Math 437/537—Group Work #6

Tuesday, October 22, 2024

1. Determine whether the following congruences have solutions. You don't have to find the solutions—just decide whether solutions exist. You may use the fact that 41 and 227 are prime.

- (a) $x^2 \equiv 21 \pmod{41}$
- (b) $x^2 \equiv 21 \pmod{41^9}$
- (c) $5x^2 x 1 \equiv 0 \pmod{41^9}$
- (d) $x^2 \equiv 137 \pmod{227}$. *Hint:* 137 227 factors more nicely than 137.
- (e) $x^2 \equiv 11 \pmod{221}$
- (a) By multiplicativity, $\binom{21}{41} = \binom{3}{41}\binom{7}{41}$. Since $41 \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$, quadratic reciprocity results in no sign changes: $\binom{3}{41} = \binom{41}{3}$ and $\binom{7}{41} = \binom{41}{7}$. By periodicity, $\binom{41}{3} = \binom{2}{3} = -1$ (by brute force, or since $3 \equiv \pm 3 \pmod{8}$, for example), while $\binom{41}{7} = \binom{-1}{7} = -1$ (by brute force, or since $7 \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$). Therefore $\binom{21}{41} = \binom{2}{3}\binom{-1}{7} = (-1)(-1) = 1$. We conclude that $x^2 \equiv 21 \pmod{41}$ does have solutions.
- (b) The derivative of the polynomial $f(x) = x^2 21$ is simply 2x. Therefore the only way a root r of f(x) modulo m could be singular is if $2r \equiv 0 \pmod{m}$; if m is odd, then 2 is invertible modulo m, and this is equivalent to $r \equiv 0 \pmod{m}$. In this case, $f(0) = -21 \not\equiv 0 \pmod{41}$, and so the two roots of $f(x) \pmod{41}$ that we found in part (a) are nonsingular. Hensel's lemma then tells us that there are exactly two roots of $f(x) \pmod{41^j}$ for every $j \ge 1$.
- (c) The discriminant of $5x^2 x 1$ equals $(-1)^2 4 \cdot 5 \cdot (-1) = 21$, which is a square modulo 41^9 by part (b); therefore $5x^2 x 1 \equiv 0 \pmod{41^9}$ has solutions. (More concretely: since $(20, 41^9) = 1$, the congruence $5x^2 x 1 \equiv 0 \pmod{41^9}$ is equivalent to $20(5x^2 x 1) \equiv 0 \pmod{41^9}$, or $(10x 1)^2 21 \equiv 0 \pmod{41^9}$. Therefore the solutions to $y^2 \equiv 21 \pmod{41^9}$ can be transformed, via $10x 1 \equiv y \pmod{41^9}$ or equivalently $x \equiv 10^{-1}(y+1) \pmod{41^9}$, into solutions to the original congruence.)
- (d) By periodicity and then multiplicativity,

$$\left(\frac{137}{227}\right) = \left(\frac{-90}{227}\right) = \left(\frac{-1}{227}\right) \left(\frac{2}{227}\right) \left(\frac{3^2}{227}\right) \left(\frac{5}{227}\right).$$

Since $227 \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$ and $227 \equiv 3 \pmod{8}$, we have $\left(\frac{-1}{227}\right) = -1$ and $\left(\frac{2}{227}\right) = -1$; we also have $\left(\frac{3^2}{p}\right) = 1$ for any odd prime p. Therefore $\left(\frac{137}{227}\right) = (-1)(-1)1\left(\frac{5}{227}\right) = \left(\frac{5}{227}\right)$. Since $5 \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$, quadratic reciprocity gives $\left(\frac{5}{227}\right) = \left(\frac{227}{5}\right)$; periodicity then gives $\left(\frac{227}{5}\right) = \left(\frac{2}{5}\right) = -1$ by the formula for $\left(\frac{2}{p}\right)$. We conclude that $\left(\frac{137}{227}\right) = -1$, and so $x^2 \equiv 137 \pmod{227}$ has no solutions.

(e) First, here is an *incorrect* solution: Since $221 \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$, quadratic reciprocity gives $\left(\frac{11}{221}\right) = \left(\frac{221}{11}\right)$; periodicity then gives $\left(\frac{221}{11}\right) = \left(\frac{1}{11}\right) = 1$, and so there are solutions. (Wrong!) Why is that reasoning incorrect? Because $221 = 13 \times 17$ is not prime! Indeed, the congruence $x^2 \equiv 11 \pmod{221}$ has solutions if and only if both the congruences $x^2 \equiv 11 \pmod{13}$ and $x^2 \equiv 11 \pmod{17}$ have solutions. But it turns out that neither congruence has solutions. For example, since $13 \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$, quadratic reciprocity gives $\left(\frac{11}{13}\right) = \left(\frac{13}{11}\right)$; periodicity then gives $\left(\frac{13}{11}\right) = \left(\frac{2}{11}\right) = -1$ since $11 \equiv 3 \pmod{8}$. (Alternatively, by

periodicity and multiplicativity, $\left(\frac{11}{13}\right) = \left(\frac{-2}{13}\right) = \left(\frac{-1}{13}\right)\left(\frac{2}{13}\right) = 1(-1)$ since $13 \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ and $13 \equiv 5 \pmod{8}$.) Similarly, our algorithm yields

$$\left(\frac{11}{17}\right) = \left(\frac{17}{11}\right) = \left(\frac{6}{11}\right) = \left(\frac{2}{11}\right)\left(\frac{3}{11}\right) = (-1)\left(-\left(\frac{11}{3}\right)\right) = \left(\frac{2}{3}\right) = -1$$

2.

- (a) For which primes p does there exist an integer x such that $x^2 \equiv 5 \pmod{p}$? State your answer in terms of the last digit of p.
- (b) For which primes p does there exist an integer x such that $x^2 \equiv -5 \pmod{p}$? State your answer in terms of the last two digits of p.

We note that when p = 2, both congruences have the solution x = 1, while when p = 5, both congruences have the solution x = 0. During the proofs, therefore, we may assume $p \neq 2, 5$.

- (a) Since $5 \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$, quadratic reciprocity tells us that $\left(\frac{5}{p}\right) = \left(\frac{p}{5}\right)$ for any odd prime $p \neq 5$. It's easy to establish (by brute force even) that $\left(\frac{1}{5}\right) = 1$, $\left(\frac{2}{5}\right) = -1$, $\left(\frac{3}{5}\right) = -1$, and $\left(\frac{4}{5}\right) = 1$. Therefore $\left(\frac{5}{p}\right) = 1$ when $p \equiv \pm 1 \pmod{5}$, while $\left(\frac{5}{p}\right) = -1$ when $p \equiv \pm 2 \pmod{5}$. Note that these two cases correspond to the last digit being 1 or 9, and 3 or 7, respectively. Therefore $x^2 \equiv 5 \pmod{p}$ has a solution when the last digit of p is 1, 2, 5, or 9 but not when the last digit of p is 3 or 7.
- (b) We know that $\left(\frac{-1}{p}\right) = 1$ when $p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ and $\left(\frac{-1}{p}\right) = -1$ when $p \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$. Therefore $\left(\frac{-5}{p}\right) = \left(\frac{-1}{p}\right) \left(\frac{5}{p}\right) = 1$ in precisely two cases: either $p \equiv \pm 1 \pmod{5}$ and $p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$, or else $p \equiv \pm 2 \pmod{5}$ and $p \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$. Either by doing a bunch of little Chinese remainder calculations, or else just going through all the reduced residue classes modulo 20 by hand, we see that these cases correspond to the residue classes $p \equiv 1, 3, 7, 9 \pmod{20}$. We conclude, amazingly, that the congruence $x^2 \equiv -5 \pmod{p}$ has solutions if and only if the second-to-last digit of p is even! (This works for single digit primes too, as long as we call the second-to-last digit 0.)

3. Let p be an odd prime, and let g be a primitive root modulo p, so that any a that is not a multiple of p can be written as $a \equiv g^k \pmod{p}$ for some integer k. Prove that $\left(\frac{a}{p}\right) = 1$ if k is even while $\left(\frac{a}{p}\right) = -1$ if k is odd.

There are multiple ways to see this. If we set $k = 2j + \varepsilon$ with $\varepsilon \in \{0, 1\}$, we can use Euler's criterion to write

$$\left(\frac{a}{p}\right) \equiv a^{(p-1)/2} \equiv (g^k)^{(p-1)/2} = (g^{p-1})^j \left(g^{(p-1)/2}\right)^{\varepsilon} \equiv 1^j (-1)^{\varepsilon} \pmod{p}$$

(Note that $g^{(p-1)/2}$ is a solution to $x^2 \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$ that is not congruent to 1 (mod p), which by Lemma 2.10 forces $g^{(p-1)/2} \equiv -1 \pmod{p}$.) Both integers $\binom{a}{p}$ and $(-1)^{\varepsilon}$ are either 1 or -1, and so they differ by at most 2; consequently, no odd prime can divide their difference unless that difference equals 0. We conclude that $\binom{a}{p} = (-1)^{\varepsilon}$, which is what we want to prove.

Alternatively, if k = 2j is even, then clearly $x^2 \equiv g^{2j} \pmod{p}$ has solutions, namely $x \equiv \pm g^j \pmod{p}$; therefore $\binom{a}{p} = 1$ when $a \equiv g^k \pmod{p}$ with k even. And the $\frac{p-1}{2}$ even integers $\{2, 4, \ldots, p-1\}$ give rise to distinct residue classes $g^2, g^4, \ldots, g^{p-1} \pmod{p}$ (or else the quotient

of two of them would be 1 (mod p), contradicting the fact that the order of g is p-1) which are all quadratic residues; but we know that there are only $\frac{p-1}{2}$ quadratic residues (mod p). Therefore the other $\frac{p-1}{2}$ integers $\{1, 3, \ldots, p-2\}$, which are all odd, must give rise to quadratic nonresidues $g^1, g^3, \ldots, g^{p-2} \pmod{p}$.

Remark: remember our guiding principle that if a modulus m has primitive roots, then multiplication modulo m is just addition modulo $\phi(m)$ in disguise. In this case, the multiplication statement "even powers of a primitive root are squares of something else (mod p), while odd powers of a primitive root are nonsquares" is isomorphic to the addition statement "even multiples of 1 are doubles of something else (mod p - 1), while odd multiples of 1 are not doubles"; and this latter statement is obvious, since p - 1 is even. (Note, by the way, that if q is odd, then everything is a double of something (mod q)!)