

Thursday, November 7

Some miscellany involving the sum-of-divisors function $\sigma(n) = \sum_{d|n} d = id \neq 1$.

The ancient Greeks classified numbers n as abundant, perfect, or deficient depending on whether the sum of the proper divisors of n was greater than, equal to, or less than n . In particular, n is perfect

$$\text{when } n = \sum_{\substack{d|n \\ d < n}} d = \sigma(n) - n \Leftrightarrow \sigma(n) = 2n.$$

For example, $6 = 1 + 2 + 3$ and

$28 = 1 + 2 + 4 + 7 + 14$ are perfect; the next two perfect numbers are 496 and 8,128.

Note that σ is multiplicative and that

$$\sigma(p^r) = p^r + p^{r-1} + \dots + p^2 + p + 1 = \frac{p^{r+1} - 1}{p - 1}.$$

Therefore n is perfect if and only if

$$2 = \frac{\sigma(n)}{n} = \prod_{p^r || n} \frac{p^{r+1} - 1}{p^r(p-1)}.$$

Let's factor the first four perfect numbers:

$$6 = 2 \cdot 3 = 2^1(2^2 - 1)$$

$$28 = 4 \cdot 7 = 2^2(2^3 - 1)$$

$$496 = 16 \cdot 31 = 2^4(2^5 - 1)$$

$$8,128 = 64 \cdot 127 = 2^6(2^7 - 1).$$

Theorem: If $q = 2^p - 1$ is prime, then $n = 2^{p-1}q = 2^{p-1}(2^p - 1)$ is perfect.

Remarks: If $2^k - 1$ is prime, then k has to be prime, since if $k = cd$ then

$$2^{cd} - 1 = (2^d - 1)(2^{(c-1)d} + 2^{(c-2)d} + \dots + 2^d + 1).$$

But k prime is not sufficient: $2^{11} - 1 = 23 \cdot 89$.

Primes of the form $2^p - 1$ are called
Mersenne primes. $[q = 2^p - 1]$

Proof 1: The divisors of $n = 2^{p-1} q$ are
 $1, 2, 2^2, \dots, 2^{p-1}; q, 2q, 2^2q, \dots, 2^{p-1}q;$
 and these add to $2^p q = 2n$.
 (complete list since q is prime)

Proof 2: $\sigma(n) = \sigma(2^{p-1} q) = \sigma(2^{p-1}) \sigma(q)$
 $= \frac{2^p - 1}{2 - 1} (q + 1) = (2^p - 1) 2^{p-1} = 2n$ //

Converse is almost true:

Theorem: If n is an even perfect number,
 then there exists a prime p with $q = 2^p - 1$
 also prime such that $n = 2^{p-1} q$.

Proof: Write $n = 2^{k-1} m$ where $k \geq 2$ and m is
 odd. Since n is perfect,

$$\begin{aligned} 2^k m = 2n = \sigma(n) &= \sigma(2^{k-1} m) \\ &= \sigma(2^{k-1}) \sigma(m) \\ &= (2^k - 1) \sigma(m). \end{aligned}$$

Then $(2^k - 1) \mid 2^k m$; since $(2^k - 1, 2^k) = 1$,
 we deduce that $(2^k - 1) \mid m$. Write
 $m = (2^k - 1)l$, so that

$$2^k (2^k - 1)l = (2^k - 1) \sigma(m)$$

$$2^k l = \sigma(m).$$

Note that m and l are distinct divisors of m
 (since $k \geq 2$); thus

$$2^k l = \sigma(m) \geq m + l = (2^k - 1)l + l = 2^k l.$$

We conclude that $\sigma(m) = m + l$; in particular,
 m has only two divisors (m and l), so m
 is prime. Since $m = (2^k - 1)l$, we conclude
 that $l = 1$; and $2^k - 1$ is prime $\Rightarrow k$ is prime.

We've just proved \rightarrow 1-to-1 correspondence between Mersenne primes $2^p - 1$ and even perfect numbers $2^{p-1}(2^p - 1)$.

• We know 52 Mersenne primes:

$p = 2, 3, 5, 7, 13, \dots, 136, 279, 841$.

• There's a specific primality test for numbers of the form $2^p - 1$. — much faster than checking can find large primes.

• Conjecture: There are infinitely many Mersenne primes / even perfect numbers.

• Conjecture: There are no odd perfect numbers.

Diophantine approximation: the topic of finding rational numbers $\frac{a}{b}$ near given real numbers x ("near" in terms of the denominator b).

Example: Let's prove that $e = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n!}$

$\triangleq 2.71828$ is irrational.

Proof by contradiction: Assume $e = \frac{a}{b}$

with $b \geq 2, a \in \mathbb{N}$. Then $be \in \mathbb{N}$, and certainly $b!e \in \mathbb{N}$. Define

$$m = b!e - \sum_{n=0}^b \frac{b!}{n!} \in \mathbb{N}, \text{ and write}$$

$$m = \sum_{n=b+1}^{\infty} \frac{b!}{n!} = \frac{1}{b+1} \sum_{n=b+1}^{\infty} \frac{(b+1)!}{n!}.$$

Note each summand is at most $\frac{1}{2}$ the previous summand; by induction,

$$\frac{(b+1)!}{(b+1+k)!} \leq \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^k \frac{(b+1)!}{(b+1)!} = \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^k. \quad \text{So}$$

$$m = \frac{1}{b+1} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(b+1)!}{(b+1+k)!} \leq \frac{1}{b+1} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^k = \frac{2}{b+1} < 1.$$

Thus $0 < m < 1 \rightarrow$ contradiction. \checkmark

Template for proving a number x is irrational:

- By contradiction, suppose $x = \frac{a}{b}$;
- Do something clever to construct (from x, a, b) some integer m that's between 0 and 1.

Lemma: If $\frac{a}{b}, \frac{c}{d}$ are distinct rational numbers, then $|\frac{a}{b} - \frac{c}{d}| \geq \frac{1}{bd}$.

- Convention: we'll always write rational numbers with positive denominators (but not necessarily in lowest terms).

Proof: $\frac{a}{b} \neq \frac{c}{d} \Leftrightarrow ad - bc \neq 0$; hence

$$|\frac{a}{b} - \frac{c}{d}| = |\frac{ad - bc}{bd}| \geq \frac{1}{bd} \quad \square$$

Theorem (Dirichlet) Let $x \in \mathbb{R}$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

There exists $\frac{a}{b} \in \mathbb{Q}$ with $1 \leq b \leq n$ such that

$$|x - \frac{a}{b}| \leq \frac{1}{b(n+1)}.$$

Remarks:

- Easier to prove $< \frac{1}{bn}$; but
- Best possible (take $x = \frac{1}{n+1}$).

Proof: Define the fractional part function $\{y\} = y - \lfloor y \rfloor$. Strategy: if $\{bx\}$ is close to 0 or 1, then x is close to $\frac{\text{some integer}}{b}$.

Consider the n numbers $\{x\}, \{2x\}, \dots, \{nx\}$ and the $n+1$ intervals

$$[0, \frac{1}{n+1}), [\frac{1}{n+1}, \frac{2}{n+1}), \dots, [\frac{n}{n+1}, 1).$$

Math argument: suppose 1 of these intervals contains two of those $\{jx\}, \{kx\}$ ($j \neq k$). (WLOG, $1 \leq j < k \leq n$.) Then

$|\{kx\} - \{jx\}| < \frac{1}{n+1}$. Take $a = \lfloor kx \rfloor - \lfloor jx \rfloor$ and $b = k - j$; then $1 \leq b < n$ and

$$\begin{aligned}
 \left| x - \frac{a}{b} \right| &= \left| \frac{(k-j)x}{k-j} - \frac{\lfloor kx \rfloor - \lfloor jx \rfloor}{k-j} \right| \\
 &= \left| \frac{\{kx\} - \{jx\}}{k-j} \right| < \frac{1}{n+1} \frac{1}{k-j} \quad \checkmark
 \end{aligned}$$

We're done unless our interval contains

2 $\{kx\}$. In this case, either $[0, \frac{1}{n+1})$ or $[\frac{n}{n+1}, 1)$ contains some $\{kx\}$.

• If $\{kx\} \in [0, \frac{1}{n+1})$, choose $a = \lfloor kx \rfloor$
 or $b = k$; then

$$\left| x - \frac{a}{b} \right| = \left| \frac{kx}{k} - \frac{\lfloor kx \rfloor}{k} \right| = \left| \frac{\{kx\}}{k} \right| < \frac{1}{n+1} \frac{1}{k}.$$

• If $\{kx\} \in [\frac{n}{n+1}, 1)$, choose $a = \lfloor kx \rfloor + 1$
 or $b = k$:

$$\left| x - \frac{a}{b} \right| = \left| \frac{kx}{k} - \frac{\lfloor kx \rfloor + 1}{k} \right| = \left| \frac{1 - \{kx\}}{k} \right| \leq \frac{1}{n+1} \frac{1}{k}.$$

//