

Recall last time:

- linear combinations
- basis: a set of vectors v_1, \dots, v_n in V of V both spanning: $L(v_1, \dots, v_n) = V$ and linearly independent.

Our big goal:

- Theorem: Every basis has the same number of elements (when a finite basis exists).

Today: • examples

- start proving this theorem (will need some lemmas).

Examples: of linearly independent sets:

i) Main example:

let F be an arbitrary field, and

$$\text{let } V = F^n = \underbrace{F \times \dots \times F}_{n \text{ times}}$$

Standard basis:
(canonical) basis

elements of F^n
as a set

$$e_1 = (1, 0, 0, \dots, 0)$$

$$e_2 = (0, 1, 0, \dots, 0)$$

$$\vdots$$

$$e_n = (0, 0, \dots, 1)$$

Why do they form a basis?

- check: 1) lin. indep.
- 2) spanning. ← *exer.*

1) Suppose $\lambda_1 e_1 + \dots + \lambda_n e_n = 0$. want to show:
 $\lambda_1 = \dots = \lambda_n = 0$.

//

$$\lambda_1 (1, 0, \dots, 0) + \dots + \lambda_n (0, \dots, 1)$$

$$= (\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_n) \leftarrow \text{if this is } \vec{0}, \text{ then all } \lambda_i = 0.$$

Main point: ~~with~~ F^n comes with a basis $\{e_1, \dots, e_n\}$

point for the future: any n -dim. vector space over F is "isomorphic" to F^n . ("the same as")

aside! how big is a vector space of dimension n over F_p ?
 ex: # V if $\dim V = n$, over F_p .

e.g. $\dim V = 2$. We have $\{v_1, v_2\}$ - basis of V over F_p .

Every $v \in V$ has the form
 $v = \lambda_1 v_1 + \lambda_2 v_2$ ← will soon prove λ_1, λ_2 are unique
 $\lambda_1, \lambda_2 \in F_p$.

$\# V = p^2$

Can use induction to show that \mathbb{F}_p^n has p^n elements.

Example 2: The space of all solutions to

$$f'' + f = 0$$

(subspace of all smooth real fns)

↑
infinite-dimensional

- $\sin x, \cos x$ satisfy it.

- Any solution is $c_1 \sin x + c_2 \cos x$ $c_1, c_2 \in \mathbb{R}$

2-dimensional

(have not proved: there is no other solution lin. indep. from $\sin x, \cos x$)

Proofs:

easy lemmas:

- Call a set of linearly indep. vectors $\{v_1, \dots, v_n\}$ maximal

if you cannot add any vector to it and keep it linearly independent.

Lemma: A maximal linearly indep. set is a basis.

Pf: We want to prove that maximal \Rightarrow spanning

Suppose it is not spanning.

Then exists \vec{v} s.t. $\vec{v} \neq \lambda_1 v_1 + \dots + \lambda_n v_n$
for any choice $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n$

Then $\{v_1, \dots, v_n, v\}$ is still lin. indep.

So $\{v_1, \dots, v_n\}$ was not maximal.

More detail: suppose $\{v_1, \dots, v_n, v\}$ is linearly dependent. Then we have

$$\lambda_0 v + \lambda_1 v_1 + \dots + \lambda_n v_n = 0, \text{ for some } \lambda_0, \dots, \lambda_n \in F.$$

We have two cases:

if $\lambda_0 = 0$, then $\lambda_1 v_1 + \dots + \lambda_n v_n = 0$
which implies $\lambda_1 = \dots = \lambda_n = 0$
by linear independence of $\{v_1, \dots, v_n\}$.

Case 2: $\lambda_0 \neq 0$.

Then we can write

$$\lambda_0 \left(v + \frac{\lambda_1}{\lambda_0} v_1 + \dots + \frac{\lambda_n}{\lambda_0} v_n \right) = 0$$

$$\text{Then } v = - \left(\frac{\lambda_1}{\lambda_0} v_1 + \dots + \frac{\lambda_n}{\lambda_0} v_n \right)$$

Then $v \in L(v_1, \dots, v_n)$, which contradicts our assumption on v .

Thus $\{v_1, \dots, v_n, v\}$ is a linearly indep. set of vectors, and then $\{v_1, \dots, v_n\}$ is not maximal.

We arrived at a contradiction, and thus proved that a maximal lin. indep. set must span all of V .
