

Last day: to homogeneous linear systems

$$\begin{aligned} b_{11}x_1 + b_{12}x_2 + \dots + b_{1n}x_n &= 0 \\ \vdots &\vdots \\ b_{m1}x_1 + b_{m2}x_2 + \dots + b_{mn}x_n &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

replace data w/ zero.

versus  
the general problem with data  
vector  $\vec{c}$

Suppose we have the general linear system,  
if  $\vec{x}_p$  is any particular sol'n and suppose  
 $\vec{x}_h$  is the sol'n of the associated homog lin sys  
then

$$\vec{x} = \vec{x}_p + t\vec{x}_h \quad \leftarrow + s\vec{x}_{h_2} + \dots$$

depending on  $n-r$

is  $t\vec{x}_h$  a general sol'n (to the original nonhomog problem).

param.  
formula  
for a  
line.

any  
sol'n  
of the  
nonhomog.  
prob.

solves  
the assoc.  
homog. prob.

also part  
of the homog.  
sol'n.

Note: if you subtract two sol'n's of the nonhomog. problem, the difference solves the assoc. homog. prob.

$$\text{Ex} \quad \left[ \begin{array}{cccc|c} x_1 & x_2 & x_3 & x_4 & \\ \boxed{1} & 5 & 0 & 1 & 2 \\ 0 & 0 & \boxed{1} & 2 & 1 \end{array} \right]$$

① find any sol'n.

$$\begin{aligned} x_3 + 2x_4 &= 1 && \leftarrow \text{arbitrary} \\ x_3 &= 1 \\ x_1 + 5x_2 &= 2 \\ x_1 &= 2 \end{aligned}$$

$$\vec{x}_p = (2, 0, 1, 0)$$

}

② associated homog:

$$\left[ \begin{array}{cccc|c} 1 & 5 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 2 & 0 \end{array} \right]$$

$$\begin{aligned} x_3 + 2x_4 &= 0 && \text{free variables} \\ x_3 &= -2s \\ x_1 + 5x_2 + s &= 0 \\ x_1 &= -5t - s \end{aligned}$$

$$\vec{x}_n = t \begin{bmatrix} -5 \\ 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} + s \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ -2 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{general sol'n: } \vec{x} &= \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} + t \begin{bmatrix} -5 \\ 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} + s \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ -2 \end{bmatrix} \\ \vec{x}_p & \quad \quad \quad \vec{x}_n \end{aligned}$$

Note: if I change the RHS  $\vec{b}$ , I can keep  $\vec{x}_n$  and find a new  $\vec{x}_p$

Eg: Same but let's make different  $\vec{x}_p$   
 $x_3 + 2x_4 = 1$  choose  $x_3 = 4z \Rightarrow x_4 = -4/2$

$$\begin{aligned} x_1 + 5x_2 - 4/2 &= 2 \Rightarrow x_2 = 1/2 \\ \text{arbitrary, let's say } &4/1 \end{aligned}$$

$$\vec{x} = (4/1, 2/5, 4z, -4/2) + \vec{x}_n + u(-5, 1, 0, 0) + v(-1, 0, -2, 1)$$

## Linear Indep. (again) § 3.4 in notes

Recall for def'n: vectors  $\vec{x}_1, \vec{x}_2, \dots, \vec{x}_n \in \mathbb{R}^m$  are linearly indep if and only if

$$\text{sys of eqns} \rightarrow s_1 \vec{x}_1 + s_2 \vec{x}_2 + \dots + s_n \vec{x}_n = \vec{0}$$

unknowns  $s_1, \dots, s_n$  has the unique sol'n  $s_1 = s_2 = \dots = s_n = 0$

Connection to a homog. lin sys. w/ Augmented matrix:

$$m \text{ rows} \rightarrow \left[ \begin{array}{c|c|c|c|c} & \vec{x}_1 & \vec{x}_2 & \dots & \vec{x}_n & | & 0 \\ \hline 1 & | & | & | & | & | & : \\ s_1 & \uparrow & \uparrow & \dots & \uparrow & \uparrow & \text{RHS} \\ s_2 & & & & & & 0 \\ \vdots & & & & & & \vdots \end{array} \right] \quad m \times (n+1) \text{ matrix}$$

here the vectors form the columns of the matrix.

Linear indep test: put  $n$  vectors as columns of a matrix  $A$  (not augmented). If  $\text{rank}(A) = n$  then the vectors are linearly indep.

Ex are  $\vec{x}_1 = (1, 0, 5, 0)$ ,  $\vec{x}_2 = (1, 1, 1, 0)$   
and  $\vec{x}_3 = (0, 1, -4, 1)$  linearly indep?

① Can't use det b/c its not  $\mathbb{R}^3$

$$② s_1 \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 5 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} + s_2 \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 5 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} + s_3 \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ -4 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} = \vec{0}$$

defn of li. gives this homog. lin. sys.

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 5 & 1 & -4 \\ 0 & 6 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \sim \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

~~EEEEE~~ Echelon form

rank = 3 (# of non zero rows)  
and n = 3  
 $s_0 = 0$   
 $s_2 + s_3 = 0 \Rightarrow s_2 = 0$

Unique  
 $s_1 = s_2 = s_3 = 0$

$s_1 = 0$   

$$\left[ \begin{array}{ccc|c} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{array} \right]$$

Ex Is  $\vec{a} = (1, 1, 2)$  in  $\text{span}\{\vec{x}_1, \vec{x}_2\}$   
 where  $\vec{x}_1 = (-1, 2, 3)$  and  $\vec{x}_2 = (5, 0, 1)$

i.e.  $s_1 \vec{x}_1 + s_2 \vec{x}_2 = \vec{a}$

(  
 Can I find  $s_1, s_2$ ?)

$$s_1 \begin{bmatrix} -1 \\ 2 \\ 3 \end{bmatrix} + s_2 \begin{bmatrix} 5 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix}$$

3 eqns in  
 2 unknowns

non homog.

$$\left[ \begin{array}{ccc|c} -1 & 5 & 1 & 1 \\ 2 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 3 & 1 & 2 & 2 \end{array} \right] \sim \left[ \begin{array}{ccc|c} 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \end{array} \right] \leftarrow O=1$$

so no solns

so  $\vec{a} \notin \text{span}\{\vec{x}_1, \vec{x}_2\}$

Ex Are  $\vec{a}, \vec{x}_1, \vec{x}_2$  l.i.?

$$s_1 \vec{x}_1 + s_2 \vec{x}_2 + s_3 \vec{a} = 0$$

$$\left[ \begin{array}{ccc|c} -1 & 5 & 1 & 0 \\ 2 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 3 & 1 & 2 & 0 \end{array} \right] \sim \left[ \begin{array}{ccc|c} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{array} \right]$$

Unique soln  
 $s_1 = s_2 = s_3 = 0$

so yes they  
 are l.i.

Algorithm/Recipe ① to write  $\vec{a}$  as a linear comb of  $\{\vec{x}_1, \dots, \vec{x}_n\}$ :

$$\left[ \begin{array}{c|c|c|c|c} & \vec{x}_1 & \vec{x}_2 & \cdots & \vec{x}_n \\ \hline \vec{x}_1 & | & | & \cdots & | \\ \vec{x}_2 & | & | & \cdots & | \\ \vdots & | & | & \cdots & | \\ \vec{a} & | & | & \cdots & | \end{array} \right]$$

$\vec{x}_i$  as columns      P augmented w/  $\vec{a}$

② do GE., sol'n is n-compounded vector  $\vec{s}$

where

$$\vec{a} = s_1 \vec{x}_1 + s_2 \vec{x}_2 + \dots + s_n \vec{x}_n$$

if no sol'n, then  $\vec{a}$  not in  $\text{span}\{\vec{x}_1, \dots, \vec{x}_n\}$ ,

Aside computer arithmetic with floating point... (cont)

key point: every arithmetic operation makes a small relative error of about  $10^{-16}$

For us, we often see  $10^{-16}$  or  $10^{-15}$  after GE..

Eg. random  $\vec{a}$ , random  $\vec{b}$ ,  $\vec{c} := \vec{a} + \vec{b} + \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \epsilon \end{pmatrix}$

lin indep?  $A = \begin{bmatrix} \vec{b} & \vec{b} & \vec{c} \\ \vec{a} & \vec{b} & \vec{c} \\ 1 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$

linearly dep if  $\epsilon = 0$ , what if  $\epsilon$  small

How do I know GE will  
not significantly increase this small  
ε?  
→ in principle ~~yes!~~<sup>if cool</sup>!

Jim Wilkinson: "anyone unlucky  
enough to find such a  
matrix in practice has  
already been hit by  
a bus!"