

**Problem 1.** For  $n \geq 2$ , we define the **Vandermonde matrix** of size  $n \times n$  to be the matrix

$$V_n(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & x_1 & x_1^2 & \dots & x_1^{n-1} \\ 1 & x_2 & x_2^2 & \dots & x_2^{n-1} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 1 & x_n & x_n^2 & \dots & x_n^{n-1} \end{bmatrix}$$

where  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n$  are real numbers. Our aim in this problem is to find out when the Vandermonde matrix is invertible, for small values of  $n$ .

(1) Write down the Vandermonde matrix for  $n = 2$ .

(2) What is its determinant?

(3) For what values of  $x_1$  and  $x_2$  is  $V_2(x_1, x_2)$  invertible? In this case, what is its inverse?

(4) Write down the Vandermonde matrix for  $n = 3$ .

- (5) Compute the determinant of the Vandermonde matrix for  $n = 3$ .
- (6) For what values of  $x_1, x_2$  and  $x_3$  is the Vandermonde matrix  $V_3$  invertible?
- (7) **Conclusion:** For  $n = 2, 3$ , the Vandermonde matrix is invertible if and only if
- 
- (8) **Bonus!** From our understanding of the  $n = 2$  and  $n = 3$  cases, when do you think the Vandermonde matrix is invertible for any size  $n$ ?
- (9) **Extra bonus!** Using column operations as well as row operations, express  $\det V_3(x_1, x_2, x_3)$  as a product  $V_2(x_2, x_3) \cdot p(x_1, x_2, x_3)$  where  $p(x_1, x_2, x_3)$  is some polynomial in  $x_1, x_2, x_3$ . If you are familiar with induction, use this to prove our conclusion for all  $n$ !

**Problem 2.** Serge and Khadija are thinking about two linear transformations,  $\mathcal{T} : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3$  given by

$$\mathcal{T} \begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

and  $\mathcal{S} : \mathbb{R}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$  given by

$$\mathcal{S} \begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \\ z \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \end{bmatrix}.$$

Serge argues as follows:

- (1) The image of the unit square under the transformation  $\mathcal{T}$  is a square, which has zero volume in  $\mathbb{R}^3$ .
- (2) Therefore  $\det(\mathcal{T}) = 0$ .
- (3) The image of the unit cube under the transformation  $\mathcal{S}$  is the unit square, which has volume 1 in  $\mathbb{R}^2$ .
- (4) Therefore  $\det(\mathcal{S}) = 1$ .
- (5) The determinant  $\det(\mathcal{S} \circ \mathcal{T})$  is the product  $\det \mathcal{S} \det \mathcal{T}$ , and 0 times any number is 0, so  $\det(\mathcal{S} \circ \mathcal{T}) = 1 \cdot 0 = 0$ .

Khadija says that

$$(\mathcal{S} \circ \mathcal{T}) \begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \end{bmatrix},$$

so  $\mathcal{S} \circ \mathcal{T}$  is the identity map, so it must have determinant 1. Serge agrees, but they are not sure where Serge's argument is wrong.

**Which of the following statements are true? Justify your answer.**

- (i)  $\det(\mathcal{S} \circ \mathcal{T}) = 1$ .
- (ii)  $\det(\mathcal{S} \circ \mathcal{T}) = 0$ .
- (iii) Serge is correct in parts 1 and 3.
- (iv) Serge is correct in parts 2 and 4.
- (v) Serge is correct in saying that if  $\mathcal{A}$  and  $\mathcal{B}$  are linear transformations such that  $\mathcal{A} \circ \mathcal{B}$  is defined, we have

$$\det(\mathcal{A} \circ \mathcal{B}) = \det(\mathcal{A}) \det(\mathcal{B}).$$

