

## The Schwartz space of Ehrenpreis and Mautner

Bill Casselman  
 University of British Columbia  
 cass@math.ubc.ca

Let

$$G = \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{R})$$

$$\Gamma = \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}).$$

By definition, a smooth function  $F$  belongs to  $A_{\mathrm{umg}}(\Gamma \backslash G)$ , the space of functions of uniform moderate growth on  $\Gamma \backslash G$ , if and only if there exists a fixed  $M$  such that  $R_X F(g) = O(\|g\|^M)$  for all  $X$  in  $U(\mathfrak{g})$ . It belongs to the Schwartz space  $\mathcal{S}(\Gamma \backslash G)$  if  $R_X F$  decreases rapidly at all cusps of  $\Gamma$  for all  $X$ . If  $F$  is a function on  $\Gamma \backslash \mathcal{H}$ , this definition requires lifting  $F$  to a function on  $\Gamma \backslash G$  by using the identification of  $\mathcal{H}$  with  $G/\mathrm{SO}(2)$ . It is a matter of curiosity to have a more intrinsic characterization of such functions on  $\Gamma \backslash \mathcal{H}$ . It seems to me possible that this question and similar ones for other arithmetic quotients are important, although I'll offer no evidence for that here.

**1. Proposition.** *A smooth function  $F$  on  $\Gamma \backslash \mathcal{H}$  lies in  $A_{\mathrm{umg}}(\Gamma \backslash \mathcal{H})$  if and only if there exists a fixed  $M$  such that  $\Delta^k F = O(y^M)$  for all  $k$ .*

**2. Proposition.** *A smooth function  $F$  on  $\Gamma \backslash \mathcal{H}$  lies in  $\mathcal{S}(\Gamma \backslash \mathcal{H})$  if and only if  $\Delta^k F$  decreases rapidly in the neighbourhood of all cusps of  $\Gamma$ .*

These are certainly necessary conditions, since  $\Delta$  is the restriction to functions on  $\mathcal{H}$  of the Casimir operator in  $U(\mathfrak{g})$ . The criterion in the second of these is in fact the definition of the Schwartz space in [Ehrenpreis-Mautner:1962], which I believe to have been the first to introduce the notion.

By truncating  $F$  smoothly, we may assume that in the fundamental domain  $F$  has support in the region  $y > 1$ . In that case, we may identify it with a function on  $(\Gamma \cap N) \backslash \mathcal{H}$ . Resolve  $F$  into its Fourier series

$$F(x + iy) = \sum F_n(y) e^{2\pi i n x} \quad \left( F_n(y) = \int_0^1 F(x + iy) e^{-2\pi i n x} dx \right).$$

Then  $F$  satisfies the hypotheses of the theorem if and only if each of  $F_0$  and  $F - F_0$  separately do, and similarly for the conclusion.

Let  $D = y\partial/\partial y$ . It is straightforward to see that the function  $F_0$  lies in  $A_{\mathrm{umg}}$  if and only if there exists  $M$  such that all  $D^k F_0 = O(y^M)$ .

It is also easy to see that  $F$  lies in  $\mathcal{S}$  if and only if all  $\partial^{k+\ell} F / \partial x^k \partial y^\ell = O(y^{-N})$  for all  $N$ . (Remember,  $F$  has support in  $y > 1$ .)

The proof of the Proposition therefore comes in two halves.

### Contents

1. The constant term
2. The rest
3. References

### 1. The constant term

I'll deal with the constant term first.

**1.1. Proposition.** *Suppose that  $F$  is a smooth function on  $(0, \infty)$  with support in  $y > 1$  such that for some fixed  $M$  all  $\Delta^k F = O(y^M)$ . Then all  $D^k F = O(y^M)$ ; as well.*

*Proof.* Suppose that  $F = F_0$ .

$$|F(y)| \leq C_0 y^M, \quad (\Delta F) = y^2 F''(y) \leq C_2 y^M.$$

**1.2. Lemma.** *Suppose  $F(y)$  and  $y^2 F''(y)$  are both  $O(y^M)$ , and of support near  $y = \infty$ . Then  $yF'(y) = O(y^M)$  as well.*

*Proof.* The idea is to reduce it to a variant of a well known lemma due originally to Landau:

**1.3. Lemma.** *If*

$$g(t) = O(e^{\mu t}), \quad g''(t) = O(e^{\mu t})$$

*then so also is*

$$g'(t) = O(e^{\mu t}).$$

*Proof of Landau's Lemma.*

$$\begin{aligned} g(t) - g(t - \varepsilon) &= \varepsilon g'(t) + (\varepsilon^2/2)g''(\theta_1) \quad (t - \varepsilon < \theta_1 < t) \\ g(t + \varepsilon) - g(t) &= \varepsilon f'(t) + (\varepsilon^2/2)g''(\theta_2) \quad (t < \theta_2 < t + \varepsilon) \\ g(t + \varepsilon) - g(t - \varepsilon) &= 2\varepsilon f'(t) + (\varepsilon^2/2)(g''(\theta_1) + g''(\theta_2)) \\ 2\varepsilon f'(t) &= g(t + \varepsilon) - g(t - \varepsilon) - (\varepsilon^2/2)(g''(\theta_1) + g''(\theta_2)) \\ 2\varepsilon |f'(t)| &\leq P(e^{\mu(t+\varepsilon)} + e^{\mu(t-\varepsilon)}) + Q(\varepsilon^2/2)(e^{\mu\theta_1} + e^{\mu\theta_2}) \\ &\leq P e^{\mu t} (e^{\mu\varepsilon} + e^{-\mu\varepsilon}) + (\varepsilon^2/2)Q e^{\mu t} (e^{\mu\varepsilon} + 1) \\ &\leq P e^{\mu t} (e^{\mu\varepsilon} + 1) + (\varepsilon^2/2)Q e^{\mu t} (e^{\mu\varepsilon} + 1) \\ |f'(t)/e^{\mu t}| &\leq \left( \frac{e^{\mu\varepsilon} + 1}{2} \right) \left( \frac{P}{\varepsilon} + \frac{Q\varepsilon}{2} \right) \end{aligned}$$

for all  $\varepsilon > 0$ . The function of  $\varepsilon$

$$E(\varepsilon) = \left( \frac{e^{\mu\varepsilon} + 1}{2} \right) \left( \frac{P}{\varepsilon} + \frac{Q\varepsilon}{2} \right)$$

approaches  $\infty$  near 0 and also as  $\varepsilon \rightarrow \infty$ , and somewhere in between takes a minimum positive value  $E_{\min}$ . Thus for all  $t$

$$|f'(t)| \leq E_{\min} e^{\mu t}.$$

This concludes the proof of the two lemmas. Applied to all the  $\Delta^k F$  in turn these imply that all  $D^k F_0 = O(y^M)$ , and this in turn implies that  $F_0$  is of uniform moderate growth or rapid decrease, depending on the assumption on the  $\Delta^k F$ . Q.E.D. We start with

$$F(y) = O(y^m), \quad y^2 F''(y) = O(y^M).$$

We can write

$$y^2 F'' = (D^2 - D)F = (D - 1/2)^2 F - 1/4 F$$

if  $D = y\partial/\partial y$ , and then deduce

$$(D - 1/2)^2 F = O(y^M).$$

If we set  $G(y) = y^\lambda F(y)$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} DG &= y^\lambda DF + \lambda y^\lambda F \\ &= y^\lambda (D + \lambda)G \\ D^2G &= \lambda y^\lambda (D + \lambda)F + y^\lambda D(D + \lambda)F \\ &= y^\lambda (D + \lambda)^2 F . \end{aligned}$$

Set  $\lambda = -1/2$ , so

$$\begin{aligned} G &= y^{-1/2} F(y) \\ &= O(y^{M-1/2}) \\ D^2G &= y^{-1/2} (D - 1/2)^2 F(y) \\ &= O(y^{M-1/2}) \end{aligned}$$

If we change the independent variable to  $y = e^t$  we get equations

$$\begin{aligned} G(t) &= O(e^{(M-1/2)t}) \\ G''(t) &= O(e^{(M-1/2)t}) \end{aligned}$$

## 2. The rest

Now I'll deal with the rest of  $F$ .

**2.1. Proposition.** *Suppose  $F$  to be a smooth function on  $\Gamma \cap N \setminus \mathcal{H}$  with support in  $y > 1$  and all  $\Delta^k F = O(y^M)$ . If  $F_0 = 0$  then all the  $\partial^{k+\ell} \Phi / \partial x^k \partial y^\ell = O(y^{-N})$  for all  $N$ .*

*Proof.* I'll start off by looking at the the individual terms in the Fourier expansion. We have

$$(\Delta F)_n(y) = y^2 F_n''(y) - 4\pi^2 n^2 F_n(y)$$

and we may assume  $n \neq 0$ . If  $\Delta^k F = O(y^M)$  for all  $k$  then so is  $\Delta^k F_n(y) = O(y^M)$  for all  $k$ .

**2.2. Proposition.** *Let  $F(y) = O(y^M)$  be a smooth function on  $\mathbb{R}$  with support on  $(1, \infty)$ , and suppose that for some  $\lambda \geq 1$*

$$y^2(F'' - \lambda^2 F) \leq C y^M .$$

*Then  $F \leq (CC_M/\lambda)y^{M-2}$  for some constant depending only on  $M$ .*

Since the  $\lambda$  we are concerned with are the  $4\pi^2 n^2$  and the series  $\sum_{n>0} 1/n^2 < \infty$ , this implies the bound we want on  $F$  itself.

*Proof.* Let  $G(y) = y^2(F'' - \lambda^2 F)$ . Since  $F$  has support on  $(1, \infty)$  so does  $G$ . Since  $E(x) = -e^{-\lambda|x|}/2\lambda$  satisfies the distributional differential equation

$$E'' - \lambda^2 E = \delta_0$$

an easy calculation tells us that

$$F(y) = c_+ e^{\lambda y} + c_- e^{-\lambda y} - \frac{1}{2\lambda} \int_1^\infty e^{-\lambda|x-y|} G(y) dy .$$

Since  $G(y) = O(y^M)$  an easy calculation tells us that the integral is of moderate growth, and therefore since  $F$  is of moderate growth the coefficient  $c_+$  has to vanish. Thus

$$F(y) = c_- e^{-\lambda y} - \frac{1}{2\lambda} \int_1^\infty e^{-\lambda|x-y|} G(y) dy .$$

Since  $F(0) = 0$

$$c_- = \frac{1}{2\lambda} \int_1^\infty e^{-\lambda x} G(x) dx$$

and hence

$$F(y) = \frac{e^{-\lambda y}}{2\lambda} \int_1^\infty e^{-\lambda x} G(x) dx - \frac{1}{2\lambda} \int_1^\infty e^{-\lambda|x-y|} G(x) dx .$$

For the moment, let

$$I_N = \int_1^\infty e^{-\lambda x} x^N dx .$$

Integration by parts and an easy estimate gives

$$\begin{aligned} I_N &\leq 1 \quad (N \leq -2) \\ I_N &= \frac{e^{-\lambda}}{\lambda} + \frac{N}{\lambda} I_{N-1} \\ &= \frac{e^{-\lambda}}{\lambda} \left( 1 + \frac{N}{\lambda} + \frac{N(N-1)}{\lambda^2} + \dots + \frac{N(N-1)\cdots(N-n)}{\lambda^{n+1}} \right) \\ &= \frac{e^{-\lambda}}{\lambda} (1 + N + N(N-1) + \dots + N(N-1)\cdots(N-n)) \\ &= \frac{e^{-\lambda}}{\lambda} E_N \\ &\leq E_N/e \end{aligned}$$

if  $n = \lceil N + 1 \rceil$ , keeping in mind that  $\lambda \geq 1$ . Thus the first term above is bounded by

$$\frac{CE_{M-2} e^{-\lambda y}}{2\lambda e} .$$

The second term can be broken up into two parts:

$$\begin{aligned} \int_1^\infty e^{-\lambda|x-y|} G(x) dx &= \int_1^y e^{-\lambda(y-x)} G(x) dx + \int_y^\infty e^{-\lambda(x-y)} G(x) dx \\ &\leq C \int_1^y e^{-\lambda(y-x)} x^{M-2} dx + C \int_y^\infty e^{-\lambda(x-y)} x^{M-2} dx . \end{aligned}$$

For the first of these integrals:

$$\begin{aligned} \int_1^y e^{-\lambda(y-x)} x^{M-2} dx &= \left[ \frac{e^{-\lambda(y-x)} x^{M-2}}{\lambda} \right]_1^y - \frac{M-2}{\lambda} \int_1^y e^{-\lambda(y-x)} x^{M-3} dx \\ &= \frac{y^{M-2} - e^{-\lambda(y-1)}}{\lambda} - \frac{M-2}{\lambda} \int_1^y e^{-\lambda(y-x)} x^{M-3} dx \\ &\leq \frac{y^{M-2} - e^{-\lambda(y-1)}}{\lambda} + \frac{y^{M-2} - 1}{\lambda} \\ &\leq \frac{2y^{M-2}}{\lambda} , \end{aligned}$$

which implies that the term is bounded by

$$\frac{Cy^{M-2}}{\lambda^2} \leq \frac{y^{M-2}}{\lambda} .$$

For the second:

$$\begin{aligned}\int_y^\infty e^{-\lambda(x-y)} x^{M-2} dx &= \int_0^\infty e^{-\lambda s} (s+y)^{M-2} ds \\ &= \int_0^\infty e^{-\lambda s} (s+y)^{m-2} ds \quad (m = \lceil M \rceil).\end{aligned}$$

To this last expression we can apply the binomial theorem and the estimate of the first integral to see that this second term is at most  $CC_M^* y^{M-2}/\lambda$ .

So now we know that  $F$  itself is rapidly decreasing. It remains to show that all its partial derivatives are also rapidly decreasing. I leave this as an exercise! Q.E.D.

### 3. References

1. F. Brauer and J. Nohel, **Ordinary differential equations**, Benjamin, 1967.
2. Bill Casselman, 'Automorphic forms and a Hodge theory for congruence subgroups of  $SL(\mathbb{Z})$ ', pp. 103–140 in **Lie group representations II**, *Lecture Notes in Mathematics* **1041**, 1984.
3. J. J. Duistermaat, **Fourier integral operators**, published originally in 1973 as lecture notes by the Courant Institute. Published later by Birkhäuser, 1996.
4. L. Ehrenpreis and F. I. Mautner, 'The Fourier transform on semi-simple Lie groups III', *Transactions of the American mathematical Society* **90** (1959), 431–484.
5. E. T. Whittaker and G. N. Watson, **Modern Analysis**, Cambridge, 1952.