Restricted primes

Primitive sets

Greg Martin University of British Columbia

joint work with a Celebrated Person and William D. Banks

Elementary, analytic, and algorithmic number theory: Research inspired by the mathematics of Carl Pomerance Athens, GA June 11, 2015

slides can be found on my web page
www.math.ubc.ca/~gerg/index.shtml?slides







- 2 Construction of thick primitive sets (with C.P.)
- Primitive sets with restricted primes (with B.B.)

Primitive sets

Definition

A primitive set is a set $S \subset \{2, 3, 4, ...\}$ with no element dividing another: if *m*, *n* are distinct elements of *S*, then $m \nmid n$.

- $\{m, m+1, m+2, ..., 2m-1\}$ for any $m \ge 2$
- the primes $\mathcal{P} = \{2, 3, 5, 7, 11, \dots\}$
- $\mathcal{P}_k = \{n \in \mathbb{N} : \Omega(n) = k\}$ for any $k \ge 2$, where $\Omega(n)$ is the number of prime factors of *n* counted with multiplicity. For example, $\mathcal{P}_2 = \{4, 6, 9, 10, 14, 15, 21, 22, 25, 26, ...\}.$

Primitive sets

Definition

A primitive set is a set $S \subset \{2, 3, 4, ...\}$ with no element dividing another: if *m*, *n* are distinct elements of *S*, then $m \nmid n$.

- $\{m, m+1, m+2, \dots, 2m-1\}$ for any $m \ge 2$
- the primes $\mathcal{P} = \{2, 3, 5, 7, 11, \dots\}$
- $\mathcal{P}_k = \{n \in \mathbb{N} : \Omega(n) = k\}$ for any $k \ge 2$, where $\Omega(n)$ is the number of prime factors of *n* counted with multiplicity. For example, $\mathcal{P}_2 = \{4, 6, 9, 10, 14, 15, 21, 22, 25, 26, ...\}$.

Primitive sets

Definition

A primitive set is a set $S \subset \{2, 3, 4, ...\}$ with no element dividing another: if *m*, *n* are distinct elements of *S*, then $m \nmid n$.

- $\{m, m+1, m+2, \dots, 2m-1\}$ for any $m \ge 2$
- the primes $\mathcal{P} = \{2, 3, 5, 7, 11, \dots\}$
- *P_k* = {n ∈ N: Ω(n) = k} for any k ≥ 2, where Ω(n) is the number of prime factors of n counted with multiplicity. For example, *P*₂ = {4,6,9,10,14,15,21,22,25,26,...}.

Primitive sets

Definition

A primitive set is a set $S \subset \{2, 3, 4, ...\}$ with no element dividing another: if *m*, *n* are distinct elements of *S*, then $m \nmid n$.

- $\{m, m+1, m+2, \dots, 2m-1\}$ for any $m \ge 2$
- the primes $\mathcal{P} = \{2, 3, 5, 7, 11, \dots\}$
- *P_k* = {*n* ∈ N: Ω(*n*) = *k*} for any *k* ≥ 2, where Ω(*n*) is the number of prime factors of *n* counted with multiplicity. For example, *P*₂ = {4,6,9,10,14,15,21,22,25,26,...}.

Primitive sets

Definition

A primitive set is a set $S \subset \{2, 3, 4, ...\}$ with no element dividing another: if *m*, *n* are distinct elements of *S*, then $m \nmid n$.

Further examples:

• $S = \{2\} \cup \{3p : p \ge 3 \text{ prime}\} \cup \{5p_1p_2 : p_1 \ge p_2 \ge 5 \text{ prime}\} \cup \{7p_1p_2p_3 : p_1 \ge p_2 \ge p_3 \ge 7 \text{ prime}\} \cup \cdots$

 "Primitive abundant numbers": abundant numbers (σ(n) > 2n) without any abundant divisors

Nonexample:

Primitive sets

Definition

A primitive set is a set $S \subset \{2, 3, 4, ...\}$ with no element dividing another: if *m*, *n* are distinct elements of *S*, then $m \nmid n$.

Further examples:

•
$$S = \{2\} \cup \{3p : p \ge 3 \text{ prime}\} \cup \{5p_1p_2 : p_1 \ge p_2 \ge 5 \text{ prime}\} \cup \{7p_1p_2p_3 : p_1 \ge p_2 \ge p_3 \ge 7 \text{ prime}\} \cup \cdots$$

"Primitive abundant numbers": abundant numbers
 (σ(n) > 2n) without any abundant divisors

Nonexample:

Primitive sets

Definition

A primitive set is a set $S \subset \{2, 3, 4, ...\}$ with no element dividing another: if *m*, *n* are distinct elements of *S*, then $m \nmid n$.

Further examples:

•
$$S = \{2\} \cup \{3p : p \ge 3 \text{ prime}\} \cup \{5p_1p_2 : p_1 \ge p_2 \ge 5 \text{ prime}\} \cup \{7p_1p_2p_3 : p_1 \ge p_2 \ge p_3 \ge 7 \text{ prime}\} \cup \cdots$$

• "Primitive abundant numbers": abundant numbers $(\sigma(n) > 2n)$ without any abundant divisors

Nonexample:

Primitive sets

Definition

A primitive set is a set $S \subset \{2, 3, 4, ...\}$ with no element dividing another: if *m*, *n* are distinct elements of *S*, then $m \nmid n$.

Further examples:

•
$$S = \{2\} \cup \{3p : p \ge 3 \text{ prime}\} \cup \{5p_1p_2 : p_1 \ge p_2 \ge 5 \text{ prime}\} \cup \{7p_1p_2p_3 : p_1 \ge p_2 \ge p_3 \ge 7 \text{ prime}\} \cup \cdots$$

• "Primitive abundant numbers": abundant numbers $(\sigma(n) > 2n)$ without any abundant divisors Nonexample:

Density of primitive sets

Theorem (Erdős, 1935) If *S* is a primitive set, then $\sum_{n \in S} \frac{1}{n \log n}$ converges.

It seems like this would imply that every primitive set has density 0, but not quite. It certainly implies that every primitive set has lower density 0.

A counterintuitive set

On the other hand, Besicovitch gave a construction of primitive sets with upper density greater than $\frac{1}{2} - \delta$ for any $\delta > 0$.

In other words, if $S(x) = \#\{s \in S : s \le x\}$, then $S(x) > (\frac{1}{2} - \delta)x$ for arbitrarily large *x*.

Density of primitive sets

Theorem (Erdős, 1935)

If S is a primitive set, then
$$\sum_{n \in S} \frac{1}{n \log n}$$
 converges.

It seems like this would imply that every primitive set has density 0, but not quite. It certainly implies that every primitive set has lower density 0.

A counterintuitive set

On the other hand, Besicovitch gave a construction of primitive sets with upper density greater than $\frac{1}{2} - \delta$ for any $\delta > 0$.

In other words, if $S(x) = \#\{s \in S : s \le x\}$, then $S(x) > (\frac{1}{2} - \delta)x$ for arbitrarily large x.

Density of primitive sets

Theorem (Erdős, 1935)

If S is a primitive set, then
$$\sum_{n \in S} \frac{1}{n \log n}$$
 converges.

It seems like this would imply that every primitive set has density 0, but not quite. It certainly implies that every primitive set has lower density 0.

A counterintuitive set

On the other hand, Besicovitch gave a construction of primitive sets with upper density greater than $\frac{1}{2} - \delta$ for any $\delta > 0$.

In other words, if $S(x) = \#\{s \in S : s \le x\}$, then $S(x) > (\frac{1}{2} - \delta)x$ for arbitrarily large *x*.

Besicovitch's primitive sets

- Contained in $[x_1, 2x_1) \cup [x_2, 2x_2) \cup [x_3, 2x_3) \cup \cdots$ for a rapidly increasing sequence $\{x_1, x_2, x_3, \dots\}$
- Obtained from this union of integrals greedily
- $S(2x_j) > \frac{1}{2} \delta$ for *j* sufficiently large
- Most of the time, the counting function S(x) is very small (since {x_j} grows so fast)

Question

Besicovitch's primitive sets

- Contained in $[x_1, 2x_1) \cup [x_2, 2x_2) \cup [x_3, 2x_3) \cup \cdots$ for a rapidly increasing sequence $\{x_1, x_2, x_3, \dots\}$
- Obtained from this union of integrals greedily
- $S(2x_j) > \frac{1}{2} \delta$ for *j* sufficiently large
- Most of the time, the counting function S(x) is very small (since {x_j} grows so fast)

Question

Besicovitch's primitive sets

- Contained in $[x_1, 2x_1) \cup [x_2, 2x_2) \cup [x_3, 2x_3) \cup \cdots$ for a rapidly increasing sequence $\{x_1, x_2, x_3, \dots\}$
- Obtained from this union of integrals greedily
- $S(2x_j) > \frac{1}{2} \delta$ for *j* sufficiently large
- Most of the time, the counting function S(x) is very small (since {x_j} grows so fast)

Question

Besicovitch's primitive sets

- Contained in $[x_1, 2x_1) \cup [x_2, 2x_2) \cup [x_3, 2x_3) \cup \cdots$ for a rapidly increasing sequence $\{x_1, x_2, x_3, \dots\}$
- Obtained from this union of integrals greedily
- $S(2x_j) > \frac{1}{2} \delta$ for *j* sufficiently large
- Most of the time, the counting function S(x) is very small (since {x_j} grows so fast)

Question

Besicovitch's primitive sets

- Contained in $[x_1, 2x_1) \cup [x_2, 2x_2) \cup [x_3, 2x_3) \cup \cdots$ for a rapidly increasing sequence $\{x_1, x_2, x_3, \dots\}$
- Obtained from this union of integrals greedily
- $S(2x_j) > \frac{1}{2} \delta$ for *j* sufficiently large
- Most of the time, the counting function S(x) is very small (since {x_j} grows so fast)

Question

Thick primitive sets

Restricted primes

Consistently large primitive sets

Example

If S = P is the set of primes, then $S(x) \sim \frac{x}{\log x}$.

Example

If
$$S = \mathcal{P}_k = \{n \in \mathbb{N} \colon \Omega(n) = k\}$$
, then $S(x) \sim \frac{x(\log \log x)^{k-1}}{(k-1)! \log x}$.

Theorem (Ahlswede/Khachatrian/Sárközy, 1999)

A primitive set S exists with $S(x) \gg \frac{x}{(\log \log x)(\log \log \log x)^{1+\varepsilon}}$.

Thick primitive sets

Restricted primes

Consistently large primitive sets

Example

If S = P is the set of primes, then $S(x) \sim \frac{x}{\log x}$.

Example

If
$$S = \mathcal{P}_k = \{n \in \mathbb{N} \colon \Omega(n) = k\}$$
, then $S(x) \sim \frac{x(\log \log x)^{k-1}}{(k-1)! \log x}$.

Theorem (Ahlswede/Khachatrian/Sárközy, 1999)

A primitive set S exists with $S(x) \gg \frac{x}{(\log \log x)(\log \log \log x)^{1+\varepsilon}}$.

Thick primitive sets

Restricted primes

Consistently large primitive sets

Example

If S = P is the set of primes, then $S(x) \sim \frac{x}{\log x}$.

Example

If
$$S = \mathcal{P}_k = \{n \in \mathbb{N} \colon \Omega(n) = k\}$$
, then $S(x) \sim \frac{x(\log \log x)^{k-1}}{(k-1)! \log x}$.

Theorem (Ahlswede/Khachatrian/Sárközy, 1999)

A primitive set S exists with $S(x) \gg \frac{x}{(\log \log x)(\log \log \log x)^{1+\varepsilon}}$.

Theorem (Ahlswede/Khachatrian/Sárközy, 1999)

A primitive set S exists with $S(x) \gg \frac{x}{(\log \log x)(\log \log \log x)^{1+\varepsilon}}$.

Partial summation

 $\sum_{n \in S} \frac{1}{n \log n} \text{ converges if and only if } \int_2^\infty \frac{S(x)}{x^2 \log x} \, dx \text{ converges.}$

Consequently:

- By Erdős: if S is primitive, then $\int_{2}^{\infty} \frac{S(x)}{x^2 \log x} dx$ converges.
- Impossible to have $S(x) \gg \frac{x}{(\log \log x)(\log \log \log x)}$, say.

Restricted primes

Consistently large primitive sets

Theorem (Ahlswede/Khachatrian/Sárközy, 1999)

A primitive set S exists with $S(x) \gg \frac{x}{(\log \log x)(\log \log \log x)^{1+\varepsilon}}$.

Partial summation

$$\sum_{n \in S} \frac{1}{n \log n} \text{ converges if and only if } \int_2^\infty \frac{S(x)}{x^2 \log x} \, dx \text{ converges.}$$

Consequently:

- By Erdős: if S is primitive, then $\int_{2}^{\infty} \frac{S(x)}{x^2 \log x} dx$ converges.
- Impossible to have $S(x) \gg \frac{x}{(\log \log x)(\log \log \log x)}$, say.

Restricted primes

Consistently large primitive sets

Theorem (Ahlswede/Khachatrian/Sárközy, 1999)

A primitive set S exists with $S(x) \gg \frac{x}{(\log \log x)(\log \log \log x)^{1+\varepsilon}}$.

Partial summation

$$\sum_{n \in S} \frac{1}{n \log n} \text{ converges if and only if } \int_2^\infty \frac{S(x)}{x^2 \log x} \, dx \text{ converges.}$$

Consequently:

• By Erdős: if S is primitive, then

$$\int_{2}^{\infty} \frac{S(x)}{x^2 \log x} \, dx \text{ converges.}$$

• Impossible to have
$$S(x) \gg \frac{x}{(\log \log x)(\log \log \log x)}$$
, satisfies the set of t

Theorem (Ahlswede/Khachatrian/Sárközy, 1999)

A primitive set S exists with $S(x) \gg \frac{x}{(\log \log x)(\log \log \log x)^{1+\varepsilon}}$.

Partial summation

$$\sum_{n \in S} \frac{1}{n \log n} \text{ converges if and only if } \int_2^\infty \frac{S(x)}{x^2 \log x} \, dx \text{ converges.}$$

Consequently:

- By Erdős: if S is primitive, then $\int_{2}^{\infty} \frac{S(x)}{x^2 \log x} dx$ converges.
- Impossible to have $S(x) \gg \frac{x}{(\log \log x)(\log \log \log x)}$, say.

Theorem (Ahlswede/Khachatrian/Sárközy, 1999)

A primitive set S exists with $S(x) \gg \frac{x}{(\log \log x)(\log \log \log x)^{1+\varepsilon}}$.

Partial summation

$$\sum_{n \in S} \frac{1}{n \log n} \text{ converges if and only if } \int_2^\infty \frac{S(x)}{x^2 \log x} \, dx \text{ converges.}$$

Consequently:

- By Erdős: if S is primitive, then $\int_{2}^{\infty} \frac{S(x)}{x^2 \log x} dx$ converges.
- Impossible to have $S(x) \gg \frac{x}{(\log \log x)(\log \log \log x)}$, say.

Theorem (Ahlswede/Khachatrian/Sárközy, 1999)

A primitive set S exists with $S(x) \gg \frac{x}{(\log \log x)(\log \log \log x)^{1+\varepsilon}}$.

Partial summation

$$\sum_{n \in S} \frac{1}{n \log n} \text{ converges if and only if } \int_2^\infty \frac{S(x)}{x^2 \log x} \, dx \text{ converges.}$$

Consequently:

- By Erdős: if S is primitive, then $\int_{2}^{\infty} \frac{S(x)}{x^2 \log x} dx$ converges.
- Impossible to have $S(x) \gg \frac{x}{(\log \log x)(\log \log \log x)}$, say.

END OF STORY? OF COURSE NOT!

Primitive sets



Restricted primes

A sort of converse

Erdős plus partial summation

If S is primitive, then
$$\int_2^\infty \frac{S(x)}{x^2 \log x} dx$$
 converges.

Theorem (M.–Pomerance, 2011)

If F(x) is a "nice" function such that $\int_{2}^{\infty} \frac{F(x)}{x^2 \log x} dx$ converges, then there exists a primitive set *S* with $S(x) \simeq F(x)$.

Corollary

For any $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists a primitive set S with

 $\gg \overline{(\log \log x)(\log \log \log x)\cdots(\log_{69} x)(\log_{70} x)^{1+\varepsilon}}.$

Thick primitive sets

Restricted primes

A sort of converse

Erdős plus partial summation

If S is primitive, then
$$\int_2^\infty \frac{S(x)}{x^2 \log x} dx$$
 converges.

Theorem (M.–Pomerance, 2011)

If F(x) is a "nice" function such that $\int_{2}^{\infty} \frac{F(x)}{x^2 \log x} dx$ converges, then there exists a primitive set S with $S(x) \simeq F(x)$.

Corollary

For any $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists a primitive set *S* with

 $\gg \frac{1}{(\log \log x)(\log \log \log x)\cdots(\log_{69} x)(\log_{70} x)^{1+\varepsilon}}.$

Thick primitive sets

Restricted primes

A sort of converse

Erdős plus partial summation

If S is primitive, then
$$\int_2^\infty \frac{S(x)}{x^2 \log x} dx$$
 converges.

Theorem (M.–Pomerance, 2011)

If F(x) is a "nice" function such that $\int_{2}^{\infty} \frac{F(x)}{x^2 \log x} dx$ converges, then there exists a primitive set S with $S(x) \simeq F(x)$.

Corollary

For any $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists a primitive set S with

$$S(x) \gg \frac{x}{(\log \log x)(\log \log \log x)\cdots(\log_{69} x)(\log_{70} x)^{1+\varepsilon}}.$$

A particular construction of primitive sets

Definition

Fix a sequence $p_1 < p_2 < \cdots$ of primes, and define

 $\mathcal{S}_k = \{ n \in \mathbb{N} \colon \Omega(n) = k; \ p_k \mid n; \ p_1 \nmid n, \ldots, p_{k-1} \nmid n \}.$

Example

If $\{p_j\}$ is all the primes, then $S_1 = \{2\}$, $S_2 = \{3p : p \ge 3 \text{ prime}\}$, $S_3 = \{5p_1p_2 : p_1 \ge p_2 \ge 5 \text{ prime}\}$, etc.

Then $S = \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} S_k$ is primitive.

Proof.

If $m, n \in S$ are distinct and $m \mid n$, then $\Omega(m) < \Omega(n)$; but then $p_{\Omega(m)}$ divides *m* but not *n*.

A particular construction of primitive sets

Definition

Fix a sequence $p_1 < p_2 < \cdots$ of primes, and define

 $\mathcal{S}_k = \{ n \in \mathbb{N} \colon \Omega(n) = k; \ p_k \mid n; \ p_1 \nmid n, \ldots, p_{k-1} \nmid n \}.$

Example

If $\{p_j\}$ is all the primes, then $S_1 = \{2\}$, $S_2 = \{3p : p \ge 3 \text{ prime}\}$, $S_3 = \{5p_1p_2 : p_1 \ge p_2 \ge 5 \text{ prime}\}$, etc.

Then $S = \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} S_k$ is primitive.

Proof.

If $m, n \in S$ are distinct and $m \mid n$, then $\Omega(m) < \Omega(n)$; but then $p_{\Omega(m)}$ divides *m* but not *n*.

A particular construction of primitive sets

Definition

Fix a sequence $p_1 < p_2 < \cdots$ of primes, and define

 $\mathcal{S}_k = \{n \in \mathbb{N} \colon \Omega(n) = k; \ p_k \mid n; \ p_1 \nmid n, \ldots, p_{k-1} \nmid n\}.$

Example

If $\{p_j\}$ is all the primes, then $S_1 = \{2\}$, $S_2 = \{3p : p \ge 3 \text{ prime}\}$, $S_3 = \{5p_1p_2 : p_1 \ge p_2 \ge 5 \text{ prime}\}$, etc.

Then $S = \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} S_k$ is primitive.

Proof.

If $m, n \in S$ are distinct and $m \mid n$, then $\Omega(m) < \Omega(n)$; but then $p_{\Omega(m)}$ divides *m* but not *n*.

Restricted primes

Thick primitive sets

Definition

Fix a sequence $p_1 < p_2 < \cdots$ of primes, with $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{p_i} < \frac{1}{2}$, whose growth rate is tied to F(x). Define $S_k = \{n \in \mathbb{N} \colon \Omega(n) = k; p_k \mid n; p_1 \nmid n, \dots, p_{k-1} \nmid n\}$ so that $S = \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} S_k$ is primitive.

$$S_k(x) \ge \frac{1}{p_k} \frac{x}{\log x} \frac{(\log \log x)^{k-2}}{(k-2)!} \left(1 - \frac{k-3}{\log \log x} \prod_{j=1}^{k-1} \frac{1}{p_j} \right).$$
$$\gg \frac{1}{p_k} \frac{x}{\log x} \frac{(\log \log x)^{k-2}}{(k-2)!} \text{ for } k < \frac{3}{2} \log \log x.$$

• Main contribution from $k \sim \log \log x$: S(x) roughly $\frac{x}{D \log x}$

Restricted primes

Thick primitive sets

Definition

Fix a sequence $p_1 < p_2 < \cdots$ of primes, with $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{p_i} < \frac{1}{2}$, whose growth rate is tied to F(x). Define $S_k = \{n \in \mathbb{N} : \Omega(n) = k; p_k \mid n; p_1 \nmid n, \dots, p_{k-1} \nmid n\}$ so that $S = \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} S_k$ is primitive.

$$S_k(x) \ge \frac{1}{p_k} \frac{x}{\log x} \frac{(\log \log x)^{k-2}}{(k-2)!} \left(1 - \frac{k-3}{\log \log x} \prod_{j=1}^{k-1} \frac{1}{p_j} \right).$$
$$\gg \frac{1}{p_k} \frac{x}{\log x} \frac{(\log \log x)^{k-2}}{(k-2)!} \text{ for } k < \frac{3}{2} \log \log x.$$

• Main contribution from $k \sim \log \log x$: S(x) roughly $\frac{x}{n_{1}}$

Restricted primes

Thick primitive sets

Definition

Fix a sequence $p_1 < p_2 < \cdots$ of primes, with $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{p_i} < \frac{1}{2}$, whose growth rate is tied to F(x). Define $S_k = \{n \in \mathbb{N} \colon \Omega(n) = k; p_k \mid n; p_1 \nmid n, \dots, p_{k-1} \nmid n\}$ so that $S = \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} S_k$ is primitive.

$$S_k(x) \ge \frac{1}{p_k} \frac{x}{\log x} \frac{(\log \log x)^{k-2}}{(k-2)!} \left(1 - \frac{k-3}{\log \log x} \prod_{j=1}^{k-1} \frac{1}{p_j} \right).$$

$$\gg \frac{1}{p_k} \frac{x}{\log x} \frac{(\log \log x)^{k-2}}{(k-2)!} \text{ for } k < \frac{3}{2} \log \log x.$$

• Main contribution from $k \sim \log \log x$: S(x) roughly $\frac{x}{x}$

Restricted primes

Thick primitive sets

Definition

Fix a sequence $p_1 < p_2 < \cdots$ of primes, with $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{p_i} < \frac{1}{2}$, whose growth rate is tied to F(x). Define $S_k = \{n \in \mathbb{N} \colon \Omega(n) = k; p_k \mid n; p_1 \nmid n, \dots, p_{k-1} \nmid n\}$ so that $S = \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} S_k$ is primitive.

$$S_k(x) \ge \frac{1}{p_k} \frac{x}{\log x} \frac{(\log \log x)^{k-2}}{(k-2)!} \left(1 - \frac{k-3}{\log \log x} \prod_{j=1}^{k-1} \frac{1}{p_j} \right).$$

$$\gg \frac{1}{p_k} \frac{x}{\log x} \frac{(\log \log x)^{k-2}}{(k-2)!} \text{ for } k < \frac{3}{2} \log \log x.$$

• Main contribution from $k \sim \log \log x$: S(x) roughly $\frac{x}{p \log \log x}$





Definition

$$E(\mathcal{S}) = \sum_{n \in \mathcal{S}} \frac{1}{n \log n}$$
 for any $\mathcal{S} \subset \{2, 3, \dots\}$.

For S primitive, Erdős proved more than that E(S) is finite; he proved that E(S) is bounded by an absolute constant. (Erdős/Zhang, 1993: the constant 1.84 suffices.)

Conjecture (Erdős, 1988)

If S is primitive, then $E(S) \leq E(\mathcal{P}) = 1.63 \dots$

- all elements $n \in S$ satisfy $\Omega(n) \leq 4$; or
- S is homogeneous: for n ∈ S, the quantity Ω(n) depends only on smallest prime factor of n (e.g., earlier construction)

Definition

$$E(\mathcal{S}) = \sum_{n \in \mathcal{S}} \frac{1}{n \log n}$$
 for any $\mathcal{S} \subset \{2, 3, \dots\}$.

For S primitive, Erdős proved more than that E(S) is finite; he proved that E(S) is bounded by an absolute constant. (Erdős/Zhang, 1993: the constant 1.84 suffices.)

Conjecture (Erdős, 1988)

If S is primitive, then $E(S) \leq E(\mathcal{P}) = 1.63...$

- all elements $n \in S$ satisfy $\Omega(n) \leq 4$; or
- S is homogeneous: for n ∈ S, the quantity Ω(n) depends only on smallest prime factor of n (e.g., earlier construction)

Definition

$$E(\mathcal{S}) = \sum_{n \in \mathcal{S}} \frac{1}{n \log n}$$
 for any $\mathcal{S} \subset \{2, 3, \dots\}.$

For S primitive, Erdős proved more than that E(S) is finite; he proved that E(S) is bounded by an absolute constant. (Erdős/Zhang, 1993: the constant 1.84 suffices.)

Conjecture (Erdős, 1988)

If S is primitive, then $E(S) \leq E(\mathcal{P}) = 1.63...$

- all elements $n \in S$ satisfy $\Omega(n) \leq 4$; or
- S is homogeneous: for n ∈ S, the quantity Ω(n) depends only on smallest prime factor of n (e.g., earlier construction)

Definition

$$E(\mathcal{S}) = \sum_{n \in \mathcal{S}} \frac{1}{n \log n}$$
 for any $\mathcal{S} \subset \{2, 3, \dots\}.$

For S primitive, Erdős proved more than that E(S) is finite; he proved that E(S) is bounded by an absolute constant. (Erdős/Zhang, 1993: the constant 1.84 suffices.)

Conjecture (Erdős, 1988)

If S is primitive, then $E(S) \leq E(\mathcal{P}) = 1.63...$

- all elements $n \in S$ satisfy $\Omega(n) \leq 4$; or
- S is homogeneous: for n ∈ S, the quantity Ω(n) depends only on smallest prime factor of n (e.g., earlier construction)

Thick primitive sets

Restricted primes

Primitive sets with restricted primes

Definition $E(\mathcal{S}) = \sum_{n \in \mathcal{S}} \frac{1}{n \log n}$

Notation: integers with restricted prime factors

For any $Q \subset P$, define $\mathbb{N}(Q) = \{n \ge 2 : \text{ if } p \mid n, \text{ then } p \in Q\}$.

Conjecture (gulp! Banks–M., 2013)

If $S \subset \mathbb{N}(Q)$ is primitive, then $E(S) \leq E(Q)$.

Thick primitive sets

Restricted primes

Primitive sets with restricted primes

Definition $E(\mathcal{S}) = \sum_{n \in \mathcal{S}} \frac{1}{n \log n}$

Notation: integers with restricted prime factors

For any $Q \subset P$, define $\mathbb{N}(Q) = \{n \ge 2 : \text{ if } p \mid n, \text{ then } p \in Q\}$.

Conjecture (gulp! Banks-M., 2013)

If $\mathcal{S} \subset \mathbb{N}(\mathcal{Q})$ is primitive, then $E(\mathcal{S}) \leq E(\mathcal{Q})$.

Restricted primes

Changing the statistic

Definition

$$E(S) = \sum_{n \in S} \frac{1}{n \log n}$$
 and $E_t(S) = \sum_{n \in S} \frac{1}{n^t}$

Observation / first-year calculus

$$\frac{1}{n\log n} = \int_1^\infty \frac{dt}{n^t}; \text{ therefore, } E(\mathcal{S}) = \int_1^\infty E_t(\mathcal{S}) dt$$

- False if Q is too big (like Q = P)
- True if Q is small enough

Thick primitive sets

Restricted primes

Changing the statistic

Definition

$$E(S) = \sum_{n \in S} \frac{1}{n \log n}$$
 and $E_t(S) = \sum_{n \in S} \frac{1}{n!}$

Observation / first-year calculus

$$\frac{1}{n\log n} = \int_1^\infty \frac{dt}{n^t}; \text{ therefore, } \mathbf{E}(\mathcal{S}) = \int_1^\infty \mathbf{E}_t(\mathcal{S}) dt$$

- False if Q is too big (like Q = P)
- True if Q is small enough

Restricted primes

Changing the statistic

Definition

$$E(S) = \sum_{n \in S} \frac{1}{n \log n}$$
 and $E_t(S) = \sum_{n \in S} \frac{1}{n^t}$

Observation / first-year calculus

$$\frac{1}{n\log n} = \int_1^\infty \frac{dt}{n^t}; \text{ therefore, } E(\mathcal{S}) = \int_1^\infty E_t(\mathcal{S}) dt$$

- False if Q is too big (like Q = P)
- True if Q is small enough

Restricted primes

Changing the statistic

Definition

$$E(S) = \sum_{n \in S} \frac{1}{n \log n}$$
 and $E_t(S) = \sum_{n \in S} \frac{1}{n^t}$

Observation / first-year calculus

$$\frac{1}{n\log n} = \int_1^\infty \frac{dt}{n^t}; \text{ therefore, } E(\mathcal{S}) = \int_1^\infty E_t(\mathcal{S}) dt$$

- False if Q is too big (like Q = P)
- True if Q is small enough

Restricted primes

Changing the statistic

Definition

$$E(S) = \sum_{n \in S} \frac{1}{n \log n}$$
 and $E_t(S) = \sum_{n \in S} \frac{1}{n^t}$

Observation / first-year calculus

$$\frac{1}{n\log n} = \int_1^\infty \frac{dt}{n^t}; \text{ therefore, } E(\mathcal{S}) = \int_1^\infty E_t(\mathcal{S}) dt$$

- False if Q is too big (like Q = P)
- True if Q is small enough

Restricted primes

Primitive sets with restricted primes

Notation: integers with restricted prime factors

For any $\mathcal{Q} \subset \mathcal{P}$, define $\mathbb{N}(\mathcal{Q}) = \{n \geq 2 : \text{ if } p \mid n, \text{ then } p \in \mathcal{Q}\}.$

Conjecture (Banks-M., 2013)

If $\mathcal{S} \subset \mathbb{N}(\mathcal{Q})$ is primitive, then $E(\mathcal{S}) \leq E(\mathcal{Q})$.

Theorem (Banks–M., 2013)

If $E_1(\mathcal{Q}) \leq 1 + \sqrt{1 - E_2(\mathcal{Q})}$, then the conjecture holds.

Corollary

If $E_1(\mathcal{Q}) = \sum_{p \in \mathcal{Q}} \frac{1}{p} \le 1.74$, then the conjecture holds.

Restricted primes

Primitive sets with restricted primes

Notation: integers with restricted prime factors

For any $\mathcal{Q} \subset \mathcal{P}$, define $\mathbb{N}(\mathcal{Q}) = \{n \geq 2 : \text{ if } p \mid n, \text{ then } p \in \mathcal{Q}\}.$

Conjecture (Banks–M., 2013)

If $\mathcal{S} \subset \mathbb{N}(\mathcal{Q})$ is primitive, then $E(\mathcal{S}) \leq E(\mathcal{Q})$.

Theorem (Banks–M., 2013)

If $E_1(\mathcal{Q}) \leq 1 + \sqrt{1 - E_2(\mathcal{Q})}$, then the conjecture holds.

Corollary

If
$$E_1(Q) = \sum_{p \in Q} \frac{1}{p} \le 1.74$$
, then the conjecture holds.

Restricted primes

Primitive sets with restricted primes

Notation: integers with restricted prime factors

For any $Q \subset P$, define $\mathbb{N}(Q) = \{n \ge 2 : \text{ if } p \mid n, \text{ then } p \in Q\}.$

Conjecture (Banks–M., 2013)

If $\mathcal{S} \subset \mathbb{N}(\mathcal{Q})$ is primitive, then $E(\mathcal{S}) \leq E(\mathcal{Q})$.

Theorem (Banks-M., 2013)

If $E_1(\mathcal{Q}) \leq 1 + \sqrt{1 - E_2(\mathcal{Q})}$, then the conjecture holds.

Corollary

If
$$E_1(Q) = \sum_{p \in Q} \frac{1}{p} \le 1.74$$
, then the conjecture holds.



$$\mathcal{T} = \{ \text{all twin primes} \}, \quad \mathcal{T}_3 = \mathcal{T} \setminus \{3\}$$

Corollary

If S is a primitive subset of $\mathbb{N}(\mathcal{T}_3)$, then $E(S) \leq E(\mathcal{T}_3)$.

Brun's constant

Define *B* to be the sum of the reciprocals of the twin primes: $B = (\frac{1}{3} + \frac{1}{5}) + (\frac{1}{5} + \frac{1}{7}) + (\frac{1}{11} + \frac{1}{13}) + (\frac{1}{17} + \frac{1}{19}) + (\frac{1}{29} + \frac{1}{31}) + \cdots$

• True value believed to be 1.90216 · · ·



$$\mathcal{T} = \{ all twin primes \}, \quad \mathcal{T}_3 = \mathcal{T} \setminus \{ 3 \}$$

Corollary

If S is a primitive subset of $\mathbb{N}(\mathcal{T}_3)$, then $E(S) \leq E(\mathcal{T}_3)$.

Brun's constant

Define *B* to be the sum of the reciprocals of the twin primes: $B = (\frac{1}{3} + \frac{1}{5}) + (\frac{1}{5} + \frac{1}{7}) + (\frac{1}{11} + \frac{1}{13}) + (\frac{1}{17} + \frac{1}{19}) + (\frac{1}{29} + \frac{1}{31}) + \cdots$

True value believed to be 1.90216...

Notation

$$\mathcal{T} = \{ all \text{ twin primes} \}, \quad \mathcal{T}_3 = \mathcal{T} \setminus \{ 3 \}$$

Corollary

If S is a primitive subset of $\mathbb{N}(\mathcal{T}_3)$, then $E(S) \leq E(\mathcal{T}_3)$.

Out of all the primitive subsets of $\mathbb{N}(\mathcal{T}_3)$, we can identify the one that maximizes $\sum \frac{1}{n \log n} \dots$ even though we can't say whether that optimal set is finite or infinite!

Brun's constant

Define *B* to be the sum of the reciprocals of the twin primes: $B = (\frac{1}{3} + \frac{1}{5}) + (\frac{1}{5} + \frac{1}{7}) + (\frac{1}{11} + \frac{1}{13}) + (\frac{1}{17} + \frac{1}{19}) + (\frac{1}{29} + \frac{1}{31}) + \cdots$

• True value believed to be 1.90216...

Notation

$$\mathcal{T} = \{ all twin primes \}, \quad \mathcal{T}_3 = \mathcal{T} \setminus \{3\}$$

Corollary

If S is a primitive subset of $\mathbb{N}(\mathcal{T}_3)$, then $E(S) \leq E(\mathcal{T}_3)$.

Out of all the primitive subsets of $\mathbb{N}(\mathcal{T}_3)$, we can identify the one that maximizes $\sum \frac{1}{n \log n} \dots$ even though we can't say whether that optimal set is finite or infinite!

Brun's constant

Define *B* to be the sum of the reciprocals of the twin primes: $B = (\frac{1}{3} + \frac{1}{5}) + (\frac{1}{5} + \frac{1}{7}) + (\frac{1}{11} + \frac{1}{13}) + (\frac{1}{17} + \frac{1}{19}) + (\frac{1}{29} + \frac{1}{31}) + \cdots$

• True value believed to be 1.90216...



$$\mathcal{T} = \{ \text{all twin primes} \}, \quad \mathcal{T}_3 = \mathcal{T} \setminus \{3\}$$

Corollary

Suppose that B < 2.09596. If S is a primitive subset of $\mathbb{N}(\mathcal{T})$, then $E(S) \leq E(\mathcal{T})$.

Brun's constant

Define *B* to be the sum of the reciprocals of the twin primes: $B = (\frac{1}{3} + \frac{1}{5}) + (\frac{1}{5} + \frac{1}{7}) + (\frac{1}{11} + \frac{1}{13}) + (\frac{1}{17} + \frac{1}{19}) + (\frac{1}{29} + \frac{1}{31}) + \cdots$

- True value believed to be 1.90216...
- Best bound known: *B* < 2.347 (Crandall/Pomerance)

The end

The two papers described in this talk, as well as these slides, are available for downloading.

Primitive sets with large counting functions (with C.P.)

www.math.ubc.ca/~gerg/

index.shtml?abstract=PSLCF

Optimal primitive sets with restricted primes (with B.B.)

www.math.ubc.ca/~gerg/

index.shtml?abstract=OPSRP

These slides

www.math.ubc.ca/~gerg/index.shtml?slides