

Tuesday, October 1

Definition: Let $f(x), g(x) \in \mathbb{Z}[X]$.

We say $f(x) \equiv g(x) \pmod{m}$ (the polynomials are congruent) if their coefficients of x^i are congruent to each other \pmod{m} , for every $i \in \mathbb{N}_0$.

Example: $f(x) = 15x^2 + 3x + 8$.

• $f(x) \equiv 3x^2 - x \pmod{4}$

• $f(x) \equiv 3x + 3 \pmod{5}$

• $f(x) \equiv 2 \pmod{3}$.

We'll say that $f(x)$ has:

• degree 2 modulo 4

• degree 1 modulo 5

• degree 0 modulo 3.

Lemma: Let $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and $a \in \mathbb{Z}$, and
let $f(x) \in \mathbb{Z}[X]$ with degree $d \geq 1$
 \pmod{m} . Suppose $f(a) \equiv 0 \pmod{m}$.

Then there exists $g(x) \in \mathbb{Z}[X]$,
of degree $d-1 \pmod{m}$, such that
 $f(x) \equiv (x-a)g(x) \pmod{m}$.

Proof: We use the following formula:

$$f(a+h) = f(a) + hf'(a) + \frac{h^2}{2} f''(a) + \dots + \frac{h^d}{d!} f^{(d)}(a)$$

with $h = x-a$:

$$f(x) = f(a) + (x-a)f'(a) + \dots + (x-a)^d \frac{f^{(d)}(a)}{d!}$$

If we set $g(x) = \sum_{j=1}^d (x-a)^{j-1} \frac{f^{(j)}(a)}{j!}$

$$\text{then } f(x) = f(a) + (x-a)g(x) \equiv 0 + (x-a)g(x) \pmod{m} //$$

Example: $f(x) = x^2 - 1$, $m = 24$.

Then $a = 5$ is a root of $f(x) \pmod{24}$,
since $f(5) = 5^2 - 1 = 24 \equiv 0 \pmod{24}$.

By the lemma, $f(x) \equiv (x - 5)g(x) \pmod{24}$
for some $g(x)$ of degree 1.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Indeed, } (x - 5)(x + 5) &= x^2 - 25 \\ &\equiv x^2 - 1 \pmod{24}. \end{aligned}$$

$f(x)$ doesn't have unique factorization
 $\pmod{24}$:

$$\begin{aligned} f(x) &\equiv (x - 5)(x + 5) \equiv (x - 1)(x + 1) \\ &\equiv (x - 7)(x + 7) \equiv (x - 11)(x + 11) \\ &\pmod{24}. \end{aligned}$$

But for prime modulus,
things do work nicely.

Theorem: Let $f(x) \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$ have degree
 $d \pmod{p}$. If r_1, r_2, \dots, r_k are
distinct roots of $f(x) \pmod{p}$, then
there exists $g(x) \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$ of
degree $d - k$ with
 $f(x) \equiv (x - r_1) \cdots (x - r_k)g(x) \pmod{p}$.

In particular, $k \leq d$.

Proof: Induction on k . Base case
 $k = 1$: previous lemma. Key step:

r_2 is a root of $f(x) \equiv (x - r_1)g_1(x)$,
so $p \mid (r_2 - r_1)g_1(r_2)$. By Euclid's
lemma, either $p \mid (r_2 - r_1)$ (but no,
by hypothesis) or else $p \mid g_1(r_2)$.
So r_2 is a root of $g_1(x)$, so
inducting ... //