

**Math 539**  
**Homework #4**  
due Wednesday, November 16, 2005 at 10 AM

**Reality check problems.** Not to write up; just ensure that you know how to do them.

- I. Let  $A(s) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n n^{-s}$  be a Dirichlet series with  $a_n \geq 0$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Show that  $\sigma_a = \sigma_c$  and that

$$\sup_{t \in \mathbb{R}} |A(\sigma + it)| = A(\sigma)$$

for all  $\sigma > \sigma_c$ .

- II. Finish the verification that

$$0 < \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{c-iT}^{c+iT} \frac{ds}{s} < \frac{c}{\pi T}$$

for  $c, T > 0$ .

- III. Acquire a working knowledge of Appendices A.2–A.4 of Bateman and Diamond (pp. 346–352).
- IV. Acquire a working knowledge of the attached appendix on the Bernoulli polynomials  $B_k(x)$ , the Bernoulli numbers  $B_k$ , and the Euler-Maclaurin summation. (As the nomenclature suggests, this material is classical; the attached notes in particular are from an earlier draft of the soon-to-be-published *A Primer in Multiplicative Number Theory, Volume I* written by Montgomery and Vaughan.)

**Homework problems.** To write up and hand in.

- I. Bateman and Diamond, p. 122, #6.18. (Hint: partial summation.)
- II. Bateman and Diamond, pp. 128–129, #6.21
- III. Let  $x > 1$  be fixed. Calculate the residue of the meromorphic function  $\zeta^2(s)x^s/s$  at  $s = 1$ . (Hint: the answer is *not*  $2\gamma x$ .) Express appropriate excitement over the result.
- IV. Given a Dirichlet series  $A(s) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n n^{-s}$  that is not identically zero, define  $F$  to be the smallest number such that  $a_F \neq 0$ . Show rigorously that  $A(s) \sim a_F F^{-s}$  as  $\sigma \rightarrow \infty$ .
- V. Suppose that  $A(s) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n n^{-s}$  is a Dirichlet series such that  $A(0)$  converges, and define  $R(x) = \sum_{n>x} a_n$ . Show that the abscissa of convergence for  $A(s)$  is the infimum of those real numbers  $\theta$  for which  $R(x) \ll_{\theta} x^{\theta}$ .
- VI. Show that both  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sigma(n^2)n^{-s}$  and  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sigma(n)^2 n^{-s}$  can be written in terms of the Riemann zeta function.
- VII. Show that  $\sigma_a - \sigma_c$ , the difference between the abscissa of absolute convergence of a Dirichlet series and its abscissa of convergence, can take any value in  $[0, 1]$ . (Hint: consider linear combinations of shifts of  $\zeta(s)$  and  $\eta(s) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (-1)^{n-1} n^{-s}$ .)

DEFINITION. An arithmetic function  $f$  is of *polynomial growth* if there are positive constants  $C, d$  such that  $|f(n)| \leq Cn^d$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

VIII. Recall Theorem 2.7: any arithmetic function  $h$  with  $h(1) \neq 0$  has a convolution inverse  $h^{-1}$  satisfying  $h * h^{-1} = e$ .

(a) Suppose that  $g$  is an arithmetic function satisfying  $g(1) = 1$  and  $|g(n)| \leq 1$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Prove that  $|g^{-1}(n)| \leq n^2$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . (Hint: use  $g * g^{-1} = e$  to bound  $g^{-1}(n)$  recursively.)

(b) If  $f$  is an arithmetic function of polynomial growth with  $f(1) \neq 0$ , prove that  $f^{-1}$  is also of polynomial growth.

(c) Suppose that  $A(s)$  is a Dirichlet series that converges in some right half-plane. Show that  $1/A(s)$  is represented by a Dirichlet series that converges in some right half-plane if and only if  $\lim_{\sigma \rightarrow \infty} A(s) \neq 0$ .

IX. Given  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , define  $\Omega_k(n)$  to be the total number of prime factors of  $n$  (with multiplicity), but only counting primes that are larger than  $k$ :

$$\Omega_k(n) = \sum_{\substack{p^r \parallel n \\ p > k}} r.$$

Let  $E_k(s) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} k^{\Omega_k(n)} n^{-s}$ . Prove that  $E_k(s) = \zeta^k(s) F_k(s)$ , where  $F_k$  is a Dirichlet series that converges for  $\sigma > \frac{1}{2}$ . Conclude that

$$\lim_{s \rightarrow 1} (s-1)^k E_k(s) = F_k(1) = \prod_{p > k} \left(1 - \frac{k}{p}\right)^{-1} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p}\right)^k.$$

What goes wrong if we replace  $\Omega_k(n)$  with  $\Omega(n)$ ? Why should we expect this? How does the limit change if we replace  $\Omega_k(n)$  by  $\omega(n)$  in the definition of  $E_k$ ?

X. (a) Let  $N$  and  $K$  be any positive integers. Show that for  $\sigma > 1$ ,

$$\zeta(s) = \sum_{n \leq N} n^{-s} + \frac{N^{1-s}}{s-1} + N^{-s} \sum_{k=1}^K \binom{s+k-2}{k-1} \frac{B_k}{kN^{k-1}} - \binom{s+K-1}{K} \int_N^{\infty} B_K(\{x\}) x^{-s-K} dx,$$

where  $B_k$  is the  $k$ th Bernoulli number,  $B_K$  is the  $K$ th Bernoulli polynomial, and for any complex number  $z$  and positive integer  $m$  the binomial coefficient  $\binom{z}{m}$  is defined to be the polynomial value  $\binom{z}{m} = z(z-1)\dots(z-m+1)/m!$ . (Hint: use Euler-Maclaurin summation on the sum  $\sum_{n > N} n^{-s}$ .)

(b) Show that  $\zeta(s)$  can be analytically continued to a meromorphic function on the entire complex plane, with its only pole a simple pole at  $s = 1$ . (Hint: you don't have to get the entire complex plane in one go—bigger and bigger pieces of it will suffice.)

(c) Compute the value of  $\zeta(n)$  on every *nonpositive* integer  $n$ . (Hint: take  $N = 1$  and  $s = 2 - K$  in the equation from part (a). Setting  $x = -1$  in equations (5) and (15) of the attached appendix will also provide useful information.)

**Bonus problem:** Using problem X(a) or otherwise, calculate  $\zeta'(0)$  in closed form.