

MATH 539
Analytic Number Theory
Greg Martin (he/him)

Tuesday, January 7, 2025

Motivating questions (vague forms):

- What is the "probability" that a randomly chosen integer has a particular property?
 - prime
 - squarefree (not divisible by any d^2 other than 1^2)
 - odd # of number factors
- Given a multiplicative or additive function like
 - $d(n)$, the number of (positive) divisors of n ;
 - $\sigma(n)$, the sum of those divisors;

- Euler phi-function $\phi(n)$;
- Möbius function $\mu(n)$;
- # of prime factors of n (with or without multiplicity);

What is its distribution as "randomly chosen integers"?

- minimum / maximum
- average values
- typical values

To make these questions rigorous:

- Let $x \geq 1$ be a parameter.
- Answer any of the above questions when n is chosen uniformly from $\{1, 2, \dots, \lfloor x \rfloor\}$. - answer depends on x
- Take limits as $x \rightarrow \infty$ (possibly normalize)

Example: The prime number theorem

Let $\pi(x) = \#\{\text{primes} \leq x\}$. We will show that $\pi(x) \sim x/\log x$. More precisely,

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\pi(x)}{x/\log x} = 1.$$

(Note: In this course, $\log$ means natural $\log$.)

Plan for January:

- A little notation and a technical tool
- Fundamentals of "Dirichlet series"

$$\alpha(s) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n n^{-s}.$$

- Properties of Riemann zeta function

$$\zeta(s) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{-s}, \text{ and consequences}$$

for finite sums $\sum_{1 \leq n \leq x} 1/n^p$.

- "Elementary" (not using complex analysis) distribution statements

Then back to Dirichlet series and $\zeta(s)$.

Notation for error terms:

(p. xviii / Section 1 of MV)

Let $g(x)$ be a nonnegative function.

Notation: The expression $O(g(x))$ denotes an unspecified function, $u(x)$, such that $|u(x)| \leq Cg(x)$ for some constant C .
(on whatever domain we're considering)

Example 1: Let's show that

$$e^{2x} - 1 \sim 2x + O(x^2) \text{ for } -1 \leq x \leq 1.$$

Intuition: tangent line to $y = e^{2x} - 1$ at $x=0$ is $y=2x$; "fits quadratically"

Example 1: Let's show that
 $e^{2x} - 1 = 2x + O(x^2)$ for $-1 \leq x \leq 1$.

Solution: The function $e^{2z} - 1 - 2z$ is entire and has a double zero at $z=0$.
Therefore $\frac{e^{2z} - 1 - 2z}{z^2}$ has a removable singularity at $z=0$, and this extends to an entire function $h(z)$.

Define $C = \max \{ |h(z)| : |z| \leq 1 \}$.

Then $|h(z)| \leq C$
 $|e^{2z} - 1 - 2z| \leq C|z|^2$
 $e^{2z} - 1 - 2z = O(|z|^2)$ on $\{ |z| \leq 1 \}$

Thus $e^{2x} - 1 - 2x = O(|x|^2)$ on $[-1, 1]$
 $e^{2x} - 1 = 2x + O(x^2)$.

Exercise: Show that $\sqrt{x+1} = \sqrt{x} + O\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{x}}\right)$
for $x \in [1, \infty)$. (Hint: Mean value theorem)

Notation: $f(x) \ll g(x)$ is a synonym
for $f(x) = O(g(x))$. [TeX: \ll]

Examples: $e^{2z} - 1 - 2z \ll |z|^2$ for $\{ |z| \leq 1 \}$.

- $2x \ll x$ for $x \in [0, \infty)$.
- $x \ll x^2$ for $x \in [1, \infty)$, but
 $x \not\ll x^2$ for $x \in [0, 1]$.

Question: Suppose $f_1(x) \ll g_1(x)$ and
 $f_2(x) \ll g_2(x)$.

Is $f_1(x)f_2(x) \ll g_1(x)g_2(x)$?

YES: $|f_1(x)| \leq C_1 g_1(x)$ and $|f_2(x)| \leq C_2 g_2(x)$.

Multiplying: $|f_1(x)f_2(x)| \leq C_1 C_2 g_1(x) g_2(x)$.

$\ll$
 $|f_1(x)f_2(x)|$.

What about: is $\frac{f_1(x)}{f_2(x)} \ll \frac{g_1(x)}{g_2(x)}$?

No - we would need a lower bound in f_2 , not an upper bound.

Exercise: If $f_1(x) \ll g_1(x)$ and $f_2(x) \ll g_2(x)$, show that $f_1(x) + f_2(x) \ll \max\{g_1(x), g_2(x)\}$.

Exercise: Let $f(x), g(x)$ be continuous on $[0, \infty)$, with $g(x) > 0$.

Suppose that $f(x) \ll g(x)$ on $[539, \infty)$.

Show that $f(x) \ll g(x)$ on $[0, \infty)$.

(Hint: $\frac{f(x)}{g(x)}$ is continuous on $[0, 539]$.)

- Hence we can say " $f(x) \ll g(x)$ as $x \rightarrow \infty$ "

- Similarly: $e^{2z} - 1 - 2z \ll |2z|^2$ "for $z \rightarrow 0$ ".

Notation: $f(x) \sim g(x)$ means

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f(x)}{g(x)} = 1, \quad \text{"f is asymptotic to g"}$$

(often $\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty}$, or from context)

Also, $f(x) = o(g(x))$ means

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f(x)}{g(x)} = 0.$$

Example: The prime number theorem is

$$\pi(x) \sim \frac{x}{\log x}. \quad \text{Also,}$$

$$\pi(x) = \frac{x}{\log x} + o\left(\frac{x}{\log x}\right)$$

$$= (1 + o(1)) \frac{x}{\log x}.$$

Example: Let $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}$, and $x \in \mathbb{R}$.

$\sin(\alpha x) + \cos(\beta x) \leq 1$ (triangle inequality)

$\alpha \sin x + \beta \cos x \leq |\alpha| + |\beta|$

If we write $\alpha \sin x + \beta \cos x \leq 1$,
this would depend on whether α and β
were restricted or not. But if we're
okay with the upper bound depending on
 α and β , we can write

$$\alpha \sin x + \beta \cos x \leq_{\alpha, \beta} 1.$$

The "implicit constant" can depend on
 α and β , but not x .

Exercise: For any $A, \varepsilon > 0$, show that
 $(\log x)^A = o_{A, \varepsilon}(x^\varepsilon)$ on $[1, \infty)$.

Hint: use l'Hôpital on $\frac{\log x}{x^{\varepsilon/A}}$.