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1. Let $f_{n}=\chi_{\left[2^{n}, 2^{n+1}\right]}$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}$.
(a) Let $1<p<\infty$. Is it true that $f_{n} \rightarrow 0$ weakly in $L^{p}(\mathbb{R})$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ ? Explain why or why not.

## Solution:

No. We have $\left\|f_{n}\right\|_{p}=\left(\int_{2^{n}}^{2^{n+1}} 1\right)^{1 / p}=2^{n / p} \rightarrow \infty$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. But if the sequence $\left\{f_{n}\right\}$ were weakly convergent (to 0 or anything else), then by the Uniform Boundedness Principle it would have to be bounded.

8 marks (b) Is it true that $f_{n} \rightarrow 0$ weak $^{*}$ in $L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})=\left(L^{1}(\mathbb{R})\right)^{*}$ (i.e. as linear functionals on $L^{1}$ ) as $n \rightarrow \infty$ ? Explain why or why not.

## Solution:

Yes. If $g \rightarrow L^{1}$, then $\sum_{1}^{\infty} \int\left|f_{n} g\right|=\int_{2}^{\infty}|g|<\|g\|_{1}<\infty$. Hence $\left|\int f_{n} g\right| \leq$ $\int\left|f_{n} g\right| \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

8 marks
2. (a) Let $X, Y$ be normed vector spaces, and let $T \in \mathcal{L}(X, Y)$. Prove that if $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ is a sequence in $X$ such that $x_{n} \rightarrow x$ weakly for some $x \in X$, then $T x_{n} \rightarrow T x$ weakly in $Y$.

Solution: Suppose that $\left\{x_{n}\right\} \subset X$ and $x_{n} \rightarrow x$ weakly. Let $f \in Y^{*}$, then $f\left(T x_{n}\right)=\left(T^{t} f\right)\left(x_{n}\right) \rightarrow\left(T^{t} f\right)(x)=f(T x)$. Therefore $T x_{n} \rightarrow T x$ weakly.

8 marks
(b) Let $X$ be a normed vector space, and let $\left\{x_{n}\right\} \subset X$ and $\left\{f_{n}\right\} \subset X^{*}$ be sequences such that $x_{n} \rightarrow x$ weakly in $X$ and $\left\|f_{n}-f\right\| \rightarrow 0$. Prove that $f_{n}\left(x_{n}\right) \rightarrow f(x)$.

## Solution:

Let $f_{n}, x_{n}$ be as above, then by the Uniform Boundedness Principle there is a constant $C$ such that $\left\|x_{n}\right\| \leq C$ for all $n$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|f_{n}\left(x_{n}\right)\right|-f(x) \mid & \leq\left|\left(f_{n}-f\right)\left(x_{n}\right)\right|+\left|f\left(x_{n}\right)-f(x)\right| \\
& \leq\left\|f_{n}-f\right\|\left\|x_{n}\right\|+\left|f\left(x_{n}-x\right)\right| \\
& \leq C\left\|f_{n}-f\right\|+\left|f\left(x_{n}-x\right)\right| .
\end{aligned}
$$

As $n \rightarrow \infty$, both terms go to 0 as required.
3. Let $X, Y$ be normed vector spaces, and let $T \in \mathcal{L}(X, Y)$. Prove that if $T$ is invertible, then $T^{t}$ is also invertible and $\left(T^{t}\right)^{-1}=\left(T^{-1}\right)^{t}$.

Solution: If $T \in \mathcal{L}(X, Y)$ and $S \in \mathcal{L}(Y, Z)$, then $(S T)^{t}=T^{t} S^{t}$. To prove this, we check that for all $x \in X, f \in Z^{*}$, we get

$$
\left((S T)^{t} f\right)(x)=f(S T x)=\left(S^{t} f\right)(T x)=\left(T^{t} S^{t} f\right)(x)
$$

Applying this with $S=T^{-1} \in \mathcal{L}(Y, X)$, we get $T^{t} S^{t}=(S T)^{t}=I_{X}^{t}=I_{Y^{*}}$ and similarly, $S^{t} T^{t}=(T S)^{t}=I_{Y}^{t}=I_{X^{*}}$. Therefore $S^{t}=\left(T^{t}\right)^{-1}$.
Since $S=T^{-1}$ is bounded and $\left\|S^{t}\right\|=\|S\|, S^{t}$ is bounded, Hence $T^{t}$ is invertible.
(More direct proofs are also possible. Note however that to prove that $S^{t}=\left(T^{t}\right)^{-1}$, we have to prove both $T^{t} S^{t}=I_{Y^{*}}$ and $S^{t} T^{t}=I_{X^{*}}$. It would not suffice to prove only one of them.)

10 marks 4. Let $X$ be a normed vector space. Let $\left\{f_{n}\right\} \subset X^{*}$ be a sequence of functionals such that

- $\left\|f_{n}\right\| \leq C$ for some constant $C>0$ and all $n \in \mathbb{N}$,
- there is a $f \in X^{*}$ such that $f_{n}(x) \rightarrow f(x)$ for all $x \in S$, where $S$ is a dense subset of $X$.

Prove that $f_{n}$ converge weak ${ }^{*}$ to $f$.

Solution: Let $x \in X$; we need to prove that $f_{n}(x) \rightarrow f(x)$. Let $\epsilon>0$, and choose $y \in S$ such that $\|x-y\|<\epsilon$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|f_{n}(x)-f(x)\right| & \leq\left|f_{n}(x)-f_{n}(y)\right|+\left|f_{n}(y)-f(y)\right|+|f(y)-f(x)| \\
& \leq\left\|f_{n}\right\|\|x-y\|+\left|f_{n}(y)-f(y)\right|+\|f\|\|x-y\| \\
& \leq C \epsilon+\left|f_{n}(y)-f(y)\right|+\|f\| \epsilon
\end{aligned}
$$

If $n>N$ for some $N$ large enough, we have $\left|f_{n}(y)-f(y)\right|<\epsilon$ by the assumed convergence on $S$. Therefore $\left|f_{n}(x)-f(x)\right|<(C+\|f\|+1) \epsilon$ for all $n>N$. Since $\epsilon>0$ was arbitrary, we have $f_{n}(x)-f(x) \rightarrow 0$, as required.

10 marks 5. Recall definitions from class: if $X$ is a normed vector space, and it $M, N$ are closed linear subspaces of $X$ and $X^{*}$ respectively, then $M^{0}=\left\{f \in X^{*}: f(x)=0\right.$ for all $\left.x \in M\right\}$ and $N^{\perp}=\{x \in X: f(x)=0$ for all $f \in N\}$.
Prove that if $M$ is a closed subspace of $X$, then $\left(M^{0}\right)^{\perp}=M$. (This was done in class. You are being asked to reproduce the proof here, not just state the relevant result.)

## Solution:

- If $x \in M$, then $f(x)=0$ for all $f \in M^{0}$ by definition, so $M \subset\left(M^{0}\right)^{\perp}$.
- If $x \notin M$, then by Hahn-Banach we can find a functional $f \in X^{*}$ such that $f(x) \neq 0$ but $f \equiv 0$ on $M$. Then $f \in M^{0}$, but $f(x) \neq 0$, so that $x \notin\left(M^{0}\right)^{\perp}$. Hence $\left(M^{0}\right)^{\perp} \subset M$.

