*

$$R_{x_+} \Phi_F(p) = -4iy \frac{\partial f(z)}{\partial \bar{z}}$$

if

$$p = \begin{bmatrix} y & x \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad z = x + iy.$$

The proof will occupy the rest of this section.

The Lie algebra of  $G$  has as real basis:

$$\begin{aligned}\zeta &= \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \\ \alpha &= \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix} \\ \kappa &= \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \\ \nu_+ &= \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}\end{aligned}$$

In addition, we shall find these useful:

$$\begin{aligned}\eta &= \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} = (1/2)(\alpha + \zeta) \\ x_+ &= (2\eta - \zeta) - i(2\nu_+ + \kappa).\end{aligned}$$

The significance of  $x_+$  and its conjugate  $x_-$  is that

$$[\kappa, x_{\pm}] = \pm 2ix_{\pm}.$$

Associated to some of these are vector fields on  $\mathcal{H}$ :

$$\begin{aligned}\Lambda_{\nu_+} &= \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \\ \Lambda_{\eta} &= x \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + y \frac{\partial}{\partial y}.\end{aligned}$$

**HOLOMORPHICITY CHARACTERIZED.** Now for  $F$  in  $C^\infty(\mathfrak{H})$ , define a function  $f_F$  on the upper half-plane  $\mathcal{H}$  to be its restriction to its image in  $\mathfrak{H}$ :

$$f_F(z) = F(z, 1).$$

I now conclude the proof of the Lemma. Since  $\kappa$  and  $\zeta$  are in the Lie algebra of  $\iota(\mathbb{C}^\times)$ :

$$R_\kappa F = -miF, \quad R_\zeta F = -mF.$$

But then

$$\begin{aligned}R_{x_+} F(p) &= (R_\alpha - 2iR_{\nu_+} - iR_\kappa)F(p) \\ &= (2R_\eta - R_\zeta - 2iR_{\nu_+} - iR_\kappa)F(p) \\ &= (2R_\eta - 2iR_{\nu_+} + m - i(-mi))F(p) \\ &= (2R_\eta - 2iR_{\nu_+})F(p)\end{aligned}$$

Now I apply the basic formula  $R_X f(g) = [L_{gXg^{-1}} f](g)$  to get

$$(2R_\eta - 2iR_{\nu_+})F(p) = (2\Lambda_{p\eta p^{-1}} - 2i\Lambda_{p\nu_+ p^{-1}})F(p).$$

But

$$L_\eta = x \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + y \frac{\partial}{\partial y}$$

and

$$p\eta p^{-1} = y\eta - x\nu_+$$

so

$$\begin{aligned} (2\Lambda_{p\eta p^{-1}} - 2i\Lambda_{p\nu_+ p^{-1}})F(p) &= 2y \frac{\partial f}{\partial y} - 2iy \frac{\partial f}{\partial x} \\ &= -2iy \left( \frac{\partial f}{\partial x} + i \frac{\partial f}{\partial y} \right) \\ &= -4iy \frac{\partial f}{\partial \bar{z}}. \end{aligned}$$

### 3. Modular forms

Suppose  $\Gamma$  to be a proper subgroup of  $SL_2(\mathbb{R})$ . For convenience, I shall assume it to have exactly one cusp, and that at  $\infty$ . I shall also assume  $\Gamma \cap N(\mathbb{Z})$  to be  $N(\mathbb{Z})$ .

A **modular form** of weight  $k > 0$  for  $\Gamma$  is a function on  $\mathcal{H}$  of moderate growth—i.e. bounded by some norm  $\|z\|^N$ —such that  $f|[\gamma]_k = f$  for all  $\gamma$  in  $\Gamma$ . Invariance with respect to  $\Gamma$  and the requirement of moderate growth imply that

$$f(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} f_n e^{2\pi i n z},$$

since  $|e^{2\pi i n(x+iy)}| = e^{-2\pi n y}$ . It is called a **cusp form** if  $f_0 = 0$ , in which case  $f$  will be exponentially decreasing at  $\infty$ .

Following the previous section with a slight twist, define the corresponding function on  $G = GL_2^+(\mathbb{R})$

$$\Phi_f(g) = f(g(i)) j(g, i)^{-m} \det(g)^{m/2}.$$

It is actually left-invariant under  $\Gamma$  and again of moderate growth on  $G$ . From the results of the previous section:

**III.3.1. Theorem.** *The space of holomorphic forms of weight  $m$  for  $\Gamma$  is isomorphic to the space of smooth (in fact, necessarily real analytic) functions  $\Phi$  on  $\Gamma \backslash G$  of moderate growth such that*

- (a)  $\Phi(gk) = \varepsilon^{-m}(k)\Phi(g)$  for  $k$  in  $SO_2$ ;
- (b)  $R_{x_+}\Phi = 0$ ;
- (c)  $\Phi(gz) = \Phi(g)$  for  $z$  in the connected component of the center of  $G$ .

**THE PETERSON METRIC.** Suppose  $\Phi$  to satisfy the conditions of the Theorem. Then

$$|\Phi(g)|^2 = |f(g(i))|^2 = |j(g, i)|^{-2k} \det(g)^k = |f(g(i))|^2 \text{IM}(g(i))^k,$$

and for  $k$  in  $SO_2$

$$|\Phi(gk)|^2 = |\Phi(g)|^2.$$

But then

$$\int_{\Gamma \backslash G} |\Phi(g)|^2 dg = \int_{\Gamma \backslash \mathcal{H}} |f(z)|^2 \operatorname{Im}(z)^m \frac{dx dy}{y^2}$$

If  $f$  is a cusp form, the function  $|f|^2$  will be of rapid decrease at  $\infty$ . and the integral above finite. Hence I have proved:

**III.3.2. Corollary.** *If  $f$  is a cusp form then  $\Phi_f$  lies in  $L^2(\Gamma \backslash G)$ .*

#### 4. References

1. Adolph Hurwitz, 'Grundlagen einer independenten Theorie der elliptischen Modulfunctionen und Theorie der Multiplicatorgleichungen erster Stufe', *Mathematische Annalen* **18** (1881), 528–592.
2. J-P. Serre, **Cours d'Arithmétique**, Presses Universitaires de France 1970.