

1. THE SET-UP

All of this is basically copied from various places in the article by Kottwitz in the Clay volume; but the goal is to write it without recourse to the tree.

Let F be a Non-Archimedean local field, either of characteristic zero or large (unspecified at the moment) positive characteristic, \mathcal{O}_F – its ring of integers, and ϖ – the uniformizer. Let $G = \mathrm{GL}_2(F)$, $K_0 = \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathcal{O}_F)$.

Notation for some standard subgroups: Z is the centre of G , B – the Borel subgroup consisting of the upper-triangular matrices, $B = AN = NA$, where N is the unipotent upper-triangular matrices, and A is the diagonal torus. (If we want to generalize this to such groups as the unitary group, we'll need to make the distinction between a maximal torus T and a maximal split torus A – hence the unusual notation for the torus, following Kottwitz).

The goal of this note is to compute (directly, using the double cosets) the values of the orbital integral $\mathcal{O}_\gamma(f)$ for the functions f that are bi-invariant under K_0 (in particular, for the characteristic function of K_0), for the specific element

$$\gamma_u = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

The whole calculation is, in a sense, based on two decompositions of G : Cartan decomposition and Iwasawa decomposition.

- (1) Cartan decomposition in its crude form states that $G = K_0AK_0$, but in fact we have $G = K_0A^+K_0$, where $A^+ = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} \varpi^m & 0 \\ 0 & \varpi^n \end{bmatrix} \mid m \geq n, m, n \in \mathbb{Z} \right\}$. (In general, two double cosets K_0aK_0 and $K_0a'K_0$ are the same if and only if the images of a and a' in $A/A \cap K_0$ are conjugate under the Weyl group. We will talk about the set $A/A \cap K_0$ below.) We use Cartan decomposition to label the elements of the basis of the Hecke algebra of K_0 bi-invariant functions: we denote by $f_{m,n}$ the characteristic function of the double coset $K_0 \begin{bmatrix} \varpi^m & 0 \\ 0 & \varpi^n \end{bmatrix} K_0$.
- (2) Iwasawa decomposition states that $G = BK_0 = NAK_0$. It is particularly useful for the computation of the orbital integral of the unipotent element γ_u , because the centralizer of γ_u is $G_{\gamma_u} = ZN = NZ$.

Definition 1.1. Let K be an arbitrary open compact subgroup of G , let $X = G/K$; G acts on X by $g \cdot xK = gxK$. Let x_0 be a base point in X . Define a map $\mathrm{inv} : X \times X \rightarrow K \backslash G/K$ by $(g_1x_0, g_2x_0) \mapsto Kg_2^{-1}g_1K$. It is easy to see that this map is well-defined, and gives a bijection from the set of G -orbits on $X \times X$ to the set of double cosets $K \backslash G/K$.

Using this notation, we have the general formula for an orbital integral of a characteristic function of a double coset (which works for any γ and any K , and will be used for computing the semisimple orbital integrals as well):

$$\mathcal{O}_\gamma(\mathbf{1}_{KaK}) = \sum_{x: \mathrm{inv}(\gamma x, x) = KaK} \frac{\mathrm{vol}(K)}{\mathrm{vol}(\mathrm{Stab}_{G_\gamma}(x))},$$

where x runs over the set of representatives of G_γ -orbits on X satisfying the condition $\mathrm{inv}(\gamma x, x) = KaK$.

2. COMPUTING THE ORBITAL INTEGRAL OF γ_u ON THE BASIS ELEMENTS OF THE SPHERICAL HECKE ALGEBRA

Take $K = K_0$, $\gamma = \gamma_u = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & \\ & 1 \end{bmatrix}$. First, a trivial observation: for any $\gamma \in K_0$, $\det(\gamma)$ is a unit, so if $m \neq -n$, then the orbit of γ does not intersect the double coset $K_0 \begin{bmatrix} \varpi^m & 0 \\ 0 & \varpi^n \end{bmatrix} K_0$, and therefore $\mathcal{O}_\gamma(f_{m,n}) = 0$. To make notation a bit shorter, let $f_m = f_{m,-m}$. Thus, we only need to compute $\mathcal{O}_{\gamma_u}(f_m)$, $m \in \mathbb{Z}$. Note that f_0 is by definition the characteristic function of K_0 .

We have: $G_{\gamma_u} = ZN$; the measure on it is $dt/|t| \wedge dx$ (where we think of the elements of Z as $\begin{bmatrix} t & 0 \\ 0 & t \end{bmatrix}$, and the elements of N as $\begin{bmatrix} 1 & x \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$). We normalize the measure on G such that $\text{vol}(K_0) = 1$.

Then we get:

$$\mathcal{O}_\gamma(f_m) = \sum_{x: \text{inv}(\gamma x, x) = K_0 \begin{bmatrix} \varpi^m & 0 \\ 0 & \varpi^{-m} \end{bmatrix} K_0} \frac{1}{\text{vol}(\text{Stab}_{ZN}(x))}.$$

Thus, we need to first understand the orbits of ZN on the set G/K_0 . This is where the building (i.e. the tree) is very helpful, but for now let us do it naively. First, observe that $ZN \backslash G/K_0 = N \backslash G/(ZK_0)$. Let $V = G/ZK_0$. Then we can rewrite the formula as:

$$\mathcal{O}_\gamma(f_m) = \sum_{v: \text{inv}(\gamma v, v) = K_0 \begin{bmatrix} \varpi^m & 0 \\ 0 & \varpi^{-m} \end{bmatrix} K_0} \frac{1}{\text{vol}(\text{Stab}_N(x))},$$

where v now runs over the set of representatives of N -orbits on V .

So, we are now interested in the N -orbits on $V = G/ZK_0$. (the elements of V correspond to vertices in the tree, but we can do the calculation without using that).

By Iwasawa decomposition, $G = NAK$, and therefore $N \backslash G/ZK_0 = A/Z(A \cap K_0)$. The set of cosets $A/Z(A \cap K_0)$ has a very explicit set of representatives: $\begin{bmatrix} \varpi^j & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$, $j \in \mathbb{Z}$. Let v_j be corresponding elements of V .

Remark 2.1. in general $A/(A \cap K_0) \simeq X_*(A)$, and this is what connects this discussion with the standard apartment.

In short, the vertices v_j explicitly represented by the elements $\begin{bmatrix} \varpi^j & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$, $j \in \mathbb{Z}$ form a set of representatives for the N -orbits on V .

Next, we need to compute the volumes of stabilizers of v_j , and given $m \in \mathbb{Z}$, figure out which v_j satisfy the condition

$$\text{inv}(\gamma v, v) = K_0 \begin{bmatrix} \varpi^m & 0 \\ 0 & \varpi^{-m} \end{bmatrix} K_0.$$

First, an easy calculation shows that the stabilizer of v_j in N is the set $\begin{bmatrix} 1 & \varpi^j \mathcal{O}_F \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$, and its volume is q^{-j} . Second, another easy calculation is that of $\text{inv}(\gamma_u v_j, v_j)$. We compute:

$$v_j^{-1} \gamma_u v_j = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & \varpi^{-j} \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Then $\text{inv}(\gamma_u v_j, v_j) = K_0 v_j^{-1} \gamma_u v_j K_0$, and therefore, for every $j \leq 0$, we get $\text{inv}(\gamma_u v_j, v_j) = K_0$, and if $j = m > 0$, then $\text{inv}(\gamma_u v_j, v_j) = K_0 \begin{bmatrix} \varpi^m & 0 \\ 0 & \varpi^{-m} \end{bmatrix} K_0$.

Finally, we get:

$$\mathcal{O}_{\gamma_u}(f_0) = \sum_{v_j: j \leq 0} \frac{1}{q^{-j}} = \frac{1}{1 - q^{-1}}; \quad \mathcal{O}_{\gamma_u}(f_m) = q^m.$$

3. IN LAURA'S AND RADHIKA'S TALKS:

- The set V is interpreted as the set of vertices of an infinite tree (the "reduced building" for GL_2).
- G acts on this tree.
- the vertices v_j that appeared here are exactly the vertices in the apartment of A .
- The condition that $\text{inv}(\gamma v, v)$ is a particular coset is interpreted as the condition on the distance from v to the fixed set of γ .
- The tree helps count the vertices that appear in the indexing set of the sum (for the semisimple elements γ , the naive counting presented here is much messier, and the tree provides the necessary help).