How often is $\pi(x; q, a)$ larger than $\pi(x; q, b)$?

Greg Martin
University of British Columbia

Canadian Number Theory Association XI Meeting
Acadia University
Wolfville, Nova Scotia
July 15, 2010

How often is $\pi(x; q, a)$ larger than $\pi(x; q, b)$?

Greg Martin
University of British Columbia

Canadian Number Theory Association XI Meeting
Acadia University
Wolfville, Nova Scotia
July 15, 2010

How often is $\pi(x;q,a)$ larger than $\pi(x;q,b)$?

Greg Martin
University of British Columbia

Canadian Number Theory Association XI Meeting
Acadia University
Wolfville, Nova Scotia
July 15, 2010

Teaser



Outline

Where all the fuss started

In 1853, Chebyshev wrote a letter to Fuss saying the following:

"There is a notable difference in the splitting of the prime numbers between the two forms $4n+3$, $4n+1$: the first form contains a lot more than the second."

Since then, "notable differences" have been observed among primes of various forms $qn+a$. Recall the notation

$$\pi(x;q,a) = \#\{\text{primes } p \le x \colon p \equiv a \pmod q\}.$$

The general pattern

$\pi(x;q,a)$ tends to be bigger when a is a nonsquare $\pmod q$, compared to when a is a square $\pmod q$.

Where all the fuss started

In 1853, Chebyshev wrote a letter to Fuss saying the following:

"There is a notable difference in the splitting of the prime numbers between the two forms $4n+3$, $4n+1$: the first form contains a lot more than the second."

Since then, "notable differences" have been observed among primes of various forms $qn+a$. Recall the notation

$$\pi(x;q,a) = \#\{\text{primes } p \le x \colon p \equiv a \pmod{q}\}.$$

The general pattern

$\pi(x;q,a)$ tends to be bigger when a is a nonsquare $\pmod{q}$, compared to when a is a square $\pmod{q}$.

Where all the fuss started

In 1853, Chebyshev wrote a letter to Fuss saying the following:

"There is a notable difference in the splitting of the prime numbers between the two forms $4n+3$, $4n+1$*: the first form contains a lot more than the second."*

Since then, "notable differences" have been observed among primes of various forms $qn+a$. Recall the notation

$$\pi(x;q,a) = \#\{\text{primes } p \le x \colon p \equiv a \pmod{q}\}.$$

The general pattern

$\pi(x;q,a)$ tends to be bigger when a is a nonsquare $\pmod{q}$, compared to when a is a square $\pmod{q}$.

Where all the fuss started

In 1853, Chebyshev wrote a letter to Fuss saying the following:

"There is a notable difference in the splitting of the prime numbers between the two forms $4n+3$, $4n+1$: the first form contains a lot more than the second."

Since then, "notable differences" have been observed among primes of various forms $qn+a$. Recall the notation

$$\pi(x;q,a) = \#\{\text{primes } p \le x \colon p \equiv a \pmod{q}\}.$$

The general pattern

$\pi(x;q,a)$ tends to be bigger when a is a nonsquare $\pmod{q}$, compared to when a is a square $\pmod{q}$.

Defining delta

The central question

How often is $\pi(x;q,a)$ ahead of $\pi(x;q,b)$?

Definition

Define $\delta_{q;a,b}$ to be the logarithmic density of the set of real numbers $x \ge 1$ satisfying $\pi(x;q,a) > \pi(x;q,b)$. More explicity,

$$\delta_{q;a,b} = \lim_{T\to\infty} \left(\frac{1}{\log T} \int\limits_{\substack{1\le x\le T \\ \pi(x;q,a)>\pi(x;q,b)}} \frac{dx}{x} \right).$$

$\delta_{q;a,b}$ is the limiting "probability" that when a "random" real number x is chosen, there are more primes up to x that are congruent to $a \pmod q$ than congruent to $b \pmod q$.

Defining delta

The central question

How often is $\pi(x;q,a)$ ahead of $\pi(x;q,b)$?

Definition

Define $\delta_{q;a,b}$ to be the logarithmic density of the set of real numbers $x \geq 1$ satisfying $\pi(x;q,a) > \pi(x;q,b)$. More explicity,

$$\delta_{q;a,b} = \lim_{T\to\infty} \left(\frac{1}{\log T} \int\limits_{\substack{1\le x\le T \\ \pi(x;q,a)>\pi(x;q,b)}} \frac{dx}{x} \right).$$

$\delta_{q;a,b}$ is the limiting "probability" that when a "random" real number x is chosen, there are more primes up to x that are congruent to $a \pmod q$ than congruent to $b \pmod q$.

Defining delta

The central question

How often is $\pi(x;q,a)$ ahead of $\pi(x;q,b)$?

Definition

Define $\delta_{q;a,b}$ to be the logarithmic density of the set of real numbers $x \ge 1$ satisfying $\pi(x;q,a) > \pi(x;q,b)$. More explicity,

$$\delta_{q;a,b} = \lim_{T\to\infty} \left(\frac{1}{\log T} \int\limits_{\substack{1\le x\le T \\ \pi(x;q,a)>\pi(x;q,b)}} \frac{dx}{x} \right).$$

$\delta_{q;a,b}$ is the limiting "probability" that when a "random" real number x is chosen, there are more primes up to x that are congruent to $a \pmod q$ than congruent to $b \pmod q$.

Defining delta

The central question

How often is $\pi(x;q,a)$ ahead of $\pi(x;q,b)$?

Definition

Define $\delta_{q;a,b}$ to be the logarithmic density of the set of real numbers $x \ge 1$ satisfying $\pi(x;q,a) > \pi(x;q,b)$. More explicity,

$$\delta_{q;a,b} = \lim_{T\to\infty} \left(\frac{1}{\log T} \int\limits_{\substack{1\le x\le T \\ \pi(x;q,a)>\pi(x;q,b)}} \frac{dx}{x} \right).$$

$\delta_{q;a,b}$ is the limiting "probability" that when a "random" real number x is chosen, there are more primes up to x that are congruent to $a \pmod q$ than congruent to $b \pmod q$.

Two hypotheses

Rubinstein and Sarnak (1994) investigated these densities $\delta_{q;a,b}$ under the following:

Two hypotheses

- The Generalized Riemann Hypothesis (GRH): all nontrivial zeros of Dirichlet L-functions have real part equal to $\frac{1}{2}$
- A linear independence hypothesis (LI): the nonnegative imaginary parts of these nontrivial zeros are linearly independent over the rationals

We will assume these two hypotheses throughout the talk.

Two hypotheses

Rubinstein and Sarnak (1994) investigated these densities $\delta_{q;a,b}$ under the following:

Two hypotheses

- The Generalized Riemann Hypothesis (GRH): all nontrivial zeros of Dirichlet L-functions have real part equal to $\frac{1}{2}$
- A linear independence hypothesis (LI): the nonnegative imaginary parts of these nontrivial zeros are linearly independent over the rationals

We will assume these two hypotheses throughout the talk.

Two hypotheses

Rubinstein and Sarnak (1994) investigated these densities $\delta_{q;a,b}$ under the following:

Two hypotheses

- The Generalized Riemann Hypothesis (GRH): all nontrivial zeros of Dirichlet L-functions have real part equal to $\frac{1}{2}$
- A linear independence hypothesis (LI): the nonnegative imaginary parts of these nontrivial zeros are linearly independent over the rationals

We will assume these two hypotheses throughout the talk.

Rubinstein and Sarnak's results

$\delta_{q;a,b}$: the "probability" that $\pi(x;q,a) > \pi(x;q,b)$

Under these two hypotheses GRH and LI, Rubinstein and Sarnak proved (1994):

- $\delta_{q;a,b}$ always exists and is strictly between 0 and 1
- "Chebyshev's bias": $\delta_{q;a,b} > \frac{1}{2}$ if and only if a is a nonsquare $\pmod q$ and b is a square $\pmod q$
- if a and b are distinct squares $\pmod q$ or distinct nonsquares $\pmod q$, then $\delta_{q;a,b} = \delta_{q;b,a} = \frac{1}{2}$
- $\delta_{q;a,b}$ tends to $\frac{1}{2}$ as q tends to infinity, uniformly for all pairs a, b of distinct reduced residues $\pmod q$.

Rubinstein and Sarnak's results

$\delta_{q;a,b}$: the "probability" that $\pi(x;q,a) > \pi(x;q,b)$

Under these two hypotheses GRH and LI, Rubinstein and Sarnak proved (1994):

- $\delta_{q;a,b}$ always exists and is strictly between 0 and 1
- "Chebyshev's bias": $\delta_{q;a,b} > \frac{1}{2}$ if and only if a is a nonsquare $\pmod q$ and b is a square $\pmod q$
- if a and b are distinct squares $\pmod q$ or distinct nonsquares $\pmod q$, then $\delta_{q;a,b} = \delta_{q;b,a} = \frac{1}{2}$
- $\delta_{q;a,b}$ tends to $\frac{1}{2}$ as q tends to infinity, uniformly for all pairs a, b of distinct reduced residues $\pmod q$.

Rubinstein and Sarnak's results

$\delta_{q;a,b}$: the "probability" that $\pi(x;q,a) > \pi(x;q,b)$

Under these two hypotheses GRH and LI, Rubinstein and Sarnak proved (1994):

- $\delta_{q;a,b}$ always exists and is strictly between 0 and 1
- "Chebyshev's bias": $\delta_{q;a,b} > \frac{1}{2}$ if and only if a is a nonsquare $\pmod q$ and b is a square $\pmod q$
- if a and b are distinct squares $\pmod q$ or distinct nonsquares $\pmod q$, then $\delta_{q;a,b} = \delta_{q;b,a} = \frac{1}{2}$
- $\delta_{q;a,b}$ tends to $\frac{1}{2}$ as q tends to infinity, uniformly for all pairs a, b of distinct reduced residues $\pmod q$.

Rubinstein and Sarnak's results

$\delta_{q;a,b}$: the "probability" that $\pi(x;q,a) > \pi(x;q,b)$

Under these two hypotheses GRH and LI, Rubinstein and Sarnak proved (1994):

- $\delta_{q;a,b}$ always exists and is strictly between 0 and 1
- "Chebyshev's bias": $\delta_{q;a,b} > \frac{1}{2}$ if and only if a is a nonsquare $\pmod q$ and b is a square $\pmod q$
- if a and b are distinct squares $\pmod q$ or distinct nonsquares $\pmod q$, then $\delta_{q;a,b} = \delta_{q;b,a} = \frac{1}{2}$
- $\delta_{q;a,b}$ tends to $\frac{1}{2}$ as q tends to infinity, uniformly for all pairs a, b of distinct reduced residues $\pmod q$.

Comparisons of the densities $\delta_{q;a,b}$

$\delta_{q;a,b}$: the "probability" that $\pi(x;q,a) > \pi(x;q,b)$

Feuerverger and M. (2000) generalized Rubinstein and Sarnak's approach in several directions.

We calculated (assuming, as usual, GRH and LI) many examples of the densities $\delta_{q;a,b}$.

- The calculations required numerical evaluation of complicated integrals, which involved many explicitly computed zeros of Dirichlet L-functions.
- One significant discovery is that even with q fixed, the values of $\delta_{q;a,b}$ vary significantly as a and b vary over nonsquares and squares $(\mathrm{mod}\ q)$.

Comparisons of the densities $\delta_{q;a,b}$

$\delta_{q;a,b}$: the "probability" that $\pi(x;q,a) > \pi(x;q,b)$

Feuerverger and M. (2000) generalized Rubinstein and Sarnak's approach in several directions.

We calculated (assuming, as usual, GRH and LI) many examples of the densities $\delta_{q;a,b}$.

- The calculations required numerical evaluation of complicated integrals, which involved many explicitly computed zeros of Dirichlet L-functions.
- One significant discovery is that even with q fixed, the values of $\delta_{q;a,b}$ vary significantly as a and b vary over nonsquares and squares $\pmod q$.

Comparisons of the densities $\delta_{q;a,b}$

$\delta_{q;a,b}$: the "probability" that $\pi(x;q,a) > \pi(x;q,b)$

Feuerverger and M. (2000) generalized Rubinstein and Sarnak's approach in several directions.

We calculated (assuming, as usual, GRH and LI) many examples of the densities $\delta_{q;a,b}$.

- The calculations required numerical evaluation of complicated integrals, which involved many explicitly computed zeros of Dirichlet L-functions.
- One significant discovery is that even with q fixed, the values of $\delta_{q;a,b}$ vary significantly as a and b vary over nonsquares and squares $\pmod q$.

Comparisons of the densities $\delta_{q;a,b}$

$\delta_{q;a,b}$: the "probability" that $\pi(x;q,a) > \pi(x;q,b)$

Feuerverger and M. (2000) generalized Rubinstein and Sarnak's approach in several directions.

We calculated (assuming, as usual, GRH and LI) many examples of the densities $\delta_{q;a,b}$.

- The calculations required numerical evaluation of complicated integrals, which involved many explicitly computed zeros of Dirichlet L-functions.
- One significant discovery is that even with q fixed, the values of $\delta_{q;a,b}$ vary significantly as a and b vary over nonsquares and squares $(\text{mod } q)$.

Current goals

$\delta_{q;a,b}$: the "probability" that $\pi(x;q,a) > \pi(x;q,b)$

Current goals

- A more precise understanding of the sizes of $\delta_{q;a,b}$. Recalling that $\delta_{q;a,b}$ tends to $\frac{1}{2}$ as q tends to infinity, for example, we would like an asymptotic formula for $\delta_{q;a,b} - \frac{1}{2}$.
- A way to decide which $\delta_{q;a,b}$ are likely to be larger than others as a and b vary (with q fixed), based on elementary criteria rather than laborious numerical calculation.

These goals are the subject of *Inequities in the Shanks-Rényi prime number race: an asymptotic formula for the densities*.

Current goals

$\delta_{q;a,b}$: the “probability” that $\pi(x;q,a) > \pi(x;q,b)$

Current goals

- A more precise understanding of the sizes of $\delta_{q;a,b}$. Recalling that $\delta_{q;a,b}$ tends to $\frac{1}{2}$ as q tends to infinity, for example, we would like an asymptotic formula for $\delta_{q;a,b} - \frac{1}{2}$.
- A way to decide which $\delta_{q;a,b}$ are likely to be larger than others as a and b vary (with q fixed), based on elementary criteria rather than laborious numerical calculation.

These goals are the subject of *Inequities in the Shanks-Rényi prime number race: an asymptotic formula for the densities*.

Current goals

$\delta_{q;a,b}$: the "probability" that $\pi(x;q,a) > \pi(x;q,b)$

Current goals

- A more precise understanding of the sizes of $\delta_{q;a,b}$. Recalling that $\delta_{q;a,b}$ tends to $\frac{1}{2}$ as q tends to infinity, for example, we would like an asymptotic formula for $\delta_{q;a,b} - \frac{1}{2}$.
- A way to decide which $\delta_{q;a,b}$ are likely to be larger than others as a and b vary (with q fixed), based on elementary criteria rather than laborious numerical calculation.

These goals are the subject of *Inequities in the Shanks-Rényi prime number race: an asymptotic formula for the densities*.

Current goals

$\delta_{q;a,b}$: the "probability" that $\pi(x;q,a) > \pi(x;q,b)$

Current goals

- A more precise understanding of the sizes of $\delta_{q;a,b}$. Recalling that $\delta_{q;a,b}$ tends to $\frac{1}{2}$ as q tends to infinity, for example, we would like an asymptotic formula for $\delta_{q;a,b} - \frac{1}{2}$.
- A way to decide which $\delta_{q;a,b}$ are likely to be larger than others as a and b vary (with q fixed), based on elementary criteria rather than laborious numerical calculation.

These goals are the subject of *Inequities in the Shanks-Rényi prime number race: an asymptotic formula for the densities*.

Current goals

$\delta_{q;a,b}$: the “probability” that $\pi(x;q,a) > \pi(x;q,b)$

Current goals

- A more precise understanding of the sizes of $\delta_{q;a,b}$. Recalling that $\delta_{q;a,b}$ tends to $\frac{1}{2}$ as q tends to infinity, for example, we would like an asymptotic formula for $\delta_{q;a,b} - \frac{1}{2}$.
- A way to decide which $\delta_{q;a,b}$ are likely to be larger than others as a and b vary (with q fixed), based on elementary criteria rather than laborious numerical calculation.

These goals are the subject of *Inequities in the Shanks-Rényi prime number race: an asymptotic formula for the densities*.

Asymptotic formula, version I

$\delta_{q;a,b}$: the "probability" that $\pi(x;q,a) > \pi(x;q,b)$

Theorem (Fiorilli and M., 2009+)

Assume GRH and LI. If a is a nonsquare $\pmod q$ and b is a square $\pmod q$, then

$$\delta_{q;a,b} = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{\rho(q)}{2\sqrt{\pi\phi(q)\log q}} + O\left(\frac{\rho(q)\log\log q}{\phi(q)^{1/2}(\log q)^{3/2}}\right).$$

In particular, $\delta_{q;a,b} = \frac{1}{2} + O_\varepsilon\left(q^{-1/2+\varepsilon}\right)$ for any $\varepsilon > 0$.

$\rho(q) =$ the number of square roots of 1 $\pmod q$

$= 2^{\#\text{number of odd prime factors of } q} \times \{1, 2, \text{or } 4\}$

Asymptotic formula, version I

$\delta_{q;a,b}$: the "probability" that $\pi(x;q,a) > \pi(x;q,b)$

Theorem (Fiorilli and M., 2009+)

Assume GRH and LI. If a is a nonsquare $\pmod q$ and b is a square $\pmod q$, then

$$\delta_{q;a,b} = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{\rho(q)}{2\sqrt{\pi\phi(q)\log q}} + O\left(\frac{\rho(q)\log\log q}{\phi(q)^{1/2}(\log q)^{3/2}}\right).$$

In particular, $\delta_{q;a,b} = \frac{1}{2} + O_\varepsilon\left(q^{-1/2+\varepsilon}\right)$ for any $\varepsilon > 0$.

$\rho(q) =$ the number of square roots of 1 $\pmod q$

$= 2^{\#\text{number of odd prime factors of } q} \times \{1, 2, \text{or } 4\}$

Asymptotic formula, version I

$\delta_{q;a,b}$: the "probability" that $\pi(x;q,a) > \pi(x;q,b)$

Theorem (Fiorilli and M., 2009+)

Assume GRH and LI. If a is a nonsquare $\pmod q$ and b is a square $\pmod q$, then

$$\delta_{q;a,b} = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{\rho(q)}{2\sqrt{\pi\phi(q)\log q}} + O\left(\frac{\rho(q)\log\log q}{\phi(q)^{1/2}(\log q)^{3/2}}\right).$$

In particular, $\delta_{q;a,b} = \frac{1}{2} + O_\varepsilon\left(q^{-1/2+\varepsilon}\right)$ for any $\varepsilon > 0$.

$\rho(q)$ = the number of square roots of 1 $\pmod q$

$= 2^{\#\text{number of odd prime factors of } q} \times \{1, 2, \text{or } 4\}$

Asymptotic formula, version I

$\delta_{q;a,b}$: the “probability” that $\pi(x;q,a) > \pi(x;q,b)$

Theorem (Fiorilli and M., 2009+)

Assume GRH and LI. If a is a nonsquare $\pmod q$ and b is a square $\pmod q$, then

$$\delta_{q;a,b} = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{\rho(q)}{2\sqrt{\pi\phi(q)\log q}} + O\left(\frac{\rho(q)\log\log q}{\phi(q)^{1/2}(\log q)^{3/2}}\right).$$

In particular, $\delta_{q;a,b} = \frac{1}{2} + O_\varepsilon\left(q^{-1/2+\varepsilon}\right)$ *for any* $\varepsilon > 0$.

$\rho(q)$ = the number of square roots of 1 $\pmod q$

$= 2^{\#\text{number of odd prime factors of } q} \times \{1, 2, \text{or } 4\}$

Graph of the densities

We have a full asymptotic series for $\delta(q; a, b)$, allowing us to compute the densities rapidly for $\phi(q) > 80$, say (which is when the numerical integration technique becomes worse).

Figure: All densities $\delta_{q;a,b}$ with $q \le 1000$



$$\delta_{q;a,b} \approx \frac{1}{2} + \frac{\rho(q)}{2\sqrt{\pi\phi(q)\log q}}$$

Asymptotic formula, version II

$\delta_{q;a,b}$: the "probability" that $\pi(x;q,a) > \pi(x;q,b)$

Theorem (Fiorilli and M., 2009+)

Assume GRH and LI. If a is a nonsquare $\pmod q$ and b is a square $\pmod q$, then

$$\delta_{q;a,b} = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{\rho(q)}{\sqrt{2\pi V(q;a,b)}} + O\big(q^{-3/2+\varepsilon}\big),$$

where $V(q;a,b)$ is the variance of a particular distribution, and

$$V(q;a,b) = 2 \sum_{\substack{\chi \pmod q \\ \chi \ne \chi_0}} |\chi(b) - \chi(a)|^2 \sum_{\substack{\gamma > 0 \\ L(\frac{1}{2}+i\gamma,\chi)=0}} \frac{1}{\frac{1}{4}+\gamma^2}.$$

Asymptotic formula, version II

$\delta_{q;a,b}$: the "probability" that $\pi(x;q,a) > \pi(x;q,b)$

Theorem (Fiorilli and M., 2009+)

Assume GRH and LI. If a is a nonsquare $\pmod q$ and b is a square $\pmod q$, then

$$\delta_{q;a,b} = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{\rho(q)}{\sqrt{2\pi V(q;a,b)}} + O\big(q^{-3/2+\varepsilon}\big),$$

where $V(q;a,b)$ is the variance of a particular distribution, and

$$V(q;a,b) = 2 \sum_{\substack{\chi \pmod q \\ \chi \ne \chi_0}} |\chi(b) - \chi(a)|^2 \sum_{\substack{\gamma>0 \\ L(\frac12+i\gamma,\chi)=0}} \frac{1}{\frac14 + \gamma^2}.$$

Three terms depending on a and b

The variance, evaluated

$$V(q;a,b) = 2 \sum_{\substack{\chi \ (\mathrm{mod}\ q) \\ \chi \ne \chi_0}} |\chi(b) - \chi(a)|^2 \sum_{\substack{\gamma>0 \\ L(\frac12+i\gamma,\chi)=0}} \frac{1}{\frac14 + \gamma^2}$$

$$= 2\phi(q)\left(\log q - \sum_{p\mid q} \frac{\log p}{p-1} - (\gamma_0 + \log 2\pi) + R_q(a-b) \right)$$

$$+ (2\log 2)\iota_q(-ab^{-1})\phi(q) + 2M(q;a,b).$$

There are three terms in this formula for the variance $V(q;a,b)$ that depend on a and b. Whenever any of the three is bigger than normal, the variance increases, causing the density $\delta_{q;a,b} = \frac12 + \frac{\rho(q)}{\sqrt{2\pi V(q;a,b)}} + O\big(q^{-3/2+\varepsilon}\big)$ to decrease.

Three terms depending on a and b

The variance, evaluated

$$V(q;a,b) = 2 \sum_{\substack{\chi \pmod q \\ \chi \ne \chi_0}} |\chi(b) - \chi(a)|^2 \sum_{\substack{\gamma>0 \\ L(\frac12+i\gamma,\chi)=0}} \frac{1}{\frac14+\gamma^2}$$

$$= 2\phi(q)\biggl(\log q - \sum_{p\mid q} \frac{\log p}{p-1} - (\gamma_0 + \log 2\pi) + R_q(a-b) \biggr)$$

$$+ (2\log 2)\iota_q(-ab^{-1})\phi(q) + 2M(q;a,b).$$

There are three terms in this formula for the variance $V(q;a,b)$ that depend on a and b. Whenever any of the three is bigger than normal, the variance increases, causing the density $\delta_{q;a,b} = \frac12 + \frac{\rho(q)}{\sqrt{2\pi V(q;a,b)}} + O\bigl(q^{-3/2+\varepsilon}\bigr)$ to decrease.

Three terms depending on a and b

The variance, evaluated

$$V(q;a,b) = 2 \sum_{\substack{\chi \pmod q \\ \chi \ne \chi_0}} |\chi(b) - \chi(a)|^2 \sum_{\substack{\gamma>0 \\ L(\frac12+i\gamma,\chi)=0}} \frac{1}{\frac14 + \gamma^2}$$

$$= 2\phi(q)\bigg(\log q - \sum_{p\mid q} \frac{\log p}{p-1} - (\gamma_0 + \log 2\pi) + R_q(a-b) \bigg)$$

$$+ (2\log 2)\iota_q(-ab^{-1})\phi(q) + 2M(q;a,b).$$

There are three terms in this formula for the variance $V(q;a,b)$ that depend on a and b. Whenever any of the three is bigger than normal, the variance increases, causing the density $\delta_{q;a,b} = \frac12 + \frac{\rho(q)}{\sqrt{2\pi V(q;a,b)}} + O\big(q^{-3/2+\varepsilon}\big)$ to decrease.

Three terms depending on a and b

The variance, evaluated

$$V(q;a,b) = 2 \sum_{\substack{\chi \pmod q \\ \chi \ne \chi_0}} |\chi(b) - \chi(a)|^2 \sum_{\substack{\gamma > 0 \\ L(\frac12 + i\gamma, \chi) = 0}} \frac{1}{\frac14 + \gamma^2}$$

$$= 2\phi(q)\bigg(\log q - \sum_{p \mid q} \frac{\log p}{p-1} - (\gamma_0 + \log 2\pi) + R_q(a-b) \bigg)$$

$$+ (2\log 2)\iota_q(-ab^{-1})\phi(q) + 2M(q;a,b).$$

There are three terms in this formula for the variance $V(q;a,b)$ that depend on a and b. Whenever any of the three is bigger than normal, the variance increases, causing the density

$$\delta_{q;a,b} = \frac12 + \frac{\rho(q)}{\sqrt{2\pi V(q;a,b)}} + O(q^{-3/2+\varepsilon})$$ to decrease.

Three terms depending on a and b

The variance, evaluated

$$V(q;a,b) = 2 \sum_{\substack{\chi \pmod q \\ \chi \ne \chi_0}} |\chi(b)-\chi(a)|^2 \sum_{\substack{\gamma>0 \\ L(\frac12+i\gamma,\chi)=0}} \frac{1}{\frac14+\gamma^2}$$

$$= 2\phi(q)\bigg(\log q - \sum_{p\mid q} \frac{\log p}{p-1} - (\gamma_0 + \log 2\pi) + R_q(a-b) \bigg)$$

$$+ (2\log 2)\iota_q(-ab^{-1})\phi(q) + 2M(q;a,b).$$

There are three terms in this formula for the variance $V(q;a,b)$ that depend on a and b. Whenever any of the three is bigger than normal, the variance increases, causing the density

$\delta_{q;a,b} = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{\rho(q)}{\sqrt{2\pi V(q;a,b)}} + O\big(q^{-3/2+\varepsilon}\big)$ to decrease.

Terms depending on a and b

The variance when the modulus q is prime and $b = 1$

$$V(q;a,1) = 2q\big(\log q - (\gamma_0 + \log 2\pi) + (2\log 2)\iota_q(a)\big) + 2M(q;a,1) + O(\log q).$$

- $\iota_q(a) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } a \equiv -1 \pmod q, \\ 0, & \text{if } a \not\equiv -1 \pmod q \end{cases}$
- $M(q;a,1) = \sum_{\substack{\chi \pmod q \\ \chi \ne \chi_0}} |1-\chi(a)|^2 \frac{L'(1,\chi)}{L(1,\chi)}$. Moreover, if $1 \le a, \tilde a < q$ are such that $\tilde a \equiv a^{-1} \pmod q$, then

$$M(q;a,1) = q\bigg(\frac{\Lambda(a)}{a} + \frac{\Lambda(\tilde a)}{\tilde a}\bigg) + O(\log q).$$

Terms depending on a and b

The variance when the modulus q is prime and $b = 1$

$$V(q;a,1) = 2q\big(\log q - (\gamma_0 + \log 2\pi) + (2\log 2)\iota_q(a)\big) + 2M(q;a,1) + O(\log q).$$

- $\iota_q(a) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } a \equiv -1 \pmod q, \\ 0, & \text{if } a \not\equiv -1 \pmod q \end{cases}$
- $M(q;a,1) = \sum_{\substack{\chi \pmod q \\ \chi \ne \chi_0}} |1-\chi(a)|^2 \frac{L'(1,\chi)}{L(1,\chi)}$. Moreover, if $1 \le a, \tilde a < q$ are such that $\tilde a \equiv a^{-1} \pmod q$, then

$$M(q;a,1) = q\left(\frac{\Lambda(a)}{a} + \frac{\Lambda(\tilde a)}{\tilde a}\right) + O(\log q).$$

Terms depending on a and b

The variance when the modulus q is prime and $b = 1$

$$V(q;a,1) = 2q\big(\log q - (\gamma_0 + \log 2\pi) + (2\log 2)\iota_q(a)\big) + 2M(q;a,1) + O(\log q).$$

- $\iota_q(a) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } a \equiv -1 \pmod q, \\ 0, & \text{if } a \not\equiv -1 \pmod q \end{cases}$
- $M(q;a,1) = \sum_{\substack{\chi \pmod q \\ \chi \ne \chi_0}} |1-\chi(a)|^2 \frac{L'(1,\chi)}{L(1,\chi)}$. Moreover, if $1 \le a, \tilde a < q$ are such that $\tilde a \equiv a^{-1} \pmod q$, then

$$M(q;a,1) = q\left(\frac{\Lambda(a)}{a} + \frac{\Lambda(\tilde a)}{\tilde a}\right) + O(\log q).$$

Terms depending on a and b

The variance when the modulus q is prime and $b = 1$

$$V(q;a,1) = 2q\big(\log q - (\gamma_0 + \log 2\pi) + (2\log 2)\iota_q(a)\big) + 2M(q;a,1) + O(\log q).$$

- $\iota_q(a) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } a \equiv -1 \pmod q, \\ 0, & \text{if } a \not\equiv -1 \pmod q \end{cases}$
- $M(q;a,1) = \sum_{\substack{\chi \pmod q \\ \chi \ne \chi_0}} |1-\chi(a)|^2 \frac{L'(1,\chi)}{L(1,\chi)}$. Moreover, if $1 \le a, \tilde a < q$ are such that $\tilde a \equiv a^{-1} \pmod q$, then

$$M(q;a,1) = q\left(\frac{\Lambda(a)}{a} + \frac{\Lambda(\tilde a)}{\tilde a}\right) + O(\log q).$$

Graph of normalized densities



Figure: Densities $\delta_{q;a,1}$ for primes q, after a normalization to display them at the same scale

Graph of normalized densities

Figure: Densities $\delta_{q;a,1}$ for primes q, after a normalization to display them at the same scale



Note: $\frac{\Lambda(3)}{3} > \frac{\Lambda(2)}{2} > \frac{\Lambda(5)}{5} > \frac{\Lambda(7)}{7} > \cdots$

Top Ten List

Top 10 Most Unfair Races

Modulus q	Winner a	Loser b	Proportion $\delta_{q;a,b}$
24	5	1	99.9987%
24	11	1	99.9982%
12	11	1	99.9976%
24	23	1	99.9888%
24	7	1	99.9833%
24	19	1	99.9718%
8	3	1	99.9568%
12	5	1	99.9206%
24	17	1	99.9124%
3	2	1	99.9064%

Top Ten List

Top 10 Most Unfair Races

Modulus q	Winner a	Loser b	Proportion $\delta_{q;a,b}$
24	5	1	99.9987%
24	11	1	99.9982%
12	11	1	99.9976%
24	23	1	99.9888%
24	7	1	99.9833%
24	19	1	99.9718%
8	3	1	99.9568%
12	5	1	99.9206%
24	17	1	99.9124%
3	2	1	99.9064%

The end

The survey article *Prime number races*, with Andrew Granville

www.math.ubc.ca/∼gerg/index.shtml?abstract=PNR

My research on prime number races

www.math.ubc.ca/∼gerg/index.shtml?abstract=ISRPNRAFD

These slides

www.math.ubc.ca/∼gerg/index.shtml?slides