

Language: set notation  
↑  
do not define.  
"collection of objects".

How to specify a set:

1) List all its elements

set-builder notation:

$\{1, 2, 3, 4, \dots\} = \mathbb{N}$  - natural numbers  
↑  
elements of our set

LaTeX command.

$\{\mathbb{N}\}$

2) Write down the conditions defining our set  
as a subset of a universal set.

↑  
could be  $\mathbb{R}$ , or  $\mathbb{R}^n = \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \times \dots \times \mathbb{R}$   
↑  
real numbers      will define

## About the course

Instructor: Julia Gordon, MATH 217

Most of the course materials will be on  
the course website (not Canvas)

On Canvas:

- assignments
- solutions
- link to Piazza
- computer projects (in October and November)

Text: Janisch "Linear Algebra"  
(online at UBC library)

email: gor@math.ubc.ca

Why need the universal set:

• to avoid "set of all sets" paradox.

↑  
is this set an element of itself?

- both Yes and No.

← more precisely, see "Russell's paradox" link.

speaking of sets of sets:

How to build everything from nothing:

$\emptyset$  - empty set

$\{\emptyset\}$  - a set of one element: the empty set

(imagine: a box containing an empty box)

$\{\{\emptyset\}, \emptyset\}$  - a set of 2 elements



When listing elements, repetitions do not matter:  $\{1, 1, 2, 3\} = \{1, 2, 3\} = \{3, 2, 1\}$ .

Defining sets by a condition:

1)  $\{x \in \mathbb{R} : x > 0\}$  - the set of positive numbers  
↑  
an element of  
`\in` -TeX  
also used:  $\{x \in \mathbb{R} \mid x > 0\}$   
read as "such that"

2)  $A = \{x \in \mathbb{N} : x \text{ is even}\}$

$2 \in A$  but  $27 \notin A$ .

`\notin`

More notation:

$\forall$  - universal quantifier

$\exists$  - existential quantifier

Example

$$\exists x \in \mathbb{R}: x^2 + 3x - 2 = 0$$

↑

"exists a real number  $x$  such that  $x^2 + 3x - 2 = 0$ ".

True

How to prove: • exhibit <sup>an</sup>  $x$  that satisfies it.

• or give an argument:

$$ax^2 + bx + c = 0$$

has a ~~not~~ <sub>real</sub> root if and only if

$$b^2 - 4ac \geq 0$$