### Math 437/537—Group Work #1—Solutions Tuesday, September 17, 2024

There are a few subsidiary facts that follow from the axioms and that prove useful when solving these problems; we gather those subsidiary facts here.

- Notice that if we take  $a = 0$  in Axiom 15, we get the statement "for all  $b, c \in \mathbb{Z}$ , if  $0 < b$ and  $0 < c$ , then  $0 < bc$ " (since  $0c = 0$  by Axiom 10). In other words, the product of two positive integers is again positive. Similarly, if we take  $b = 0$  in Axiom 15, we get the statement "for all  $a, c \in \mathbb{Z}$ , if  $a < 0$  and  $0 < c$ , then  $ac < 0$ "; in other words, the product of a positive integer and a negative integer is negative.
- Notice also that Axiom 15 contains strict inequalities. However, the variant of Axiom 15 with nonstrict inequalities—namely, "for all  $a, b, c \in \mathbb{Z}$ , if  $a \leq b$  and  $0 \leq c$ , then  $ac \le bc$ "—is also true. It follows from the given Axiom 15 by just checking the new possibilities  $a = b$  (multiplication is well-defined) or  $c = 0$  (Axiom 10).
- Finally, let  $-1$  be the additive inverse (in the sense of Axiom 5) of 1. Note that for any integer b,

$$
0 = 0b = (1 + (-1))b = 1b + (-1)b = b + (-1)b,
$$

where the equalities follow from Axioms 10, 5, 11, and 9, respectively. Adding  $-b$  to both sides, where  $-b$  denotes the additive inverse of b, gives

$$
-b = (-b) + 0 = (-b) + (b + (-1)b) = ((-b) + b) + (-1)b = 0 + (-1)b = (-1)b,
$$

where the equalities follow from Axioms 4, 1, 2, 5, and 4. In other words, we have shown that  $-b = (-1)b$ ; that is, additive inverses are unique and can be obtained by multiplying by the special number  $-1$ .

## 1. If a and b are positive integers with  $a \mid b$ , show that  $a \leq b$ . You may assume, for the moment, *that there is no integer between* 0 *and* 1*.*

Since  $a \mid b$ , there exists an integer m such that  $am = b$ . Note that both a and  $am = b$  are positive by assumption; in particular, m cannot be negative, since then b would be negative (by the first subsidiary fact above), and Axiom 12 says that an integer cannot be both positive and negative. Similarly, if  $m = 0$  then  $b = am = a0 = 0$  by Axiom 10, again contradicting Axiom 12 since b is known to be positive. Since  $m < 0$  and  $m = 0$  are both impossible, we conclude from Axiom 12 that  $m > 0$ .

Knowing that  $m > 0$ , we use the assumption that there is no integer between 0 and 1 to conclude that  $m \ge 1$ . Multiplying both sides by a yields  $b = am \ge a$ , by the nonstrict variant of Axiom 15 discussed above.

#### 2. Prove that there is no integer between 0 and 1. You may assume, for the moment, that  $1 > 0$ .

Suppose, for the sake of contradiction, that there exists an integer s with  $0 < s < 1$  (where we have used the assumption that  $0 < 1$ ). There are two related ways to use Axiom 16 (well-ordering) to derive a contradiction:

(a) Consider the infinite set  $S = \{s, s^2, s^3, \dots\}$  of integers; all of these integers are positive, by repeated use of the first subsidiary fact above. By Axiom 16, S has a least element, say

 $s^k$ . But multiplying the inequality  $s < 1$  by the positive integer  $s^k$  yields  $s^{k+1} < s^k$  (using Axiom 9 for the right-hand side), which contradicts the fact that  $s^k$  is the smallest element of S.

(b) Alternatively, consider the set  $E$  of positive integers less than 1. Suppose, for the sake of contradiction, that  $E$  is nonempty. Then  $E$  possesses a least element  $e$  by Axiom 16. But multiplying the inequalities  $0 < e < 1$  by the positive integer e yields  $0 < e<sup>2</sup> < e$  (using our positive-times-positive-equals-positive fact for the left-hand side and Axiom 15 for the left-hand side), which shows that  $e^2 \in E$  and thus contradicts the fact that e is the smallest element of E.

#### 3. *Prove that*  $1 > 0$ .

By Axiom 12, exactly one of the three statements  $0 < 1$ ,  $0 = 1$ , and  $0 > 1$  is true; but it can't be  $0 = 1$  by Axiom 9. So all we have to do is prove that  $0 > 1$  is impossible. Suppose, for the sake of contradiction, that  $0 > 1$ . Let  $-1$  denote an additive inverse of 1; applying Axiom 14 to  $0 > 1$  yields  $0 + (-1) > 1 + (-1)$ , or  $-1 > 0$  by Axiom 4 and Axiom 5. Then  $(-1)(-1) > 0$  by Axiom 15. But  $(-1)(-1) = 1$  by the third subsidiary fact discussed above, and so  $1 > 0$ , which is a contradiction to our assumption that  $0 > 1$ .

(A related approach is to use the axioms to show that for any nonzero integer  $a$ , exactly one of  $a$ and  $-a$  is positive. One can then show that  $a^2$  is positive for any nonzero integer a. Finally, given the existence of a positive integer b, multiplying the inequality  $0 < b$  by 1 gives  $0 < b$ —which rules out the possibility that 1 is negative, by the first subsidiary fact above.)

# The Axioms for the Integers

The following are the axioms of  $\mathbb{Z}$ . They relate to the addition, multiplication and the order relation  $(<)$  in  $\mathbb{Z}$ .

**Axiom 1** (AE). If  $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$ , then the sum  $a + b$  is uniquely defined element in  $\mathbb{Z}$ .

**Axiom 2** (AA). For all  $a, b, c \in \mathbb{Z}$  we have  $a + (b + c) = (a + b) + c$ .

**Axiom 3** (AC). For all  $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$  we have  $a + b = b + a$ .

**Axiom 4** (AZ). There is an element 0 in Z such that  $0 + a = a + 0 = a$  for all  $a \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

**Axiom 5** (AO). If a is an element of  $\mathbb{Z}$ , then the equation  $a + x = 0$  has a solution  $-a \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

**Axiom 6** (ME). If  $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$ , then the product  $a \cdot b$  (usually denoted by ab) is uniquely defined element in Z.

**Axiom 7** (MA). For all  $a, b, c \in \mathbb{Z}$  we have  $a(bc)=(ab)c$ .

**Axiom 8** (MC). For all  $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$  we have  $ab = ba$ .

**Axiom 9** (MO). There is an element 1 in Z such that  $1 \neq 0$  and  $1 \cdot a = a \cdot 1 = a$  for all  $a \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

**Axiom 10** (MZ). For all  $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $ab = 0$  if and only if  $a = 0$  or  $b = 0$ .

**Axiom 11** (DL). For all  $a, b, c \in \mathbb{Z}$  we have  $a(b+c) = ab + ac$ .

**Axiom 12** (OE). If  $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$ , then exactly one of the following three statements is true:  $a < b$  or  $a = b$ , or  $b < a$ .

**Axiom 13** (OT). For all  $a, b, c \in \mathbb{Z}$ , if  $a < b$  and  $b < c$ , then  $a < c$ .

**Axiom 14** (OA). For all  $a, b, c \in \mathbb{Z}$ , if  $a < b$ , then  $a + c < b + c$ .

**Axiom 15** (OM). For all  $a, b, c \in \mathbb{Z}$ , if  $a < b$  and  $0 < c$ , then  $ac < bc$ .

**Axiom 16** (WO). If S is a nonempty set of positive integers, then there exists  $m \in S$ such that  $m \leq x$  for all  $x \in S$ .

Explanation of abbreviations: AE - addition exists, AA - addition is associative, AC - addition is commutative, AZ - addition has zero, AO - addition has opposites, ME - multiplication exists, MA - multiplication is associative, MC - multiplication is commutative, MO - multiplication has one, MZ - multiplication respects zero, DL distributive law, OE - order exists, OT - order is transitive, OA - order respects addition, OM - order respects multiplication, WO - this is so called the well ordering axiom.