

Friday, January 27

Vivanti - Pringsheim Theorem:

Let $f(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n (z-c)^n$ be a power series centred at $c \in \mathbb{R}$, with (finite) radius of convergence $R > 0$.

Suppose that $a_n \geq 0$ for all n . Then $f(z)$ has a singularity at $z = c + R$.

("singularity" means there's no analytic continuation to a neighbourhood of $c + R$).

Landau's Theorem (Theorem 1.7) (iv)

Let $\alpha(s) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n n^{-s}$ have (finite) abscissa of convergence σ_c .

If $a_n \geq 0$ for all n , then $\alpha(s)$ has a singularity at $s = \sigma_c$.

Equivalently: if $\alpha(s)$ has an analytic continuation to ∞ of $\{ \operatorname{Re}(s) > \sigma_0 \}$, then $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n n^{-s}$ converges for $\sigma > \sigma_0$.

$$\left[\text{Consider } \eta(s) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (-1)^{n-1} n^{-s} = (1 - 2^{1-s}) \zeta(s) \right]$$

Recall, on Wednesday:

• we showed that " $L(1, \chi)$ is never 0"
implies Dirichlet's theorem
on primes in arithmetic progressions

• we proved that $L(s, \chi) \neq 0$
for complex characters χ

Now let's prove $L(s, \chi) \neq 0$ for
quadratic characters χ .

Lemma: Let $r = \chi * 1$; that is,

$$r(n) = \sum_{d|n} \chi(d) 1\left(\frac{n}{d}\right) = \sum_{d|n} \chi(d).$$

Then $r(n) \geq 0$, and $r(n) \geq 1$ for
all $n = m^2$.

Proof: Since $\chi, 1$ are multiplicative,
so is r ; so it suffices to
show this when $n = p^k$.

	1	p	p ²	p ³	p ⁴	p ⁵	...
1	1	1	1	1	1	1	
if $\chi(p) = 1$:	1	1	1	1	1	1	...
$r = \chi * 1$	1	2	3	4	5	6	...
if $\chi(p) = -1$:	1	-1	1	-1	1	-1	
$r = \chi * 1$:	1	0	1	0	1	0	//

Let's use this to prove

$$L(1, \chi) \neq 0!$$

Let $f(s) = \zeta(s) L(s, \chi)$, so

$$\text{that } f(s) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (1 + \chi(n)) n^{-s} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} r(n) n^{-s}.$$

If $L(s, \chi) \neq 0$, then $f(s)$ is analytic for $\sigma > 0$.

Since $r(n) \geq 0$, by Landau's theorem,

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} r(n) n^{-s} \text{ converges for } \sigma > 0,$$

But at $s = \frac{1}{2} + i$:

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} r(n) n^{-\frac{1}{2}} \geq \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} r(m^2) (m^2)^{-\frac{1}{2}}$$

$$\geq \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} 1 m^{-1} = \infty,$$

contradiction,

[Wed: we saw

$$\sum_{\substack{p \leq x \\ p \equiv 1 \pmod{q}}} \frac{\log p}{p} = \infty.$$

Mertens-like results:

$$\sum_{\substack{p \leq x \\ p \equiv 1 \pmod{q}}} \frac{\log p}{p} = \frac{1}{\phi(q)} \log x + O_q(1).$$

Indeed

$$\sum_{\substack{p \leq x \\ p \equiv 1 \pmod{q}}} \frac{1}{p} = \frac{1}{\phi(q)} \log \log x + b(q) + O_q\left(\frac{1}{\log x}\right).$$

Poisson summation formula:

Let $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$, and define its Fourier transform

$$\hat{f}(t) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(x) e(-tx) dx$$

If $f(x)$ is of bounded variation on \mathbb{R} , and $f(x)$ is continuous,

then

$$\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} f(n) = \lim_{M \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{m=-M}^M \hat{f}(m).$$

One application: if

$$f(x) = e^{-\pi x^2 z}$$

then one can show

$$\hat{f}(t) = z^{-1/2} e^{-\pi t^2 / z}.$$

Theorem 10.1 (M.V.): For $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$

and z with $\operatorname{Re} z > 0$:

$$\sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-\pi(n+\alpha)^2 z} = z^{-1/2} \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} e(k\alpha) e^{-\pi k^2 / z}$$

and

$$\sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} (n+\alpha) e^{-\pi(n+\alpha)^2 z} = -iz^{-3/2} \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} k e(k\alpha) e^{-\pi k^2 / z}.$$

(The branch of $z^{1/2}$ is determined by $1^{1/2} = 1$.)

Definition: For $\text{Re } z > 0$ and $\chi \pmod{q}$,

define

$$\theta_0(z, \chi) = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \chi(n) e^{-\pi n^2 z/q}$$

$$\theta_1(z, \chi) = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} n \chi(n) e^{-\pi n^2 z/q}$$

Remark: If $\chi(-1) = 1$, then $\theta_1(z, \chi) \equiv 0$ identically; if $\chi(-1) = -1$, then $\theta_0(z, \chi) \equiv 0$.

Also, $|\theta_0(z, \chi)| \leq \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-\pi n^2 (\text{Re } z)/q}$

$$\leq 2 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} e^{-\pi n^2 (\text{Re } z)/q} \leq 2e^{-\pi \delta^2/q}$$

uniformly for $\text{Re } z \geq \delta$.

Theorem 10.8 (M) For $\text{Re } z > 0$ and $\chi \pmod{q}$ primitive,

$$\theta_0(z, \chi) = \frac{\tau(\chi)}{\sqrt{q}} z^{-1/2} \theta_0\left(\frac{1}{z}, \overline{\chi}\right)$$

$$\theta_1(z, \chi) = \frac{\tau(\chi)}{i\sqrt{q}} z^{-3/2} \theta_1\left(\frac{1}{z}, \overline{\chi}\right)$$

Sketch:

Proof (for θ_0): since χ has period q

$$\begin{aligned} \theta_0(z, \chi) &= \sum_{a \pmod{q}} \chi(a) \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} e^{-\pi n^2 z/q} \\ &= \sum_{a \pmod{q}} \chi(a) \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} e^{-\pi (mq+a)^2 z/q} \end{aligned}$$

to which we can apply Theorem 10.1 with $\alpha = a/q$. Some factor $e(k \frac{a^2}{q})$ gives $\sum_{a \pmod{q}} \chi(a) e(k \frac{a^2}{q}) = \overline{\chi}(k) \tau(\chi)$.