

Thursday, March 13  
 Group Work #7 today  
 Suggested Problems #4 posted today

RECALL THAT WE STATED:

Lemma 10.11: Let  $f(z)$  be an entire function,  $f(z) \neq 0$ . Suppose there exists  $\theta < 2$  such that  

$$\max_{|z|=R} |f(z)| \ll \exp(R^\theta) \text{ for all } R > 0.$$

Then there exists  $A, B \in \mathbb{C}$  such that

$$f(z) = e^{A+Bz} \prod_{\substack{w \in \mathbb{C} \\ f(w)=0}} \left(1 - \frac{z}{w}\right) e^{\frac{z}{w}}.$$

Outline of proof:

• Let  $N(R) = \#\{w \in \mathbb{C} : |w| \leq R, f(w) = 0\}$ .  
 Jensen's inequality (Lemma 6.1) implies that  $N(R) \ll R^\theta$ . (cool!)

•  $\log \prod_w \left(1 - \frac{z}{w}\right) e^{\frac{z}{w}} = \sum_w \left(\log\left(1 - \frac{z}{w}\right) + \frac{z}{w}\right)$   
 $\ll \sum_w \left|\frac{z}{w}\right|^2$  (Taylor approximation); and  
 $\sum_w \frac{1}{|w|^2} = \int_0^\infty \frac{1}{u^2} dN(u)$ , which converges  
 (to  $\int_0^\infty \frac{2N(u)}{u^3} du$ ) since  $N(u) \ll u^\theta$ .

• Then  $h(z) = \frac{f(z)}{f(0)} \left( \prod_w \left(1 - \frac{z}{w}\right) e^{\frac{z}{w}} \right)^{-1}$  is entire and nonvanishing; so  $\log h(z)$  is entire

• One can show that  $\max_{|z|=R} \operatorname{Re} \log h(z) = \max_{|z|=R} \log |h(z)| \ll R^\theta \log R$ . By Borel-Carathéodory (Lemma 6.2),  $|\log h(z)| \ll |z|^\theta \log |z|$ .  
 Hence  $\log h(z) = A + Bz$  for some  $A, B$ . //

Recall  $\zeta(s) = \frac{1}{2} s(s-1) \zeta(s) \Gamma(\frac{s}{2})$ .

Theorem 10.12:  $\zeta(s) = \frac{1}{2} e^{Bs} \prod_p (1 - \frac{s}{p}) e^{s/p}$

for some  $B \in \mathbb{C}$ , where the product runs over all zeros of  $\zeta$  (equivalently, over the nontrivial zeros of  $\zeta$  — the zeros inside the critical strip  $\{0 < \sigma < 1\}$ ).

Proof sketch: First assume  $\sigma \geq \frac{1}{2}$ . Then  $\zeta(s) \ll \tau^{\frac{1}{2}}$  by Corollary 1.17, and  $\Gamma(\frac{s}{2}) \ll e^{|\frac{s}{2}| \log |\frac{s}{2}|}$  by Stirling's formula (Theorem C.1).

Hence  $\zeta(s) \ll e^{|\frac{s}{2}| \log |\frac{s}{2}|} \ll e^{|\frac{s}{2}|^{1.5}}$ , so we can apply Lemma 10.11. Calculate that  $\zeta(\sigma) = \frac{1}{2}$

\* for  $\sigma \geq \frac{1}{2}$ ; but the functional equation  $\zeta(1-s) = \zeta(s)$  implies \* for  $\sigma \leq \frac{1}{2}$  also. //

Corollary 10.14: (a)  $\frac{\zeta'}{\zeta}(s) = B + \sum_p (\frac{1}{s-p} + \frac{1}{p})$   
(direct from Theorem 10.12)

(b)  $\frac{\zeta'}{\zeta}(s) = B + \frac{1}{2} \log \pi - \frac{1}{s-1} - \frac{1}{2} \frac{\Gamma'}{\Gamma}(\frac{s}{2} + 1) + \sum_p (\frac{1}{s-p} + \frac{1}{p})$ .

(direct from definition of  $\zeta$ )

(c)  $B = \underbrace{-\frac{1}{2} \sum_p (\frac{1}{1-p} + \frac{1}{p})}_{(1)} = \underbrace{-\sum_p \operatorname{Re}(\frac{1}{p})}_{(2)} = \underbrace{-\frac{C_0}{2} - 1 + \frac{1}{2} \log 4\pi}_{(3)} \approx -0.0231$ .

(1): Compare  $\frac{\zeta'}{\zeta}(0)$  and  $\frac{\zeta'}{\zeta}(1)$  from (b), and use FB.

(2)  $-\frac{1}{2} \sum_p (\frac{1}{1-p} + \frac{1}{p}) = -\frac{1}{2} \sum_p \operatorname{Re}(\frac{1}{1-p} + \frac{1}{p}) = -\frac{1}{2} (\sum_p \operatorname{Re}(\frac{1}{1-p}) + \sum_p \operatorname{Re}(\frac{1}{p})) = -\sum_p \operatorname{Re}(\frac{1}{p})$ .

(3) take  $s=0$  in part (b).