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## 1. Introduction

This paper is devoted to study the existence and asymptotic behavior of solutions to the classic semilinear elliptic equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta u+u\left(1-u^{2}\right)=0, \quad \text { in } \mathbb{R}^{N} \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Equation (1.1) is known as the Allen-Cahn equation and it arises in the gradient theory of phase transitions by Allen and Cahn [1, where 1.1 is the prototype equation for the continuous modeling of phase transition phenomena finding applications on material sciences, superconductivity, population dynamics and biological patterns formation, see for instance [30]. In this physical model, the function $u$ represents the phase of a material in a given point of $\mathbb{R}^{N}$.

In 1978 E . De Giorgi formulated the following celebrated conjecture concerning entire solutions to the equation (1.1), which is in parallel to Bernstein's conjecture theorem for minimal hypersurfaces.

De Giorgi's Conjecture: The level sets of a bounded entire solution u to (1.1), which is in addition monotone in one direction, must be hyperplanes, at least for dimension $2 \leq N \leq 8$.

This conjecture, basically states that, up to translations and rotations of $\mathbb{R}^{N}$, $u(x)=w\left(x_{N}\right)$, where $w$ is determined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
w^{\prime \prime}+w\left(1-w^{2}\right)=0, \quad \text { in } \mathbb{R}, \quad w( \pm \infty)= \pm 1 \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

A solution to 1.2 indeed exists. Even more, this solution is strictly increasing and uniquely determined up to translations by

$$
w(t)=\tanh \left(\frac{t}{\sqrt{2}}\right), \quad t \in \mathbb{R} .
$$

The conjecture was proved in dimension $N=2$ by Ghoussoub and Gui, see [13] in dimension $N=3$ by Ambrosio and Cabré, see [2], and in dimensions $4 \leq N \leq 8$ by Savin, under the additional assumption

$$
\lim _{x_{N} \rightarrow \pm \infty} u\left(x^{\prime}, x_{N}\right)= \pm 1
$$

see [28]. Recently in [11], the authors showed a counter-example to this conjecture in dimension $N \geq 9$, monotone in the $x_{N}$ direction and whose zero level set is close to a large dilation of the Bombieri-De Giorgi-Giusti minimal graph that disproves Bernstein's conjecture in high dimensions, see 3].

The monotonicity of the solution $u$ implies its stability, in the sense that the quadratic form

$$
\mathcal{B}(\psi, \psi):=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}|\nabla \psi|^{2}-F^{\prime}(u) \psi^{2}, \quad F(u)=u\left(1-u^{2}\right)
$$

is positive, for all $\psi \in C_{c}^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$. Indeed, without any loss of generality, assume $\partial_{x_{N}} u>0$, in $\mathbb{R}^{N}$. It is not hard to check that the linearized operator $L:=\Delta+F^{\prime}(u)$ satisfies maximum principle. Even more, the level sets of $u$ are all graphs. Let us remark that stability is at the core of the proof of the conjecture in dimensions $N=2,3$, where is used to control at infinity the Dirichlet integral

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{B_{R}(0)}|\nabla u|^{2}=\mathcal{O}\left(R^{2}\right), \quad R>0 \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

which intuitively says that the level sets of $u$ must have a finite number of components outside a large ball, which are all asymptotically flat. The question if stability is sufficient to conclude (1.3), remains open. Actually, it is believed that property 1.3 is equivalent to $F$ inite Morse Index of the solution $u$. For a bounded entire solution $u$ to $\sqrt{1.1}$, the Morse index $m(u)$ is defined as the maximal dimension of a vector space $E$ of compactly supported functions such that

$$
\mathcal{B}(\psi, \psi)<0, \quad \forall \psi \in E-\{0\} .
$$

Strikingly, there are basically no examples of finite Morse index solutions to (1.1) in dimension 3, and the connection between Allen-Cahn equation and the theory of minimal surfaces has only been partially explored to produced more examples of finite Morse index solutions.

As remarked in [6], Morse index is a natural element regarding classification of bounded entire solutions to 1.1). This is of course, the natural step to follow beyond De Giorgi's conjecture, towards the understanding of the geometrical structure of the set of solutions to (1.1).

There is a great connection between the developments made in the study of equation 1.1 and the theory of minimal surfaces. Let us restrict ourselves to dimension $N=3$. For more than a century there were only two known examples of minimal surfaces with finite total curvature, namely the catenoid and plane. The first nontrivial example was found by Costa in 1981, see [5], [15]. The Costa surface is a genus one, minimal, complete and properly embedded surface. It has three connected components outside some compact set, say a large ball, for which two
of these components are asymptotically catenoids with the same axis of symmetry, while the remaining one is asymptotically a plane, perpendicular to the axis of symmetry of the catenoidal ends. Later, Hoffman and Meeks generalized Costa's construction by exhibiting a genus k , embedded, minimal surface with three ends and with the same look as the Costa genus one surface outside a large ball, see [16], [17], [18]. Many other examples of this kind of surfaces, with multiple connected components outside a compact set, either asymptotically catenoidal or flat, have been found, see for instance [20, [22] and references there in.

Recently, a new family of finite Morse index solutions to equation 1.1 in $\mathbb{R}^{3}$, was found in 9. Each one of these solutions has the property that its nodal set is close to a large dilation of a fixed, complete, embedded and nondegenerate minimal surface and along the normal direction of this large dilation of the surface it has the one dimensional profile of the heteroclinic solution $w$, determined by (1.2). Their Morse index coincides with the index of the surface, which is counted as $k=2 l-1$, where $l \in \mathbb{N}$ is the genus of the surface. In this regard solutions with Morse index 1, associated to the catenoid and Morse index $k$ for $k \geq 3$, associated to the Costa-Hoffman-Meeks surface do exist.

A natural question that rises is wether the construction of solutions to (1.1) with multiple transitions "close" to a complete embedded minimal surfaces of finite total curvature, can be carried out, under the same conditions as in 9. The first goal of this paper is to provide a partial answer to this question by constructing a family of bounded solution to problem (1.1) with an arbitrary finite number of transitions layers near a large dilation of a catenoid in $\mathbb{R}^{3}$.

In order to state our first result, let $M$ be a catenoid in $\mathbb{R}^{3}$, which is the surface of revolution having the catenary curve as profile and described by the mapping $Y: \mathbb{R} \times(0,2 \pi) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{3}$, defined by

$$
Y(y, \theta):=\left(\sqrt{1+y^{2}} \cos \theta, \sqrt{1+y^{2}} \sin \theta, \log \left(y+\sqrt{1+y^{2}}\right)\right)
$$

which provides local coordinates on the catenoid in terms of the angle of rotation, and the signed arch-length variable of the catenary curve. We observe that $M$ divides $\mathbb{R}^{3}$ into two connected components, say $S^{+}$and $S^{-}$, where we choose $S^{+}$ to be the component containing the axis of symmetry, namely the $x_{3}$-axis.

The unit normal vector to $M$, pointing towards $S^{+}$, is then given by

$$
\nu(y, \theta)=\frac{1}{\sqrt{1+y^{2}}}(-\cos \theta,-\sin \theta, y), \quad y \in \mathbb{R}, \quad \theta \in(0,2 \pi)
$$

Let us now consider a large dilation of the catenoid $M$, given by

$$
M_{\alpha}=\alpha^{-1} M
$$

for any small positive number $\alpha$. We parameterize $M_{\alpha}$ by $Y_{\alpha}:(y, \theta) \mapsto \alpha^{-1} Y(\alpha y, \theta)$ and we define associated local Fermi coordinates in $\mathbb{R}^{3}$,

$$
X_{\alpha}(y, \theta, z)=\alpha^{-1} Y(\alpha y, \theta)+z \nu(\alpha y, \theta), \quad|z|<\frac{\eta}{\alpha}+\frac{1}{2 \alpha} \log \left(1+y^{2}\right)
$$

Our first result is the following:
Theorem 1. Let $N=3$ and $M$ be the catenoid in $\mathbb{R}^{3}$ described above. Then for all sufficiently small $\alpha>0$ there exists a bounded solution $u_{\alpha}$ to problem (1.1) such
that

$$
u_{\alpha}(x)=\sum_{j=1}^{m}(-1)^{j-1} w\left(z-h_{j}(\alpha y)\right)+\frac{(-1)^{m-1}-1}{2}+o(1), \quad \text { as } \alpha \rightarrow 0
$$

for $x=X_{\alpha}(y, \theta, z), \quad|z|<\frac{\eta}{\alpha}+\frac{1}{2 \alpha} \log \left(1+y^{2}\right)$ and the location of the interfaces $h_{j}^{\prime} s$ is governed by the Jacobi-Toda system of PDEs on $M$,

$$
\alpha^{2}\left(\Delta_{M} h_{j}+\left|A_{M}\right|^{2} h_{j}\right)-a_{0}\left[e^{\sqrt{2} t} e^{-\sqrt{2}\left(h_{j}-h_{j-1}\right)}-e^{-\sqrt{2} t} e^{-\sqrt{2}\left(h_{j+1}-h_{j}\right)}\right]=0
$$

where

$$
a_{0}=\left\|w^{\prime}\right\|_{L^{2}}^{-2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} 6\left(1-w^{2}\right) e^{\sqrt{2} t} w^{\prime}(t) d t>0
$$

and

$$
\left.h_{j+1}-h_{j} \geq \log \left(\frac{1}{\alpha}\right)+\log (1+y)\right) .
$$

In addition, these solutions are axially symmetric and converge to $\pm 1$ away from $M_{\alpha}$, i.e

$$
\begin{gathered}
u_{\alpha}(x)=u_{\alpha}\left(\left|x^{\prime}\right|, x_{3}\right), \quad x=\left(x^{\prime}, x_{3}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{3} \\
u_{\alpha}^{2}(x) \rightarrow 1, \quad \text { as } \operatorname{dist}\left(x, M_{\alpha}\right) \rightarrow \infty .
\end{gathered}
$$

The Morse Index of $u_{\alpha}, m\left(u_{\alpha}\right)$, satisfies that

$$
m\left(u_{\alpha}\right) \geq c_{0} \log \left(\frac{1}{\alpha}\right), \quad \text { as } \alpha \rightarrow 0
$$

Entire solutions with multiple transition layers to (1.1) in $\mathbb{R}^{2}$ were found in [7. In this case the nodal set of the solutions consists on multiple asymptotically straight lines, not intersecting themselves, whose locations are governed by the Toda system of ODEs.

As a byproduct of this result, we also present a new familiy of solutions to equation (1.1) in $\mathbb{R}^{3}$, with Morse index 1 and the property that its zero level set, outside a large ball, has four logarithmical divergent connected components. The transitions of these solutions take place near the graph of a radially symmetric solution to the Toda System in $\mathbb{R}^{2}$. This is another step in the program towards the study of finite Morse index solutions to (1.1).

In order to state our second result, we consider a smooth radially symmetric solution $\left(q_{1}, q_{2}\right)$ of the Toda System

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta q_{1}+a_{0} e^{-\sqrt{2}\left(q_{2}-q_{1}\right)}=0, \quad \Delta q_{2}-a_{0} e^{-\sqrt{2}\left(q_{2}-q_{1}\right)}=0, \quad \text { in } \mathbb{R}^{2} \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $a_{0}>0$ is the positive constant from 1. To be more precise, we assume that $-q_{1}=q_{2}=q$, and the function $q$ is a solution to the Liouville equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta q-a_{0} e^{-2 \sqrt{2} q}=0, \quad \text { in } \mathbb{R}^{2} \tag{1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

given explicitly by

$$
\begin{equation*}
q(r, \rho)=\frac{1}{2 \sqrt{2}} \log \left(\frac{\sqrt{2} a_{0}}{4 \rho}\left(1+\rho r^{2}\right)^{2}\right) \tag{1.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

We remark that, for every $\alpha>0$, the functions

$$
\mathrm{q}_{1 \alpha}(r)=-\frac{\sqrt{2}}{2} \log \left(\frac{1}{\alpha}\right)+q_{1}(\alpha r), \quad \mathrm{q}_{2 \alpha}(r)=\frac{\sqrt{2}}{2} \log \left(\frac{1}{\alpha}\right)+q_{2}(\alpha r), \quad r>0
$$

are also smooth radially symmetric solutions to 1.4 . Denote by $M_{j \alpha}$ the graph of the function $\mathrm{q}_{j \alpha}$. Our second Theorem states the following:

Theorem 2. For all sufficiently small $\alpha>0$ there exists an smooth axially symmetric bounded solution $u_{\alpha}$ to equation (1.1) such that $m\left(u_{\alpha}\right)=1$ and

$$
u_{\alpha}\left(x^{\prime}, z\right)=w\left(z-\mathrm{q}_{1 \alpha}\left(\alpha x^{\prime}\right)\right)-w\left(z-\mathrm{q}_{2 \alpha}\left(\alpha x^{\prime}\right)\right)-1+o(1), \quad \text { as } \alpha \rightarrow 0
$$

for $x=(r \cos \theta, r \sin \theta, z), \quad|z|<\frac{\eta}{\alpha}+\frac{1}{2 \alpha} \log \left(1+r^{2}\right)$ and the location of the interfaces $\mathrm{q}_{j \alpha}^{\prime} s$ is governed by the Toda system on $\mathbb{R}^{2}$ and

$$
\mathrm{q}_{2 \alpha}-\mathrm{q}_{1 \alpha} \geq \sqrt{2} \log \left(\frac{1}{\alpha}\right)+\log \left(1+\left|\alpha x^{\prime}\right|\right)
$$

In addition, these solutions even in the $z$-variable and they converge to $\pm 1$ away from the graphs of the functions $\mathrm{q}_{j \alpha}$, i.e

$$
\begin{gathered}
u_{\alpha}\left(x^{\prime}, z\right)=u_{\alpha}\left(\left|x^{\prime}\right|, z\right)=u_{\alpha}\left(x^{\prime},-z\right), \quad \text { for } x=\left(x^{\prime}, z\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{3} . \\
u_{\alpha}^{2}(x) \rightarrow 1, \quad \text { as } \operatorname{dist}\left(x, M_{j \alpha}\right) \rightarrow \infty
\end{gathered}
$$

Remark 1.1: The proof of Theorems 1 and 2 strongly relies on an infinite dimensional reduction procedure, in the spirit of the pioneering work due to Floer and Weinstein, see 12 and for which the choice of a "good" approximation of the solution is of vital importance. The proof also combines elements from the analysis made in [9] and 11] for one transition in a noncompact setting and [10 for multiple transition for the compact setting. We remark that, contrary to the compact case treated in [10], no gap condition is required.

Remark 1.2: An important ingredient in the proof of Theorem 1 is the nondegeneracy of the catenoid. To make this more precise, let us consider the Jacobi operator of the catenoid

$$
\mathcal{J}(h)=\Delta_{M} h+\left|A_{M}\right|^{2} h
$$

where $\left|A_{M}\right|^{2}$ is the euclidean norm of the second fundamental form of $M . M$ is nondegenerate, in the sense that the bounded kernel of $\mathcal{J}$ consists exactly on the jacobi fields

$$
z_{i}(x)=\nu(x) \cdot e_{i}, \quad \text { for every } x \in M, \quad i=1,2,3
$$

associated to the translation along the coordinates axis. It turns out that a suitable right inverse for $\mathcal{J}$ can be found. This implies that $M$ is isolated in a smooth topology.

This kind of nondegeneracy is expected to hold true for complete embedded minimal surfaces with finite total curvature, but it is known to hold true not only for the catenoid, but for some other important cases, such as the Costa-HoffmanMeeks surface of genus k. Nondegeneracy has been a used as a tool to construct new minimal surfaces, see for instance [19, [23], and also to construct solutions to the Allen-Cahn equation over compact manifold, see [27].

The paper is structured as follows. Sections 2 through 8 are concerned with the construction of the solutions predicted in Theorems Theorem 1 and 2 , while section

9 sketches the estimates and computations regarding information about the Morse index of these families of Solutions.

## 2. Geometrical setting near a dilated catenoid

In this section we compute the euclidean laplacian in $\mathbb{R}^{3}$, in a neighborhood of the dilated catenoid $M_{\alpha}$.

Let us consider the curve

$$
\gamma(s)=(\cosh (s), s), \quad s \in \mathbb{R}
$$

The set $\gamma(\mathbb{R})$ corresponds to the catenary curve in $\mathbb{R}^{2}$, for which we can compute the corresponding signed arch-length as

$$
y(s)=\int_{0}^{s}\left\|\gamma^{\prime}(\zeta)\right\| d \zeta=\sinh (s), \quad s \in \mathbb{R}
$$

Setting

$$
s(y)=\log \left(y+\sqrt{1+y^{2}}\right), \quad y \in \mathbb{R}
$$

we can parameterize $\gamma(\mathbb{R})$ using the mapping

$$
\gamma(s(y))=\left(\sqrt{1+y^{2}}, \log \left(y+\sqrt{1+y^{2}}\right)\right), \quad y \in \mathbb{R}
$$

Let us now consider the catenoid $M$ in $\mathbb{R}^{3}$, with $\gamma(\mathbb{R})$ as profile curve. The mapping $Y: \mathbb{R} \times(0,2 \pi) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{3}$, defined by

$$
Y(y, \theta):=\left(\sqrt{1+y^{2}} \cos \theta, \sqrt{1+y^{2}} \sin \theta, \log \left(y+\sqrt{1+y^{2}}\right)\right)
$$

gives local coordinates on $M$ in terms of the signed arch-length variable of $\gamma(\mathbb{R})$ and the angle of rotations around the $x_{3}$-axis which, in our setting, corresponds to the axis of symmetry of $M$. Observe also that for $\mathrm{y}=\left(\mathrm{y}_{1}, \mathrm{y}_{2}, \mathrm{y}_{3}\right) \in M$

$$
r(\mathrm{y}):=\left|\left(\mathrm{y}_{1}, \mathrm{y}_{2}\right)\right|=\sqrt{1+y^{2}}, \quad \mathrm{y}=Y(y, \theta) \in M
$$

We consider local Fermi coordinates

$$
X(y, \theta, z)=Y(y, \theta)+z \nu(y, \theta), \quad y \in \mathbb{R}, \theta \in(0,2 \pi), z \in \mathbb{R}
$$

This map defines a smooth local change of variables onto the open neighborhood of $M$, given by

$$
\mathcal{N}:=\left\{Y(y, \theta)+z \nu(y, \theta):|z|<\eta+\frac{1}{2} \log \left(1+y^{2}\right)\right\}
$$

for some small, but fixed $\eta>0$. Observe that $|z|=\operatorname{dist}(x, M)$, for every $x \in \mathcal{N}$ with $x=X(y, \theta, z)$.

Let us compute the euclidean laplacian in $\mathcal{N}$, in terms of these local coordinates, from the formula

$$
\Delta_{X}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{\operatorname{det}(g)}} \partial_{i}\left(\sqrt{\operatorname{det}(g)} g^{i j} \partial_{j}\right), \quad i, j=y, \theta, z
$$

where $g_{i j}=\partial_{i} X \cdot \partial_{j} X$ corresponds to the $i j$-th entry of the metric $g$ on $\mathcal{N}$ and $g^{i j}=\left(g^{-1}\right)_{i j}$.

Computing the metric $g$, we find that

$$
g=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
g_{y y} & 0 & 0 \\
0 & g_{\theta \theta} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & g_{z z}
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
\left(1+\frac{z}{1+y^{2}}\right)^{2} & 0 & 0 \\
0 & \left(1+y^{2}\right)\left(1-\frac{z}{1+y^{2}}\right)^{2} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right]
$$

so that

$$
\sqrt{\operatorname{det}(g)}=\sqrt{1+y^{2}}\left(1-\frac{z^{2}}{\left(1+y^{2}\right)^{2}}\right) .
$$

Since

$$
\Delta_{X}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{\operatorname{det}(g)}}\left[\partial_{y}\left(\sqrt{\operatorname{det}(g)} g_{y y}^{-1} \partial_{y}\right)+\partial_{\theta}\left(\sqrt{\operatorname{det}(g)} g_{\theta \theta}^{-1} \partial_{\theta}\right)+\partial_{z}\left(\sqrt{\operatorname{det}(g)} \partial_{z}\right)\right]
$$

we find by a direct computation that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta_{X}=\partial_{z z}+\partial_{y y}+\frac{y}{1+y^{2}} \partial_{y}+\frac{1}{1+y^{2}} \partial_{\theta \theta}-\frac{2 z}{\left(1+y^{2}\right)^{2}} \partial_{z}+D \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
D=z a_{1}(y, z) \partial_{y y}+z a_{2}(y, z) \partial_{\theta \theta}+z b_{1}(y, z) \partial_{y}+z^{3} b_{2}(y, z) \partial_{z}
$$

and the smooth functions $a_{i}(y, z), b_{i}(y, z)$ satisfy

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left|a_{i}\right|+\left|y D_{y} a_{i}\right|=O\left(|y|^{-2}\right), \quad\left|b_{1}\right|+\left|y D_{y} b_{1}\right|=O\left(|y|^{-3}\right) \\
\left|b_{2}\right|+\left|y D_{y} b_{2}\right|=O\left(|y|^{-8}\right) \tag{2.2}
\end{gather*}
$$

as $|y| \rightarrow \infty$, uniformly on $z$ in the neighborhood $\mathcal{N}$ of $M$. Actually, it is not hard to check that, inside $\mathcal{N}$ and for $i=1,2$

$$
\begin{gather*}
a_{i}(y, z)=a_{i, 0}(y)+z a_{i, 1}(y, z), \quad b_{1}(y, z)=b_{1,0}(y)+z b_{1,1}(y, z),  \tag{2.3}\\
b_{2}(y, z)=b_{2,0}(y)+z^{2} b_{2,1}(y, z)
\end{gather*}
$$

where

$$
a_{i, 0}(y)=\frac{(-2)^{i-1}}{\left(1+y^{2}\right)^{i}}, \quad b_{1,0}(y)=-\frac{2 y}{\left(1+y^{2}\right)^{2}}, \quad b_{2,0}(y)=-\frac{2}{\left(1+y^{2}\right)^{4}}
$$

and

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left|a_{i, 1}\right|+\left|y D_{y} a_{i, 1}\right|=O\left(|y|^{-(4+2 i)}\right), \quad\left|b_{1,1}\right|+\left|y D_{y} b_{1,1}\right|=O\left(|y|^{-5}\right) \\
\left|b_{2,1}\right|+\left|y D_{y} b_{2,1}\right|=O\left(|y|^{-12}\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

At this point, we remark that the catenoid is an axially symmetric minimal surface, and the functions $a_{i}, b_{i}, i=1,2$, also share this symmetry and actually they enjoy the additional properties
$a_{i}(y, z)=a_{i}(-y, z), \quad b_{1}(y, z)=-b_{1}(-y, z), \quad b_{2}(y, z)=b_{2}(y, z), \quad x=X(y, \theta, z) \in \mathcal{N}$.
Let us now consider a large dilation of the catenoid $M$, given by

$$
M_{\alpha}=\alpha^{-1} M
$$

for a small positive number $\alpha$.
We parameterize $M_{\alpha}$ by $Y_{\alpha}:(y, \theta) \mapsto \alpha^{-1} Y(\alpha y, \theta)$ and define associated local Fermi coordinates

$$
X_{\alpha}(y, \theta, z)=\alpha^{-1} Y(\alpha y, \theta)+z \nu(\alpha y, \theta)
$$

on the neighborhood $\mathcal{N}_{\alpha}=\alpha^{-1} \mathcal{N}$ of $M_{\alpha}$. Observe that

$$
\mathcal{N}_{\alpha}=\left\{Y_{\alpha}(y, \theta)+z \nu(\alpha y, \theta):|z|<\frac{\eta}{\alpha}+\frac{1}{2 \alpha} \log \left(1+(\alpha y)^{2}\right)\right\}
$$

Scaling formula (2.1) we find that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta_{X_{\alpha}}=\partial_{z z}+\partial_{y y}+\frac{\alpha^{2} y}{1+(\alpha y)^{2}} \partial_{y}+\frac{\alpha^{2}}{1+(\alpha y)^{2}} \partial_{\theta \theta}-\frac{2 \alpha^{2} z}{\left(1+(\alpha y)^{2}\right)^{2}} \partial_{z}+D_{\alpha} \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where
$D_{\alpha}=\alpha z a_{1}(\alpha y, \alpha z) \partial_{y y}+\alpha^{3} z a_{2}(\alpha y, \alpha z) \partial_{\theta \theta}+\alpha^{2} z b_{1}(\alpha y, \alpha z) \partial_{y}+\alpha^{4} z^{3} b_{2}(\alpha y, \alpha z) \partial_{z}$ and the smooth functions $a_{i}, b_{i}, i=1,2$, satisfy 2.2 and 2.3).

Let us consider next an arbitrary smooth function $h: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and local coordinates near $M_{\alpha}$, defined by

$$
X_{\alpha, h}(y, \theta, t)=\alpha^{-1} Y(\alpha y, \theta)+(t+h(\alpha y)) \nu(\alpha y, \theta)
$$

onto the region $\mathcal{N}_{\alpha}$, which can be described as

$$
\mathcal{N}_{\alpha}=\left\{X_{\alpha, h}(y, \theta, t):|t+h(\alpha y)| \leq \frac{\eta}{\alpha}+\frac{1}{\alpha} \log \left(\sqrt{1+(\alpha y)^{2}}\right)\right\}
$$

Observe that for $x \in \mathcal{N}_{\alpha}$ we have $x=X_{\alpha}(y, \theta, z)=X_{\alpha, h}(y, \theta, t)$, which means $t=z-h(\alpha y)$. We will often emphasize the description of the region $\mathcal{N}_{\alpha}$ in terms of the local coordinates $X_{\alpha, h}$ by writing $\mathcal{N}_{\alpha, h}$.

We compute directly, from expression (2.4), the euclidean laplacian in these new coordinates.
Lemma 2.1. On the open neighborhood $\mathcal{N}_{\alpha, h}$ of $M_{\alpha}$ in $\mathbb{R}^{3}$, in the coordinates $x=X_{\alpha, h}(y, \theta, t)$, the euclidean laplacian has the following expression:

$$
\begin{align*}
\Delta_{X_{\alpha, h}} & =\partial_{t t}+\partial_{y y}+\frac{\alpha^{2} y}{1+(\alpha y)^{2}} \partial_{y}+\frac{\alpha^{2}}{1+(\alpha y)^{2}} \partial_{\theta \theta} \\
& -\alpha^{2}\left\{h^{\prime \prime}(\alpha y)+\frac{\alpha y}{1+(\alpha y)^{2}} h^{\prime}(\alpha y)+\frac{2(t+h)}{\left(1+(\alpha y)^{2}\right)^{2}}\right\} \partial_{t} \\
& -2 \alpha h^{\prime}(\alpha y) \partial_{t y}+\alpha^{2}\left[h^{\prime}(\alpha y)\right]^{2} \partial_{t t}+D_{\alpha, h} \tag{2.5}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
D_{\alpha, h} & =\alpha(t+h) a_{1}(\alpha y, \alpha(t+h))\left(\partial_{y y}-2 \alpha h^{\prime}(\alpha y) \partial_{y t}-\alpha^{2} h^{\prime \prime}(\alpha y) \partial_{t}+\alpha^{2}\left[h^{\prime}(\alpha y)\right]^{2} \partial_{t t}\right) \\
& +\alpha^{3}(t+h) a_{2}(\alpha y, \alpha(t+h)) \partial_{\theta \theta} \\
& +\alpha^{2}(t+h) b_{1}(\alpha y, \alpha(t+h))\left(\partial_{y}-\alpha h^{\prime}(\alpha y) \partial_{t}\right) \\
& +\alpha^{4}(t+h)^{3} b_{2}(\alpha y, \alpha(t+h)) \partial_{t} \tag{2.6}
\end{align*}
$$

and the smooth functions $a_{i}, b_{i}$ are those described in 2.2)-(2.3).
Proof. Set $z=t+h(\alpha y)$ and consider a function $U \in C^{2}\left(\mathcal{N}_{\alpha, h}\right)$. In the coordinates $X_{\alpha, h}$ as well as in the coordinates $X_{\alpha}$, we can write

$$
U\left(X_{\alpha}(y, \theta, z)\right)=u(y, \theta, z) \quad \text { and } U\left(X_{\alpha, h}(y, \theta, t)\right)=v(y, \theta, t)
$$

which means that $u(y, \theta, z)=v(y, \theta, z-h(\alpha y))$.
It remains to notice that in the local coordinates $X_{\alpha, h}$

$$
\partial_{z} u=\partial_{t} v, \quad \partial_{z z} u=\partial_{t t} v
$$

$$
\begin{gathered}
\partial_{\theta} u=\partial_{\theta} v, \quad \partial_{\theta \theta} w=\partial_{\theta \theta} v \\
\partial_{y} u=\partial_{y} v-\alpha h^{\prime}(\alpha y) \partial_{t} v \\
\partial_{y y} u=\partial_{y y} v-2 \alpha h^{\prime}(\alpha y) \partial_{t y} v-\alpha^{2} h^{\prime \prime}(\alpha y) \partial_{t} v+\alpha^{2}\left[h^{\prime}(\alpha y)\right]^{2} \partial_{t t} v
\end{gathered}
$$

Substituting these partial derivatives into formula 2.4 and using that $z=t+h$, we get expression (2.5).

Remark 2.1: The Laplace-Beltrami operator of the dilated catenoid $M_{\alpha}$, in the coordinates $Y_{\alpha}(y, \theta)$, corresponds to the differential operator

$$
\Delta_{M_{\alpha}}=\partial_{y y}+\frac{\alpha^{2} y}{1+(\alpha y)^{2}} \partial_{y}+\frac{\alpha^{2}}{1+(\alpha y)^{2}} \partial_{\theta \theta}
$$

with the convention that $M=M_{1}$. On the other hand, since each one of these dilated catenoids is a minimal surface, we have that the Gaussian curvature, $K_{M_{\alpha}}$ of $M_{\alpha}$, is given by the relation

$$
2 K_{M_{\alpha}}(y)=-\alpha^{2}\left|A_{M}(\alpha y)\right|^{2}=-\frac{2 \alpha^{2}}{\left(1+(\alpha y)^{2}\right)^{2}}, \quad y \in \mathbb{R}
$$

where $\left|A_{M}(y)\right|$ is the norm of the second fundamental form of the catenoid $M$.
Hence, we can write the euclidean laplacian in expression 2.5, as follows

$$
\begin{align*}
\Delta_{X_{\alpha, h}}= & \partial_{t t}+\Delta_{M_{\alpha}}-\alpha^{2}\left\{\Delta_{M} h+(t+h)\left|A_{M}\right|^{2}\right\} \partial_{t} \\
& -2 \alpha h^{\prime}(\alpha y) \partial_{t y}+\alpha^{2}\left[h^{\prime}(\alpha y)\right]^{2} \partial_{t t}+D_{\alpha, h} \tag{2.7}
\end{align*}
$$

where the functions $h, \Delta_{M} h,\left|A_{M}\right|^{2}$ are evaluated in $\alpha y$.

## 3. Jacobi-Toda system on the Catenoid

In the previous section, we discussed the system of coordinates and differential operators that come into play in the proof of Theorem 1. We continue our discussion providing a detailed description of the approximate nodal set of the solutions predicted by this Theorem. As mentioned in the introduction, the location of this nodal set is governed by the nonlinear system of PDEs for $\mathrm{h}=\left(h_{1}, h_{2}, \ldots, h_{m}\right)$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha^{2}\left(\Delta_{M} h_{l}+\left|A_{M}\right|^{2} h_{l}\right)-a_{0}\left[e^{-\sqrt{2}\left(h_{l}-h_{l-1}\right)}-e^{-\sqrt{2}\left(h_{l+1}-h_{l}\right)}\right]=0, \quad \text { in } M \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $a_{0}>0$ is a constant, $\alpha>0$ is a small parameter and with the convention that

$$
-\infty=h_{0}<h_{1}<\cdots<h_{m}<h_{m+1}=\infty
$$

In this section we provide a complete proof of the following proposition.
Proposition 3.1. For every $\alpha>0$ small enough there exists an axially symmetric and smooth vector function $\mathrm{h}=\left(h_{1}, \ldots, h_{m}\right)$ solving system (3.1) and satisfying that

$$
\begin{equation*}
h_{l}=\left(l-\frac{m+1}{2}\right)\left[\sigma_{\alpha}+\left(1-\frac{1}{\sqrt{2} \sigma_{\alpha}}\right) \log \left(\left|A_{M}(y)\right|^{-2}\right)\right]+\widetilde{h}_{l}, \quad l=1, \ldots, m \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\sigma_{\alpha} \sim \log \left(\alpha^{-1}\right)$ and the functions $\widetilde{h}_{l}$ satisfy the estimates

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|\widetilde{h}_{l}(y)\right| \leq K \delta^{\frac{5}{4}} \log (2+r(y)), \quad y \in M \\
& \left\|(1+r(y))^{j} D^{(j)} \widetilde{h}_{l}\right\|_{L^{\infty}(M)} \leq K_{j} \delta^{\frac{5}{4}-\frac{j}{2}}, \quad l=1, \ldots, m \quad j=1,2, \ldots
\end{aligned}
$$

for some large constant $K>0$, independent of $\alpha>0$. In addition h is even respect to the arch-length variable of the catenoid $M$

We split the proof of proposition 3.1 into a series of steps, each of which is presented as a subsection.
3.1. Decoupling and the approximate Solution: We look for a solution $\mathrm{h}=\left(h_{1}, h_{2}, \ldots, h_{m}\right)$ to (3.1) having the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
h_{l}=\left(l-\frac{m+1}{2}\right) \sigma_{\alpha}+q_{l}, \quad l=1, \ldots, m \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the constant $\sigma=\sigma_{\alpha}$ solves the algebraic equation

$$
\alpha^{2} \sigma=a_{0} e^{-\sqrt{2} \sigma}
$$

so that $\sigma_{\alpha}$ is a smooth function of $\alpha$, satisfying the asymptotic expansion

$$
\sigma_{\alpha}=\log \left(\frac{\sqrt{2} a_{0}}{\alpha^{2}}\right)-\log \left(\log \left(\frac{\sqrt{2} a_{0}}{\alpha^{2}}\right)\right)+\mathcal{O}\left(\frac{\log \log \log \frac{1}{\alpha^{2}}}{\log \log \frac{1}{\alpha^{2}}}\right)
$$

In what follows, we omit the explicit dependence of $\sigma$ respect to $\alpha$ and we set $\delta=\sigma^{-1}$.

Plugging (3.3) into (3.1) and dividing by $\sigma$, we obtain the system for $\left(q_{1}, \ldots, q_{m}\right)$

$$
\begin{align*}
\delta\left(\Delta_{M} q_{l}+\left|A_{M}\right|^{2} q_{l}\right)- & {\left[e^{-\sqrt{2}\left(q_{l}-q_{l-1}\right)}-e^{-\sqrt{2}\left(q_{l+1}-q_{l}\right)}\right] } \\
& +\left(l-\frac{m+1}{2}\right)\left|A_{M}\right|^{2}=0, \quad \text { in } M, \quad l=1, \ldots, m \tag{3.4}
\end{align*}
$$

Before solving system (3.4), let us introduce some useful notations. Consider the invertible $m \times m$ real matrix

$$
\mathbf{B}:=\left(\begin{array}{cccccc}
-1 & 1 & 0 & \ldots & 0 & 0  \tag{3.5}\\
0 & -1 & 1 & \ldots & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & -1 & \ldots & 0 & 0 \\
\vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\
0 & 0 & 0 & \ldots & -1 & 1 \\
1 & 1 & 1 & \ldots & 1 & 1
\end{array}\right)
$$

and the auxiliary functions

$$
\binom{\mathrm{v}}{v_{m}}:=\mathbf{B} \cdot\binom{\mathrm{q}}{q_{m}}, \quad \mathrm{q}:=\left(\begin{array}{c}
q_{1} \\
\vdots \\
q_{m-1}
\end{array}\right)
$$

Let us introduce the notation

$$
e^{\mathrm{v}}:=\left(\begin{array}{c}
e^{v_{1}} \\
\vdots \\
e^{v_{m-1}}
\end{array}\right), \quad \mathbb{1}:=\left(\begin{array}{c}
1 \\
\vdots \\
1
\end{array}\right) .
$$
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and consider the constant invertible $(m-1) \times(m-1)$ matrix

$$
\mathbf{C}=\left(\begin{array}{ccccc}
-2 & 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0  \tag{3.6}\\
1 & -2 & 1 & \cdots & 0 \\
\vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\
0 & \cdots & 1 & -2 & 1 \\
0 & 0 & \cdots & 1 & -2
\end{array}\right)
$$

We notice that the $l$-th entry of the constant vector $\mathcal{B}^{-1} \cdot \mathbb{1}$ corresponds to $l-\frac{m+1}{2}$.

With these notations, system (3.4) can be written as

$$
\begin{gather*}
\delta\left(\Delta_{M} \mathrm{v}+\left|A_{M}\right|^{2} \mathrm{v}\right)+\mathbf{C} \cdot e^{-\sqrt{2} \mathrm{v}}+\left|A_{M}\right|^{2} \cdot \mathbb{1}=0, \quad \text { in } M  \tag{3.7}\\
\Delta_{M} v_{m}+\left|A_{M}\right|^{2} v_{m}=0, \quad \text { in } M \tag{3.8}
\end{gather*}
$$

Since matrix $\mathbf{B}$ in 3.5 is invertible, any information about system 3.7-3.8 has a direct translation into system (3.4) and viceversa.

Taking $v_{m}=0$ in (3.8), we only need to take care of system 3.7). In order to solve this system, let us denote

$$
\begin{equation*}
E(\mathrm{v}, \delta, \mathrm{y}):=\delta\left(\Delta_{M} \mathrm{v}+\left|A_{M}\right|^{2} \mathrm{v}\right)+\mathbf{C} \cdot e^{-\sqrt{2} \mathrm{v}}+\left|A_{M}\right|^{2} \mathbb{1} \tag{3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

We want to find an approximate solution $\mathrm{v}_{0}$ to (3.7) such that $E\left(\mathrm{v}_{0}, \delta, \mathrm{y}\right)$ is as close to zero as possible. Writing

$$
\mathrm{v}_{0}(\mathrm{y}, \delta)=\omega_{0}(\mathrm{y})+\delta \omega_{1}(\mathrm{y})
$$

expression (3.9) becomes

$$
\begin{gather*}
E\left(\mathrm{v}_{0}, \delta, \mathrm{y}\right)=\mathbf{C} \cdot e^{-\sqrt{2} \omega_{0}}+\left|A_{M}\right|^{2} \mathbb{1} \\
+\delta\left(\Delta_{M} \omega_{0}+\left|A_{M}\right|^{2} \omega_{0}\right)+\delta D_{\mathrm{v}}\left(\mathbf{C} \cdot e^{-\sqrt{2} \mathrm{v}}\right)_{\mathrm{v}=\omega_{0}} \cdot \omega_{1} \\
+\delta^{2}\left(\Delta_{M} \omega_{1}+\left|A_{M}\right|^{2} \omega_{1}\right)+\mathbf{C} \cdot\left[e^{-\sqrt{2}\left(\omega_{0}+\delta \omega_{1}\right)}-e^{-\sqrt{2} \omega_{0}}-\delta D_{\mathrm{v}}\left(e^{-\sqrt{2} \mathrm{v}}\right)_{\mathrm{v}=\omega_{0}} \omega_{1}\right] . \tag{3.10}
\end{gather*}
$$

Proceeding formally by taking $\delta \rightarrow 0$, we find that $\omega_{0}$ must solve the algebraic equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{C} \cdot e^{-\sqrt{2} \omega_{0}}+\left|A_{M}\right|^{2} \mathbb{1}=0 \tag{3.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

where we recall that in local coordinates

$$
\left|A_{M}(\mathrm{y})\right|^{2}=\frac{2}{\left(1+y^{2}\right)^{2}}, \quad \mathrm{y}=Y(y, \theta)
$$

From this we write $\omega_{0}=\left(\omega_{0,1}, \ldots, \omega_{0, m-1}\right)$ where

$$
\omega_{0, l}(\mathrm{y})=-\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \log \left(\frac{1}{2}\left|A_{M}(\mathrm{y})\right|^{2}(m-l) l\right), \quad 1 \leq l \leq m-1
$$

so that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega_{0}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \log \left(\left|A_{M}\right|^{-2}\right) \mathbb{1}+c_{0} \tag{3.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some constant vector $c_{0}$. A direct computation yields that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta_{M} \omega_{0}+\left|A_{M}\right|^{2} \omega_{0}=\left|A_{M}\right|^{2}\left(2 \cdot \mathbb{1}+\omega_{0}\right) \tag{3.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

With this choice of $\omega_{0}$, dividing expression 3.10 by $\delta$ and taking $\delta \rightarrow 0$, we find that $\omega_{1}$ must solve the algebraic equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
D_{\mathrm{v}}\left(\mathbf{C} \cdot e^{-\sqrt{2} \mathrm{v}}\right)_{\mathrm{v}=\omega_{0}} \cdot \omega_{1}+\left(\Delta_{M} \omega_{0}+\left|A_{M}\right|^{2} \omega_{0}\right)=0 \tag{3.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Observe that

$$
\begin{align*}
& D_{\mathrm{v}}\left(\mathbf{C} \cdot e^{-\sqrt{2} \mathrm{v}}\right)_{\mathrm{v}=\omega_{0}}=-\sqrt{2}\left|A_{M}\right|^{2} \mathbf{C} \cdot \operatorname{diag}\left(\frac{(m-j) j}{2}\right)_{(m-1) \times(m-1)} \\
& =\sqrt{2}\left|A_{M}\right|^{2}\left(\begin{array}{cccccc}
-2 a_{1} & a_{2} & \ldots & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\
a_{1} & -2 a_{2} & \ldots & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\
0 & a_{2} & -2 a_{3} & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\
\vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots & 0 \\
0 & 0 & \cdots & a_{m-3} & -2 a_{m-2} & a_{m-1} \\
0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & a_{m-2} & -2 a_{m-1}
\end{array}\right) \tag{3.15}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
a_{l}=\frac{(m-l) l}{2}, \quad l=1, \ldots, m-1
$$

Directly from 3.15 we find that

$$
-\mathbf{C} \cdot \operatorname{diag}\left(\frac{(m-j) j}{2}\right)_{(m-1) \times(m-1)} \cdot \mathbb{1}=\mathbb{1}
$$

and consequently 3.14 becomes

$$
\sqrt{2} \mathbf{C} \cdot \operatorname{diag}\left(\frac{(m-j) j}{2}\right)_{m-1} \omega_{1}=\left(2 \cdot \mathbb{1}+\omega_{0}\right)
$$

It follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega_{1}=-\sqrt{2} \cdot \mathbb{1}-\frac{1}{2} \log \left(\left|A_{M}\right|^{-2}\right) \cdot \mathbb{1}+c_{1} \tag{3.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some constant vector $c_{1}$. Therefore, our choice of the approximation to 3.7 is

$$
\mathrm{v}_{0}(\mathrm{y}, \delta)=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(1-\frac{\delta}{\sqrt{2}}\right) \log \left(\left|A_{M}(\mathrm{y})\right|^{-2}\right)\left(\begin{array}{c}
1 \\
\vdots \\
1
\end{array}\right)+c_{0}+\delta c_{1}
$$

and observe that

$$
\begin{gather*}
E\left(\mathrm{v}_{0}, \delta, \mathrm{y}\right)=\delta^{2}\left(\Delta_{M} \omega_{1}+\left|A_{M}\right|^{2} \omega_{1}\right) \\
+\mathbf{C} \cdot\left[e^{-\sqrt{2}\left(\omega_{0}+\delta \omega_{1}\right)}-e^{-\sqrt{2} \omega_{0}}-\delta D_{\mathrm{v}}\left(e^{-\sqrt{2} \mathrm{v}}\right)_{\mathrm{v}=\omega_{0}} \delta \omega_{1}\right] \tag{3.17}
\end{gather*}
$$

From (3.12), 3.16), (3.17) and a direct computation we get the pointwise estimate in $M$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|E\left(\mathrm{v}_{0}, \delta, \mathrm{y}\right)\right| \leq C \delta^{2}\left|A_{M}\right|^{2(1-\delta)-\varepsilon}\left[1+\left|\log \left(\left|A_{M}\right|^{2}\right)\right|+\mathcal{O}\left(\left|\log \left(\left|A_{M}\right|^{2}\right)\right|^{2}\right)\right] \tag{3.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some $\varepsilon>0$ small. To verify estimate 3.18, first recall that

$$
r(\mathrm{y})=\left|\mathrm{y}^{\prime}\right|, \quad \mathrm{y}=\left(\mathrm{y}^{\prime}, \mathrm{y}_{3}\right) \in M
$$

which in the local coordinates $\mathrm{y}=Y(y, \theta)$ reads as $r(\mathrm{y})=\sqrt{1+y^{2}}$.

Next, using Taylor expansion up to second derivatives in the region of $M$ where

$$
\delta \log \left(\left|A_{M}\right|^{2}\right) \leq K_{1}
$$

we get that

$$
\left|e^{-\sqrt{2}\left(\omega_{0}+\delta \omega_{1}\right)}-e^{-\sqrt{2} \omega_{0}}-\delta D_{\mathrm{v}}\left(e^{-\sqrt{2} \mathrm{v}}\right)_{\mathrm{v}=\omega_{0}} \delta \omega_{1}\right| \leq C \delta^{2}\left|A_{M}\right|^{2}\left|\omega_{1}\right|^{2}
$$

where $K_{1}$ is independent of $\delta$ and y. Since $\left|A_{M}\right|^{2} \sim \mathcal{O}\left(r(y)^{-4}\right)$, this actually occurs in the large region determined by

$$
r(\mathrm{y}) \leq e^{\frac{K_{1}}{4 \delta}}, \quad \mathrm{y} \in M
$$

while in the remaining part of $M$, we use the fast decay of $\left|A_{M}\right|^{2}$ to get that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|e^{-\sqrt{2}\left(\omega_{0}+\delta \omega_{1}\right)}-e^{-\sqrt{2} \omega_{0}}-\delta D_{\mathrm{v}}\left(e^{-\sqrt{2} \mathrm{v}}\right)_{\mathrm{v}=\omega_{0}} \delta \omega_{1}\right| & \leq C\left|A_{M}\right|^{2} e^{\delta \log \left(r^{4}(\mathrm{y})\right)} \\
& \leq r(\mathrm{y})^{-\beta} e^{-\frac{c_{1}}{\delta}}
\end{aligned}
$$

which is exponentially small in $\delta$, provided that we choose $\beta$ so that $0<\beta<4-4 \delta$. Clearly, 3.18 follows at once from these remarks.
3.2 Solving the Jacobi Toda System. Next, we linearize system (3.7) around the approximate solution $\mathrm{v}_{0}(\mathrm{y}, \delta)$, we have described in the previous subsection.

Let us first introduce the topologies that will be used to set up our functional analytical scheme. For functions $g$ and $\zeta$ defined in $M, 1<p \leq \infty$ and $\beta>\frac{5}{2}$ we consider the norms

$$
\begin{gather*}
\|g\|_{p, \beta}:=\left\|\left(1+r(\mathrm{y})^{\beta}\right) g\right\|_{L^{p}(M)}  \tag{3.19}\\
\|\zeta\|_{\delta, \infty}:=\delta\left\|D^{2} \zeta\right\|_{\infty, 2}+\delta^{\frac{1}{2}}\|(1+r(\mathrm{y})) D \zeta\|_{L^{\infty}(M)}+\left\|\log (r(\mathrm{y})+2)^{-1} \zeta\right\|_{L^{\infty}(M)} . \tag{3.20}
\end{gather*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|\zeta\|_{\delta, p, \beta}:=\delta\left\|D^{2} \zeta\right\|_{p, \beta}+\delta^{\frac{1}{2}}\|(1+r(\mathrm{y})) D \zeta\|_{L^{\infty}(M)}+\left\|\log (r(\mathrm{y})+2)^{-1} \zeta\right\|_{L^{\infty}(M)} \tag{3.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

Next, we study the linearization of system (3.7) around $\mathrm{v}_{0}(\mathrm{y}, \delta)$. Recall that

$$
\mathrm{v}_{0}(\mathrm{y}, \delta)=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(1-\frac{\delta}{\sqrt{2}}\right) \log \left(\left|A_{M}(\mathrm{y})\right|^{-2}\right)\left(\begin{array}{c}
1  \tag{3.22}\\
\vdots \\
1
\end{array}\right)+c_{0}+\delta c_{1}
$$

and we look for a solution to 3.7 of the form

$$
\mathrm{v}=\mathrm{v}_{0}+\zeta .
$$

Thus, we are led to study the system

$$
\begin{gather*}
\delta\left(\Delta_{M} \zeta+\left|A_{M}\right|^{2} \zeta\right)+D_{\mathrm{v}}\left[\mathbf{C} \cdot e^{-\sqrt{2} \mathrm{v}}\right]_{\mathrm{v}=\mathrm{v}_{0}} \zeta= \\
-E\left(\mathrm{v}_{0}, \delta\right)-\left(\mathbf{C} \cdot e^{-\sqrt{2}\left(\mathrm{v}_{0}+\zeta\right)}-\mathbf{C} \cdot e^{-\sqrt{2} \mathrm{v}_{0}}-D_{\mathrm{v}}\left[\mathbf{C} \cdot e^{-\sqrt{2} \mathrm{v}}\right]_{\mathrm{v}=\mathrm{v}_{0}} \zeta\right), \quad \text { in } M \tag{3.23}
\end{gather*}
$$

Let us observe that

$$
\begin{align*}
& D_{\mathrm{v}}\left[\mathbf{C} \cdot e^{-\sqrt{2} \mathrm{v}}\right]_{\mathrm{v}=\omega_{0}+\delta \omega_{1}}=D_{\mathrm{v}}\left[\mathbf{C} \cdot e^{-\sqrt{2} \mathrm{v}}\right]_{\mathrm{v}=\omega_{0}} \\
&+\mathbf{C} \cdot\left(\left[D_{\mathrm{v}} e^{-\sqrt{2} \mathrm{v}}\right]_{\mathrm{v}=\omega_{0}+\delta \omega_{1}}-D_{\mathrm{v}}\left[e^{-\sqrt{2} \mathrm{v}}\right]_{\mathrm{v}=\omega_{0}}\right) . \tag{3.24}
\end{align*}
$$

Proceeding as in 3.18, it can be checked that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\mathbf{C} \cdot\left(\left[D_{\mathrm{v}} e^{-\sqrt{2} \mathrm{v}}\right]_{\mathrm{v}=\omega_{0}+\delta \omega_{1}}-D_{\mathrm{v}}\left[e^{-\sqrt{2} \mathrm{v}}\right]_{\mathrm{v}=\omega_{0}}\right)\right\|_{\infty, \beta} \leq C \delta \tag{3.25}
\end{equation*}
$$

for any $0<\beta<4-4 \delta$. Consequently, we can write system 3.23 as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{L}_{\delta}(\zeta)=-E\left(\mathrm{v}_{0}, \delta\right)-\mathrm{Q}\left(\mathrm{v}_{0}, \zeta\right), \quad \text { in } M \tag{3.26}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{gathered}
\mathcal{L}_{\delta}(\zeta):=\delta\left(\Delta_{M} \zeta+\left|A_{M}\right|^{2} \zeta\right)-\sqrt{2}\left|A_{M}\right|^{2} \mathbf{C} \cdot A(\mathrm{y}, \delta) \zeta \\
A(\mathrm{y}, 0):=\operatorname{diag}\left(\frac{(m-j) j}{2}\right)_{(m-1) \times(m-1)} \\
\left\|\left|A_{M}\right|^{2}(A(\cdot, \delta)-A(\cdot, 0))\right\|_{\infty, \beta} \leq C \delta, \quad 0<\beta<4-4 \delta
\end{gathered}
$$

and

$$
\mathrm{Q}\left(\mathrm{v}_{0}, \zeta\right):=\mathbf{C} \cdot e^{-\sqrt{2}\left(\mathrm{v}_{0}+\zeta\right)}-\mathbf{C} \cdot e^{-\sqrt{2} \mathrm{v}_{0}}-D_{\mathrm{v}}\left[\mathbf{C} \cdot e^{-\sqrt{2} \mathrm{v}}\right]_{\mathrm{v}=\mathrm{v}_{0}} \zeta
$$

The following proposition provides a suitable linear theory needed to solve the linear equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{L}_{\delta}(\zeta)=\widetilde{g}, \quad, \text { in } M \tag{3.27}
\end{equation*}
$$

in the class of axially symmetric even functions.
Proposition 3.2. For every $\delta>0$ small enough and any given axially symmetric even vector function $\widetilde{g}$ with

$$
\|\widetilde{g}\|_{p, \beta}<\infty
$$

for $\frac{4}{3}<p \leq \infty$ and $\frac{5}{2}<\beta<4-\frac{2}{p}$, there exists a unique axially symmetric even solution $\zeta$ to system (3.27) satisfying the estimates

$$
\begin{align*}
& \|\zeta\|_{\delta, p, \beta} \leq C \delta^{-\frac{3}{4}}\|\widetilde{g}\|_{p, \beta}  \tag{3.28}\\
& \|\zeta\|_{\delta, \infty} \leq C \delta^{-\frac{3}{4}}\|\widetilde{g}\|_{\infty, \beta} \tag{3.29}
\end{align*}
$$

where we recall that

$$
\|\zeta\|_{\delta, p, \beta}:=\delta\left\|D^{2} \zeta\right\|_{p, \beta}+\delta^{\frac{1}{2}}\|(1+r(y)) D \zeta\|_{L^{\infty}(M)}+\left\|\log (r(y)+2)^{-1} \zeta\right\|_{L^{\infty}(M)} .
$$

We remark that the constant $C>0$ in proposition 3.2 does not depend on $\delta$ but rather on $\beta$ and $p$. We provide the proof of this result in next section.

We finish this section solving system (3.26). Let $\zeta=T_{\delta}(\widetilde{g})$ denote the linear operator provided by proposition 3.2 . We recast system (3.26) as the fixed point problem for the vector function $\zeta$

$$
\zeta=R(\zeta), \quad R(\zeta):=T_{\delta}\left[-E\left(\mathrm{v}_{0}, \delta\right)-\mathrm{Q}\left(\mathrm{v}_{0}, \zeta\right)\right]
$$

in the Banach space $X$ of smooth vector functions $\zeta$ with the norm

$$
\|\zeta\|_{X}:=\|\zeta\|_{\delta, \infty}<\infty
$$

From (3.18) and for any $\beta$ such that $2<\beta<4-4 \delta$, we get that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|E\left(\mathrm{v}_{0}, \delta\right)\right\|_{\infty, \beta} \leq C \delta^{2} \tag{3.30}
\end{equation*}
$$

and consequently, from 3.29 we obtain that

$$
\|R(0)\|_{X}=\left\|T_{\delta}\left[E\left(\mathrm{v}_{0}, \delta\right)\right]\right\|_{X} \leq C \delta^{\frac{5}{4}}
$$

On the other hand, proceeding as we did to verify 3.18, for any $\frac{5}{2}<\beta<4-4 \delta$ and any $\zeta$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|\zeta\|_{X} \leq C \delta^{\frac{5}{4}} \tag{3.31}
\end{equation*}
$$

it follows that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|T_{\delta}\left[\mathrm{Q}\left(\mathrm{v}_{0}, \zeta\right)\right]\right\|_{X} & \leq C \delta^{-\frac{3}{4}}\left\|\mathrm{Q}\left(\mathrm{v}_{0}, \zeta\right)\right\|_{\infty, \beta} \\
& \leq C \delta^{-\frac{3}{4}}\|\zeta\|_{X}^{2} \\
& =\mathcal{O}\left(\delta^{\frac{7}{4}}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Finally, we check the Lipschitz character of $\mathrm{Q}\left(\mathrm{v}_{0}, \zeta\right)$ respect to $\zeta$, we simply observe that for $\zeta_{1}, \zeta_{2}$ satisfying (3.31), we have

$$
\begin{gathered}
\mathrm{Q}\left(\mathrm{v}_{0}, \zeta_{1}\right)-\mathrm{Q}\left(\mathrm{v}_{0}, \zeta_{2}\right)= \\
\mathbf{C} \cdot\left[e^{-\sqrt{2}\left(\mathrm{v}_{0}+\zeta_{1}\right)}-e^{-\sqrt{2}\left(\mathrm{v}_{0}+\zeta_{2}\right)}-D_{\mathrm{v}}\left(e^{-\sqrt{2} \mathrm{v}}\right)_{\mathrm{v}=\mathrm{v}_{0}}\left(\zeta_{1}-\zeta_{2}\right)\right]
\end{gathered}
$$

From this and proceeding again as we did to obtain (3.30), the inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\mathrm{Q}\left(\mathrm{v}_{0}, \zeta_{1}\right)-\mathrm{Q}\left(\mathrm{v}_{0}, \zeta_{2}\right)\right\|_{\infty, \beta} \leq C \delta^{\frac{5}{4}}\left\|\zeta_{1}-\zeta_{2}\right\|_{X} \tag{3.32}
\end{equation*}
$$

follows. This implies that

$$
\left\|R\left(\zeta_{1}\right)-R\left(\zeta_{2}\right)\right\|_{X} \leq C \delta^{-\frac{3}{4}}\left\|\mathrm{Q}\left(\mathrm{v}_{0}, \zeta_{1}\right)-\mathrm{Q}\left(\mathrm{v}_{0}, \zeta_{2}\right)\right\|_{\infty, \beta} \leq C \delta^{\frac{1}{2}}\left\|\zeta_{1}-\zeta_{2}\right\|_{X}
$$

Hence, the function $R$ maps the ball in X of radius $K \delta^{\frac{5}{4}}$ onto itself, provided the constant $K>0$ is chosen large enough, but independent of $\delta>0$ small. A direct application of Banach fixed point theorem allows us to solve system 3.26). We have thus proven the following proposition.

Proposition 3.3. For every $\delta>0$ small and $\beta$ such that $\frac{5}{2}<\beta<4(1-\delta)$ there exists a unique axially symmetric even and smooth solution $\zeta$ to the system

$$
\mathcal{L}_{\delta}(\zeta)=-E\left(\mathrm{v}_{0}, \delta\right)-Q\left(\mathrm{v}_{0}, \zeta\right), \quad \text { in } M
$$

satisfying that

$$
\|\zeta\|_{\delta, \infty} \leq K \delta^{\frac{5}{4}}, \quad\left\|(1+r(y))^{j} D^{(j)} \zeta\right\|_{\infty} \leq K \delta^{\frac{5}{4}-\frac{j}{2}}, \quad j=1,2, \ldots
$$

To conclude the proof of proposition 3.1 simply notice that, from the previous proposition and a direct computation, the solution $h=\mathbf{B}^{-1}\left[\mathrm{v}_{0}+\zeta\right]$ is such that

$$
h_{l}=\left(l-\frac{m+1}{2}\right)\left[\sigma_{\alpha}+\left(1-\frac{1}{\sqrt{2} \sigma_{\alpha}}\right) \log \left(\left|A_{M}(\mathrm{y})\right|^{-2}\right)\right]+\widetilde{h}_{l}, \quad l=1, \ldots, m
$$

with the $\widetilde{h}_{l}$ as predicted in Proposition 3.1 .

## 4. Jacobi operator and the linear Jacobi-Toda operator on the CATENOID.

This section is devoted to prove Proposition 3.2 and the study of the linearization of the decoupled Jacobi-Toda system around the exact solution we found in the previous section.
4.1 Linearized Jacobi-Toda Operator. We first prove proposition 3.2. In order to do so, we study the linear system

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta \Delta_{M} \zeta+\left|A_{M}\right|^{2}(-\sqrt{2} \mathbf{C} \cdot A(\mathrm{y}, 0)+\delta \mathbf{I}) \zeta=\widetilde{g}, \quad \text { in } M \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where we recall that

$$
A(\mathrm{y}, 0)=\operatorname{diag}\left(\frac{(m-j) j}{2}\right)_{(m-1) \times(m-1)}
$$

and the matrix $\mathbf{C}$ is given in (3.6). Actually, a direct computation shows that the numbers

$$
1, \frac{1}{2}, \ldots, \frac{m-1}{m}
$$

are the $m-1$ eigenvalues of the matrix $-\mathbf{C}$, so that $-\mathbf{C}$ is symmetric and positive definite. Let us write

$$
\zeta=[-\mathbf{C}]^{\frac{1}{2}} \psi, \quad \widetilde{g}=[-\mathbf{C}]^{\frac{1}{2}} \bar{g}
$$

System 4.1 becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta \Delta_{M} \psi+\left|A_{M}\right|^{2}(\delta \mathbf{I}+\mathcal{B}) \psi=\bar{g}, \quad \text { in } M \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the matrix $\mathcal{B}$ is given by

$$
\mathcal{B}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}[-\mathbf{C}]^{\frac{1}{2}} \operatorname{diag}((m-j) j)_{(m-1) \times(m-1)}[-\mathbf{C}]^{\frac{1}{2}}
$$

Next, we consider the eigenvectors $\hat{e}_{1}, \ldots, \hat{e}_{m-1}$ of the matrix $\mathcal{B}$, i,e

$$
\mathcal{B} \cdot \hat{e}_{i}=\lambda_{i} \hat{e}_{i}, \quad i=1, \ldots, m-1
$$

and we write

$$
\psi=\sum_{i=1}^{m-1} \psi_{i} \hat{e}_{i}, \quad \bar{g}=\sum_{i=1}^{m-1} \bar{g}_{i} \hat{e}_{i}
$$

Hence, system 4.1 decouples into $m-1$ scalar equations, namely

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta \Delta_{M} \psi_{i}+\left|A_{M}\right|^{2}\left(\lambda_{i}+\delta\right) \psi_{i}=\bar{g}_{i}, \quad \text { in } M, \quad i=1, \ldots, m-1 \tag{4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

The eigenvalues $\lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{m-1}$ are positive, a fact that makes invertibility of each equation in 4.3 a very delicate matter.

Without any lose of generality, we study solvability theory for the model linear equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
L_{\delta}(\psi)=\delta \Delta_{M} \psi+\left|A_{M}\right|^{2} \psi=\widetilde{g}, \quad \text { in } M \tag{4.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since we are working in the class of axially symmetric and even functions, we only need to study solutions to

$$
L_{\delta} \psi=0, \quad \text { in } M \cap\left\{x_{3} \geq 0\right\}
$$

which in the arch-length variable of $M$ reads as the ODE

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta\left(\psi^{\prime \prime}(y)+\frac{y}{1+y^{2}} \psi^{\prime}(y)\right)+\frac{2}{\left(1+y^{2}\right)^{2}} \psi(y)=0, \quad y \geq 0 \tag{4.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let us denote $y_{\delta}>0$, the real number such that $\sqrt{1+y_{\delta}^{2}}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{\delta}}$.
Consider the change of variables $y=\sinh (t)$ and consider the outer region $y>y_{\delta}$. Let us choose $T_{\delta}>0$ so that $\delta \cosh ^{2}\left(T_{\delta}\right)=2$. Hence writing solutions to 4.5 in the form $\psi(y)=\phi(t)$, we see that the function $\phi$ must satisfy

$$
\begin{equation*}
\partial_{t t} \phi+p_{\delta}(t) \phi=0, \quad p_{\delta}(t):=2 \delta^{-1} \operatorname{sech}^{2}(t) \quad t>T_{\delta} \tag{4.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

The following lemma gives us a precise description of the solutions to 4.6 in the outer region $t>T_{\delta}$.

Lemma 4.1. The linear $O D E$ 4.6 has two linearly independent solutions, $\phi_{1}(t)$, $\phi_{2}(t)$, satisfying that

$$
\begin{array}{cc}
\phi_{1}(t)=t+\mathcal{O}(1), \quad \partial_{t} \phi_{1}(t)=1+\mathcal{O}\left(t^{-1}\right), \quad \text { for } t>T_{\delta} \\
\phi_{2}(t)=1+\mathcal{O}\left(t^{-1}\right), \quad \partial_{t} \phi_{2}(t)=\mathcal{O}\left(t^{-1}\right), \quad \text { for } t>T_{\delta} \tag{4.8}
\end{array}
$$

provided $\delta$ is small enough, which amounts to the fact that $T_{\delta}$ is large enough. Even more, $\phi_{2}(t)$ satisfies the estimate

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\partial_{t} \phi_{2}(t)\right| \leq C\left\|\phi_{2}\right\|_{L^{\infty}\left(T_{\delta}, \infty\right)} p_{\delta}(t), \quad t>T_{\delta} \tag{4.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. First let us look for a solution $\phi_{1}(t)$ to 4.6) of the form $\phi_{1}(t)=t v(t)$. We find that $v(t)$ must solve

$$
\partial_{t}\left(t^{2} \partial_{t} v(t)\right)+p_{\delta}(t) t^{2} v(t)=0
$$

Setting $z(t)=t^{2} \partial_{t} v(t)$, we obtain the first order IVP for $z(t)$ and $v(t)$

$$
\partial_{t} z(t)=-p_{\delta}(t) t^{2} v(t), \quad \partial_{t} v(t)=\frac{1}{t^{2}} z(t), \quad z\left(T_{\delta}\right)=z_{0}, \quad v\left(T_{\delta}\right)=v_{0}
$$

Integrating each equation on the system, we find that

$$
z(t)=z_{0}-\int_{T_{\delta}}^{t} p_{\delta}(\tau) \tau^{2} v(\tau) d \tau, \quad v(t)=v_{0}+\int_{T_{\delta}}^{t} \frac{1}{\tau^{2}} z(\tau) d \tau
$$

Hence, using this integral formulas and Fubini's theorem, we obtain the integral representation for $z(t)$

$$
z(t)=z_{0}-v_{0} \int_{T_{\delta}}^{t} p_{\delta}(\tau) \tau^{2} d \tau-\int_{T_{\delta}}^{t} \frac{1}{\tau^{2}} z(\tau) \int_{\tau}^{t} p_{\delta}(s) s^{2} d s d \tau
$$

Next, we prove that $z(t)$ is bounded. First observe that

$$
0 \leq \int_{T_{\delta}}^{t} p_{\delta}(\tau) \tau^{2} d \tau \leq \int_{T_{\delta}}^{\infty} p_{\delta}(\tau) \tau^{2} d \tau \leq C \delta^{-1} T_{\delta}^{2} e^{-2 T_{\delta}} \leq C T_{\delta}^{2}
$$

where $C>0$ is independent of $\delta$, provided $\delta>0$ is small enough. On the other hand

$$
|z(t)| \leq C\left(\left|z_{0}\right|+\delta^{-1}\left|v_{0}\right|\right)+\int_{T_{\delta}}^{t} p_{\delta}(\tau)|z(\tau)| d \tau
$$

and directly from Gronwall's inequality we obtain that

$$
|z(t)| \leq C\left(\left|z_{0}\right|+\delta^{-1}\left|v_{0}\right|\right) \exp \left(\int_{T_{\delta}}^{t} p_{\delta}(\tau) d \tau\right)
$$

Since

$$
\int_{T_{\delta}}^{\infty} p_{\delta}(\tau) d \tau \leq \frac{C}{\delta} e^{-2 T_{\delta}}
$$

then for $\delta$ small enough, and taking $v_{0}=0$, we find that $|z(t)| \leq C\left|z_{0}\right|$, for $t>T_{\delta}$.

Plugging this into the integral formula for $z(t)$ we observe that

$$
z(t)=z_{0}+\int_{T_{\delta}}^{t} z(\tau) \frac{1}{\tau^{2}} \int_{\tau}^{t} p_{\delta}(s) s^{2} d s d \tau
$$

Since $z(t)$ is bounded, we obtain that

$$
z(\infty)=\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} z(t)=z_{0}+\int_{T_{\delta}}^{\infty} z(\tau) \frac{1}{\tau^{2}} \int_{\tau}^{\infty} p_{\delta}(s) s^{2} d s d \tau
$$

and without any lose of generality we write

$$
z(t)=1+\int_{t}^{\infty} z(\tau) \frac{1}{\tau^{2}} \int_{\tau}^{t} p_{\delta}(s) s^{2} d s d \tau, \quad t>T_{\delta}
$$

Observe that

$$
|z(t)-1| \leq C p_{\delta}(t) \leq C e^{-2\left(t-T_{\delta}\right)}, \quad t>T_{\delta}
$$

From the integral formula for $v(t)$, we obtain that

$$
v(t)=v(\infty)+\int_{t}^{\infty} z(\tau) \frac{1}{\tau^{2}} d \tau=v(\infty)+\mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{t}\right)
$$

so that, we may choose

$$
\phi_{1}(t)=t+\mathcal{O}(1), \quad t>T_{\delta}, \quad \partial_{t} \phi_{1}(t)=v(t)+t \partial_{t} v(t)=1+\mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{t}\right) .
$$

Using the reduction of order formula, we find the second solution $\phi_{2}(t)$, satisfying that

$$
\phi_{2}(t)=1+\mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{t}\right), \quad \partial_{t} \phi_{2}(t)=\mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{t}\right)
$$

To find estimate 4.9, observe that $\partial_{t} \phi_{2}(\infty)=0$. So we obtain from 4.6) that

$$
\partial_{t} \phi_{2}(t)=-\int_{t}^{\infty} p_{\delta}(\tau) \phi_{2}(\tau) d \tau, \quad t>T_{\delta}
$$

from where

$$
\left|\partial_{t} \phi_{2}(t)\right| \leq C\left\|\phi_{2}\right\|_{L^{\infty}\left(T_{\delta}, \infty\right)} p_{\delta}(t), \quad \text { for } t>T_{\delta}
$$

This concludes the proof of the lemma.
Next, we describe solutions to 4.5 in the whole line and in the arch-length variable $y$. Let $\left\{\psi_{1}(y), \psi_{2}(y)\right\}$ be a fundamental set of 4.5), with

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi_{i}(0)=c_{i, 1}, \quad \partial_{y} \psi_{i}(0)=c_{i, 2} \delta^{-\frac{1}{2}}, \quad i=1,2 \tag{4.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $c_{1,1} c_{2,2}-c_{1,2} c_{2,1}=1$, so that the wronskian is given by

$$
W\left(\psi_{1}, \psi_{2}\right)=\frac{\delta^{-\frac{1}{2}}}{\sqrt{1+y^{2}}}, \quad \forall y \in \mathbb{R}
$$

The following proposition completes the description of the kernel.
Proposition 4.1. The fundamental set $\left\{\psi_{1}, \psi_{2}\right\}$ of 4.5 satisfies the following estimates

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left|\psi_{i}(y)\right| \leq C\left(1+y^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{4}}, \quad\left|\partial_{y} \psi_{i}(y)\right| \leq C \delta^{-\frac{1}{2}}\left(1+y^{2}\right)^{-\frac{1}{4}}, \quad 0 \leq y \leq y_{\delta}  \tag{4.11}\\
\left|\psi_{i}(y)\right| \leq C \delta^{-\frac{1}{4}}|\log (\delta)| \ln (1+|y|), \quad(1+|y|)\left|\partial_{y} \psi_{i}(y)\right| \leq C \delta^{-\frac{1}{4}}, \quad y \geq y_{\delta} \tag{4.12}
\end{gather*}
$$

Proof. We pass to the sphere $S^{2}$ using the stereographic projection

$$
y=\tan (\theta), \quad \text { for } 0<\theta<\theta_{\delta}
$$

where the number $\theta<\theta_{\delta}$ is such that $y_{\delta}=\tan \left(\theta_{\delta}\right), 0<\theta_{\delta}<\frac{\pi}{2}$. Writing

$$
\psi(y)=\varphi(\theta), \quad \text { for } 0<\theta<\theta_{\delta}
$$

we find that $\varphi$ solves the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\partial_{\theta \theta} \varphi(\theta)-\tan (\theta) \partial_{\theta} \varphi(\theta)+\frac{2}{\delta} \varphi(\theta)=0 \tag{4.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Assume further that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi(y)=\frac{1}{\sqrt{\cos (\theta)}} \gamma\left(\frac{\theta}{\sqrt{\delta}}\right), \quad \text { for } 0<\theta<\theta_{\delta} \tag{4.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

so that

$$
\partial_{s s} \gamma(s)+\left(\left[1+\frac{\delta}{4}\right]+\frac{\delta}{4} \sec ^{2}(\sqrt{\delta} s)\right) \gamma(s)=0, \quad \text { for } 0<s<s_{\delta}:=\frac{\theta_{\delta}}{\sqrt{\delta}}
$$

We claim that $\gamma(s)$ and $\partial_{s} \gamma(s)$ are uniformly bounded in $\left(0, s_{\delta}\right)$. To prove this claim, we consider the pointwise energy

$$
J(s):=\left|\partial_{s} \gamma(s)\right|^{2}+\left[1+\frac{\delta}{4}\right]|\gamma(s)|^{2}
$$

for which

$$
\partial_{s} J(s)=-2 \partial_{s} \gamma(s) \gamma(s) \frac{\delta}{4} \sec ^{2}(\sqrt{\delta} s)
$$

Hence, for constant $C>0$ independent of $\delta>0$, it follows that

$$
\left|\partial_{s} J(s)\right| \leq C J(s) \frac{\delta}{4} \sec ^{2}(\sqrt{\delta} s)
$$

and consequently

$$
0 \leq J(s) \leq J(0)+C \frac{\delta}{4} \int_{0}^{s} J(\xi) \sec ^{2}(\sqrt{\delta} \xi) d \xi, \quad \text { for } 0<s<s_{\delta}
$$

Using Gronwall's inequality, we find that

$$
\begin{equation*}
J(s) \leq J(0) \exp \left(C \frac{\delta}{4} \int_{0}^{s_{\delta}} \sec ^{2}(\sqrt{\delta} \xi) d \xi\right) \tag{4.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

We compute explicitly the integral in 4.15 to find that

$$
\frac{\delta}{4} \int_{0}^{s_{\delta}} \sec ^{2}(\sqrt{\delta} \xi) d \xi=\frac{\sqrt{\delta}}{4} \tan \left(\sqrt{\delta} s_{\delta}\right)=\frac{\sqrt{\delta}}{4} \tan \left(\theta_{\delta}\right) \leq c_{0}
$$

where $c_{0}$ does not depend on $\delta>0$. Hence, we find that

$$
J(s):=\left|\partial_{s} \gamma(s)\right|^{2}+\left[1+\frac{\delta}{4}\right]|\gamma(s)|^{2} \leq C J(0), \quad 0<s<\frac{\theta_{\delta}}{\sqrt{\delta}}
$$

and so the claim is proven. Pulling back the change of variables given in 4.14) and since

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(1+y^{2}\right) \partial_{y} \psi(y)=\frac{1}{\sqrt{\delta}} \frac{\partial_{s} \gamma\left(\frac{\theta}{\sqrt{\delta}}\right)}{\sqrt{\cos (\theta)}}+\frac{\sin (\theta) \gamma\left(\frac{\theta}{\sqrt{\delta}}\right)}{2 \cos ^{\frac{3}{2}}(\theta)} \tag{4.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

we find that

$$
\psi(0)=\gamma(0), \quad \partial_{y} \psi(0)=\delta^{-\frac{1}{2}} \partial_{s} \gamma(0)
$$

and consequently we obtain 4.11.

On the other hand, using lemma 4.1 we may find another fundamental set for (4.5), say $\left\{\widetilde{\psi}_{1}(y), \widetilde{\psi}_{2}(y)\right\}$, such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \widetilde{\psi}_{1}(y)=\ln (1+|y|)+\mathcal{O}(1), \quad(1+|y|) \partial_{y} \widetilde{\psi}_{1}(y)=1+\mathcal{O}\left(\ln (1+|y|)^{-1}\right), \quad y \geq y_{\delta} \\
& \widetilde{\psi}_{2}(y)=1+\mathcal{O}\left(\ln (1+|y|)^{-1}\right), \quad(1+|y|) \partial_{y} \widetilde{\psi}_{2}(y)=\mathcal{O}\left(\left.\log (1+|y|)\right|^{-1}\right), \quad y \geq y_{\delta}
\end{aligned}
$$

and with wronski determinant

$$
0<W\left(\widetilde{\psi}_{1}, \widetilde{\psi}_{2}\right)=c\left(1+y^{2}\right)^{-\frac{1}{2}}
$$

Let us consider next equation 4.5 for $\psi_{i}$ in the region $y>y_{\delta}$. Since $\gamma(s)$, $\left|\partial_{s} \gamma(s)\right|$ are uniformly bounded, we find from 4.14) and 4.16) the conditions

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi_{i}\left(y_{\delta}\right)=\mathcal{O}\left(\delta^{-\frac{1}{4}}\right), \quad \partial_{y} \psi_{i}\left(y_{\delta}\right)=\mathcal{O}\left(\delta^{\frac{1}{4}}\right) \tag{4.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

and we write

$$
\psi_{i}(y)=c_{i, 1} \tilde{\psi}_{1}+c_{i, 2} \widetilde{\psi}_{2}, \quad y \geq y_{\delta}, \quad i=1,2 .
$$

A direct computation shows that

$$
\binom{c_{i, 1}}{c_{i, 2}}=c \delta^{-\frac{1}{2}}\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\partial_{y} \widetilde{\psi}_{2}\left(y_{\delta}\right) & -\widetilde{\psi}_{2}\left(y_{\delta}\right) \\
-\partial_{y} \widetilde{\psi}_{1}\left(y_{\delta}\right) & \widetilde{\psi}_{1}\left(y_{\delta}\right)
\end{array}\right) \cdot\binom{\psi_{i}\left(y_{\delta}\right)}{\partial_{y} \psi_{i}\left(y_{\delta}\right)}
$$

From this we obtain that

$$
c_{i, 1}=\mathcal{O}\left(\delta^{-\frac{1}{4}}\right), \quad c_{i, 2}=\mathcal{O}\left(\delta^{-\frac{1}{4}}|\log (\delta)|\right)
$$

and clearly 4.12 follows at once from these remarks.
The proof of Proposition 3.2: Using proposition 4.1 we choose a solution to 4.4 defined by the variations of parameters formula
$\psi(y)=-\delta^{-\frac{1}{2}} \psi_{1}(y) \int_{0}^{y} \sqrt{1+\xi^{2}} \psi_{2}(\xi) \widetilde{g}(\xi) d \xi+\delta^{-\frac{1}{2}} \psi_{2}(y) \int_{0}^{y} \sqrt{1+\xi^{2}} \psi_{1}(\xi) \widetilde{g}(\xi) d \xi$.
In order to estimate the size of $\psi$, we observe that for $2 \leq p<\infty, \beta>\frac{5}{2}$ and $0<y<y_{\delta}$, it holds that

$$
\int_{0}^{y} \sqrt{1+\xi^{2}}\left|\psi_{i}(\xi)\right||\widetilde{g}(\xi)| d \xi \leq C\|\widetilde{g}\|_{p, \beta}\left(\int_{0}^{y}(1+|\xi|)^{\left(1+\frac{p^{\prime}}{2}-\beta p^{\prime}\right)} d \xi\right)^{\frac{1}{p^{\prime}}}
$$

Directly from this inequality and using (4.11), we find that

$$
\left|\psi_{i}(y) \int_{0}^{y} \sqrt{1+\xi^{2}} \psi_{j}(\xi) \widetilde{g}(\xi) d \xi\right| \leq C \delta^{-\frac{1}{4}}\|\widetilde{g}\|_{p, \beta}, \quad i, j=1,2, \quad i \neq j
$$

and since we are taking $\beta>\frac{5}{2}$ and using again 4.11, we get that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta^{\frac{1}{2}} \sqrt{1+y^{2}}\left|\psi^{\prime}(y)\right|+|\psi(y)| \leq C \delta^{-\frac{3}{4}}\|\widetilde{g}\|_{p, \beta}, \quad 0<y \leq y_{\delta} \tag{4.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proceeding as above, we observe that for $y>y_{\delta}$

$$
\int_{0}^{y} \sqrt{1+\xi^{2}}\left|\psi_{i}(\xi)\right||\widetilde{g}(\xi)| d \xi \leq C\|\widetilde{g}\|_{p, \beta}+\int_{y_{\delta}}^{y} \sqrt{1+\xi^{2}}\left|\psi_{i}(\xi)\right||\widetilde{g}(\xi)| d \xi
$$

and using 4.12 and since $\beta>\frac{5}{2}$, we find that for some $\varepsilon>0$ small

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{y_{\delta}}^{y} \sqrt{1+\xi^{2}}\left|\psi_{i}(\xi)\right||\widetilde{g}(\xi)| d \xi & \leq C|\log (\delta)| \delta^{-\frac{1}{4}}\|\widetilde{g}\|_{p, \beta}\left(\int_{y_{\delta}}^{y}(1+|\xi|)^{\left(1-\beta p^{\prime}\right)} \log (1+|\xi|)^{p^{\prime}}\right)^{\frac{1}{p^{\prime}}} \\
& \leq C \delta^{\varepsilon}\|\widetilde{g}\|_{p, \beta}
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence, using again 4.12, it holds that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta^{\frac{1}{2}} \sqrt{1+y^{2}}\left|\psi^{\prime}(y)\right|+\log (2+|y|)^{-1}|\psi(y)| \leq C \delta^{-\frac{3}{4}}\|\widetilde{g}\|_{p, \beta}, \quad y \geq y_{\delta} \tag{4.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

Putting together, estimate 4.19 and 4.20 we obtain that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sqrt{\delta}\|(1+r(\mathrm{y})) D \psi\|_{L^{\infty}(M)}+\left\|\log (2+r(\mathrm{y}))^{-1} \psi\right\|_{L^{\infty}(M)} \leq C \delta^{-\frac{3}{4}}\|\widetilde{g}\|_{p, \beta} \tag{4.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

Finally, observe that for $2 \leq p<\infty, \beta<3$ and some $\varepsilon>0$ arbitrarily small, we have that
$\int_{M}\left(1+r(\mathrm{y})^{\beta}\right)^{p}\left|A_{M}(\mathrm{y})\right|^{2 p}|\psi(\mathrm{y})|^{p} d V_{M} \leq C \|\left(\log (r(\mathrm{y})+2)^{-1} \psi \|_{L^{\infty}(M)} \int_{M}(1+r(\mathrm{y}))^{(\beta-4-\varepsilon) p} d V_{M}\right.$.
Since $(\beta-4) p<-2$, we obtain that

$$
\left\|\left|A_{M}\right|^{2} \psi\right\|_{p, \beta} \leq C \|\left(\log (r(\mathrm{y})+2)^{-1} \psi\left\|_{L^{\infty}(M)} \leq C \delta^{-\frac{3}{4}}\right\| \widetilde{g} \|_{p, \beta}\right.
$$

and so, from 4.4.

$$
\|\psi\|_{\delta, p, \beta} \leq C \delta^{-\frac{3}{4}}\|\widetilde{g}\|_{p, \beta}
$$

where

$$
\|\psi\|_{\delta, p, \beta}=\delta\left\|D^{2} \psi\right\|_{p, \beta}+\delta^{\frac{1}{2}}\|(1+r(\mathrm{y})) D \psi\|_{L^{\infty}(M)}+\left\|\log (2+r(\mathrm{y}))^{-1} \psi\right\|_{L^{\infty}(M)} .
$$

The case $p=\infty$ is treated in an analogous fashion.
To finish the proof of Proposition 3.2, we simply notice that linear system (4.1) can be written as the fixed point problem

$$
\psi=L_{\delta}^{-1}[\widetilde{g}]-L_{\delta}^{-1}\left[-\left|A_{M}\right|^{2}(A(\mathrm{y}, \delta)-A(\mathrm{y}, 0) \psi)\right]
$$

and as we observed before, it holds that

$$
\left\|\left|A_{M}\right|^{2}(A(\cdot, \delta)-A(\cdot, 0))\right\|_{p, \beta} \leq C \delta
$$

then a direct application of the contraction mapping principle, in both of the norms (3.20)-3.21) for $\psi$, completes the proof of the proposition 3.2 .
4.2 The Jacobi Operator in $M$. To study the linearization of the system (3.1), we also need to develop solvability theory for the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{J}_{M}(v)=\Delta_{M} v+\left|A_{M}\right|^{2} v=g, \quad \text { in } M \tag{4.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

Operator $\mathcal{J}_{M}$ in equation 4.22 corresponds to the linearization around the catenoid $M$ of the mean curvature operator.

It is well known that the catenoid $M$ is $L^{\infty}$-nondegenerate, in the sense that the functions $z_{i}=\nu \cdot e_{i}$, for $i=1,2,3$ are the only bounded solutions to the equation

$$
\mathcal{J}_{M}(v)=\Delta_{M} v+\left|A_{M}\right|^{2} v=0, \quad \text { in } M
$$

where $e_{1}, e_{2}, e_{3}$ corresponds to the canonical basis in $\mathbb{R}^{3}$. One can check directly that $z_{3}(\mathrm{y})$, which has the explicit expression

$$
z_{3}(\mathrm{y})=\frac{y}{\sqrt{1+y^{2}}}, \quad \mathrm{y}=Y(y, \theta) \in M
$$

is the only bounded axially symmetric jacobi field. Hence, using the reduction of order formula with the ansatz

$$
z_{4}(\mathrm{y})=1+s(y) z_{3}(y), \quad y \neq 0
$$

one can also deduce the existence of another axially symmetric element of the kernel of $\mathcal{J}_{M}$, with logarithmic growth, associated to the dilations of the catenoid $M$, namely

$$
z_{4}(\mathrm{y}):=Y(y, \theta) \cdot \nu(y, \theta)=1-\ln \left(y+\sqrt{1+y^{2}}\right) \frac{y}{\sqrt{1+y^{2}}}, \quad \mathrm{y}=Y(y, \theta) \in M
$$

We compute the derivatives of $z_{3}$ and $z_{4}$, respect to $y$, so we get

$$
\begin{gather*}
\partial_{y} z_{3}^{\prime}(y)=-\frac{1}{\left(1+y^{2}\right)^{\frac{3}{2}}}=\mathcal{O}\left(|y|^{-3}\right)  \tag{4.23}\\
\partial_{y} z_{4}^{\prime}(y)=-\ln \left(y+\sqrt{1+y^{2}}\right)\left(1+y^{2}\right)^{-\frac{3}{2}}-\frac{y}{1+y^{2}}=\mathcal{O}\left(|y|^{-1}\right) \tag{4.24}
\end{gather*}
$$

Since we are working in the class of axially symmetric functions, we use the variations of parameters formula to define $\mathcal{J}^{-1}(g):=v$, where

$$
\begin{equation*}
v(y):=-z_{3}(y) \int_{0}^{y} \sqrt{1+\xi^{2}} g(\xi) z_{4}(\xi) d \xi+z_{4}(y) \int_{-\infty}^{y} \sqrt{1+\xi^{2}} g(\xi) z_{3}(\xi) d \xi \tag{4.25}
\end{equation*}
$$

for any function $g$ satisfying that

$$
\|g\|_{p, \beta}:=\left\|\left(1+r(\mathrm{y})^{\beta}\right) g\right\|_{L^{p}(M)}<\infty
$$

Formula 4.25 defines a function $v$ that solves equation 4.22). We remark that, under the orthogonality condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \sqrt{1+\xi^{2}} g(\xi) z_{3}(\xi) d \xi=0 \tag{4.26}
\end{equation*}
$$

this solution is unique in the class of bounded functions with $v^{\prime}(0)=0$ and the following lemma gives us an estimate on the size of $\mathcal{J}^{-1}$.

Lemma 4.2. Let $g$ be an axially symmetric function satisfying condition 4.26), and such that $\|g\|_{p, \beta}<\infty$, for $1<p \leq \infty$ and $2<\beta<4-\frac{2}{p}$. Then, the function $v$, given by formula 4.25, defines an axially symmetric solution to

$$
\Delta_{M} v+\left|A_{M}\right|^{2} v=g, \quad \text { in } M,
$$

such that $v^{\prime}(0)=0$ and the following estimate holds true

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|v\|_{2, p, \beta} \leq C\|g\|_{p, \beta} \tag{4.27}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\|v\|_{2, p, \beta}:=\|v\|_{L^{\infty}(M)}+\left\|r^{\beta-1}(y) \nabla v\right\|_{L^{\infty}(M)}+\left\|D^{2} v\right\|_{p, \beta}
$$

The proof of this lemma follows calculations similar to those in the proof of proposition 3.2 , so we leave details to the reader.

Remark 4.1: To prove lemma 4.2, we simply notice that an even axially symmetric function $g$ in $L^{1}(M)$, automatically satisfies the orthogonality condition (4.26). In such a case, formula 4.25 defines an even function.

## 5. Approximation of the solution of the theorem 1

To define our approximate solution to problem (1.1), let us first observe that the heteroclinic solution to

$$
w^{\prime \prime}(s)+w\left(1-w^{2}\right)=0, \quad s \in \mathbb{R}, \quad w( \pm \infty)= \pm 1
$$

is given explicitly by

$$
w(s)=\tanh \left(\frac{s}{\sqrt{2}}\right), \quad s \in \mathbb{R}
$$

and has the asymptotic properties

$$
\begin{array}{rlc}
w(s) & =1-2 e^{-\sqrt{2} s}+\mathcal{O}\left(e^{-2 \sqrt{2}|s|}\right), & s>1 \\
w(s) & =-1+2 e^{\sqrt{2} s}+\mathcal{O}\left(e^{-2 \sqrt{2}|s|}\right), & s<-1  \tag{5.1}\\
w^{\prime}(s) & =2 \sqrt{2} e^{-\sqrt{2}|s|}+\mathcal{O}\left(e^{-2 \sqrt{2}|s|}\right), & |s|>1
\end{array}
$$

where $w^{\prime}=\frac{d w}{d s}$.
5.1 The first local approximation. Let us consider the vector function $\mathrm{h}=\left(h_{1}, \ldots, h_{m}\right)$ given in proposition (3.1) and solving the Jacobi-Toda system. Recall that every $h_{l}$ has the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
h_{l}(y)=\left(l-\frac{m+1}{2}\right)\left[\sigma+\sqrt{2}\left(1-\frac{1}{\sigma}\right) \log \left(1+y^{2}\right)\right]+\widetilde{h}_{l}(y), \quad y \in \mathbb{R} \tag{5.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left|\widetilde{h}_{l}(\mathrm{y})\right| \leq K \sigma^{-\frac{5}{4}} \log (2+r(\mathrm{y})), \quad \mathrm{y} \in M \\
\left\|(1+r(\mathrm{y}))^{j} D^{(j)} \widetilde{h}_{l}\right\|_{L^{\infty}(M)} \leq K \sigma^{-\frac{5}{4}+\frac{j}{2}}, \quad l=1, \ldots, m, \quad j \in \mathbb{N}
\end{gathered}
$$

and where $\sigma$ is the unique positive real number that solves the algebraic equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha^{2} \sigma=a_{0} e^{-\sqrt{2} \sigma} . \tag{5.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let us also consider a parameter vector function $v=\left(v_{1}, \ldots, v_{m}\right)$ satisfying the apriori estimate that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma^{-\frac{1}{4}}\left\|(1+r(\mathrm{y})) D \mathrm{v}_{l}\right\|_{L^{\infty}(M)}+\|\left(\log (2+r(\mathrm{y}))^{-1} \mathrm{v}_{l} \|_{L^{\infty}(M)} \leq K_{1} \alpha^{\tau_{0}} \sigma^{\frac{3}{4}}\right. \tag{5.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some $\tau_{0}>0$ small and $K_{1}>0$ a universal constant to be chosen large but independent of $\alpha>0$.

Let us consider $m$ normal graphs over $M$ of the axially symmetric functions $f_{l}=h_{l}+\mathrm{v}_{l} \in C^{2}(M), l=1, \ldots, m$. With a slight abuse of notation we write

$$
f_{l}(Y(y, \theta))=f_{l}(y), \quad(y, \theta) \in \mathbb{R} \times(0,2 \pi), \quad l=1, \ldots, m
$$

From (5.2)-(5.3)-(5.4), we observe that

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{l+1}(y)-f_{l}(y) \geq \sigma+\sqrt{2}\left(1-\frac{1}{\sigma}-M \sigma^{-\frac{5}{4}}\right) \log \left(1+y^{2}\right), \quad y \in \mathbb{R} \tag{5.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some positive universal constant $M>0$ and for every fixed $j=1, \ldots, m-1$.
In the region $\mathcal{N}_{\alpha}$ we consider as a local approximation the function

$$
\begin{equation*}
U_{0}(x)=\sum_{j=1}^{m} w_{j}\left(z-f_{j}(\alpha y)\right)+\frac{(-1)^{m-1}-1}{2}, \quad w_{j}(s)=(-1)^{j-1} w(s) \tag{5.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $x=X_{\alpha}(y, \theta, z) \in \mathcal{N}_{\alpha}$. Observe that for points $x \in \mathcal{N}_{\alpha}$, for which $z$ is close enough to $h_{j}(\alpha y)$, we have that

$$
U_{0}(x) \approx w_{j}\left(z-f_{j}(\alpha y)\right)
$$

For $l=1, \ldots, m$ fixed, we consider the set
$A_{l}=\left\{X_{\alpha}(y, \theta, z):\left|z-f_{l}(\alpha y)\right| \leq \frac{1}{2}\left[\sigma+\sqrt{2}\left(1-\frac{1}{\sigma}-M \sigma^{-\frac{5}{4}}\right) \log \left(1+(\alpha y)^{2}\right)\right]\right\}$.
From (5.2) it is direct to check that $A_{l} \subset \mathcal{N}_{\alpha}$, for every $\alpha>0$ small enough. Setting $t=z-f_{l}(\alpha y)$, the set $A_{l}$ can also be describe in terms of the local coordinates $X_{\alpha, f_{l}}(y, \theta, t)$ as

$$
A_{l}=\left\{X_{\alpha, f_{l}}(y, \theta, t):|t| \leq \frac{1}{2}\left[\sigma+\sqrt{2}\left(1-\frac{1}{\sigma}-M \sigma^{-\frac{5}{4}}\right) \log \left(1+(\alpha y)^{2}\right)\right]\right\}
$$

Next, with the aid of lemma 2.1. we compute the error

$$
S\left(U_{0}\right)=\Delta U_{0}+F\left(U_{0}\right), \quad \text { in } A_{l}, \quad l=1, \ldots, m
$$

of the approximation $U_{0}$ defined in 5.6 and where $F(u)=u\left(1-u^{2}\right)$.
We proceed as in lemma 2.4 in 10, collecting all the computations in the following lemma.

Lemma 5.1. For $l=1, \ldots, m$ and $x=X_{\alpha, f_{l}}(y, \theta, t) \in A_{l}$, it holds that

$$
\begin{gather*}
(-1)^{l-1} S\left(U_{0}\right)=-\alpha^{2}\left(\Delta_{M} f_{l}+\left|A_{M}\right|^{2} f_{l}\right) w^{\prime}(t) \\
+6\left(1-w^{2}(t)\right)\left[e^{-\sqrt{2} t} e^{-\sqrt{2}\left(f_{l}-f_{l-1}\right)}-e^{\sqrt{2} t} e^{-\sqrt{2}\left(f_{l+1}-f_{l}\right)}\right] \\
-\alpha^{2}\left|A_{M}\right|^{2} t w^{\prime}(t)+\alpha^{2}\left[f_{l}^{\prime}\right]^{2} w^{\prime \prime}(t)-\alpha^{3}\left(t+f_{l}\right) a_{1}\left(\alpha y, \alpha\left(t+f_{l}\right)\right) f_{l}^{\prime \prime} w^{\prime}(t) \\
-\alpha^{2} \sum_{|j-l| \geq 1}\left(\Delta_{M} f_{j}-\alpha\left(t+f_{l}\right) a_{1}\left(\alpha y, \alpha\left(t+f_{l}\right)\right) f_{j}^{\prime \prime}\right) w_{j}^{\prime}\left(t+f_{l}-f_{j}\right) \\
+\mathrm{R}_{l}\left(\alpha y, t, \mathrm{v}_{1}, \ldots, \mathrm{v}_{m}, D \mathrm{v}_{1}, \ldots, D \mathrm{v}_{m}\right) \tag{5.7}
\end{gather*}
$$

where $\mathrm{R}_{l}=\mathrm{R}_{l}(\alpha y, t, p, q)$ is smooth on its arguments and
$\left|D_{p} \mathrm{R}_{l}(\alpha y, t, p, q)\right|+\left|D_{q} \mathrm{R}_{l}(\alpha y, t, p, q)\right|+\left|\mathrm{R}_{l}(\alpha y, t, p, q)\right| \leq C \alpha^{2+\tau}(1+|\alpha y|)^{-4} e^{-\varrho|t|}$
for $0<\varrho<\sqrt{2}$, some $0<\tau<1$ and where

$$
p=\left(\mathrm{v}_{1}, \ldots, \mathrm{v}_{m}\right), \quad q=\left(D \mathrm{v}_{1}, \ldots, D \mathrm{v}_{m}\right)
$$

Proof. Denote

$$
E_{1}=F\left((-1)^{l-1} U_{0}\right), \quad E_{2}=\Delta_{X_{\alpha, h_{l}}}\left[(-1)^{l-1} U_{0}(x)\right]
$$

We first compute $E_{1}$. We begin noticing that

$$
F\left(U_{0}\right)=\sum_{j=1}^{m} F\left(w_{j}\left(t+f_{l}-f_{j}\right)\right)+\left[F\left(U_{0}(x)\right)-\sum_{j=1}^{m} F\left(w_{j}\left(t+f_{l}-f_{j}\right)\right)\right]
$$

Since $F(u)=u\left(1-u^{2}\right)$, for $u \in \mathbb{R}$, we find that

$$
\begin{equation*}
0 \leq F(u) \leq|1-u||1+u|, \quad \forall u \in[-1,1] \tag{5.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the other hand, for $|j-l| \geq 1$, we have that

$$
\left|f_{l}-f_{j}\right|=|l-j|\left[\sigma+\sqrt{2}\left(1-\frac{1}{\sigma}+\mathcal{O}\left(\sigma^{-\frac{5}{4}}\right)\right) \log \left(1+(\alpha y)^{2}\right)\right]
$$

and recall that

$$
\alpha^{2} \sigma=a_{0} e^{-\sqrt{2} \sigma}
$$

Hence, we obtain for $|j-l| \geq 1$ and for $\varepsilon \in[0,1)$ that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|t+f_{l}-f_{j}\right| & \geq|l-j|\left[\sigma+\sqrt{2}\left(1-\frac{1}{\sigma}+\mathcal{O}\left(\sigma^{-\frac{5}{4}}\right)\right) \log \left(1+(\alpha y)^{2}\right)\right]-|t| \\
& \geq\left(|j-l|-\frac{1+\varepsilon}{2}\right)\left[\sigma+\sqrt{2}\left(1-\frac{1}{\sigma}\right) \log \left(1+(\alpha y)^{2}\right)\right]+\varepsilon|t|
\end{aligned}
$$

Assume for the moment that $2 \leq l \leq m-1$. For $x=X_{\alpha, f_{l}}(y, \theta, t) \in A_{l}$ and $1 \leq j<l$, it holds that

$$
t+f_{l}(\alpha y)-f_{j}(\alpha y) \geq \frac{1}{2}\left[\sigma+\sqrt{2}\left(1-\frac{1}{\sigma}-M \sigma^{-\frac{5}{4}}\right) \log \left(1+(\alpha y)^{2}\right)\right]
$$

while for $l<j \leq m$, it holds that

$$
t+f_{l}(\alpha y)-f_{j}(\alpha y) \leq-\frac{1}{2}\left[\sigma+\sqrt{2}\left(1-\frac{1}{\sigma}-M \sigma^{-\frac{5}{4}}\right) \log \left(1+(\alpha y)^{2}\right)\right]
$$

Using the asymptotic behavior of $w(s)$ from 5.1, we find that

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
w\left(t+f_{l}-f_{j}\right)=1-2 e^{-\sqrt{2} t} e^{-\sqrt{2}\left(f_{l}-f_{j}\right)}+\mathcal{O}\left(e^{-2 \sqrt{2}\left|t+f_{l}-f_{j}\right|}\right), \quad 1 \leq j<l \\
w\left(t+f_{l}-f_{j}\right)=-1+2 e^{\sqrt{2} t} e^{\sqrt{2}\left(f_{l}-f_{j}\right)}+\mathcal{O}\left(e^{-2 \sqrt{2}\left|t+f_{l}-f_{j}\right|}\right), \quad l<j \leq m
\end{array}
$$

From (5.9) and the remarks made above, we conclude that

$$
\left|\sum_{|j-l| \geq 2} F\left(w_{j}\left(t+f_{l}-f_{j}\right)\right)\right| \leq C \max _{|j-l| \geq 2} e^{-\sqrt{2}\left|t+f_{l}-f_{j}\right|} \leq C \alpha^{2+\tau}(1+|\alpha y|)^{-4} e^{-\varrho|t|}
$$

for some $0<\varrho<\sqrt{2}$ independent of $\alpha>0$ and $0<\tau<1$ depending only on $\varrho>0$.
From the previous estimate we also observe that

$$
\begin{gather*}
(-1)^{l-1}\left[F\left(U_{0}(x)\right)-\sum_{j=1}^{m} F\left(w_{j}\left(t+f_{l}-f_{j}\right)\right)\right]= \\
(-1)^{l-1} F\left(U_{0}(x)\right)+F\left(w\left(t+f_{l}-f_{l-1}\right)\right)-F(w(t))+F\left(w\left(t+f_{l}-f_{l+1}\right)\right)+ \\
+\bar{R}_{l}\left(\alpha y, t, \mathrm{v}_{1}, \ldots, \mathrm{v}_{m}\right) \tag{5.10}
\end{gather*}
$$

where

$$
\left|D_{p} \bar{R}_{l}(\alpha y, t, p)\right|+\left|\bar{R}_{l}(\alpha y, t, p)\right| \leq C \alpha^{2+\tau}(1+|\alpha y|)^{-4} e^{-\varrho|t|}
$$

for $p=\left(\mathrm{v}_{1}, \ldots, \mathrm{v}_{m}\right)$.
Let us now denote

$$
a_{1}=w\left(t+f_{l}-f_{l-1}\right)-1, \quad a_{2}=w\left(t+f_{l}-f_{l+1}\right)+1
$$

From the mean value theorem, we can choose numbers $s_{i} \in(0,1)$, for $i=1,2,3$, such that

$$
F\left(w\left(t+f_{l}-f_{l-1}\right)\right)=F(1)+F^{\prime}(1) a_{1}+\frac{1}{2} F^{\prime \prime}\left(1+s_{1} a_{1}\right) a_{1}^{2}
$$

$$
\begin{gathered}
F\left(w\left(t+f_{l}-f_{l+1}\right)\right)=F(-1)+F^{\prime}(-1) a_{2}+\frac{1}{2} F^{\prime \prime}\left(-1+s_{2} a_{2}\right) a_{2}^{2} \\
(-1)^{l-1} F\left(U_{0}(x)\right)=F(w)-F^{\prime}(w)\left(a_{1}+a_{2}\right) \\
+F^{\prime}(w) \sum_{|j-l| \geq 2}(-1)^{j-l}\left[w\left(t+f_{l}-f_{j}\right)-\operatorname{sign}(l-j)\right] \\
+\frac{1}{2} F^{\prime \prime}\left[w+s_{3}\left((-1)^{l-1} U_{0}-w\right)\right]\left(\sum_{|j-l| \geq 1}(-1)^{j+l} w\left(t+f_{l}-f_{j}\right)-\operatorname{sign}(l-j)\right)^{2}
\end{gathered}
$$

Hence, using that $F^{\prime}(1)=F^{\prime}(-1)$, we obtain that

$$
\begin{gather*}
(-1)^{l-1} F\left(U_{0}\right)=\sum_{j=1}^{m}(-1)^{l-1} F\left(w_{j}\left(t+f_{l}-f_{j}\right)\right)+ \\
+6\left(1-w^{2}(t)\right)\left[e^{-\sqrt{2} t} e^{-\sqrt{2}\left(f_{l}-f_{l-1}\right)}-e^{\sqrt{2} t} e^{-\sqrt{2}\left(f_{l+1}-f_{l}\right)}\right] \\
+R_{l}\left(\alpha y, t, \mathrm{v}_{1}, \ldots, \mathrm{v}_{m}\right) \tag{5.11}
\end{gather*}
$$

where for $p=\left(\mathrm{v}_{1}, \ldots, \mathrm{v}_{m}\right)$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|D_{p} R_{l}(\alpha y, p)\right|+\left|R_{l}(\alpha y, t, p)\right| \leq C \alpha^{2+\tau}(1+|\alpha y|)^{-4} e^{-\varrho|t|} \tag{5.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

The remainder cases, namely $l=1$ and $l=m$, are treated in an similar fashion, replacing the term

$$
e^{-\sqrt{2} t} e^{-\sqrt{2}\left(f_{l}-f_{l-1}\right)}-e^{\sqrt{2} t} e^{-\sqrt{2}\left(f_{l+1}-f_{l}\right)}
$$

by the respective terms

$$
-e^{\sqrt{2} t} e^{-\sqrt{2}\left(f_{2}-f_{1}\right)}, \quad e^{-\sqrt{2} t} e^{-\sqrt{2}\left(f_{m}-f_{m-1}\right)}
$$

So far, we have only written the term $E_{1}$ in a convenient way. We still have to compute $E_{2}$. In order to do so, we write

$$
\begin{aligned}
E_{2} & =\Delta_{X_{\alpha, f_{l}}} w(t)+\sum_{|j-l| \geq 1} \Delta_{X_{\alpha, f_{l}}}\left[(-1)^{l-1} w_{j}\left(t+f_{l}-f_{j}\right)\right] \\
& =E_{21}+E_{22}
\end{aligned}
$$

Directly from lemma 2.1, we obtain that

$$
\begin{gathered}
E_{21}=w^{\prime \prime}(t)-\alpha^{2}\left(\Delta_{M} f_{l}+\left|A_{M}\right|^{2} f_{l}\right) w^{\prime}(t)-\alpha^{2}\left|A_{M}\right|^{2} t w^{\prime}(t)+\alpha^{2}\left[f_{l}^{\prime}\right]^{2} w^{\prime \prime}(t) \\
-\alpha^{3}\left(t+f_{l}\right) a_{1}\left(\alpha y, \alpha\left(t+f_{l}\right)\right)\left\{f_{l}^{\prime \prime} w^{\prime}(t)-\left[f_{l}^{\prime}\right]^{2} w^{\prime \prime}(t)\right\} \\
-\alpha^{3}\left(t+f_{l}\right) b_{1}\left(\alpha y, \alpha\left(t+f_{l}\right)\right) h_{l}^{\prime} w^{\prime}(t)-\alpha^{4}\left(t+f_{l}\right)^{3} b_{2}\left(\alpha y, \alpha\left(t+f_{l}\right)\right) w^{\prime}(t) .
\end{gathered}
$$

Using assumptions 5.2-5.4, we can write $E_{21}$ as follows

$$
\begin{align*}
E_{21}= & w^{\prime \prime}(t)-\alpha^{2}\left(\Delta_{M} f_{l}+\left|A_{M}\right|^{2} f_{l}\right) w^{\prime}(t)-\alpha^{2}\left|A_{M}\right|^{2} t w^{\prime}(t)+\alpha^{2}\left[f_{l}^{\prime}\right]^{2} w^{\prime \prime}(t) \\
& -\alpha^{3}\left(t+f_{l}\right) a_{1}\left(\alpha y, \alpha\left(t+f_{l}\right)\right) h_{l}^{\prime \prime} w^{\prime}(t)+\mathrm{Q}_{21}\left(\alpha y, t, \mathrm{v}_{l}, D \mathrm{v}_{l}\right) \tag{5.13}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\mathrm{Q}_{21}=\mathrm{Q}_{21}(\alpha y, t, p, q)
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|D_{p} \mathrm{Q}_{21}(\alpha y, t, p, q)\right|+\left|D_{q} \mathrm{Q}_{21}(\alpha y, t, p, q)\right|+\left|\mathrm{Q}_{21}(\alpha y, t, p, q)\right| \leq C \alpha^{3}(1+|\alpha y|)^{-4} e^{-\varrho|t|} \tag{5.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some $0<\varrho<\sqrt{2}$.
Next, we compute $E_{22}$. A direct computation yields that

$$
\begin{gathered}
(-1)^{l-1} E_{22}=\sum_{|j-l| \geq 1} w_{j}^{\prime \prime}\left(t+f_{l}-f_{j}\right) \\
-\alpha^{2} \sum_{|j-l| \geq 1}\left(\left[\Delta_{M} f_{j}+\left|A_{M}\right|^{2}\left(f_{l}+t\right)\right] w_{j}^{\prime}\left(t+f_{l}-f_{j}\right)-\left[f_{j}^{\prime}\right]^{2} w_{j}^{\prime \prime}\left(t+f_{l}-h_{j}\right)\right) \\
-\alpha^{3}\left(t+f_{l}\right) a_{1}\left(\alpha y, \alpha\left(t+f_{l}\right)\right) \sum_{|j-l| \geq 1}\left(f_{j}^{\prime \prime} w_{j}^{\prime}\left(t+f_{l}-f_{j}\right)-\left[f_{j}^{\prime}\right]^{2} w_{j}^{\prime \prime}\left(t+f_{l}-f_{j}\right)\right) \\
-\alpha^{3}\left(t+f_{l}\right) b_{1}\left(\alpha y, \alpha\left(t+f_{l}\right)\right) \sum_{|j-l| \geq 1}\left(f_{j}^{\prime} w_{j}^{\prime}-\alpha\left(t+f_{l}\right)^{3} b_{2}\left(\alpha y, \alpha\left(t+f_{l}\right)\right) w_{j}^{\prime}\left(t+f_{l}-f_{j}\right)\right) .
\end{gathered}
$$

Using the fact that for $\varepsilon \in(0,1)$ and $|j-l| \geq 1$

$$
\left|t+f_{l}-f_{j}\right| \geq\left(1-\frac{1+\varepsilon}{2}\right)\left[\sigma+\sqrt{2}\left(1-\frac{1}{\sigma}\right) \log \left(1+(\alpha y)^{2}\right)\right]+\varepsilon|t|
$$

and proceeding as above, we can write $E_{22}$ as follows

$$
\begin{gather*}
(-1)^{l-1} E_{22}=w_{j}^{\prime \prime}\left(t+f_{l}-f_{j}\right)- \\
-\alpha^{2} \sum_{|j-l| \geq 1}\left(\Delta_{M} f_{j}-\alpha\left(t+f_{l}\right) a_{1}\left(\alpha y, \alpha\left(t+f_{l}\right)\right) f_{j}^{\prime \prime}\right) w_{j}^{\prime}\left(t+f_{l}-f_{j}\right) \\
+\mathrm{Q}_{22}\left(\alpha y, t, \mathrm{v}_{1}, \ldots, \mathrm{v}_{m}, D \mathrm{v}_{1}, \ldots, D \mathrm{v}_{m}\right) \tag{5.15}
\end{gather*}
$$

where

$$
\mathrm{Q}_{22}=\mathrm{Q}_{22}(\alpha y, t, p, q)
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|D_{p} Q_{22}(\alpha y, t, v, q)\right|+\left|D_{q} Q_{22}(\alpha y, t, p, q)\right|+\left|Q_{22}\right| \leq C \alpha^{2+\tau}(1+|\alpha y|)^{-4} e^{-\varrho|t|} \tag{5.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some $0<\varrho<\sqrt{2}$ and some $0<\tau<1$.
Setting $\mathrm{R}_{l}=R_{l}+\mathrm{Q}_{21}+\mathrm{Q}_{22}$, we have that $\mathrm{R}_{l}=\mathrm{R}_{l}(\alpha y, t, p, q)$ is smooth on its arguments and

$$
\left|D_{p} \mathrm{R}_{l}(\alpha y, t, p, q)\right|+\left|D_{q} \mathrm{R}_{l}(\alpha y, t, p, q)\right|+\left|\mathrm{R}_{l}(\alpha y, t, p, q)\right| \leq C \alpha^{2+\tau}(1+|\alpha y|)^{-4} e^{-\varrho|t|}
$$

for $0<\varrho<\sqrt{2}$ and $0<\tau<1$. Putting together (5.11) $-(5.13)-5.15)$ and using that $w_{j}^{\prime \prime}+F\left(w_{j}\right)=0$, we obtain expressions (5.7) and (5.8) and the proof of the lemma is complete.
5.2 Improvement of the Local Approximation. For subsequents developments, it will be useful to have more precise information about the asymptotics of the solution we are looking for, so we improve our first approximation $U_{0}$. In order to do so, we write

$$
\begin{equation*}
6\left(1-w^{2}(t)\right) e^{-\sqrt{2} t}=a_{0} w^{\prime}(t)+g_{0}(t), \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}} g_{0}(t) w^{\prime}(t) d t=0 . \tag{5.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using (5.17), the fact that the vector function h is an exact solution of the Jacobi-Toda system in $M$ and lemma 5.1, we observe that

$$
\begin{gather*}
(-1)^{l-1} S\left(U_{0}\right):=-\alpha^{2}\left(\Delta_{M} \mathrm{v}_{l}+\left|A_{M}\right|^{2} \mathrm{v}_{l}\right) w^{\prime}(t) \\
+g_{0}(-t) e^{-\sqrt{2}\left(h_{l}-h_{l-1}\right)}-g_{0}(t) e^{-\sqrt{2}\left(h_{l+1}-h_{l}\right)}+\alpha^{2}\left[h_{l}^{\prime}\right]^{2} w^{\prime \prime}(t)-\alpha^{2}\left|A_{M}\right|^{2} t w^{\prime}(t) \\
+6\left(1-w^{2}(t)\right) e^{-\sqrt{2} t} e^{-\sqrt{2}\left(h_{l}-h_{l-1}\right)}\left[e^{-\sqrt{2}\left(\mathrm{v}_{l}-\mathrm{v}_{l-1}\right)}-1\right] \\
-6\left(1-w^{2}(t)\right) e^{\sqrt{2} t} e^{-\sqrt{2}\left(h_{l+1}-h_{l}\right)}\left[e^{-\sqrt{2}\left(\mathrm{v}_{l+1}-\mathrm{v}_{l}\right)}-1\right] \\
+\alpha^{2} \mathrm{v}_{l}^{\prime}\left(2 h_{l}^{\prime}+\mathrm{v}_{l}^{\prime}\right) w^{\prime \prime}(t)-\alpha^{3}\left(t+f_{l}\right) a_{1}\left(\alpha y, \alpha\left(t+f_{l}\right)\right) f_{l}^{\prime \prime} w^{\prime}(t) \\
-\alpha^{2} \sum_{|j-l| \geq 1}\left(\Delta_{M} f_{j}-\alpha\left(t+f_{l}\right) a_{1}\left(\alpha y, \alpha\left(t+f_{l}\right)\right) f_{j}^{\prime \prime}\right) w_{j}^{\prime}\left(t+f_{l}-f_{j}\right) \\
+\mathrm{R}_{l}\left(\alpha y, t, \mathrm{v}_{1}, \ldots, \mathrm{v}_{m}, D \mathrm{v}_{1}, \ldots, D \mathrm{v}_{m}\right) \tag{5.18}
\end{gather*}
$$

where $\mathrm{R}_{l}=\mathrm{R}_{l}(\alpha y, t, p, q)$ is smooth on its arguments and satisfies 5.8 for $0<\varrho<$ $\sqrt{2}$ and some $0<\tau<1$.

Let us consider $\psi_{0}(t)$ to be the bounded solution to the equation

$$
\partial_{t t} \psi_{0}(t)+F^{\prime}(w(t)) \psi_{0}(t)=g_{0}(t), \quad t \in \mathbb{R}
$$

given explicitly by the variations of parameters formula

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi_{0}(t)=w^{\prime}(t) \int_{0}^{t} w^{\prime}(s)^{-2} \int_{s}^{\infty} w^{\prime}(\xi) g_{0}(\xi) d \xi d s \tag{5.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (5.19), we obtain the estimate

$$
\left\|\left(1+e^{2 \sqrt{2} t} \chi_{\{t>0\}}\right) \partial_{t}^{(j)} \psi_{0}\right\|_{L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})} \leq C_{j}, \quad j \in \mathbb{N} .
$$

Let us also consider functions $\psi_{1}(t)$ and $\psi_{2}(t)$ so that

$$
\begin{align*}
\partial_{t t} \psi_{1}(t)+F^{\prime}(w(t)) \psi_{1}(t)=-w^{\prime \prime}(t), & t \in \mathbb{R}  \tag{5.20}\\
\partial_{t t} \psi_{2}(t)+F^{\prime}(w(t)) \psi_{2}(t)=t w^{\prime}(t), & t \in \mathbb{R} \tag{5.21}
\end{align*}
$$

Proceeding as before, we see that

$$
\psi_{2}(t)=-w(t) \int_{0}^{t} w^{\prime}(s)^{-2} \int_{s}^{\infty} \xi w^{\prime}(\xi)^{2} d \xi d s
$$

and $\psi_{1}(t)=-\frac{1}{2} t w^{\prime}(t)$, from where the following estimate follows at once

$$
\left\|e^{\varrho|t|} \partial_{t}^{(j)} \psi_{i}\right\|_{L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})} \leq C_{j}, \quad i=1,2, \quad j \in \mathbb{N}, \quad 0<\varrho<\sqrt{2}
$$

So, we consider as a second approximation in the region $\mathcal{N}_{\alpha}$, the function

$$
\begin{equation*}
U_{1}(x)=U_{0}+\sum_{j=1}^{m} \phi_{j, 0} \tag{5.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

where for every $l=1, \ldots, m$ and in the coordinates $X_{\alpha, f_{l}}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
(-1)^{l-1} \phi_{l, 0}(y, t)= & -e^{-\sqrt{2}\left(h_{l}-h_{l-1}\right)} \psi_{0}(-t)+e^{-\sqrt{2}\left(h_{l+1}-h_{l}\right)} \psi_{0}(t) \\
& +\alpha^{2}\left[h_{l}^{\prime}(\alpha y)\right]^{2} \psi_{1}(t)+\alpha^{2}\left|A_{M}(\alpha y)\right|^{2} \psi_{2}(t)
\end{aligned}
$$

The new error created reads as

$$
\begin{gathered}
S\left(U_{1}\right):=S\left(U_{0}\right)+\sum_{j=1}^{m} \partial_{t t} \phi_{j, 0}+F^{\prime}\left(w_{j}(t)\right) \phi_{j, 0} \\
\sum_{j=1}^{m}+\Delta_{M_{\alpha}} \phi_{j, 0}+B_{j}\left(\phi_{j, 0}\right)+\left[F^{\prime}\left(U_{1}\right)-F^{\prime}\left(w_{j}(t)\right)\right] \phi_{j, 0}
\end{gathered}
$$

Directly from (5.18) in each one of the sets $A_{l}$, reads at main order as follows

$$
\begin{gather*}
(-1)^{l-1} S\left(U_{1}\right)=-\alpha^{2}\left(\Delta_{M} \mathrm{v}_{l}+\left|A_{M}\right|^{2} \mathrm{v}_{l}\right) w^{\prime}(t) \\
+6\left(1-w^{2}(t)\right) e^{-\sqrt{2} t} e^{-\sqrt{2}\left(h_{l}-h_{l-1}\right)}\left[e^{-\sqrt{2}\left(\mathrm{v}_{l}-\mathrm{v}_{l-1}\right)}-1\right] \\
-6\left(1-w^{2}(t)\right) e^{\sqrt{2} t} e^{-\sqrt{2}\left(h_{l+1}-h_{l}\right)}\left[e^{-\sqrt{2}\left(\mathrm{v}_{l+1}-\mathrm{v}_{l}\right)}-1\right] \\
+\alpha^{2} \mathrm{v}_{l}^{\prime}\left(2 h_{l}^{\prime}+\mathrm{v}_{l}^{\prime}\right) w^{\prime \prime}(t)-\alpha^{3}\left(t+f_{l}\right) a_{1}\left(\alpha y, \alpha\left(t+f_{l}\right)\right) f_{l}^{\prime \prime} w^{\prime}(t) \\
-\alpha^{2}\left(\Delta_{M} f_{j}-\alpha\left(t+f_{l}\right) a_{1}\left(\alpha y, \alpha\left(t+f_{l}\right)\right) f_{j}^{\prime \prime}\right) w_{j}^{\prime}\left(t+f_{l}-f_{j}\right)+\widetilde{\mathrm{R}}_{l} \tag{5.23}
\end{gather*}
$$

where

$$
\widetilde{\mathrm{R}}_{l}=\widetilde{\mathrm{R}}_{l}\left(\alpha y, t, \mathrm{v}_{1}, \ldots, \mathrm{v}_{m}, D \mathrm{v}_{1}, \ldots, D \mathrm{v}_{m}\right)
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|D_{p} \widetilde{\mathrm{R}}(\alpha y, t, p, q)\right|+\left|D_{q} \widetilde{\mathrm{R}}(\alpha y, t, p, q)\right|+|\widetilde{\mathrm{R}}(y, t, p, q)| \leq C \alpha^{2+\tau} r_{\alpha}(y)^{-4} e^{-\varrho|t|} \tag{5.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some $0<\varrho<\sqrt{2}$ and some $0<\tau<1$.
5.3 Global approximation. The approximation $U_{1}$ is so far defined only on the neighborhood $\mathcal{N}_{\alpha}$ of $M_{\alpha}$. To define our global approximation, we use the nonnegative function $\beta \in C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})$ from above as well as the cut-off function defined by

$$
\beta_{\alpha}(x)=\beta\left(|z|-\frac{\eta}{\alpha}-2 \sqrt{2}(m+1) \log (r(\alpha y))+3\right), \quad x=X_{\alpha}(y, \theta, z) \in \mathcal{N}_{\alpha}
$$

for which we observe that $\beta_{\alpha}$ is supported in a region that expands logarithmically in $r_{\alpha}(y)$. With the aid of this function, we set up as approximation in $\mathbb{R}^{3}$, the function

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{w}(x)=\beta_{\alpha}(x) U_{1}+\left(1-\beta_{\alpha}(x)\right) \mathbb{H}, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^{3} \tag{5.25}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathbb{H}$ is the function

$$
\mathbb{H}(x)=\left\{\begin{array}{cl}
1, & x \in S_{\alpha}^{+} \\
(-1)^{m}, & x \in S_{\alpha}^{-}
\end{array}\right.
$$

and $S_{\alpha}^{ \pm}=\alpha^{-1} S^{ \pm}, S^{ \pm}$being the two connected components of $\mathbb{R}^{3}-M$ for which $S^{+}$is the component containing the $x_{3}$-axis.

We compute the new error as follows

$$
S(\mathrm{w})=\Delta \mathrm{w}+F(\mathrm{w})=\beta_{\alpha}(x) S\left(U_{1}\right)+E
$$

where

$$
E=2 \nabla \beta_{\alpha} \nabla U_{1}+\Delta \beta_{\alpha}\left(U_{1}-\mathbb{H}\right)+F\left(\beta_{\alpha} U_{1}+\left(1-\beta_{\alpha}\right) H\right)-\beta_{\alpha} F\left(U_{1}\right)
$$

Due to the choice of $\beta_{\alpha}(x)$ and the explicit form of the error the term $E$, the error created only takes into account values of $\beta_{\alpha}$ for $x \in \mathbb{R}^{3}$ in the region

$$
x=X_{\alpha}(y, \theta, z), \quad|z| \geq \frac{\eta}{\alpha}+4 \ln \left(r_{\alpha}(y)\right)-2
$$

and so, we get the following estimate for the term $E$

$$
\left|D_{y} E\right|+|E| \leq C e^{-\frac{\eta}{\alpha}} r_{\alpha}^{-4}(y)
$$

We observe that the error $E$ decays rapidly and is exponentially small in $\alpha>0$, so that its contribution is basically negligible.

## 6. Proof of theorem 1.

The proof of Theorem 1 is quite technical, so we prefer to sketch the steps of the proof and leave the detailed proofs of the propositions and lemmas mentioned here for subsequent sections.

First, we introduced the norms we will use to set up an appropriate functional analytic scheme for the proof of Theorem 1. Let us recall the notation

$$
r(x)=\sqrt{x_{1}^{2}+x_{2}^{2}}, \quad x=\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{3}
$$

and let us define for $\alpha>0, \mu>0$ and $f(x)$, defined in $\mathbb{R}^{3}$, the norm

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|f\|_{p, \mu, \sim}:=\sup _{x \in \mathbb{R}^{3}}(1+r(\alpha x))^{\mu}\|f\|_{L^{p}\left(B_{1}(x)\right)}, \quad p>1 \tag{6.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

We also consider $0<\varrho<\sqrt{2}, \mu>0, \alpha>0$ and functions $g=g(\mathrm{y}, t)$ and $\phi=\phi(\mathrm{y}, t)$, defined for every $(\mathrm{y}, t) \in M_{\alpha} \times \mathbb{R}$. Let us set the norms

$$
\begin{gather*}
\|g\|_{p, \mu, \varrho}:=\sup _{(\mathrm{y}, t) \in M_{\alpha} \times \mathbb{R}}(1+r(\alpha \mathrm{y}))^{\mu} e^{\varrho|t|}\|g\|_{L^{p}\left(B_{1}(\mathrm{y}, t)\right)}  \tag{6.2}\\
\|\phi\|_{\infty, \mu, \varrho}:=\left\|\left(1+r(\alpha \mathrm{y})^{\mu}\right) e^{\varrho|t|} \phi\right\|_{L^{\infty}\left(M_{\alpha} \times \mathbb{R}\right)}  \tag{6.3}\\
\|\phi\|_{2, p, \mu, \varrho}:=\left\|D^{2} \phi\right\|_{p, \mu, \varrho}+\|D \phi\|_{\infty, \mu, \varrho}+\|\phi\|_{\infty, \mu, \varrho} . \tag{6.4}
\end{gather*}
$$

Finally, for functions v and $\widetilde{g}$ defined in $M$, we recall the norms

$$
\begin{gather*}
\|\widetilde{g}\|_{p, \beta}:=\left\|\left(1+r(\mathrm{y})^{\beta}\right) \widetilde{g}\right\|_{L^{p}(M)}  \tag{6.5}\\
\|\mathrm{v}\|_{\delta, p, \beta}:=\delta\left\|D^{2} \mathrm{v}\right\|_{p, \beta}+\delta^{\frac{1}{2}}\|(1+r(\mathrm{y})) D \mathrm{v}\|_{L^{\infty}(M)}+\left\|\log (r(\mathrm{y})+2)^{-1} \mathrm{v}\right\|_{L^{\infty}(M)} \tag{6.6}
\end{gather*}
$$

Now, in order to prove Theorem 1, let us look for a solution to equation (1.1) of the form

$$
U(x)=\mathrm{w}(x)+\varphi(x)
$$

where $\mathrm{w}(x)$ is the global approximation defined in 5.25 and $\varphi$ is going to be chosen small. Hence, since $F(u)=u\left(1-u^{2}\right)$, for $U(x)$ being a genuine solution to (1.1), we see that $\varphi$ must solve the equation

$$
\Delta \varphi+F^{\prime}(\mathrm{w}) \varphi+S(\mathrm{w})+N(\varphi)=0, \quad \text { in } \mathbb{R}^{3}
$$
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or equivalently

$$
\begin{align*}
\Delta \varphi+F^{\prime}(\mathrm{w}) \varphi & =-S(\mathrm{w})-N(\varphi) \\
& =-\beta_{\alpha} S\left(U_{1}\right)-E-N(\varphi) \tag{6.7}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
N(\varphi)=F(\mathrm{w}+\varphi)-F(\mathrm{w})-F^{\prime}(\mathrm{w}) \varphi .
$$

6.1 Gluing procedure: In order to solve equation 6.7), we consider a nonnegative function $\beta \in C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})$ such that

$$
\beta(s)= \begin{cases}1, & |s| \leq 1 \\ 0, & |s| \geq 2\end{cases}
$$

and define for $l=1, \ldots, m$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$, the cut off function for $x=X_{\alpha, f_{l}}(y, \theta, t) \in$ $\mathcal{N}_{\alpha, f_{l}}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\zeta_{l, n}(x)=\beta\left(|t|-\frac{1}{2}\left[\sigma+\sqrt{2}\left(1-\frac{1}{\sigma}-M \sigma^{-\frac{5}{4}}\right) \log \left(1+(\alpha y)^{2}\right)\right]+n\right) \tag{6.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Observe that for $k \neq l$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}, \zeta_{l, n} \cdot \zeta_{k, n}=0$. Observe that for $k \neq l$, $\zeta_{l, n} \cdot \zeta_{k, n}=0$.

Now we look for a solution $\varphi(x)$ with the particular form

$$
\varphi(x)=\sum_{j=1}^{m} \zeta_{j, 3}(x) \varphi_{j}(\mathrm{y}, z)+\psi(x)
$$

where the functions $\varphi_{j}(\mathrm{y}, z)$ are defined in $M_{\alpha} \times \mathbb{R}$ and the function $\psi(x)$ is defined in the whole $\mathbb{R}^{3}$. So, from equation 6.7) and noticing that $\zeta_{j, 2} \cdot \zeta_{j, 3}=\zeta_{j, 3}$, we find that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{j=1}^{m} \zeta_{j, 3} & {\left[\Delta_{\mathcal{N}_{\alpha}} \varphi_{j}+F^{\prime}\left(\zeta_{j, 2} \mathrm{w}\right) \varphi_{j}+\zeta_{j, 2} S(\mathrm{w})+\zeta_{j, 2} N\left(\varphi_{j}+\psi\right)+\zeta_{j, 2}\left(F^{\prime}(\mathrm{w})+2\right) \psi\right] } \\
& +\Delta \psi-\left[2-\left(1-\sum_{j=1}^{m} \zeta_{j, 3}\right)\left(F^{\prime}(\mathrm{w})+2\right)\right] \psi+\left(1-\sum_{j=1}^{m} \zeta_{j, 3}\right) S(\mathrm{w}) \\
& +\sum_{j=1}^{m} 2 \nabla \zeta_{j, 3} \cdot \nabla_{\mathcal{N}_{\alpha}} \varphi_{j}+\varphi_{j} \Delta \zeta_{j, 3}+\left(1-\zeta_{j, 3}\right) N\left[\psi+\sum_{i=1}^{m} \zeta_{i, 2} \varphi_{i}\right]=0
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence, to construct a solution to (6.7), it suffices to solve the system of PDEs

$$
\begin{align*}
& \Delta \psi-\left[2-\left(1-\sum_{j=1}^{m} \zeta_{j, 2}\right)\left(F^{\prime}(\mathrm{w})+2\right)\right] \psi=-\left(1-\sum_{j=1}^{m} \zeta_{j, 2}\right) S(\mathrm{w})- \\
& -\sum_{j=1}^{m} 2 \nabla \zeta_{j, 2} \cdot \nabla_{\mathcal{N}_{\alpha}} \varphi_{j}-\varphi_{j} \Delta \zeta_{j, 2}-\left(1-\sum_{j=1}^{m} \zeta_{j, 3}\right) N\left[\sum_{i=1}^{m} \zeta_{i, 2} \varphi_{i}+\psi\right], \quad \text { in } \mathbb{R}^{3} \tag{6.9}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
\Delta_{\mathcal{N}_{\alpha}} \varphi_{l}+ & F^{\prime}\left(\zeta_{l, 2} \mathrm{w}\right) \varphi_{l}= \\
& -\zeta_{l, 2} S(\mathrm{w})-\zeta_{l, 2} N\left(\varphi_{l}+\psi\right)  \tag{6.10}\\
& -\zeta_{l, 2}\left(F^{\prime}(\mathrm{w})+2\right) \psi, \quad \text { for }\left|z-f_{l}(\alpha y)\right| \leq \rho_{\alpha}(\mathrm{y}), \quad l=1, \ldots, m
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\rho_{\alpha}(\mathrm{y}):=\frac{1}{2}\left[\sigma_{\alpha}+\sqrt{2}\left(1-\frac{1}{\sigma_{\alpha}}\right) \log \left(1+(\alpha y)^{2}\right)\right], \quad \mathrm{y}=Y_{\alpha}(y, \theta) \in M_{\alpha}
$$

Now, we extend equation $\sqrt{6.10}$ to the whole $M_{\alpha} \times \mathbb{R}$. First, let us introduce the differential operator

$$
B_{l}:=\zeta_{l, 2}\left[\Delta_{\mathcal{N}_{\alpha, f_{l}}}-\partial_{t t}-\Delta_{M_{\alpha}}\right]
$$

for $l=1, \ldots, m$. Recall that $\Delta_{M_{\alpha}}$ is nothing but the Laplace-Beltrami and which in the local coordinates $Y_{\alpha}(y, \theta)$, has the expression

$$
\Delta_{M_{\alpha}}=\partial_{y y}+\frac{\alpha^{2} y}{1+(\alpha y)^{2}} \partial_{y}+\frac{\alpha^{2}}{1+(\alpha y)^{2}} \partial_{\theta \theta}
$$

Clearly, $B_{l}$ vanishes in the domain

$$
|t| \geq \frac{1}{2}\left[\sigma_{\alpha}+2\left(1-\frac{1}{\sigma_{\alpha}}\right) \ln \left(1+(\alpha y)^{2}\right)\right]-1
$$

We look for a solution to 6.10 having the form

$$
\phi_{l}(y, t)=\varphi_{l}\left(y, t+f_{l}(\alpha y)\right), \quad x=X_{\alpha, f_{l}}(y, \theta, t)
$$

and so, instead of equation 6.10, we consider the equation

$$
\begin{gather*}
\partial_{t t} \phi_{l}+\Delta_{M_{\alpha}} \phi_{l}+F^{\prime}\left(w_{l}(t)\right) \phi_{l}=-S_{l}(\mathrm{w})-B_{l}\left(\phi_{l}\right) \\
-\left[F^{\prime}\left(\zeta_{l, 2} \mathrm{w}\right)-F^{\prime}\left(w_{l}(t)\right)\right] \phi_{l}-\zeta_{l, 2}\left(F^{\prime}(\mathrm{w})+2\right) \psi-\zeta_{l, 2} N\left(\phi_{l}+\psi\right), \quad \text { in } M_{\alpha} \times \mathbb{R} \tag{6.11}
\end{gather*}
$$

and where we have denoted

$$
\begin{gather*}
(-1)^{l-1} S_{l}(\mathrm{w})=-\alpha^{2}\left(\Delta_{M} \mathrm{v}_{l}+\left|A_{M}\right|^{2} \mathrm{v}_{l}\right) w^{\prime}(t) \\
+6\left(1-w^{2}(t)\right) e^{-\sqrt{2} t} \zeta_{l, 2} e^{-\sqrt{2}\left(h_{l}-h_{l-1}\right)}\left[e^{-\sqrt{2}\left(\mathrm{v}_{l}-\mathrm{v}_{l-1}\right)}-1\right] \\
-6\left(1-w^{2}(t)\right) e^{\sqrt{2} t} \zeta_{l, 2} e^{-\sqrt{2}\left(h_{l+1}-h_{l}\right)}\left[e^{-\sqrt{2}\left(\mathrm{v}_{l+1}-\mathrm{v}_{l}\right)}-1\right] \\
+\alpha^{2} \mathrm{v}_{l}^{\prime}\left(2 h_{l}^{\prime}+\mathrm{v}_{l}^{\prime}\right) w^{\prime \prime}(t)+\zeta_{l, 2}\left[-\alpha^{3}\left(t+f_{l}\right) a_{1}\left(\alpha y, \alpha\left(t+f_{l}\right)\right) f_{l}^{\prime \prime} w^{\prime}(t)-\right. \\
\left.-\alpha^{2}\left(\Delta_{M} f_{j}-\alpha\left(t+f_{l}\right) a_{1}\left(\alpha y, \alpha\left(t+f_{l}\right)\right) f_{j}^{\prime \prime}\right) w_{j}^{\prime}\left(t+f_{l}-f_{j}\right)+\widetilde{\mathrm{R}}_{l}\right] \tag{6.12}
\end{gather*}
$$

where we recall that

$$
\widetilde{\mathrm{R}}_{l}=\mathrm{R}_{l}\left(\alpha y, t, \mathrm{v}_{1}, \ldots, \mathrm{v}_{m}, D \mathrm{v}_{1}, \ldots, D \mathrm{v}_{m}\right)
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|D_{p} \widetilde{\mathrm{R}}(\alpha y, t, p, q)\right|+\left|D_{q} \widetilde{\mathrm{R}}(\alpha y, t, p, q)\right|+|\widetilde{\mathrm{R}}(y, t, p, q)| \leq C \alpha^{2+\tau} r_{\alpha}(y)^{-4} e^{-\varrho|t|} \tag{6.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $0<\varrho<\sqrt{2}$ and $0<\tau<1$. Observe that $S_{l}(\mathrm{w})$ coincides with $S\left(U_{1}\right)$ where $\zeta_{l, 2}=1$, but we have basically cut-off the parts in $S\left(U_{1}\right)$ that, in the local coordinates $X_{\alpha, f_{l}}$, are not defined for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$.

Using 6.12 and 6.13 and since the support of $\zeta_{l, 2}$ is contained in a region of the form

$$
|t| \leq \frac{1}{2}\left[\sigma_{\alpha}-2\left(1-\frac{1}{\sigma_{\alpha}}\right) \ln \left(1+(\alpha y)^{2}\right)\right]
$$

we compute directly the size of this error to obtain that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|S_{l}(\mathrm{w})\right\|_{p, 2, \varrho} \leq C \alpha^{2+\tau_{1}} \tag{6.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some $0<\varrho<\sqrt{2}$, some constant $C>0$ and some $0<\tau_{1}<\tau_{0}$ small, independent of $\alpha>0$.

Hence we solve system 6.9-6.11. We first solve equation 6.9), using the fact that the potential $2-\left(1-\sum_{j=1}^{n} \zeta_{j 3}\right)\left(F^{\prime}(\mathrm{w})+2\right)$ is uniformly positive, so that the linear operator there behaves like $\Delta_{\mathbb{R}^{3}}-2$. A solution $\psi=\Psi\left(\phi_{1}, \ldots, \phi_{m}\right)$ is then found using contraction mapping principle. We collect this discussion in the following proposition, that will be proven in detail in section 7 .

Proposition 6.1. Assume $0<\varrho<\sqrt{2}, \mu>0, p>2$ and let the functions $f_{l}^{\prime}$ s be as in (5.2)-(5.4). Then, for every $\alpha>0$ sufficiently small and for $m$ fixed functions $\phi_{1}, \ldots, \phi_{m}$, satisfying that

$$
\left\|\phi_{l}\right\|_{2, p, \mu, \varrho} \leq 1, \quad l=1, \ldots, m
$$

equation 6.9 has a unique solution $\psi=\Psi\left(\phi_{1}, \ldots, \phi_{m}\right)$. Even more, the operator $\psi=\Psi\left(\phi_{1}, \ldots, \phi_{m}\right)$ turns out to be lipschitz in every $\phi_{j}$. More precisely, $\psi=$ $\Psi\left(\phi_{1}, \ldots, \phi_{m}\right)$ satisfies that

$$
\begin{align*}
\|\psi\|_{X} & :=\left\|D^{2} \psi\right\|_{p, \hat{\mu}, \sim}+\left\|\left(1+r^{\hat{\mu}}(\alpha x)\right) D \psi\right\|_{L^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}\right)}+\left\|\left(1+r^{\hat{\mu}}(\alpha x)\right) \psi\right\|_{L^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}\right)} \\
& \leq C\left(\alpha^{2+\frac{\varrho}{\sqrt{2}}-\varepsilon}+\alpha^{\frac{\varrho}{\sqrt{2}}-\varepsilon} \sum_{j=1}^{m}\left\|\phi_{j}\right\|_{2, p, \mu, \varrho}\right) \tag{6.15}
\end{align*}
$$

where $0<\hat{\mu}<\min (2 \mu, \mu+\varrho \sqrt{2}, 2+\varrho \sqrt{2})$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\Psi\left(\phi_{j}\right)-\Psi\left(\hat{\phi}_{j}\right)\right\|_{X} \leq C \alpha^{\frac{\varrho}{\sqrt{2}}-\varepsilon}\left\|\phi_{j}-\hat{\phi}_{j}\right\|_{2, p, \mu, \varrho} . \tag{6.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence, using Proposition 6.1, we solve equation 6.11 with $\psi=\Psi\left(\phi_{1}, \ldots, \phi_{m}\right)$. Let us set

$$
\left.\begin{array}{rl}
\mathbf{N}_{l}\left(\phi_{1}, \ldots, \phi_{l}, \ldots, \phi_{m}\right):=B_{l}\left(\phi_{l}\right)+\left[F^{\prime}\left(\zeta_{l, 2} \mathrm{w}\right)-F^{\prime}(w(t))\right] \phi_{l} \\
& +\zeta_{l, 2}\left(F^{\prime}(\mathrm{w})+2\right) \Psi\left(\phi_{1}, \ldots, \phi_{m}\right)
\end{array}\right)+\zeta_{l, 2} N\left[\phi_{l}+\Psi\left(\phi_{1}, \ldots, \phi_{m}\right)\right] .
$$

So, setting $\Phi=\left(\phi_{1}, \ldots, \phi_{m}\right)$, we only need to solve

$$
\begin{equation*}
\partial_{t t} \phi_{l}+\Delta_{M_{\alpha}} \phi_{l}+F^{\prime}\left(w_{l}(t)\right) \phi_{l}=-S_{l}(\mathrm{w})-\mathbf{N}_{l}(\Phi), \quad \text { in } M_{\alpha} \times \mathbb{R} \tag{6.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

for every $l=1, \ldots, m$.
To solve system 6.17, we solve a nonlinear and nonlocal problem for $\phi_{l}$, in such a way that we eliminate the parts of the error that do not contribute to the projections onto $w^{\prime}(t)$. This step can be though as an improvement of the approximation $w$. We use the fact that the error has the size

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|S_{l}(\mathrm{w})\right\|_{p, 2, \varrho} \leq \alpha^{2+\tau_{1}} \tag{6.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

and as we will see in section 7 for $0<\tau_{1}<\tau_{0} \mathbf{N}_{l}(\phi)$ satisfies that

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left\|\mathbf{N}_{l}(\Phi)\right\|_{p, 4, \varrho} \leq C \alpha^{3+\tau_{1}}  \tag{6.19}\\
\left\|\mathbf{N}_{l}\left(\Phi_{1}\right)-\mathbf{N}_{l}\left(\Phi_{2}\right)\right\|_{p, 4, \varrho} \leq C \alpha\left\|\Phi_{1}-\Phi_{2}\right\|_{2, p, 2, \varrho} \tag{6.20}
\end{gather*}
$$

for $\Phi_{1}, \Phi_{2} \in B_{\alpha}$ a ball of radius $\mathcal{O}\left(\alpha^{2+\tau_{1}}\right)$ in the product norm $\|\Phi\|_{2, p, 2, \varrho}$. A direct application of the contraction mapping principle allows us to solve the projected system

$$
\begin{equation*}
\partial_{t t} \phi_{l}+\Delta_{M_{\alpha}} \phi_{l}+F^{\prime}\left(w_{l}(t)\right) \phi_{l}=-S_{l}(\mathrm{w})-\mathbf{N}_{l}(\Phi)+c_{l}(\mathrm{y}) w^{\prime}(t), \quad \text { in } M_{\alpha} \times \mathbb{R} \tag{6.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\mathbb{R}} \phi_{l}(\mathrm{y}, t) w^{\prime}(t) d t=0, \quad l=1, \ldots, m \tag{6.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
c_{l}(\mathrm{y})=\int_{\mathbb{R}}\left[S_{l}(\mathrm{w})+\mathbf{N}_{l}(\Phi)\right] w^{\prime}(t) d t, \quad \forall l=1, \ldots, m
$$

This solution $\phi_{l}$, defines a Lipschitz operator $\phi_{l}=\Phi_{l}\left(\mathrm{v}_{1}, \ldots, \mathrm{v}_{m}\right)$ for the product norm

$$
\left\|\left(\mathrm{v}_{1}, \ldots, \mathrm{v}_{m}\right)\right\|_{\delta, p, \beta}:=\sum_{j=1}^{m}\left\|\mathrm{v}_{j}\right\|_{\delta, p, \beta}
$$

This information is collected in the following proposition
Proposition 6.2. Assume $0<\mu \leq 2,0<\varrho<\sqrt{2}$ and $p>2$. For every $\alpha>0$ small enough, there exists an universal constant $C>0$, such that system (6.21)(6.22) has a unique solution $\left(\phi_{1}, \ldots, \phi_{m}\right)=\Phi\left(\mathrm{v}_{1}, \ldots, \mathrm{v}_{m}\right)$, satisfying

$$
\|\Phi\|_{2, p, 2, \varrho} \leq C \alpha^{2+\tau_{1}}
$$

and
$\left\|\Phi\left(\mathrm{v}_{1}, \ldots, \mathrm{v}_{m}\right)-\Phi\left(\hat{\mathrm{v}}_{1}, \ldots, \hat{\mathrm{v}}_{m}\right)\right\|_{2, p, 2, \varrho} \leq C \alpha^{2+\tau_{1}}\left\|\left(\mathrm{v}_{1}, \ldots, \mathrm{v}_{m}\right)-\left(\hat{\mathrm{v}}_{1}, \ldots, \hat{\mathrm{v}}_{m}\right)\right\|_{\delta, p, \beta}$ for some fixed $\beta \in\left(\frac{5}{2}, 4-4 \delta\right)$.
6.2 Solving the Jacobi-Toda system to adjust the nodal sets. First, to estimate the size of the error of the projected problem, we borrow a result from section 8 in 9.
Lemma 6.1. Assume $g(y, t)$ is a function defined in $M_{\alpha} \times \mathbb{R}$ and for which

$$
\sup _{(y, t) \in M_{\alpha} \times \mathbb{R}}\left(1+r(\alpha y)^{\mu}\right) e^{\varrho|t|}\|g\|_{L^{p}\left(B_{1}(y, t)\right)}<\infty
$$

for some $\varrho, \mu>0$ and $p>2$. The function defined in $M$ as

$$
q(y):=\int_{\mathbb{R}} g\left(\frac{y}{\alpha}, t\right) w^{\prime}(t) d t
$$

satisfies

$$
\|q\|_{p, \beta} \leq C \sup _{(y, t) \in M_{\alpha} \times \mathbb{R}}\left(1+r(y)^{\mu}\right) e^{\varrho|t|}\|g\|_{L^{p}\left(B_{1}(y, t)\right)}
$$

provided

$$
\mu>\beta+\frac{2}{p}
$$

To conclude the proof of Theorem1, we choose the vector function $v=\left(\mathrm{v}_{1}, \ldots, \mathrm{v}_{m}\right)$ in such a way that

$$
c_{l}(\mathrm{y})=\int_{\mathbb{R}}\left[S_{l}(\mathrm{w})+\mathbf{N}_{l}(\Phi)\right] w^{\prime}(t) d t=0, \quad \forall l=1, \ldots, m
$$

Using $\sqrt{6.12}$ ), we find that making these projections zero is equivalent to solve the nonlinear and nonlocal system of equations

$$
\begin{align*}
\alpha^{2}\left(\Delta_{M} \mathrm{v}_{l}+\left|A_{M}\right|^{2} \mathrm{v}_{l}\right)-\sqrt{2} a_{0}[ & e^{-\sqrt{2}\left(h_{l}-h_{l-1}\right)}\left(\mathrm{v}_{l}-\mathrm{v}_{l+1}\right) \\
& \left.-e^{-\sqrt{2}\left(h_{l+1}-h_{l}\right)}\left(\mathrm{v}_{l+1}-\mathrm{v}_{l}\right)\right]=\alpha^{2} \mathrm{Q}_{l}(\mathrm{v}) \tag{6.23}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{gathered}
\mathrm{Q}_{l}(\mathrm{v}):=G_{l, 1}(\mathrm{v})+G_{l, 2}(\mathrm{v}) \\
\alpha^{2} G_{l, 1}(\mathrm{v}):=\int_{\mathbb{R}} \zeta_{j 2}\left[-\alpha^{3}\left(t+f_{j}\right) a_{1}\left(\alpha y, \alpha\left(t+f_{j}\right)\right) f_{j}^{\prime \prime} w^{\prime}(t)-\right. \\
\left.-\alpha^{2}\left(\Delta_{M} f_{j}-\alpha\left(t+f_{l}\right) a_{1}\left(\alpha y, \alpha\left(t+f_{l}\right)\right) f_{j}^{\prime \prime}\right) w_{j}^{\prime}\left(t+f_{l}-f_{j}\right)+\widetilde{\mathrm{R}}_{l}\right] w^{\prime}(t) d t \\
-a_{0} e^{-\sqrt{2}\left(h_{l}-h_{l-1}\right)}\left(e^{-\sqrt{2}\left(\mathrm{v}_{l}-\mathrm{v}_{l-1}\right)}-1+\sqrt{2}\left(\mathrm{v}_{l}-\mathrm{v}_{l-1}\right)\right) \\
+a_{0} e^{-\sqrt{2}\left(h_{l+1}-h_{l}\right)}\left(e^{-\sqrt{2}\left(\mathrm{v}_{l+1}-\mathrm{v}_{l}\right)}-1+\sqrt{2}\left(\mathrm{v}_{l+1}-\mathrm{v}_{l}\right)\right) \\
-\int_{\mathbb{R}} 6\left(1-w^{2}(t)\right) e^{-\sqrt{2} t}\left(1-\zeta_{l, 2}\right) w^{\prime}(t) d t e^{-\sqrt{2}\left(h_{l}-h_{l-1}\right)}\left[e^{-\sqrt{2}\left(\mathrm{v}_{l}-\mathrm{v}_{l-1}\right)}-1\right] \\
+\int_{\mathbb{R}} 6\left(1-w^{2}(t)\right) e^{\sqrt{2} t}\left(1-\zeta_{l, 2}\right) w^{\prime}(t) d t e^{-\sqrt{2}\left(h_{l+1}-h_{l}\right)}\left[e^{-\sqrt{2}\left(\mathrm{v}_{l+1}-\mathrm{v}_{l}\right)}-1\right] \\
\alpha^{2} G_{l, 2}(\mathrm{v}):=\int_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbf{N}_{l}(\Phi) w^{\prime}(t) d t .
\end{gathered}
$$

where we set $\Phi=\left(\Phi_{1}, \ldots, \Phi_{m}\right)$ and

$$
a_{0}=\left\|w^{\prime}\right\|_{L^{2}(\mathbb{R})}^{-2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} 6\left(1-w^{2}(t)\right) e^{-\sqrt{2} t} w^{\prime}(t) d t
$$

Direct computations using 6.12 and lemma 6.1 yield the estimates

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left\|G_{l, 1}(\mathrm{v})\right\|_{p, \beta} \leq C \alpha^{\tau_{0}} \\
\left\|G_{l, 1}(\mathrm{v})-G_{l, 1}(\hat{\mathrm{v}})\right\|_{p, \beta} \leq C \alpha^{\tau_{0}}\|\mathrm{v}-\hat{\mathrm{v}}\|_{\delta, p, \beta}
\end{gathered}
$$

for some $0<\tau_{0}<1$ fixed independent of $\alpha>0$.
From 6.19 and lemma 6.1 we also have that for any $p>2$ and $0<\beta<4-\frac{2}{p}$

$$
\left\|G_{l, 2}(\mathrm{v})\right\|_{p, \beta} \leq \alpha^{-2}\left\|\int_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbf{N}_{l}(\Phi) w^{\prime}(t) d t\right\|_{p, \beta} \leq C \alpha^{1+\tau_{1}}
$$

On the other hand, it is direct to check from 6.20 and Proposition 6.2 that

$$
\left\|G_{l, 2}(\mathrm{v})-G_{l, 2}(\hat{\mathrm{v}})\right\|_{p, \beta} \leq C \alpha^{1+\tau_{1}}\|\mathrm{v}-\hat{\mathrm{v}}\|_{\delta, p, \beta}
$$

Hence we find that

$$
\mathrm{Q}(\mathrm{v}):=\left(\mathrm{Q}_{1}(\mathrm{v},), \ldots, \mathrm{Q}_{m}(\mathrm{v},)\right)
$$

satisfies

$$
\begin{gathered}
\|\mathrm{Q}(\mathrm{v})\|_{p, \beta} \leq C \alpha^{\tau_{0}} \\
\|\mathrm{Q}(\mathrm{v})-\mathrm{Q}(\hat{\mathrm{v}})\|_{p, \beta} \leq C \alpha^{\tau_{0}}\|\mathrm{v}-\hat{\mathrm{v}}\|_{\delta, p, \beta}
\end{gathered}
$$

Since we are linearizing the Jacobi-Toda system 6.23 around the exact solution h , we can proceed as in the proof proposition 3.1 to solve this system. We see that using propositions $(3.2$ and $(3.3$ and a direct application of contraction mapping
principle in a ball of radius $\mathcal{O}\left(\alpha^{\tau_{0}} \sigma^{\frac{3}{4}}\right)$ in the product topology $\|\mathrm{v}\|_{\delta, p, \beta}$ yields the existence of functions $\mathrm{v}_{1}, \ldots, \mathrm{v}_{m}$ satisfying (5.4), so that

$$
c_{l}(\mathrm{y})=\int_{\mathbb{R}}\left[S_{l}(\mathrm{w})+\mathbf{N}_{l}(\Phi)\right] w^{\prime}(t) d t=0, \quad \forall l=1, \ldots, m
$$

and this completes the proof of the theorem. We leave details to the reader since the procedure is a copy of decoupling procedure developed in subsection 3.2

In sections 7 we present the proofs of the auxiliary results mentioned in this section.

## 7. GLUING REDUCTION AND SOLUTION TO THE PROJECTED PROBLEM.

In this section, we prove propositions 6.1 and 6.2 . The notations we use in this section have been set up in sections 4 and 5 .
7.1 Solving the Gluing System. Given fixed functions $\phi_{1}, \ldots, \phi_{m}$ such that $\left\|\phi_{l}\right\|_{2, p, \mu, \varrho} \leq 1$ for $l=1, \ldots, m$, we solve problem (6.9). To begin with, we observe that there exist constants $a<b$, independent of $\alpha$, such that

$$
0<a \leq Q_{\alpha}(x) \leq b, \quad \text { for every } x \in \mathbb{R}^{3}
$$

where we set

$$
Q_{\alpha}(x)=2-\left(1-\sum_{j=1}^{m} \zeta_{j 2}\right)\left[F^{\prime}(\mathrm{w})+2\right]
$$

Using this remark, we study the problem

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta \psi-Q_{\alpha}(x) \psi=g(x), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^{3} \tag{7.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

for a given $g=g(x)$ such that

$$
\|g\|_{p, \hat{\mu}, \sim}:=\sup _{x \in \mathbb{R}^{3}}\left(1+R^{\hat{\mu}}(\alpha x)\right)\|g\|_{L^{p}\left(B_{1}(x)\right)} .
$$

Solvability theory for equation 7.1 is collected in the following lemma whose proof follows the same lines as in lemma 7.1 in [9] and 11.

Lemma 7.1. Assume $p>2$ and $\hat{\mu} \geq 0$. There exists a constant $C>0$ and $\alpha_{0}>0$ small enough such that for $0<\alpha<\alpha_{0}$ and any given $g=g(x)$ with $\|g\|_{p, \hat{\mu}, \sim}<\infty$, equation (7.1) has a unique solution $\psi=\psi(g)$, satisfying the a-priori estimate

$$
\|\psi\|_{X} \leq C\|g\|_{p, \hat{\mu}, \sim}
$$

where

$$
\|\psi\|_{X}:=\left\|D^{2} \psi\right\|_{p, \hat{\mu}, \sim}+\left\|\left(1+r(\alpha x)^{\hat{\mu}}(x)\right) D \psi\right\|_{L^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}\right)}+\left\|\left(1+r^{\hat{\mu}}(\alpha x)\right) \psi\right\|_{L^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}\right)} .
$$

Now we prove Proposition 6.1. Denote by $X$, the space of functions $\psi \in W_{l o c}^{2, p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}\right)$ such that $\|\psi\|_{X}<\infty$ and let us denote by $\Gamma(g)=\psi$ the solution to the equation 7.1) from the previous lemma. We see that the linear map $\Gamma$ is continuous i.e

$$
\|\Gamma(g)\|_{X} \leq C\|g\|_{p, \hat{\mu}, \sim}
$$

with $0<\hat{\mu}<\min (2 \mu, \mu+\varrho \sqrt{2}, 2+\varrho \sqrt{2})$. Using this we can recast 6.9) as a fixed point problem, in the following manner

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi=-\Gamma\left(\left(1-\sum_{j=1}^{m} \zeta_{j 2}\right) S(\mathrm{w})+g_{1}+\left(1-\sum_{j=1}^{m} \zeta_{j 2}\right) N\left[\sum_{i=1}^{m} \zeta_{i 3} \phi_{i}+\psi\right]\right) \tag{7.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
g_{1}=\sum_{j=1}^{m} 2 \nabla \zeta_{j 2} \cdot \nabla \phi_{j}+\phi_{j} \Delta \zeta_{j 2}
$$

Under conditions (5.2)-(5.4) and $\max _{1 \leq l \leq m}\left\|\phi_{l}\right\|_{2, p, \mu, \varrho} \leq 1$, we estimate the size of the right-hand side in (7.2).

Recall that $S(\mathrm{w})=\beta_{\alpha}(x) S\left(U_{1}\right)+E$, where

$$
\left|D_{y} E\right|+|E| \leq C e^{-\frac{\eta}{\alpha}} r_{\alpha}^{-4}(y)
$$

So, we estimate directly using 8.32), to get

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|\left(1-\sum_{j=1}^{m} \zeta_{j 2}\right) S(\mathrm{w})\right| & \leq C \sum_{j=1}^{m} \alpha^{2}\left(1+r_{\alpha}(y)\right)^{-2} e^{-\varrho|t|}\left(1-\zeta_{j 2}\right) \\
& \leq C \alpha^{2+\frac{e}{\sqrt{2}}} \sigma^{\frac{e}{2 \sqrt{2}}}\left(1+r_{\alpha}(y)\right)^{-2\left(1+\frac{e}{\sqrt{2}}\right)}
\end{aligned}
$$

this means that

$$
\left|\left(1-\sum_{j=1}^{m} \zeta_{j 2}(x)\right) S(\mathrm{w})\right| \leq C \alpha^{2+\frac{e}{\sqrt{2}}} \sigma^{\frac{e}{2 \sqrt{2}}}\left(1+R_{\alpha}(x)\right)^{-2\left(1+\frac{e}{\sqrt{2}}\right)}
$$

Consequently we get, for $0<\hat{\mu}<2\left(1+\frac{\varrho}{\sqrt{2}}\right)$ that

$$
\left\|\left(1-\sum_{j=1}^{m} \zeta_{j, 2}\right) S(\mathrm{w})\right\|_{p, \hat{\mu}, \sim} \leq C \alpha^{2+\frac{e}{\sqrt{2}}-\varepsilon}
$$

for some $\varepsilon>0$ sufficiently small
As for the second term in the right-hand side of $\sqrt[7.2]{ }$, the following holds true

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|2 \nabla \zeta_{j, 2} \cdot \nabla \phi_{j}+\phi_{j} \Delta \zeta_{j, 2}\right| & \leq C\left(1-\zeta_{j 2}\right)\left(1+r^{\mu}(\alpha y)\right)^{-1} e^{-\varrho|t|}\left\|\phi_{j}\right\|_{2, p, \mu, \varrho} \\
& \leq C \alpha^{\frac{e}{\sqrt{2}}} \sigma^{\frac{e}{2 \sqrt{2}}}\left(1+r^{\mu+\frac{e}{\sqrt{2}}}(\alpha y)\right)^{-1}\left\|\phi_{j}\right\|_{2, p, \mu, \varrho}
\end{aligned}
$$

This implies that

$$
\left\|2 \nabla \zeta_{j, 2} \cdot \nabla \phi_{j}+\phi_{j} \Delta \zeta_{j, 2}\right\|_{p, \mu+\varrho \sqrt{2}-\varepsilon, \sim} \leq C \alpha^{\frac{\varrho}{\sqrt{2}}-\varepsilon} \sum_{j=1}^{m}\left\|\phi_{j}\right\|_{2, p, \mu, \varrho}
$$

Finally we must check the lipschitz character of $\left(1-\sum_{j=1}^{m} \zeta_{j 2}\right) N\left[\sum_{i=1}^{m} \zeta_{i 2} \phi_{i}+\psi\right]$. Take $\psi_{1}, \psi_{2} \in X$. Then

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left(1-\sum_{j=1}^{m} \zeta_{j 2}\right)\left|N\left[\sum_{i=1}^{m} \zeta_{i 2} \phi_{i}+\psi_{1}\right]-N\left[\sum_{i=1}^{m} \zeta_{i 2} \phi_{i}+\psi_{2}\right]\right| \leq \\
\leq\left(1-\sum_{j=1}^{m} \zeta_{j 2}\right)\left|F\left(\mathrm{w}+\sum_{i=1}^{m} \zeta_{j 1} \phi_{i}+\psi_{1}\right)-F\left(\mathrm{w}+\sum_{i=1}^{m} \zeta_{i 1} \phi_{i}+\psi_{2}\right)-F^{\prime}(\mathrm{w})\left(\psi_{1}-\psi_{2}\right)\right|
\end{gathered}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \leq C\left(1-\sum_{j=1}^{m} \zeta_{j 2}\right) \sup _{s \in[0,1]}\left|\sum_{i=1}^{m} \zeta_{i 1} \phi_{i}+s \psi_{1}+(1-s) \psi_{2}\right|\left|\psi_{1}-\psi_{2}\right| \\
& \leq C \alpha^{\varrho-\varepsilon}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{m}\left\|\phi_{i}\right\|_{\infty, \mu, \varrho}+\left\|\psi_{1}\right\|_{X}+\left\|\psi_{2}\right\|_{X}\right)\left|\psi_{1}-\psi_{2}\right|
\end{aligned}
$$

So, we see that

$$
\left\|\left(1-\sum_{j=1}^{m} \zeta_{j 2}\right) N\left[\sum_{i=1}^{m} \zeta_{i 2} \phi_{i}+\psi_{1}\right]-\left(1-\sum_{j=1}^{m} \zeta_{j 2}\right) N\left[\sum_{i=1}^{m} \zeta_{i 2} \phi_{i}+\psi_{2}\right]\right\|_{p, 2 \hat{\mu}, \sim}
$$

In particular, we take advantage of the fact that $N(\varphi) \sim \varphi^{2}$, to find that

$$
\left\|\left(1-\sum_{j=1}^{m} \zeta_{j 2}\right) N\left(\sum_{i=1}^{m} \zeta_{i 2} \phi_{i}\right)\right\|_{p, 2 \mu, \sim} \leq C \alpha^{2 \varrho-\varepsilon} \sum_{j=1}^{m}\left\|\phi_{j}\right\|_{2, p, \mu, \varrho}^{2} .
$$

Consider $\widetilde{\Gamma}: X \rightarrow X, \widetilde{\Gamma}=\widetilde{\Gamma}(\psi)$ the operator given by the right-hand side of (7.2). From the previous remarks we have that $\widetilde{\Gamma}$ is a contraction provided $\alpha$ is small enough and so we have found $\psi=\widetilde{\Gamma}(\psi)$ the solution to (6.9) with

$$
\|\psi\|_{X} \leq C\left(\alpha^{2+\frac{e}{\sqrt{2}}-\varepsilon}+\alpha^{\frac{e}{\sqrt{2}}-\varepsilon} \sum_{j=1}^{m}\left\|\phi_{j}\right\|_{2, p, \mu, \rho}\right)
$$

We can check directly that $\Psi(\Phi)=\psi$ is Lipschitz in $\Phi=\left(\phi_{1}, \ldots, \phi_{m}\right)$, i.e

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left\|\Psi\left(\Phi_{1}\right)-\Psi\left(\Phi_{2}\right)\right\|_{X} \leq \\
C\left(1-\sum_{j=1}^{m} \zeta_{j 2}\right)\left[N\left(\sum_{i=1}^{m} \zeta_{i 2} \phi_{i 1}+\Psi\left(\Phi_{1}\right)\right)-N\left(\sum_{i=1}^{m} \zeta_{i 2} \phi_{i 2}+\Psi\left(\Phi_{2}\right)\right)\right] \|_{p, 2 \mu, \sim} \\
\leq C \alpha^{\varrho-\varepsilon}\left(\left\|\Psi\left(\Phi_{1}\right)-\Psi\left(\Phi_{2}\right)\right\|_{X}+\left\|\Phi_{1}-\Phi_{2}\right\|_{2, p, \mu, \varrho}\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

Hence for $\alpha$ small, we conclude

$$
\left\|\Psi\left(\Phi_{1}\right)-\Psi\left(\Phi_{2}\right)\right\|_{X} \leq C \alpha^{\tau}\left\|\Phi_{1}-\Phi_{2}\right\|_{2, p, \mu, \varrho} .
$$

7.2 Solving the Projected System (6.21)-6.22). Now we solve system
$\partial_{t t} \phi_{l}+\Delta_{M_{\alpha}} \phi_{l}+F^{\prime}\left(w_{l}(t)\right) \phi_{l}=-S_{l}(\mathrm{w})-\mathbf{N}_{l}\left(\phi_{l}\right)+c_{l}(\mathrm{y}) w^{\prime}(t), \quad$ in $M_{\alpha} \times \mathbb{R}$.

$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}} \phi_{l}(\mathrm{y}, t) w^{\prime}(t) d t=0
$$

To do so, we need to study solvability for the linear equation

$$
\begin{gather*}
\partial_{t t} \phi+\Delta_{M_{\alpha}} \phi+F^{\prime}(w(t)) \phi=g(\mathrm{y}, t)+c(\mathrm{y}) w^{\prime}(t), \quad \text { in } M_{\alpha} \times \mathbb{R}  \tag{7.3}\\
\int_{\mathbb{R}} \phi(\mathrm{y}, t) w^{\prime}(t) d t=0 . \tag{7.4}
\end{gather*}
$$

Solvability of $\sqrt{7.3}-(\sqrt[7.4]{ })$ is based upon the fact that the heteroclinic solution $w(t)$ is nondegenerate in the sense, that the following property holds true.

Lemma 7.2. Assume that $\phi \in L^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}\right)$ and assume $\phi=\phi\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, t\right)$ satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
L(\phi):=\partial_{t t} \phi+\Delta_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} \phi+F^{\prime}(w(t)) \phi=0, \quad \text { in } \mathbb{R}^{2} \times \mathbb{R} \tag{7.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then $\phi\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, t\right)=C w^{\prime}(t)$, for some constant $C \in \mathbb{R}$.
For the detailed proof of this lemma we refer the reader to [9, [11] and references therein.

The linear theory we need to solve system (6.22), is collected in the following proposition, whose proof is again contained in essence in proposition 4.1 in [9] and 11.

Proposition 7.1. Assume $p>2,0<\varrho<\sqrt{2}$ and $\mu \geq 0$. There exist $C>0$, an universal constant, and $\alpha_{0}>0$ small such that, for every $\alpha \in\left(0, \alpha_{0}\right)$ and any given $g$ with $\|g\|_{p, \mu, \varrho}<\infty$, problem (7.3)-(7.4) has a unique solution $(\phi, c)$ with $\|\phi\|_{p, \mu, \varrho}<\infty$, satisfying the apriori estimate

$$
\left\|D^{2} \phi\right\|_{p, \mu, \varrho}+\|D \phi\|_{\infty, \mu, \varrho}+\|\phi\|_{\infty, \mu, \varrho} \leq C\|g\|_{p, \mu, \varrho}
$$

Using Proposition 7.1, we are ready to solve system (6.21)-(6.22). First, recall that as stated in 6.14)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|S_{l}(\mathrm{w})\right\|_{p, 2, \varrho} \leq C \alpha^{2+\tau_{1}} \tag{7.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some $0<\tau_{1}<\tau_{0}$ small enough.
From the discussion in 6.2 , we have a nonlocal operator $\psi=\Psi\left(\phi_{1}, \ldots, \phi_{m}\right)$. We want to solve the following problem

Recall that for $\Phi=\left(\phi_{1}, \ldots, \phi_{m}\right)$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathbf{N}_{l}(\Phi):=B_{l}\left(\phi_{l}\right)+\left[F^{\prime}\left(\zeta_{l 2} \mathrm{w}\right)-F^{\prime}\left(w_{l}(t)\right)\right] \phi_{l}+ \\
& \quad+\zeta_{l 2}\left[F^{\prime}(\mathrm{w})+2\right] \Psi(\Phi)+\zeta_{l 2} N\left(\phi_{l}+\Psi(\Phi)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Let us denote

$$
\begin{gathered}
N_{1}(\Phi):=B_{l}\left(\phi_{l}\right)+\left[F^{\prime}\left(\zeta_{l 2} \mathrm{w}\right)-F^{\prime}\left(w_{l}(t)\right)\right] \phi_{l} \\
N_{2}(\Phi):=\zeta_{l 2}\left[F^{\prime}(\mathrm{w})+2\right] \Psi(\Phi) \\
N_{3}(\Phi):=\zeta_{l 2} N\left(\phi_{l}+\Psi(\Phi)\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

We need to investigate the Lipschitz character of $N_{i}, i=1,2,3$. We begin with $N_{3}$. Observe that

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left|N_{3}\left(\Phi_{1}\right)-N_{3}\left(\Phi_{2}\right)\right|=\zeta_{l 2}\left|N\left(\phi_{l 1}+\Psi\left(\Phi_{1}\right)\right)-N\left(\phi_{l 2}+\Psi\left(\Phi_{2}\right)\right)\right| \\
\leq C \zeta_{l 2} \sup _{\tau \in[0,1]}\left|\tau\left(\phi_{l 1}+\Psi\left(\Phi_{1}\right)\right)+(1-\tau)\left(\phi_{l 2}+\Psi\left(\phi_{l 2}\right)\right)\right| \cdot\left|\phi_{l 1}-\phi_{l 2}+\Psi\left(\Phi_{1}\right)-\Psi\left(\Phi_{2}\right)\right| \\
\leq C\left[\left|\Psi\left(\Phi_{2}\right)\right|+\left|\phi_{l 1}-\phi_{l 2}\right|+\left|\Psi\left(\Phi_{1}\right)-\Psi\left(\Phi_{2}\right)\right|+\left|\phi_{l 2}\right|\right] \cdot\left[\left|\phi_{l 1}-\phi_{l 2}\right|+\left|\Psi\left(\Phi_{1}\right)-\Psi\left(\Phi_{2}\right)\right|\right] .
\end{gathered}
$$

This implies that

$$
\left\|N_{3}\left(\Phi_{1}\right)-N_{3}\left(\Phi_{2}\right)\right\|_{p, 2 \mu, \varrho} \leq
$$

$$
\leq C\left[\alpha^{2+\frac{\varrho}{\sqrt{2}}-\varepsilon}+\sum_{j=1}^{m}\left\|\phi_{j 1}\right\|_{\infty, \mu, \varrho}+\sum_{j=1}^{m}\left\|\phi_{j 2}\right\|_{\infty, \mu, \varrho}\right] \cdot \sum_{j=1}^{m}\left\|\phi_{j 1}-\phi_{j 2}\right\|_{\infty, \mu, \varrho}
$$

Now we check on $N_{1}(\Phi)$. Clearly, we just have to pay attention to $B_{l}\left(\phi_{l}\right)$. But notice that $B_{l}\left(\phi_{l}\right)$ is linear on $\phi_{l}$ and

$$
\begin{aligned}
B_{l}\left(\phi_{l}\right)= & -\alpha^{2}\left\{f_{l}^{\prime \prime}(\alpha y)+\frac{\alpha y}{1+(\alpha y)^{2}} f_{l}^{\prime}(\alpha y)+\frac{2\left(t+f_{l}\right)}{\left(1+(\alpha y)^{2}\right)^{2}}\right\} \partial_{t} \phi_{l} \\
& -2 \alpha f_{l}^{\prime}(\alpha y) \partial_{t y} \phi_{l}+\alpha^{2}\left[f_{l}^{\prime}(\alpha y)\right]^{2} \partial_{t t} \phi_{l}+D_{\alpha, f_{l}}\left(\phi_{l}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

where the differential operator $D_{\alpha, f_{l}}$ is given in (2.6). From asstmptions (5.2)5.5) made on the functions $f_{l}^{\prime} s$, we have that

$$
\left\|N_{1}\left(\Phi_{1}\right)-N_{1}\left(\Phi_{2}\right)\right\|_{p, 2+\mu, \varrho} \leq C \alpha\left\|\Phi_{1}-\Phi_{2}\right\|_{2, p, \mu, \varrho} .
$$

Then, assuming that $\max _{1 \leq j \leq m}\left\|\phi_{j}\right\|_{2, p, \mu, \varrho} \leq A \alpha^{2+\tau_{1}}$, we have that

$$
\left\|\mathbf{N}_{l}(\Phi)\right\|_{p, 2+\mu, \varrho} \leq C \alpha^{3+\tau_{1}}
$$

Setting $T(g)=\phi$ the linear operator given by the Lemma 7.1. we recast problem 6.21) as the fixed point problem

$$
\phi_{l}=T\left(-S_{l}(\mathrm{w})-\mathbf{N}_{l}(\Phi)\right)=: \mathcal{T}_{l}(\Phi), \quad l=1, \ldots, m
$$

in the ball

$$
B_{\alpha}:=\left\{\Phi=\left(\phi_{1}, \ldots, \phi_{m}\right):\|\Phi\|_{* *} \leq A \alpha^{2+\tau_{1}}, \quad j=1, \ldots, m\right\}
$$

where clearly we are working in the space of function $\Phi \in W_{l o c}^{2, p}\left(M_{\alpha} \times \mathbb{R}\right)$ endowed with the norm

$$
\|\Phi\|_{* *}:=\sum_{j=1}^{m}\left\|\phi_{j}\right\|_{2, p, 2, \varrho}
$$

Observe that

$$
\left\|\mathcal{T}_{l}\left(\Phi_{1}\right)-\mathcal{T}_{l}\left(\Phi_{2}\right)\right\|_{* *} \leq C\left\|\mathbf{N}_{l}\left(\Phi_{1}\right)-\mathbf{N}_{l}\left(\Phi_{2}\right)\right\|_{p, 4, \varrho} \leq C \alpha\left\|\Phi_{1}-\Phi_{2}\right\|_{* *}, \quad \Phi_{1}, \Phi_{2} \in B_{\alpha}
$$

On the other hand, because $C$ and $K_{1}$ are universal constants and taking $A$ large enough independent of $\alpha>0$, we have that

$$
\left\|\mathcal{T}_{l}(\Phi)\right\|_{* *} \leq C\left(\left\|S_{l}(\mathrm{w})\right\|_{p, 2, \varrho}+\left\|N_{l}(\Phi)\right\|_{p, 4, \varrho}\right) \leq A \alpha^{2+\tau_{1}}, \quad \phi \in B_{\alpha}
$$

Hence, the mapping $\mathcal{T}=\left(\mathcal{T}_{1}, \ldots, \mathcal{T}_{m}\right)$ is a contraction from the ball $B_{\alpha}$ onto itself. From the contraction mapping principle we get a unique solution

$$
\Phi=\Phi\left(\mathrm{v}_{1}, \ldots, \mathrm{v}_{m}\right)
$$

as required. As for the Lipschitz character of $\Phi\left(\mathrm{v}_{1}, \ldots, \mathrm{v}_{m}\right)$ it comes from a lengthy by direct computation from the fact that

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left\|\Phi\left(\mathrm{v}_{1}, \ldots, \mathrm{v}_{m}\right)-\Phi\left(\widetilde{\mathrm{v}}_{1}, \ldots, \widetilde{\mathrm{v}}_{m}\right)\right\|_{2, p, 2, \varrho} \\
\leq C \sum_{j=1}^{m}\left\|S_{j}\left(\mathrm{w}, \mathrm{v}_{1}, \ldots, \mathrm{v}_{m}\right)-S_{j}\left(\mathrm{w}, \widetilde{\mathrm{v}}_{1}, \ldots, \widetilde{\mathrm{v}}_{m}\right)\right\|_{p, 2, \varrho}+ \\
+\sum_{j=1}^{m}\left\|N_{j}\left(\Phi\left(\mathrm{v}_{1}, \ldots, \mathrm{v}_{m}\right)\right)-N j\left(\Phi\left(\widetilde{\mathrm{v}}_{1}, \ldots, \widetilde{\mathrm{v}}_{m}\right)\right)\right\|_{p, 4, \varrho} .
\end{gathered}
$$

We left to the reader to check on the details of the proof of the following estimate

$$
\left\|\Phi\left(\mathrm{v}_{1}, \ldots, \mathrm{v}_{m}\right)-\Phi\left(\widetilde{\mathrm{v}}_{1}, \ldots, \widetilde{\mathrm{v}}_{m}\right)\right\|_{2, p, 2, \varrho} \leq C \alpha^{2+\tau_{1}} \sum_{j=1}^{m}\left\|\mathrm{v}_{j}-\widetilde{\mathrm{v}}_{j}\right\|_{\delta, p, \beta}
$$

for $\left(\mathrm{v}_{1}, \ldots, \mathrm{v}_{m}\right)$ and $\left(\widetilde{\mathrm{v}}_{1}, \ldots, \widetilde{\mathrm{v}}_{m}\right)$ satisfying (5.2) and (5.4). This completes the proof of proposition 6.2 and consequently the proof of Theorem 1 .

## 8. Sketch of the proof of Theorem 2

This section briefly sketches first part of the proof of Theorem 2. We begin by describing the location of the nodal set of the solutions predicted by this theorem.
8.1. Toda system in $\mathbb{R}^{2}$ and its linearization. In this part we describe the way we solve the Toda System of PDEs

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
\Delta f_{1}+a_{0} e^{-\sqrt{2}\left(f_{2}-f_{1}\right)} & =g_{1}, & \text { in } \mathbb{R}^{2} \\
\Delta f_{2}-a_{0} e^{-\sqrt{2}\left(f_{2}-f_{1}\right)}=g_{2}, & \text { in } \mathbb{R}^{2} \tag{8.2}
\end{array}
$$

where

$$
a_{0}=\left\|w^{\prime}\right\|_{L^{2}(\mathbb{R})}^{-2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} 6\left(1-w^{2}(t)\right) e^{\sqrt{2} t} w^{\prime}(t) d t>0
$$

A decoupling procedure similar to the one performed in section 3, implies that system (8.1)-8.2) becomes

$$
\begin{array}{rlrl}
\Delta\left(f_{2}-f_{1}\right)-2 a_{0} e^{-\sqrt{2}\left(f_{2}-f_{1}\right)} & =g_{2}-g_{1}, & & \text { in } \mathbb{R}^{2} \\
\Delta\left(f_{1}+f_{2}\right) & =g_{1}+g_{2}, & \text { in } \mathbb{R}^{2} \tag{8.4}
\end{array}
$$

Let us look for a radially symmetric smooth solution to 8.1 - 8.2 having the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{1}\left(x^{\prime}\right)=q_{1}\left(x^{\prime}\right)+v_{1}\left(x^{\prime}\right), \quad f_{2}\left(x^{\prime}\right)=q_{2}\left(x^{\prime}\right)+v_{2}\left(x^{\prime}\right), \quad x^{\prime} \in \mathbb{R}^{2} \tag{8.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the vector function $\left(q_{1}, q_{2}\right)$ solves the system of PDEs

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\Delta q_{1}+a_{0} e^{-\sqrt{2}\left(q_{2}-q_{1}\right)}=0, & \text { in } \mathbb{R}^{2} \\
\Delta q_{2}-a_{0} e^{-\sqrt{2}\left(q_{2}-q_{1}\right)}=0, & \text { in } \mathbb{R}^{2} \tag{8.7}
\end{array}
$$

Since we are looking for an axially symmetric nodal sets that are also symmetric respect to the $x_{3}$-axis, we assume that $q_{2}=-q_{1}=q$, so that the system 8.6)-(8.7) reduces to a Liouville equation, namely

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta q-a_{0} e^{-2 \sqrt{2} q}=0, \quad \text { in } \mathbb{R}^{2} \tag{8.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is known that every radially symmetric solution to 8.8 is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
q\left(x^{\prime}, \rho, \gamma\right)=\frac{1}{2 \sqrt{2}} \log \left(\frac{\sqrt{2} a_{0}}{4 \rho^{2} \gamma^{2}}\left(1+\rho^{2}\left|x^{\prime}\right|^{2 \gamma}\right)^{2}\right)-\frac{(\gamma-1)}{\sqrt{2}} \log \left(\left|x^{\prime}\right|\right), \quad r>0 \tag{8.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since we are looking for smooth solutions to 8.8 with the initial conditions

$$
q(0)=a>0, \quad \nabla_{x^{\prime}} q(0)=0
$$

this forces $\gamma=1$, so that

$$
\begin{equation*}
q\left(x^{\prime}, \rho\right)=\frac{1}{2 \sqrt{2}} \log \left(\frac{\sqrt{2} a_{0}}{4 \rho^{2}}\left(1+\rho^{2}\left|x^{\prime}\right|^{2}\right)^{2}\right), \quad \rho>0 \tag{8.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

From the fact that $q(0)=a>0$, we obtain

$$
\log \left(\frac{\sqrt{2} a_{0}}{4 \rho^{2}}\right)=\frac{2 a}{\sqrt{2}}
$$

Remark 8.1: Observe that $\rho$ is a free parameter that determines the conditions at the origin in 8.8). Without any loss of generality we assume that $\rho=1$, but it is important to keep in mind that the function $q$ is smooth respect to this parameter $\rho>0$. We also remark that in the case when $\rho$ lies in a fixed and compact interval of $\mathbb{R}_{+}$, the topologies considered and the procedure we carry out below, can be done independent of $\rho$.

Decoupling and linearizing (8.1)-8.2) around the exact solution $\left(q_{1}, q_{2}\right)$ as we did in section 3.2, we obtain the nonlinear system

$$
\begin{align*}
\Delta v_{1}+2 \sqrt{2} a_{0} e^{-2 \sqrt{2} q} v_{1}+\mathrm{N}\left(v_{1}\right)=\widetilde{g}_{1}, & \text { in } \mathbb{R}^{2}  \tag{8.11}\\
\Delta v_{2}=\widetilde{g}_{2}, & \text { in } \mathbb{R}^{2} \tag{8.12}
\end{align*}
$$

where we consider right-hand side functions $\widetilde{g}_{j}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\widetilde{g}_{j}\right\|_{p, \beta}:=\left\|\left(1+\left|x^{\prime}\right|^{\beta}\right) \widetilde{g}_{j}\right\|_{L^{p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right)}<\infty, \quad j=1,2 \tag{8.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some $p>1$ and $\beta \geq 0$ and where we have denoted

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{N}\left(v_{1}\right)=-e^{-2 \sqrt{2} q}\left[e^{-\sqrt{2} v_{1}}-1+\sqrt{2} v_{1}\right] . \tag{8.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let us consider first the linear system associated to 8.11-8.12), namely

$$
\begin{align*}
\Delta v_{1}+2 \sqrt{2} a_{0} e^{-2 \sqrt{2} q} v_{1} & =\widetilde{g}_{1}, & & \text { in } \mathbb{R}^{2}  \tag{8.15}\\
\Delta v_{2} & =\widetilde{g}_{2}, & & \text { in } \mathbb{R}^{2} \tag{8.16}
\end{align*}
$$

Since our setting is radially symmetric, we deal with this system using variations of parameters formula. We solve first equation 8.15). Taking derivatives in 8.9 respect to $\gamma$ and $\rho$, for $\gamma=1$ and $\rho=1$, we find that the functions $\psi_{1}(r)=\partial_{\gamma} q(r, 1,1)$ and $\psi_{2}(r)=\partial_{\rho} q(r, 1,1)$ span the set of radially symmetric solutions to

$$
\Delta \psi+2 \sqrt{2} a_{0} e^{-2 \sqrt{2} q} \psi=0, \quad \text { in } \mathbb{R}^{2}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sqrt{2} \psi_{1}(r)=\frac{\log (r)\left(r^{2}-1\right)}{r^{2}+1}-1, \quad \sqrt{2} \psi_{2}(r)=\frac{r^{2}-1}{r^{2}+1} \tag{8.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Observe that $\psi_{1}$ is clearly singular at the origin. Observe also that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\partial_{r} \psi_{1}(r)=\frac{-1+r^{4}+4 r^{2} \log (r)}{\sqrt{2} r\left(1+r^{2}\right)^{2}}, \quad \partial_{r} \psi_{2}(r)=\frac{2 \sqrt{2} r}{\left(1+r^{2}\right)^{2}} \tag{8.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

so that from 8.18 we find that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{c}{r} \leq\left|\partial_{r} \psi_{1}(r)\right| \leq \frac{C}{r}, \quad\left|\partial_{r} \psi_{2}(r)\right| \leq \frac{C r}{1+r^{4}}, \quad r>0 \tag{8.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

We compute the wronski determinant

$$
\mathrm{W}\left(\psi_{1}, \psi_{2}\right):=\psi_{1} \partial_{r} \psi_{2}-\psi_{2} \partial_{r} \psi_{1}=-\frac{1}{2 r}
$$

and we observe that the function

$$
\begin{equation*}
v_{1}(r)=2 \psi_{1}(r) \int_{0}^{r} \xi \psi_{2}(\xi) \widetilde{g}_{1}(\xi) d \xi+2 \psi_{2}(r) \int_{r}^{\infty} \xi \psi_{1}(\xi) \widetilde{g}_{1}(\xi) d \xi \tag{8.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

defines a smooth solution to equation 8.15. From 8.17) and 8.18, we directly check that $\partial_{r} v_{1}(0)=0$ and that

$$
\left\|v_{1}\right\|_{2, p, \beta} \leq C\left\|\widetilde{g}_{1}\right\|_{p, \beta}, \quad p, \beta>2
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|v_{1}\right\|_{2, p, \beta}:=\left\|D^{2} v_{1}\right\|_{p, \beta}+\left\|\left(1+\left|x^{\prime}\right|\right) D v_{1}\right\|_{L^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right)}+\left\|\log \left(2+\left|x^{\prime}\right|\right)^{-1} v_{1}\right\|_{L^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right)} \tag{8.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

Next, we observe that 8.16 has a radially symmetric smooth solution given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
v_{2}(r):=\int_{r}^{\infty} \xi \log (\xi) \widetilde{g}_{2}(\xi) d \xi+\log (r) \int_{0}^{r} \xi \widetilde{g}_{2}(\xi) d \xi \tag{8.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

Taking $p, \beta>2$, we see directly from this formula that

$$
\left\|v_{2}\right\|_{2, p, \beta} \leq C\left\|\widetilde{g}_{2}\right\|_{p, \beta}
$$

We are now in position to invert the linear system 8.11-8.12). We collect this information in the following lemma

Lemma 8.1. Assume $p>2,0<\beta<4-\frac{2}{p}$ and consider a vector function $\left(\widetilde{g}_{1}, \widetilde{g}_{2}\right)$ satisfying that

$$
\left\|\widetilde{g}_{j}\right\|_{p, \beta} \leq C \alpha^{\kappa_{1}}, \quad j=1,2
$$

for some small parameter $\alpha>0$ and some $\kappa_{1}>0$. Then, the vector function $\left(v_{1}, v_{2}\right)$ defined 8.20-(8.22) is the solution to the system 8.11-8.12) and satisfies that

$$
\left\|v_{j}\right\|_{2, p, \beta} \leq C \max _{k=1,2}\left\|\widetilde{g}_{k}\right\|_{p, \beta}, \quad j=1,2
$$

Even more this solution turns out to be Lipschitz in the vector function $\left(\widetilde{g}_{1}, \widetilde{g}_{2}\right)$, namely

$$
\left\|v_{j}-\hat{v}_{j}\right\|_{2, p, \beta} \leq C \max _{k=1,2}\left\|\widetilde{g}_{k}-\hat{g}_{k}\right\|_{p, \beta}, \quad j=1,2
$$

The proof of this lemma is straightforward from the previous comments and proceeding as in section 4 . Let us remark that in the case where $\widetilde{g}_{j}, j=1,2$, are nonlocal operators in $\left(v_{1}, v_{2}\right)$ having small Lipschitz character a direct application of Banach fixed point theorem will also lead to the existence of a unique solution to 8.11-8.12.

Remark 8.2: When looking for solutions to (8.4)-8.3) that are symmetric respect to the $x_{3}$-axis, i.e $f_{2}=-f_{1}$ then $\widetilde{g}_{2}=0$ and consequently the function $v_{2}$ defined in 8.22 is zero. Hence, we deal only with the single linear equation 8.15).
8.2 Approximate solution to the projected problem. Now that we have described the location of the nodal set of our solution, we proceed to set up our approximation. Consider a radially symmetric solution $\left(q_{1}, q_{2}\right)$ to the system

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta q_{1}+a_{0} e^{-\sqrt{2}\left(q_{2}-q_{1}\right)}=0, \quad \Delta q_{2}-a_{0} e^{-\sqrt{2}\left(q_{2}-q_{1}\right)}=0, \quad \text { in } \mathbb{R}^{2} \tag{8.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
a_{0}:=\left\|w^{\prime}\right\|_{L^{2}(\mathbb{R})}^{-2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} 6\left(1-w(t)^{2}\right) e^{\sqrt{2} t} w^{\prime}(t) d t
$$

Recall from the previous section that we have chosen $-q_{1}=q_{2}=q$, and the function $q$ is a solution to the Liouville equation

$$
\Delta q-a_{0} e^{-2 \sqrt{2} q}=0, \quad \text { in } \mathbb{R}^{2}
$$

given explicitly by

$$
\begin{equation*}
q\left(x^{\prime}, \rho\right)=\frac{1}{2 \sqrt{2}} \log \left(\frac{\sqrt{2} a_{0}}{4 \rho^{2}}\left(1+\rho^{2}\left|x^{\prime}\right|^{2}\right)^{2}\right) \tag{8.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

and observe that, for every $\alpha>0$ the vector function ( $\mathrm{q}_{1 \alpha}, \mathrm{q}_{2 \alpha}$ ), defined by
$\mathrm{q}_{1, \alpha}\left(x^{\prime}\right)=-\frac{1}{2 \sqrt{2}} \log \left(\frac{1}{\alpha^{2}}\right)-q\left(\alpha x^{\prime}\right), \quad \mathrm{q}_{2, \alpha}\left(x^{\prime}\right)=\frac{1}{2 \sqrt{2}} \log \left(\frac{1}{\alpha^{2}}\right)+q\left(\alpha x^{\prime}\right), \quad r>0$
are also smooth radially symmetric solutions to 8.23 .
Now, for $\alpha>0$ small, consider a parameter function $v$, satisfying that $\|v\|_{2, p, \beta}:=\left\|D^{2} v\right\|_{p, \beta}+\left\|\left(1+\left|x^{\prime}\right|\right) D v\right\|_{L^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right)}+\left\|\log \left(2+\left|x^{\prime}\right|\right)^{-1} v\right\|_{L^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right)} \leq K \alpha^{2}|\log (\alpha)|$
for some $K>0$ that will be chosen later and independent of $\alpha>0$ and consider the functions

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{f}_{l \alpha}\left(x^{\prime}\right)=\mathrm{q}_{l \alpha}\left(x^{\prime}\right)+\mathrm{v}_{l \alpha}\left(x^{\prime}\right), \quad l=1,2 \tag{8.26}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathrm{v}_{2 \alpha}=-\mathrm{v}_{1 \alpha}=\mathrm{v}_{\alpha}$ and $\mathrm{v}_{\alpha}\left(x^{\prime}\right)=v\left(\alpha x^{\prime}\right)$.
Proceeding as in the proof of Theorem 1 we consider as local approximation the function

$$
\begin{equation*}
U_{0}(x)=w\left(z-\mathrm{f}_{1 \alpha}\left(x^{\prime}\right)\right)+w\left(z-\mathrm{f}_{2 \alpha}\left(x^{\prime}\right)\right)-1, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^{3} . \tag{8.27}
\end{equation*}
$$

As in section 5.1, let us consider the sets

$$
A_{l}:=\left\{x=\left(x^{\prime}, z\right):\left|z-\mathrm{f}_{j \alpha}\left(x^{\prime}\right)\right| \leq \frac{1}{2}\left(\mathrm{f}_{2 \alpha}\left(x^{\prime}\right)-\mathrm{f}_{1 \alpha}\left(x^{\prime}\right)\right)\right\}, \quad l=1,2
$$

Writing $z=t+\mathrm{f}_{l \alpha}\left(x^{\prime}\right)$, we notice that $A_{l}$ can be described as

$$
A_{l}:=\left\{x=\left(x^{\prime}, t\right):|t| \leq \frac{1}{2}\left(\mathrm{f}_{2 \alpha}\left(x^{\prime}\right)-\mathrm{f}_{1 \alpha}\left(x^{\prime}\right)\right)\right\}, \quad l=1,2
$$

Hence, we can estate the following lemma regarding the error of this approximation in the set $A_{l}$.

Lemma 8.2. For $l=1,2$ and every $x \in A_{l}, x=\left(x^{\prime}, t\right)$, we have that

$$
\begin{gather*}
(-1)^{l-1} S\left(U_{0}\right)=-\Delta_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} \mathrm{f}_{l \alpha} w^{\prime}(t)+(-1)^{l} 6\left(1-w^{2}(t)\right) e^{(-1)^{l-1} \sqrt{2} t} e^{-\sqrt{2}\left(\mathrm{f}_{2 \alpha}-\mathrm{f}_{1 \alpha}\right)} \\
+\left|\nabla \mathrm{f}_{l \alpha}\right|^{2} w^{\prime \prime}(t)-\Delta_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} \mathrm{f}_{j \alpha} w^{\prime}\left(t+\mathrm{f}_{l \alpha}-\mathrm{f}_{j \alpha}\right)+\left|\nabla \mathrm{f}_{j \alpha}\right|^{2} w^{\prime \prime}\left(t+\mathrm{f}_{l \alpha}-f_{j \alpha}\right) \\
+\left[(-1)^{l-1} 6\left(1-w^{2}(t)\right)+12\left(1+(-1)^{l} w(t)\right)\right] e^{(-1)^{l-1} 2 \sqrt{2} t} e^{-2 \sqrt{2}\left(\mathrm{f}_{2 \alpha}-\mathrm{f}_{1 \alpha}\right)} \\
+\mathrm{R}_{l}\left(\alpha x^{\prime}, t, v, D v\right) \tag{8.28}
\end{gather*}
$$

where $\mathrm{R}_{l}=\mathrm{R}_{l}(\alpha y, t, p, q)$ is smooth on its arguments and
$\left|D_{p} \mathrm{R}_{l}\left(\alpha x^{\prime}, t, p, q\right)\right|+\left|D_{q} \mathrm{R}_{l}\left(\alpha x^{\prime}, t, p, q\right)\right|+\left|\mathrm{R}_{l}\left(\alpha x^{\prime}, t, p, q\right)\right| \leq C \alpha^{2+\tau}\left(1+\left|\alpha x^{\prime}\right|\right)^{-4} e^{-\varrho|t|}$
for some $0<\tau<1$ small and some $0<\varrho<\sqrt{2}$ and where $p=v$ and $q=D v$.

Proof. The proof of this lemma follows the same lines of lemma 5.1, with no significant changes and actually with easier computations. So, we only remark that in the set $A_{1}$

$$
U_{0}\left(x^{\prime}, t\right)=w(t)-w\left(t+\mathrm{f}_{1 \alpha}-\mathrm{f}_{2 \alpha}\right)-1
$$

where the function $w(s)$ is the heteroclinic solution to

$$
w^{\prime \prime}+F(w)=0, \quad w( \pm \infty)= \pm 1, \quad w^{\prime}>0
$$

having the asymptotic expansion

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
w(s)=1-2 e^{-\sqrt{2} s}+2 e^{-2 \sqrt{2} s}+\mathcal{O}\left(e^{-2 \sqrt{2}|s|}\right), & s>0 \\
w(s)=-1+2 e^{\sqrt{2} s}-2 e^{-2 \sqrt{2} s}+\mathcal{O}\left(e^{-3 \sqrt{2}|s|}\right), & s<0 \tag{8.30}
\end{array}
$$

and where these relations can be differentiated. Using that $F( \pm 1)=0$,

$$
\begin{gathered}
F\left(U_{0}\right)=F(w(t))-F\left(w\left(t+\mathrm{f}_{1 \alpha}-\mathrm{f}_{2 \alpha}\right)\right) \\
-\left(F^{\prime}(w(t))-F^{\prime}(-1)\right)\left[w\left(t+\mathrm{f}_{1 \alpha}-\mathrm{f}_{2 \alpha}\right)+1\right]+\frac{1}{2}\left(F^{\prime \prime}(w(t))+F^{\prime}(-1)\right)\left[w\left(t+\mathrm{f}_{1 \alpha}-\mathrm{f}_{2 \alpha}\right)+1\right]^{2} \\
+\mathcal{O}\left(\left[w\left(t+\mathrm{f}_{1 \alpha}-\mathrm{f}_{2 \alpha}\right)+1\right]^{3}\right) .
\end{gathered}
$$

From 8.30 we obtain that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& F\left(U_{0}\right)=F(w(t))-F\left(w\left(t+\mathrm{f}_{1 \alpha}-\mathrm{f}_{2 \alpha}\right)\right)-6\left(1-w^{2}(t)\right) e^{\sqrt{2} t} e^{-\sqrt{2}\left(\mathrm{f}_{2 \alpha}-\mathrm{f}_{1 \alpha}\right)} \\
+ & 6\left[\left(1-w^{2}(t)\right)+2(1-w(t))\right] e^{2 \sqrt{2} t} e^{-2 \sqrt{2}\left(\mathrm{f}_{2 \alpha}-\mathrm{f}_{1 \alpha}\right)}+\mathcal{O}\left(e^{-3 \sqrt{2}\left|t+\mathrm{f}_{1 \alpha}-\mathrm{f}_{2 \alpha}\right|}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Similar computations hold true in the set $A_{2}$ and this completes the proof of the lemma.

Using the fact that the vector function $\mathrm{q}=\left(q_{1}, q_{2}\right)$ is an exact solution to the Toda system in $\mathbb{R}^{2}$ and using the function for $g_{0}$ described in (5.17), we can write expression 8.28 as

$$
\begin{gather*}
(-1)^{l-1} S\left(U_{0}\right)=-\Delta_{\mathbb{R}^{2} \mathrm{v}_{l \alpha}} w^{\prime}(t) \\
+(-1)^{l} 6\left(1-w^{2}(t)\right) e^{(-1)^{l-1} \sqrt{2} t} e^{-\sqrt{2}\left(\mathrm{q}_{2, \alpha}-\mathrm{q}_{1, \alpha}\right)}\left(e^{-\sqrt{2}\left(\mathrm{v}_{2 \alpha}-\mathrm{v}_{1 \alpha}\right)}-1\right) \\
+(-1)^{l} g_{0}\left((-1)^{l} t\right) e^{-\sqrt{2}\left(q_{2, \alpha}-q_{1, \alpha}\right)}+\left|\nabla \mathrm{q}_{l \alpha}\right|^{2} w^{\prime \prime}(t) \\
+\nabla \mathrm{v}_{l \alpha}\left(2 \nabla \mathrm{q}_{l \alpha}+\nabla \mathrm{v}_{l \alpha}\right) w^{\prime \prime}(t)-\Delta_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} \mathrm{f}_{j \alpha} w^{\prime}\left(t+\mathrm{f}_{l \alpha}-\mathrm{f}_{j \alpha}\right)+\left|\nabla \mathrm{f}_{j \alpha}\right|^{2} w^{\prime \prime}\left(t+\mathrm{f}_{l \alpha}-f_{j \alpha}\right) \\
+\left[(-1)^{l-1} 6\left(1-w^{2}(t)\right)+12\left(1+(-1)^{l} w(t)\right)\right] e^{(-1)^{l-1} 2 \sqrt{2} t} e^{-2 \sqrt{2}\left(\mathrm{f}_{2_{2 \alpha}}-\mathrm{f}_{1 \alpha}\right)} \\
+\mathrm{R}_{l}\left(\alpha x^{\prime}, t, v, D v\right) \tag{8.31}
\end{gather*}
$$

Next, we improve the approximation by considering the function

$$
U_{1}\left(x^{\prime}, z\right)=U_{0}\left(x^{\prime}, z\right)+\varphi_{1,0}\left(x^{\prime}, z-\mathrm{f}_{1 \alpha}\right)-\varphi_{2,0}\left(x^{\prime}, z-\mathrm{f}_{2 \alpha}\right)
$$

and

$$
(-1)^{l+1} \varphi_{l, 0}\left(x^{\prime}, t\right)=e^{-\sqrt{2}\left(\mathrm{q}_{2 \alpha}-\mathrm{q}_{1 \alpha}\right)} \psi_{0}\left((-1)^{l} t\right)+\left|\nabla \mathrm{q}_{l \alpha}\right|^{2} \psi_{1}(t)
$$

where the functions $\psi_{0}(t)$ is the one described in 5.19) and $\psi_{1}(t)=-\frac{1}{2} t w^{\prime}(t)$.

We recall that

$$
\mathrm{q}_{2 \alpha}\left(x^{\prime}\right)=-\mathrm{q}_{1 \alpha}\left(x^{\prime}\right)=\frac{1}{2 \sqrt{2}} \log \left(\frac{1}{\alpha^{2}}\right)+\frac{1}{2 \sqrt{2}} \log \left(\frac{\sqrt{2} a_{0}}{4}\left(1+\left|\alpha x^{\prime}\right|^{2}\right)^{2}\right)
$$

so that

$$
e^{-\sqrt{2}\left(\mathrm{q}_{2 \alpha}-\mathrm{q}_{1 \alpha}\right)}=\frac{\alpha^{2}}{a_{0} \sqrt{2}} \frac{4}{\left(1+\left|\alpha x^{\prime}\right|^{2}\right)^{2}} .
$$

Proceeding as in section 5.2, we compute the new error created to find that in the region $A_{l}$, setting $z=t+\mathrm{f}_{l \alpha}$

$$
\begin{gather*}
(-1)^{l-1} S\left(U_{1}\right)=-\Delta_{\mathbb{R}^{2} \mathrm{v}_{l \alpha}} w^{\prime}(t) \\
-(-1)^{l} 6\left(1-w^{2}(t)\right) e^{\sqrt{2}(-1)^{l-1} t} e^{-\sqrt{2}\left(\mathrm{q}_{2 \alpha}-\mathrm{q}_{1 \alpha}\right)}\left[e^{-\sqrt{2}\left(\mathrm{v}_{2 \alpha}-\mathrm{v}_{1 \alpha}\right)}-1\right] \\
+\nabla \mathrm{v}_{l \alpha}\left(2 \nabla \mathrm{q}_{l \alpha}+\nabla \mathrm{v}_{l \alpha}\right) w^{\prime \prime}(t)-\Delta_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} \mathrm{f}_{j \alpha} w^{\prime}\left(t+\mathrm{f}_{l \alpha}-\mathrm{f}_{j \alpha}\right)+\left|\nabla \mathrm{f}_{j \alpha}\right|^{2} w^{\prime \prime}\left(t+\mathrm{f}_{l \alpha}-f_{j \alpha}\right) \\
+\left[(-1)^{l-1} 6\left(1-w^{2}(t)\right)+12\left(1+(-1)^{l} w(t)\right)\right] e^{(-1)^{l-1} 2 \sqrt{2} t} e^{-2 \sqrt{2}\left(\mathrm{f}_{2 \alpha}-\mathrm{f}_{1 \alpha}\right)}+\widetilde{\mathrm{R}}_{l} \tag{8.32}
\end{gather*}
$$

where

$$
\widetilde{\mathrm{R}}_{l}=\widetilde{\mathrm{R}}_{l}\left(\alpha x^{\prime}, t, v, D v\right)
$$

and
$\left|D_{p} \widetilde{\mathrm{R}}_{l}\left(\alpha x^{\prime}, t, p, q\right)\right|+\left|D_{q} \widetilde{\mathrm{R}}_{l}\left(\alpha \alpha x^{\prime}, t, p, q\right)\right|+\left|\widetilde{\mathrm{R}}_{l}\left(\alpha x^{\prime}, t, p, q\right)\right| \leq C \alpha^{2+\tau}\left(1+\left|\alpha x^{\prime}\right|\right)^{-4} e^{-\varrho|t|}$
for some $0<\varrho<\sqrt{2}$ and some $0<\tau<1$. Actually, from the proof of lemma 8.2 we have that

$$
\left|\widetilde{\mathrm{R}}_{l}\left(\alpha x^{\prime}, t, v, D v\right)\right| \leq C e^{-3 \sqrt{2}\left|t+\mathrm{f}_{1 \alpha}-\mathrm{f}_{2 \alpha}\right|}, \quad \text { in } A_{1}
$$

Next step, consists on defining the global approximation to the solution. We consider again the smooth cut-off function $\beta \in C_{c}^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})$, such that $\beta(t)=1$, for $|t| \leq 1 / 2$ and $\beta(t)=0$, for $|t| \leq 1$. Now, for $\alpha>0$ small we define the cut-off function

$$
\beta_{\alpha}(x):=\beta\left(|z|-\frac{\eta}{\alpha}-4 \log \left(\left|\alpha x^{\prime}\right|+3\right), \quad x=\left(x^{\prime}, z\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{3}\right.
$$

We see that $\beta_{\alpha}$ is supported in a region that expands logarithmically in $\left|\alpha x^{\prime}\right|$ and we consider as global approximation the function

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{w}(x):=\beta_{\alpha}(x) U_{1}(x)+\left(1-\beta_{\alpha}(x)\right)(-1) \tag{8.34}
\end{equation*}
$$

Recalling that $F(u)=u\left(1-u^{2}\right)$, we compute the new error as follows

$$
S(\mathrm{w})=\Delta \mathrm{w}+F(\mathrm{w})=\beta_{\alpha}(x) S\left(U_{1}\right)+E
$$

where

$$
E=2 \nabla \beta_{\alpha} \nabla U_{1}+\Delta \beta_{\alpha}\left(U_{1}+1\right)+F\left(\beta_{\alpha} U_{1}-\left(1-\beta_{\alpha}\right)\right)-\beta_{\alpha} F\left(U_{1}\right)
$$

Due to the choice of $\beta_{\alpha}(x)$, the error term $E$ only takes into account values of $\beta_{\alpha}$ for $x \in \mathbb{R}^{3}$ in the region

$$
|z| \geq \frac{\eta}{\alpha}+4 \ln \left(\left|\alpha x^{\prime}\right|+3\right)-2, \quad x=\left(x^{\prime}, z\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{3}
$$

and so, we get the following estimate for the term $E$

$$
|\nabla E|+|E| \leq C e^{-\frac{\eta}{\alpha}}\left(1+\left|\alpha x^{\prime}\right|\right)^{-4}
$$

We observe that the error $E$ decays rapidly and is exponentially small in $\alpha>0$, so that its contribution is negligible.

Remark 8.3: The local approximation $U_{1}$ is clearly axially symmetric and even in the $z$-axis this is due to the fact that the graph of the function $\mathrm{f}_{1 \alpha}$ is a reflection through the $z$-axis of the graph of the function $\mathrm{f}_{2 \alpha}$. Of course, this is also true for the global approximation w . Observe also that for the moment, we are omitting the role of the parameter $\rho>0$, but clearly the approximations $U_{1}$ and w and the error created depend smoothly on it.
8.3. Outline of the Lyapunov-Schmidt Reduction. Let us consider first an appropriate functional setting to work with. Consider the norms

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|f\|_{p, \hat{\mu}, \sim}:=\sup _{x \in \mathbb{R}^{3}}\left(1+\left|\alpha x^{\prime}\right|\right)^{\hat{\mu}}\|f\|_{L^{p}\left(B_{1}(x)\right)}, \quad p>1 \tag{8.35}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|\psi\|_{2, p, \hat{\mu}, \sim}:=\left\|D^{2} \psi\right\|_{p, \hat{\mu}, \sim}+\|D \psi\|_{\infty, \hat{\mu}, \sim}+\|\psi\|_{\infty, \hat{\mu}, \sim} \tag{8.36}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $0<\hat{\mu} \leq \min (2 \mu, \mu+\varrho \sqrt{2}, 2+\varrho \sqrt{2})$.
We also consider $0<\varrho<\sqrt{2}, \mu>0, \alpha>0$ and functions $g=g\left(x^{\prime}, t\right)$ and $\phi=\phi(\mathrm{y}, t)$, defined for every $(\mathrm{y}, t) \in M_{\alpha} \times \mathbb{R}$. Let us set the norms

$$
\begin{gather*}
\|g\|_{p, \mu, \varrho}:=\sup _{\left(x^{\prime}, t\right) \in R^{2} \times \mathbb{R}}\left(1+\left|\alpha x^{\prime}\right|\right)^{\mu} e^{\varrho|t|}\|g\|_{L^{p}\left(B_{1}\left(x^{\prime}, t\right)\right)}  \tag{8.37}\\
\|\phi\|_{\infty, \mu, \varrho}:=\left\|\left(1+\left|\alpha x^{\prime}\right|^{\mu}\right) e^{\varrho|t|} \phi\right\|_{L^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2} \times \mathbb{R}\right)}  \tag{8.38}\\
\|\phi\|_{2, p, \mu, \varrho}:=\left\|D^{2} \phi\right\|_{p, \mu, \varrho}+\|D \phi\|_{\infty, \mu, \varrho}+\|\phi\|_{\infty, \mu, \varrho} \tag{8.39}
\end{gather*}
$$

Finally, for functions $v$ and $\widetilde{g}$ defined in $\mathbb{R}^{2}$, we recall the norms

$$
\begin{gather*}
\|\widetilde{g}\|_{p, \beta}:=\left\|\left(1+\left|x^{\prime}\right|^{\beta}\right) \widetilde{g}\right\|_{L^{p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right)}  \tag{8.40}\\
\|v\|_{2, p, \beta}:=\left\|D^{2} v\right\|_{p, \beta}+\left\|\left(1+\left|x^{\prime}\right|\right) D v\right\|_{L^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right)}+\left\|\log \left(\left|x^{\prime}\right|+2\right)^{-1} v\right\|_{L^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right)} \tag{8.41}
\end{gather*}
$$

Observe that the functional setting we are considering in this part is basically the same one used for the proof of Theorem 1.

Let us recall that our goal is to find an axially symmetric solution to equation 1.1) which is close to the function $w$ defined in 8.34.

We proceed as in section 6 , with no significant changes, so we rather prefer to give an outline of the scheme. We consider for $l=1,2$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$, the cut off function

$$
\begin{equation*}
\zeta_{l, n}(x)=\beta\left(|t|-\frac{1}{2}\left[\mathrm{f}_{2 \alpha}\left(x^{\prime}\right)-\mathrm{f}_{1 \alpha}\left(x^{\prime}\right)\right]+n\right), \quad x=\left(x^{\prime}, t+\mathrm{f}_{l \alpha}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{2} \tag{8.42}
\end{equation*}
$$

A crucial observation we make is that, under assumptions 8.25, directly from lemma 8.2 and the choice of the functional setting, the error

$$
\begin{gathered}
(-1)^{l-1} S_{l}(\mathrm{w}):=-\Delta_{\mathbb{R}^{2} \mathrm{v}_{l \alpha}} w^{\prime}(t) \\
-(-1)^{l} 6\left(1-w^{2}(t)\right) e^{\sqrt{2}(-1)^{l-1} t} e^{-\sqrt{2}\left(\mathrm{q}_{2 \alpha}-\mathrm{q}_{1 \alpha}\right)} \zeta_{l, 2}\left[e^{-\sqrt{2}\left(\mathrm{v}_{2 \alpha}-\mathrm{v}_{1 \alpha}\right)}-1\right]
\end{gathered}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& +\nabla \mathrm{v}_{l \alpha}\left(2 \nabla \mathrm{q}_{l \alpha}+\nabla \mathrm{v}_{l \alpha}\right) w^{\prime \prime}(t)-\zeta_{l, 2} \Delta_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} \mathrm{f}_{j \alpha} w^{\prime}\left(t+\mathrm{f}_{l \alpha}-\mathrm{f}_{j \alpha}\right)+\zeta_{l, 2}\left|\nabla \mathrm{f}_{j \alpha}\right|^{2} w^{\prime \prime}\left(t+\mathrm{f}_{l \alpha}-f_{j \alpha}\right) \\
& +\zeta_{l, 2}\left[(-1)^{l-1} 6\left(1-w^{2}(t)\right)+12\left(1+(-1)^{l} w(t)\right)\right] e^{(-1)^{l-1} 2 \sqrt{2} t} e^{-2 \sqrt{2}\left(\mathrm{f}_{2 \alpha}-\mathrm{f}_{1 \alpha}\right)}+\zeta_{l, 2} \widetilde{\mathrm{R}}_{l} \tag{8.43}
\end{align*}
$$

has the size

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|S_{l}(\mathrm{w})\right\|_{p, 2, \varrho} \leq C \alpha^{2+\tau_{1}} \tag{8.44}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $0<\varrho<\sqrt{2}$ and $0<\tau_{1} \leq 1$ is arbitrarily close or equal to 1 , in which case $\varrho$ goes or equals 0 , independently of $\alpha>0$. The following proposition collects estimates regarding 8.44).

Proposition 8.1. Assume $\varrho \in(0, \sqrt{2})$ and that the functions $\mathrm{f}_{j \alpha}$ satisfy condition 8.25). Then there exist a constant $C>0$ and a small number $0<\tau_{1} \leq 1$, both independent of $\alpha>0$, such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|S_{l}(\mathrm{w})\right\|_{p, 2, \varrho} \leq C \alpha^{2+\tau_{1}} \tag{8.45}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|S_{l}(\mathrm{w}, v)-S_{l}(\mathrm{w}, \widetilde{v})\right\|_{p, 2, \varrho} \leq C \alpha^{2+\tau_{1}}\|v-\widetilde{v}\|_{2, p, \beta} \tag{8.46}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|v\|_{2, p, \beta}:=\left\|D^{2} v\right\|_{p, \beta}+\left\|\left(1+\left|x^{\prime}\right|\right) D v\right\|_{L^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right)}+\left\|\log \left(2+\left|x^{\prime}\right|\right)^{-1} v\right\|_{L^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right)} \tag{8.47}
\end{equation*}
$$

As before, we look for a solution to 1.1 of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
U=\mathrm{w}+\zeta_{1,3}(x) \phi_{1}\left(x^{\prime}, z-\mathrm{f}_{1 \alpha}\right)-\zeta_{2,3}(x) \phi_{2}\left(x^{\prime}, z-\mathrm{f}_{2 \alpha}\right)+\psi \tag{8.48}
\end{equation*}
$$

so that we fall into a system of elliptic PDEs for $\phi_{1}, \phi_{2}$ and $\psi$ similar to 6.9)-6.11).
The linear theory needed to solve this problem is a copy of the one sketched in section 7 , but applied to the system

$$
\begin{gather*}
\Delta \psi(x)-2 \psi(x)=h(x), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^{3}  \tag{8.49}\\
\partial_{t t} \phi_{l}\left(x^{\prime}, t\right)+\Delta_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} \phi_{l}\left(x^{\prime}, t\right)+F^{\prime}(w(t)) \phi_{l}\left(x^{\prime}, t\right)=g_{l}\left(x^{\prime}, t\right)+c_{l}\left(x^{\prime}\right) w^{\prime}(t), \quad \text { in } \mathbb{R}^{2} \times \mathbb{R} \tag{8.50}
\end{gather*}
$$

in the class of axially symmetric functions and in the topologies induced by the norms set above. In particular, the nonlinear nonlocal system of equations for the functions $\phi_{l}$ reads as

$$
\begin{gather*}
\partial_{t t} \phi_{l}+\Delta_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} \phi_{l}+F^{\prime}(w(t)) \phi_{l}=-S_{l}(\mathrm{w})-B_{l}\left(\phi_{l}\right) \\
-\left[F^{\prime}\left(\zeta_{l, 2} \mathrm{w}\right)-(-1)^{l-1} F^{\prime}(w(t))\right] \phi_{l}-\zeta_{l, 2}\left(F^{\prime}(\mathrm{w})+2\right) \psi-\zeta_{l, 2} N\left(\phi_{l}+\psi\right), \quad \text { in } \mathbb{R}^{2} \times \mathbb{R} \tag{8.51}
\end{gather*}
$$

with

$$
B_{l}\left(\phi_{l}\right):=-\Delta_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} \mathrm{f}_{l \alpha} \partial_{t} \phi_{l}-2 \nabla \mathrm{f}_{l \alpha} \nabla_{x^{\prime}} \partial_{t} \phi_{l}+\left|\nabla \mathrm{f}_{l \alpha}\right|^{2} \partial_{t t} \phi_{l}
$$

and

$$
N\left(\phi_{l}+\psi\right)=F\left(\mathrm{w}+\phi_{l}\right)-F(\mathrm{w})-F^{\prime}(\mathrm{w})\left(\phi_{l}+\psi\right)
$$

from where we get that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\phi_{l}\right\|_{2, p, 2, \varrho} \leq C \alpha^{2+\tau_{1}}, \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}} \phi_{l}\left(x^{\prime}, t\right) w^{\prime}(t) d t=0, \quad l=1,2 \tag{8.52}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $\tau_{1}$ as above.

As we already saw, the Lyapunov-Schmidt reduction scheme is based upon the fact that we can find functions $v_{1}, v_{2}$ satisfying (8.25) such that the functions $c_{l}\left(x^{\prime}\right)$, $l=1,2$ in 8.50 are zero.
8.4. Solving the reduced problem. Let us recall that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{w}(x):=\beta_{\alpha}(x) U_{1}(x)+\left(1-\beta_{\alpha}(x)\right)(-1) \tag{8.53}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
& U_{1}(x)=w\left(z-\mathrm{f}_{1 \alpha}\left(x^{\prime}\right)\right)-w\left(z-\mathrm{f}_{2 \alpha}\left(x^{\prime}\right)\right)-1 \\
& +\phi_{1,0}\left(x^{\prime}, z-\mathrm{f}_{1 \alpha}\left(x^{\prime}\right)\right)-\phi_{2,0}\left(x^{\prime}, z-\mathrm{f}_{2 \alpha}\left(x^{\prime}\right)\right) \tag{8.54}
\end{align*}
$$

where for $l=1,2$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi_{l, 0}\left(x^{\prime}, t\right)=(-1)^{l+1} e^{-\sqrt{2}\left(\mathrm{q}_{2 \alpha}-\mathrm{q}_{1 \alpha}\right)} \psi_{0}\left((-1)^{l+1} t\right)+\left|\nabla \mathrm{q}_{l \alpha}\right|^{2} \psi_{1}(t) \tag{8.55}
\end{equation*}
$$

the functions $\psi_{0}, \psi_{1}$ are those described in 5.19 and 5.20 .
In what comes next we make use of the symmetries we have assumed for the nodal set and the local and global approximations. From the structure of the equation (1.1) and using the fact that the approximation w is axially symmetric and even respect to the $z$-axis, we find that the functions $\phi_{1}, \phi_{2}$ and $\psi$ share also this symmetry.

In this setting the error $S_{1}(\mathrm{w})$ in the region $A_{1}$ and in terms of the parameter function $\mathrm{v}_{\alpha}$, reads as

$$
\begin{align*}
& S_{1}(\mathrm{w})=\Delta_{\mathbb{R}^{2} \mathrm{v}_{\alpha}} w^{\prime}(t)-6\left(1-w^{2}(t)\right) e^{\sqrt{2} t} e^{-2 \sqrt{2} \mathrm{q}_{\alpha}} \zeta_{l, 2}\left[e^{-2 \sqrt{2} \mathrm{v}_{\alpha}}-1\right] \\
& +\nabla \mathrm{v}_{\alpha}\left(2 \nabla \mathrm{q}_{\alpha}+\nabla \mathrm{v}_{\alpha}\right) w^{\prime \prime}(t)+\zeta_{l, 2} \Delta_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} \mathrm{f}_{\alpha} w^{\prime}\left(t-2 \mathrm{f}_{\alpha}\right)+\zeta_{l, 2}\left|\nabla \mathrm{f}_{\alpha}\right|^{2} w^{\prime \prime}\left(t-2 \mathrm{f}_{\alpha}\right) \\
& +\zeta_{l, 2}\left[6\left(1-w^{2}(t)\right)+12(1-w(t))\right] e^{2 \sqrt{2} t} e^{-4 \sqrt{2} \mathrm{f}_{\alpha}}+\zeta_{l, 2} \widetilde{\mathrm{R}} \tag{8.56}
\end{align*}
$$

with similar computations in the set $A_{2}$.
In what comes next, we derive the system that governs the location of the interfaces, namely a system of PDE's that will guarantee that

$$
c_{l}\left(x^{\prime}\right)=0, \quad l=1,2
$$

Since the error $S(\mathrm{w})$ is also axially symmetric and even in the $z$-variable, we easily verify that $c_{2}\left(x^{\prime}\right)=-c_{1}\left(x^{\prime}\right)=c\left(x^{\prime}\right)$.

In order to determine the function $c\left(x^{\prime}\right)$, for $l=1$, we multiply the equation 8.51) by $w$ and integrate in $t$ to get that at main order

$$
-\int_{\mathbb{R}} S_{1}(\mathrm{w}) w(t) d t-\mathcal{O}\left(\alpha^{4}\left(1+\left|\alpha x^{\prime}\right|\right)^{-3}\right)=c\left(x^{\prime}\right) \int_{\mathbb{R}} w^{\prime 2} d t
$$

This can be done since in inequality 8.45 as $\tau_{1}$ approaches to 1 , the constant $\varrho$ goes to zero, while the constant $C>0$ remains uniformly bounded.

Hence using lemma 8.2, and setting

$$
c^{*}:=\int_{\mathbb{R}}\left|w^{\prime}(t)\right|^{2} d t, \quad a_{0}=\left\|w^{\prime}\right\|_{L^{2}(\mathbb{R})}^{-2} \int_{\mathbb{R}}\left(1-w^{2}(t)\right) w^{\prime}(t) e^{-\sqrt{2} t} d t
$$

we find that

$$
c\left(x^{\prime}\right)=c^{*} \Delta_{\mathbb{R}^{2} \mathrm{~V}_{\alpha}}+c^{*} 2 \sqrt{2} a_{0} e^{-2 \sqrt{2} \mathrm{q}_{\alpha}} \mathrm{v}_{\alpha}
$$

$$
\begin{gather*}
+\underbrace{\Delta_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} \mathrm{f}_{\alpha} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \zeta_{1,2} w^{\prime}\left(t-2 \mathrm{f}_{\alpha}\right) w^{\prime}(t) d t}_{A}+\underbrace{\left|\nabla \mathrm{f}_{\alpha}\right|^{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \zeta_{1,2} w^{\prime \prime}\left(t-2 \mathrm{f}_{\alpha}\right) w^{\prime}(t) d t}_{C} \\
+\underbrace{e^{-4 \sqrt{2} \mathrm{f}_{\alpha}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \zeta_{1,2}\left[6\left(1-w^{2}(t)\right)+2(1-w(t))\right] e^{2 \sqrt{2} t} w^{\prime}(t) d t}_{B} \\
+c^{*} a_{0} e^{-2 \sqrt{2} \mathrm{q}_{\alpha}}\left[e^{-2 \sqrt{2} \mathrm{v}_{\alpha}}-1\right] \int_{\mathbb{R}} 6\left(1-w^{2}(t)\right) e^{\sqrt{2} t} w^{\prime}(t)\left(\zeta_{1,2}-1\right) d t \\
-c^{*} a_{0} e^{-2 \sqrt{2} \mathrm{q}_{\alpha}}\left[e^{-2 \sqrt{2} \mathrm{v}_{\alpha}}-1+2 \sqrt{2} \mathrm{v}_{\alpha}\right] \\
+\mathcal{O}\left(\alpha^{4}\left(1+\left|\alpha x^{\prime}\right|\right)^{-3}\right) \tag{8.57}
\end{gather*}
$$

and using lemma 8.1 one finds that

$$
c\left(x^{\prime}\right)+c^{*} \Delta_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} \mathrm{v}_{l \alpha}\left(x^{\prime}\right)+2 \sqrt{2} c^{*} a_{0} e^{-\sqrt{2}\left(\mathrm{q}_{2 \alpha}-\mathrm{q}_{1 \alpha}\right)} \mathrm{v}_{\alpha}
$$

is lipschitz in the parameter function $\mathrm{v}_{\alpha}$. Actually it is not hard to check from lemma 8.2 that its Lipschitz constant is of order $\mathcal{O}\left(\alpha^{2+\tau}\right)$, for some $0<\tau<\tau_{1}$ small. Hence we see that making $c\left(x^{\prime}\right)=0$ is equivalent to a nonlinear and nonlocal equation of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta v+2 \sqrt{2} a_{0} e^{-2 \sqrt{2} q} v=G(v), \quad \text { in } \mathbb{R}^{2} \tag{8.58}
\end{equation*}
$$

where we conclude from 8.57 that $A+B+C$ is the leading order term in the expression for $G(v)$.

In order to give a more precise expression for the Nonlinear term $G(v)$, we recall that $w(s)$, the heteroclinic solution to

$$
w^{\prime \prime}+F(w)=0, \quad w( \pm \infty)= \pm 1, \quad w^{\prime}>0
$$

has the asymptotic behavior

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
w(s)=1-2 e^{-\sqrt{2} s}+2 e^{-2 \sqrt{2} s}+\mathcal{O}\left(e^{-2 \sqrt{2}|s|}\right), & s>0 \\
w(s)=-1+2 e^{\sqrt{2} s}-2 e^{-2 \sqrt{2} s}+\mathcal{O}\left(e^{-3 \sqrt{2}|s|}\right), & s<0 \tag{8.59}
\end{array}
$$

and these relations can be differentiated.
Since in the set $A_{1}$

$$
w^{\prime}\left(t-2 \mathrm{f}_{\alpha}\right)=2 \sqrt{2} e^{\sqrt{2} t} e^{-2 \sqrt{2} \mathrm{f}_{\alpha}}+\mathcal{O}\left(e^{-2 \sqrt{2}\left|t-2 \mathrm{f}_{\alpha}\right|}\right)
$$

we obtain that

$$
w^{\prime}\left(t-2 \mathrm{f}_{\alpha}\right) w^{\prime}(t)=\left\{\begin{array}{cc}
8 e^{-2 \sqrt{2} \mathrm{f}_{\alpha}}+\mathcal{O}\left(e^{-2 \sqrt{2}|t|} e^{-2 \sqrt{2} \mathrm{f}_{\alpha}}\right), & t>0 \\
8 e^{2 \sqrt{2} t} e^{-2 \sqrt{2} \mathrm{f}_{\alpha}}+\mathcal{O}\left(e^{-3 \sqrt{2}|t|} e^{-2 \sqrt{2} \mathrm{f}_{\alpha}}\right), & t<0
\end{array}\right.
$$

Hence it is direct to check that

$$
A=8 \Delta \mathrm{f}_{\alpha} e^{-2 \sqrt{2} \mathrm{f}_{\alpha}}\left(\mathrm{f}_{\alpha}+\mathcal{O}(1)\right)
$$

Proceeding in the same fashion, we obtain in the set $A_{1}$ that

$$
w^{\prime \prime}\left(t-2 \mathrm{f}_{\alpha}\right) w^{\prime}(t)=\left\{\begin{array}{cc}
8 \sqrt{2} e^{-2 \sqrt{2} \mathrm{f}_{\alpha}}+\mathcal{O}\left(e^{-2 \sqrt{2}|t|} e^{-2 \sqrt{2} \mathrm{f}_{\alpha}}\right), & t>0 \\
8 \sqrt{2} e^{2 \sqrt{2} t} e^{-2 \sqrt{2} \mathrm{f}_{\alpha}}+\mathcal{O}\left(e^{-3 \sqrt{2}|t|} e^{-2 \sqrt{2} \mathrm{f}_{\alpha}}\right), & t<0
\end{array}\right.
$$

so that

$$
B=8 \sqrt{2}\left|\nabla \mathrm{f}_{\alpha}\right|^{2} e^{-2 \sqrt{2} \mathrm{f}_{\alpha}}\left(\mathrm{f}_{\alpha}+\mathcal{O}(1)\right)
$$

Finally, we directly check using again 8.59 that

$$
\left[6\left(1-w^{2}(t)\right)+2(1-w(t))\right] e^{2 \sqrt{2} t} w^{\prime}(t)=\left\{\begin{array}{cc}
96 \sqrt{2}+\mathcal{O}\left(e^{-\sqrt{2}|t|}\right), & t>0 \\
\mathcal{O}\left(e^{-5 \sqrt{2}|t|}\right), & t<0
\end{array}\right.
$$

from where

$$
C=96 \sqrt{2} e^{-4 \sqrt{2} \mathrm{f}_{\alpha}}\left(\mathrm{f}_{\alpha}+\mathcal{O}(1)\right) .
$$

Hence, we obtain that

$$
\begin{gathered}
-\alpha^{2} G(v)=e^{-2 \sqrt{2} \mathrm{f}_{\alpha}} \mathrm{f}_{\alpha}\left[8 \Delta \mathrm{f}_{\alpha}+8 \sqrt{2}\left|\nabla \mathrm{f}_{\alpha}\right|^{2}+96 \sqrt{2} e^{-2 \sqrt{2} \mathrm{f}_{\alpha}}\right] \\
+\mathcal{O}\left(\alpha^{4}\left(1+\left|\alpha x^{\prime}\right|\right)^{-3}\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

From this expression, we obtain that

$$
G(0)=\frac{\alpha^{2}}{2 \sqrt{2} a_{0}}\left(\frac{1}{2 \sqrt{2}} \log \left(\frac{1}{\alpha^{2}}\right)+q\left(x^{\prime}\right)\right) R_{0}\left(x^{\prime}\right)+\mathcal{O}\left(\alpha^{4}\left(1+\left|\alpha x^{\prime}\right|\right)^{-3}\right)
$$

where

$$
\begin{gathered}
R_{0}\left(x^{\prime}\right)=-\left[8 \Delta q+8 \sqrt{2}|\nabla q|^{2}+96 \sqrt{2} e^{-2 \sqrt{2} q}\right] e^{-2 \sqrt{2} q} \\
=-\frac{4\left|x^{\prime}\right|^{2}+4+3 \sqrt{2}}{\left(1+\left|x^{\prime}\right|^{2}\right)^{4}}
\end{gathered}
$$

Since, so far the scheme involves the same estimations to those in proposition 6.2 , we find that the function $G$ satisfies the estimates

$$
\begin{gathered}
\|G(v)\|_{\infty, 3} \leq K \alpha^{2}|\log (\alpha)| \\
\|G(v)-G(\widetilde{v})\|_{\infty, 3} \leq C \alpha^{\tau}\|v-\widetilde{v}\|_{2, p, \beta}
\end{gathered}
$$

A direct application of lemma 8.1 and Banach Fixed point theorem completes the construction of the solutions predicted in Theorem 2. We leave further details to the reader.

Using the integral formula 8.20 and the last remarks, and since

$$
\psi_{1}(r)=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(\log (r)-1)+\mathcal{O}\left(r^{-2} \log (r)\right), \quad \text { as } r \rightarrow \infty
$$

we can actually describe the asymptotic behavior for the function $v\left(x^{\prime}\right)$ as $\left|x^{\prime}\right| \rightarrow \infty$, namely

$$
\begin{equation*}
v\left(x^{\prime}\right)=\frac{\alpha^{2}}{2 a_{0}}\left[\log \left(\frac{1}{\alpha^{2}}\right) \beta_{0}+\mathcal{O}(1)\right] \log \left(\left|x^{\prime}\right|\right)+\mathcal{O}\left(\alpha^{2} \log (\alpha)\left|x^{\prime}\right|^{-2} \log \left(\left|x^{\prime}\right|\right)\right) \tag{8.60}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\beta_{0}:=\int_{0}^{\infty} \zeta \psi_{2} R_{0} d \zeta=\frac{1}{12}(3+2 \sqrt{2})>0
$$

Next, we study the smooth dependence of this family of solutions respect to the parameter $\alpha$ in order to obtain useful information about some elements of the kernel of the linear equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} \phi+F^{\prime}\left(u_{\alpha}\right) \phi=0, \quad \text { in } \mathbb{R}^{3} \tag{8.61}
\end{equation*}
$$

This information is collected in the following proposition.

Proposition 8.2. For every $\alpha>0$ small, the functions

$$
\partial_{z} u_{\alpha}\left(x^{\prime}, z\right), \quad \partial_{x_{i}} u_{\alpha}\left(x^{\prime}, z\right), \quad \text { for } i=1,2
$$

are bounded solutions to equation (8.61). Besides, $u_{\alpha}$ is smooth respect to $\alpha$ and the following asymptotic formulae hold true

$$
\begin{gathered}
\partial_{x_{i}^{\prime}} u_{\alpha}\left(x^{\prime}, z\right)=\alpha \partial_{x_{i}} q\left(\alpha x^{\prime}\right)\left[w^{\prime}\left(z-\mathrm{f}_{1 \alpha}\right)+w^{\prime}\left(z-\mathrm{f}_{2 \alpha}\right)\right]+\alpha^{2} \mathcal{O}\left(\sum_{l=1}^{2} e^{-\varrho\left|z-\mathrm{f}_{l \alpha}\right|}\right), \quad i=1,2 \\
\partial_{z} u_{\alpha}\left(x^{\prime}, z\right)=\left[w^{\prime}\left(z-\mathrm{f}_{1 \alpha}\right)-w^{\prime}\left(z-\mathrm{f}_{2 \alpha}\right)\right]+\alpha^{2} \mathcal{O}\left(\sum_{l=1}^{2} e^{-\varrho\left|z-\mathrm{f}_{l \alpha}\right|}\right) \\
\partial_{\alpha} u_{\alpha}\left(x^{\prime}, z\right)=\partial_{\alpha}\left(\mathrm{q}_{\alpha}+\mathrm{v}_{\alpha}\right)\left[w^{\prime}\left(z-\mathrm{f}_{1 \alpha}\right)+w^{\prime}\left(z-\mathrm{f}_{2 \alpha}\right)\right]+\alpha \mathcal{O}\left(\sum_{l=1}^{2} e^{-\varrho\left|z-\mathrm{f}_{l \alpha}\right|}\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

Proof. From the smoothness of these solutions we readily check the first two equalities. So, we only need to take care of the last assertion.

Smoothness respect to $\alpha>0$ small is a direct consequence of the Implicit Function Theorem. We remark that following step by step the construction and taking into account the dependence on $\rho \sim 1$ of this family of smooth solutions we have the asymptotic behavior

$$
\begin{align*}
& u_{\alpha \rho}(x)=w\left(z-\mathrm{f}_{1 \alpha \rho}\left(x^{\prime}\right)\right)-w\left(z-\mathrm{f}_{2 \alpha \rho}\left(x^{\prime}\right)\right)-1 \\
& +\phi_{1,0}\left(x^{\prime}, z-\mathrm{f}_{1 \alpha \rho}\left(x^{\prime}\right)\right)-\phi_{2,0}\left(x^{\prime}, z-\mathrm{f}_{2 \alpha \rho}\left(x^{\prime}\right)\right) \\
& +\alpha^{2+\tau_{1}} \sum_{l=1}^{2} \mathcal{O}\left(\left(1+\left|\alpha \rho x^{\prime}\right|\right)^{-2} e^{-\varrho\left|z-\mathrm{f}_{l \alpha \rho}\left(x^{\prime}\right)\right|}\right) \tag{8.62}
\end{align*}
$$

with $0<\varrho<\sqrt{2}$.
Provided $\rho$ is taken in a small, bounded and fixed interval around one, we can recast the fact that the functions $\mathrm{v}=\left(v_{1}, v_{2}\right), \Phi=\left(\phi_{1}, \phi_{2}\right)$ and $\psi$ in 8.48) yield a solution to equation (1.1) as a system for $(\rho, \mathrm{v}, \Phi, \psi)$ of the form

$$
\begin{gathered}
\Phi-\Pi(\rho, \mathrm{v}, \Phi, \psi)=0, \quad \psi-\widetilde{\Pi}(\rho, \mathrm{v}, \Phi, \psi)=0 \\
\mathrm{v}-T(\rho, \mathrm{v}, \Phi, \psi)=0
\end{gathered}
$$

where smooth dependence on each one of the variables, in the respective topologies described in (8.36), 8.39) and 8.41), is readily check from the scheme of the construction of this family of solutions. Solvability theory of the linear problems implies that the derivative of this system respect to ( $\mathrm{v}, \Phi, \psi$ ) is an isomorphism and consequently, we obtain a smooth dependence of the solution respect to $\rho$. Uniqueness from the fixed point argument in our proof guarantees that these solutions correspond to those ones given by the Implicit Function Theorem.

In order to find the asymptotics of $\partial_{\alpha} u_{\alpha}$, we first notice from 8.62 that at main order

$$
\begin{equation*}
\partial_{\alpha} u_{\alpha}\left(x^{\prime}, z\right)=\partial_{\alpha} U_{1}\left(x^{\prime}, z\right)+\partial_{\alpha} \phi_{1}-\partial_{\alpha} \phi_{2} \tag{8.63}
\end{equation*}
$$
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We need to find the size of $\partial_{\alpha} \phi_{l}$ in terms of $\alpha>0$ in the sets

$$
\left.A_{l}=\left\{\left(x^{\prime}, z\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{2} \times \mathbb{R}:\left|z-\mathrm{f}_{l \alpha}\right| \leq \frac{1}{2}\left|\mathrm{f}_{2 \alpha}-\mathrm{f}_{1 \alpha}\right|\right)\right\}, \quad l=1,2
$$

and to fix ideas, let us localize $\partial_{\alpha} \varphi$ in $A_{l}$. Consider cut-off functions $\widetilde{\zeta}_{l}$ supported in the set $A_{l}$.

Set $z=t+\mathrm{f}_{l \alpha}$

$$
L_{*}\left(\partial_{\alpha} \phi_{l}\right)=\partial_{t t} \partial_{\alpha} \phi_{l}+\Delta_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} \partial_{\alpha} \phi_{l}+F^{\prime}(w(t)) \partial_{\alpha} \phi_{l}+B\left(\partial_{\alpha} \phi_{l}\right)
$$

where $B\left(\partial_{\alpha} \phi_{l}\right)$ is a small differential operator in $\partial_{\alpha} \phi_{l}$. We find that inside $A_{l}, \partial_{\alpha} \phi_{l}$ solves at main order an equation of the form

$$
L_{*}\left(\partial_{\alpha} \phi_{l}\right)+B_{l}\left(\partial_{\alpha} \phi_{l}\right)=E_{l \alpha} \quad \text { in } B_{\alpha^{-1} R}(0) \times \mathbb{R}
$$

where

$$
E_{l \alpha}=:-\widetilde{\zeta}_{l}\left(\Delta \partial_{\alpha} U_{1}+F^{\prime}\left(u_{\alpha}\right) \partial_{\alpha} U_{1}\right)
$$

Since we have symmetry respect to the $z$-axis we only focus the developments for the set $\widetilde{A}_{1}$, where. Notice for instance that in $A_{1}$

$$
\begin{gather*}
\widetilde{\zeta}_{1}\left(\Delta \partial_{\alpha} U_{1}+F^{\prime}\left(u_{\alpha}\right) \partial_{\alpha} U_{1}\right)= \\
-\Delta_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} \partial_{\alpha} \mathrm{v}_{l \alpha} w^{\prime}(t)-6\left(1-w^{2}(t)\right) \partial_{\alpha}\left(e^{\sqrt{2}(-1)^{l-1} t} e^{-\sqrt{2}\left(\mathrm{q}_{2 \alpha}-\mathrm{q}_{1 \alpha}\right)}\left[e^{-\sqrt{2}\left(\mathrm{v}_{2 \alpha}-\mathrm{v}_{1 \alpha}\right)}-1\right]\right) \\
+\partial_{\alpha}\left(2 \nabla \mathrm{q}_{l \alpha} \cdot \mathrm{v}_{l \alpha}+\left|\nabla \mathrm{v}_{l \alpha}\right|^{2}\right) w^{\prime \prime}(t)+\mathcal{O}\left(\alpha^{2+\tau_{1}}\left(1+\left|\alpha x^{\prime}\right|^{2+\frac{e}{\sqrt{2}}-\varepsilon}\right)^{-1} e^{-\varrho|t|}\right) . \tag{8.64}
\end{gather*}
$$

From 8.26 and 8.64 we observe that

$$
\left|\partial_{\alpha} \mathrm{v}_{\alpha}\left(x^{\prime}\right)\right| \leq C \alpha \log \left(\frac{1}{\alpha}\right) \log \left(2+\left|\alpha x^{\prime}\right|\right)
$$

so that and from 8.32 it is direct to check that

$$
\left\|\widetilde{\zeta}_{1}\left(\Delta \partial_{\alpha} U_{1}+F^{\prime}\left(u_{\alpha}\right) \partial_{\alpha} U_{1}\right)\right\|_{p, 2-\beta, \varrho} \leq C \alpha^{1+\tau_{1}}
$$

Consider functions $k_{1}, k_{2}$ defined by the integrals

$$
\begin{gathered}
\int_{\mathbb{R}} \partial_{\alpha} \phi_{1}\left(x^{\prime}, t\right) w^{\prime}(t) d t=k_{1}\left(x^{\prime}\right) \int_{\mathbb{R}}\left(w^{\prime}(t)\right)^{2} d t+k_{2}\left(x^{\prime}\right) \int_{\mathbb{R}} \widetilde{\zeta}_{1} w\left(t+\mathrm{f}_{1 \alpha}-\mathrm{f}_{2 \alpha}\right) w^{\prime}(t) d t \\
\int_{\mathbb{R}} \partial_{\alpha} \phi_{2}\left(x^{\prime}, t\right) w^{\prime}(t)=k_{2}\left(x^{\prime}\right) \int_{\mathbb{R}}\left(w^{\prime}(t)\right)^{2} d t+k_{1}\left(x^{\prime}\right) \int_{\mathbb{R}} \widetilde{\zeta}_{2} w\left(t+\mathrm{f}_{2 \alpha}-\mathrm{f}_{1 \alpha}\right) w^{\prime}(t) d t
\end{gathered}
$$

so that in the set $A_{1}$ we have the decomposition

$$
\begin{gathered}
\widetilde{\phi}_{1}=k_{1}\left(x^{\prime}\right) w^{\prime}(t)+\widetilde{\zeta}_{1} k_{2}\left(x^{\prime}\right) w^{\prime}\left(t+\mathrm{f}_{1 \alpha}-\mathrm{f}_{2 \alpha}\right)+\varphi_{1} \\
\int_{\mathbb{R}} \varphi_{1} w^{\prime}(t) d t=0
\end{gathered}
$$

Analogously, in $A_{2}$, we have

$$
\begin{gathered}
\widetilde{\phi}_{2}=k_{2}\left(x^{\prime}\right) w^{\prime}(t)+\widetilde{\zeta}_{2} k_{1}\left(x^{\prime}\right) w^{\prime}\left(t+\mathrm{f}_{2 \alpha}-\mathrm{f}_{1 \alpha}\right)+\varphi_{2} \\
\int_{\mathbb{R}} \varphi_{2} w^{\prime}(t) d t=0
\end{gathered}
$$

We recall that

$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}} \phi_{l}\left(x^{\prime}, t\right) w^{\prime}(t) d t=0, \quad l=1,2
$$

and taking derivative respect to $\alpha$ in these orthogonality condition for $\phi_{l}$, keeping in mind that $t=z-\mathrm{f}_{1 \alpha}$, we obtain

$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}} \partial_{\alpha} \phi_{l} w^{\prime}(t) d t=-\partial_{\alpha} \mathrm{f}_{\alpha}\left(x^{\prime}\right) \int_{\mathbb{R}} \phi_{l} w^{\prime \prime}(t) d t+\mathcal{O}\left(\alpha^{1+\tau}\left(1+\left|\alpha x^{\prime}\right|\right)^{-2}\right), \quad \tau>\tau_{1}
$$

so that

$$
\left|\int_{\mathbb{R}} \partial_{\alpha} \phi_{l} w^{\prime}(t) d t\right|=\mathcal{O}\left(\alpha^{1+\tau_{1}}\left(1+\left|\alpha x^{\prime}\right|\right)^{-2} \log \left(2+\left|\alpha x^{\prime}\right|\right)\right)
$$

Observe that $\widetilde{\phi}_{l}$ solves the equation

$$
\Delta_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} \widetilde{\phi}_{l}+F^{\prime}\left(u_{\alpha}\right) \widetilde{\phi}_{l}=
$$

which implies that dropping the subindexes we have that the equation for the functions $\varphi_{1}$ have the form

$$
L_{*}(\varphi)+B(\varphi)=E_{\alpha}-S_{*, 0}+B\left(k w^{\prime}\right)
$$

in $B_{\alpha^{-1} R}(0) \times \mathbb{R}$ where

$$
\begin{gather*}
S_{*, 0}:=\underbrace{\Delta_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} k_{1} w^{\prime}+\left|\nabla \mathrm{q}_{1 \alpha}\right|^{2} k_{1} w^{\prime \prime \prime}}_{\hat{Q}_{1}} \\
+\underbrace{F^{\prime \prime}(w(t))\left[-\left(2 e^{\sqrt{2} t}+\psi_{0}(t)\right) e^{-\sqrt{2}\left(\mathrm{q}_{2 \alpha}-\mathrm{q}_{1 \alpha}\right)}+\left|\nabla \mathrm{q}_{1 \alpha}\right|^{2} \psi_{2}(t)\right] k_{1} w^{\prime}}_{\hat{Q}_{2}} \\
\underbrace{+\sqrt{2}\left(F^{\prime}(w)-F^{\prime}(1)\right) e^{\sqrt{2} t} e^{-\sqrt{2}\left(\mathrm{q}_{2 \alpha}-\mathrm{q}_{1 \alpha}\right)} k_{2}}_{\hat{Q}_{3}}-\underbrace{w^{\prime \prime}\left[\Delta_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} \mathrm{f}_{1 \alpha} k_{1}+2 \nabla_{x^{\prime}} \mathrm{f}_{1 \alpha} \nabla_{x^{\prime}} k_{1}\right]}_{\hat{Q}_{4}} \\
+\underbrace{\mathcal{O}\left(\alpha^{2+\tau_{1}}\left(1+|\alpha y|^{2+\frac{e}{\sqrt{2}}-\varepsilon}\right)^{-1} e^{-\varrho|t|}\right)}_{\hat{Q}_{4}} . \tag{8.65}
\end{gather*}
$$

for some $\tau>0$ small enough.

$$
\begin{gathered}
\varphi(x)=\zeta_{1,3} \phi_{1}\left(x^{\prime}, t\right)-\zeta_{2,3} \phi_{2}\left(x^{\prime}, t-2 \mathrm{f}_{\alpha}\left(x^{\prime}\right)\right) \\
+\alpha^{2+\tau} \mathcal{O}\left(\left(1+\left|\alpha x^{\prime}\right|\right)^{-2} e^{-\varrho|t|}\right)+\alpha^{2+\tau} \mathcal{O}\left(\left(1+\left|\alpha x^{\prime}\right|\right)^{-2} e^{-\varrho\left|t-2 \mathrm{f}_{\alpha}\left(x^{\prime}\right)\right|}\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

with $\tau>\tau_{1}>0$ and with a similar expression for the set $A_{2}$.
It is clear that the functions $k_{i}\left(x^{\prime}\right), \varphi_{i}^{\prime} s$ are smooth and bounded up to their second derivatives and actually for any $\beta>0$ small

$$
\left\|D^{2} k_{l}\right\|_{p, 2-\beta}+\left\|D k_{l}\right\|_{\infty, 2-\beta}+\left\|k_{l}\right\|_{\infty, 2-\beta} \leq C \alpha^{1+\tau_{1}}, \quad l=1,2
$$

Dropping the subindexes we have that the equation for the functions $\varphi_{l}$ have the form

$$
L_{*}(\varphi)+B(\varphi)=E_{\alpha}-S_{*, 0}+B\left(k w^{\prime}\right)
$$

in $B_{\alpha^{-1} R}(0) \times \mathbb{R}$ where for instance in $A_{1}$

$$
S_{*, 0}:=\underbrace{\Delta_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} k_{1} w^{\prime}+\left|\nabla \mathrm{q}_{1 \alpha}\right|^{2} k_{1} w^{\prime \prime \prime}}_{\hat{Q}_{1}}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& +\underbrace{F^{\prime \prime}(w(t))\left[-\left(2 e^{\sqrt{2} t}+\psi_{0}(t)\right) e^{-\sqrt{2}\left(\mathrm{q}_{2 \alpha}-\mathrm{q}_{1 \alpha}\right)}+\left|\nabla \mathrm{q}_{1 \alpha}\right|^{2} \psi_{2}(t)\right] k_{1} w^{\prime}}_{\hat{Q}_{2}} \\
& \underbrace{+\sqrt{2}\left(F^{\prime}(w)-F^{\prime}(1)\right) e^{\sqrt{2} t} e^{-\sqrt{2}\left(\mathrm{q}_{2 \alpha}-\mathrm{q}_{1 \alpha}\right)} k_{2}}_{\hat{Q}_{3}}-\underbrace{w^{\prime \prime}\left[\Delta_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} \mathrm{f}_{1 \alpha} k_{1}+2 \nabla_{x^{\prime}} \mathrm{f}_{1 \alpha} \nabla_{x^{\prime}} k_{1}\right]}_{\hat{Q}_{4}} \\
& +\underbrace{\mathcal{O}\left(\alpha^{2+\tau_{1}}\left(1+|\alpha y|^{2+\frac{e}{\sqrt{2}}-\varepsilon}\right)^{-1} e^{-\varrho|t|}\right)}_{\hat{Q}_{5}} . \tag{8.66}
\end{align*}
$$

for some $\tau>0$ small enough. Let us write $\varphi=\bar{\varphi}_{1}+\bar{\varphi}_{2}$, where

$$
L_{*}\left(\bar{\varphi}_{1}\right)=\partial_{\alpha}\left(2 \nabla \mathrm{q}_{l \alpha} \cdot \nabla \mathrm{v}_{l_{\alpha}}+\left|\nabla \mathrm{v}_{l \alpha}\right|^{2}\right) w^{\prime \prime}(t)+\hat{Q}_{4}
$$

with

$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}} \bar{\varphi}_{1} w^{\prime}(t) d t=0
$$

Then, we obtain the estimate

$$
\left\|D^{2} \bar{\varphi}_{1}\right\|_{p, 2-\beta, \varrho}+\left\|D \bar{\varphi}_{1}\right\|_{\infty, 2-\beta, \varrho}+\left\|\bar{\varphi}_{1}\right\|_{\infty, 2-\beta, \varrho} \leq C \alpha^{1+\tau}, \quad \tau>\tau_{1} .
$$

Next, we observe that

$$
L_{*}\left(\bar{\varphi}_{2}\right)+B\left(\bar{\varphi}_{2}\right)=g\left(x^{\prime}, t\right)+c\left(x^{\prime}\right) w^{\prime}(t)
$$

where

$$
\begin{gathered}
g\left(x^{\prime}, t\right)=E_{\alpha}+\partial_{\alpha}\left(2 \nabla \mathrm{q}_{l \alpha} \cdot \nabla \mathrm{v}_{l \alpha}+\left|\nabla \mathrm{v}_{l \alpha}\right|^{2}\right) w^{\prime \prime}(t) \\
-S_{*, 0}-\left(\hat{Q}_{1}+\hat{Q}_{2}+\hat{Q}_{3}+\hat{Q}_{5}\right)-B\left(\bar{\varphi}_{1}\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

and observe that $\left\|B\left(\bar{\varphi}_{1}\right)\right\|_{p, 3-\tau, \varrho} \leq C \alpha^{2+\tau}$. Using the size of $E_{\alpha}$ we obtain that

$$
\|g\|_{p, 2-\beta, \varrho} \leq C \alpha^{1+\tau_{1}}
$$

for some $\tau>0$ small enough.
Since,

$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}} \bar{\varphi}_{2} w^{\prime}(t) d t=0
$$

we obtain that

$$
\left\|D^{2} \varphi_{2}\right\|_{p, 2-\beta, \varrho}+\left\|D \bar{\varphi}_{2}\right\|_{\infty, 2-\beta, \varrho}+\left\|\bar{\varphi}_{2}\right\|_{p, 2-\beta, \varrho} \leq C \alpha^{1+\tau} .
$$

Hence from (8.63), we can write in the set $A_{1}$

$$
\begin{gathered}
\partial_{\alpha} u_{\alpha}=-\partial_{\alpha} \mathrm{f}_{1 \alpha} w^{\prime}(t)+\partial_{\alpha} \mathrm{f}_{2 \alpha} w\left(t-2 \mathrm{f}_{\alpha}\right) \\
\alpha z_{1}\left(\alpha x^{\prime}\right) w^{\prime}(t)+\alpha z_{2}\left(\alpha x^{\prime}\right) w\left(t-2 \mathrm{f}_{\alpha}\right)+\mathcal{O}\left(\alpha^{1+\tau_{1}}\left(1+\left|\alpha x^{\prime}\right|\right)^{-2+\beta} e^{-\varrho|t|}\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

with

$$
\left\|z_{l}\right\|_{\infty, 2-\beta} \leq C
$$

and this completes the proof of the proposition, since the same procedure yields an analogous expansion in the set $A_{2}$.

## 9. On the Morse Index of the solutions in Theorem 1 and 2.

In this section we provided information about the Morse index of the solutions found in Theorems 1 and 2. Most of the developments we carry out in this part are motivated by those in section 11 in [9. Hence, we simply remark the key points of the scheme, refereing the reader to sections 10 and 11 in 9 for more details.

Let us consider the eigenvalue problem

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta_{M} h+(\sigma+\lambda)\left|A_{M}\right|^{2} h=0, \quad \text { in } M, \quad h \in L^{\infty}(M) \tag{9.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $\sigma \sim \log \left(\alpha^{-1}\right)$. Using the stereographic projection $\theta=\arctan (y)$, we can recast this problem as

$$
\Delta_{S^{2}} \widetilde{h}+2(\sigma+\lambda) \widetilde{h}=0, \quad \widetilde{h} \in L^{\infty}\left(S^{2}\right)
$$

By standard spectral theory on the sphere, we know that

$$
\lambda_{k}=\frac{1}{2} k(k+1)-\sigma, \quad k \in \mathbb{N}
$$

are the eigenvalues to problem (9.1), so that there are at least $\mathcal{O}(\sqrt{\sigma})$ negative eigenvalues for this problem.

Next, let us consider another related eigenvalue problem, namely

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} h+\frac{8+\lambda}{\left(1+\left|x^{\prime}\right|^{2}\right)^{2}} h=0, \quad \text { in } \mathbb{R}^{2}, \quad h \in L^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right) \tag{9.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using the stereographic projection $\theta=\arctan \left(\frac{r^{2}-1}{r^{2}+1}\right)$, we can transform 9.2 into the problem

$$
\Delta_{S^{2}} \widetilde{h}+(2+\hat{\lambda}) \widetilde{h}=0, \quad \widetilde{h} \in L^{\infty}\left(S^{2}\right)
$$

from where it is also direct to check that problem 9.2 has exactly one negative eigenvalue. On the other hand, using Fourier decomposition and maximum principle, in proposition 1 in [4], it was shown that the graph of the function described in (8.24) is non denegerate, in the sense that the space of bounded solutions to 9.2 for the case $\lambda=0$ is spanned only by the functions described in polar coordinates by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{z}_{0}=\frac{-1+r^{2}}{1+r^{2}}, \quad \bar{z}_{1} \frac{r}{1+r^{2}} \cos (\theta), \quad \bar{z}_{2} \frac{r}{1+r^{2}} \sin (\theta), \quad r>0, \quad \theta \in(0,2 \pi) . \tag{9.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

As in 9, we define the Morse index of $u_{\alpha}, m\left(u_{\alpha}\right)$, to be the largest dimension of a vector space $E$ of compactly supported functions for which the quadratic form

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q(\psi, \psi):=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}}|\nabla \psi|^{2}-F^{\prime}\left(u_{\alpha}\right) \psi^{2}, \quad \psi \in E-\{0\} \tag{9.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

is strictly negative.
In this part, we sketch briefly the proof of the inequalities $m\left(u_{\alpha}\right) \geq c_{0} \sqrt{\sigma}$ for the solutions in Theorem 1, and $m\left(u_{\alpha}\right) \geq 1$ for the solutions in Theorem 2.

To prove both inequalities, we follow the scheme developed in the Proof of Theorem 2 in 6], getting information about the eigenvalue problem

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} \phi+F^{\prime}\left(u_{\alpha}\right) \phi+\lambda p(\alpha x) \phi=0, \quad \text { in } \mathbb{R}^{3}, \quad \phi \in L^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}\right) \tag{9.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $p(x)$ is a function such that

$$
p(x):=\left|A_{M}\right|^{2}, \quad x \in \mathcal{N}
$$
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$$
\frac{a}{1+\left|x^{\prime}\right|^{4}} \leq p(x) \leq \frac{b}{1+\left|x^{\prime}\right|^{4}}, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^{3}-\mathcal{N}
$$

for the case in Theorem 1 and

$$
p(x):=\frac{8}{\left(1+\left|x^{\prime}\right|^{2}\right)^{2}}, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^{3}
$$

for the case in Theorem 2.
In any case, a useful characterization of $m\left(u_{\alpha}\right)$ is given through the following eigenvalue problem

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} \phi+F^{\prime}\left(u_{\alpha}\right) \phi+\lambda p(\alpha x) \phi=0, \quad \text { in } C_{R}, \quad \phi=0, \quad \text { on } \partial C_{R} \tag{9.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $C_{R}$ is the cylinder

$$
C_{R}:=\left\{\left(x^{\prime}, z\right):\left|x^{\prime}\right|<R \alpha^{-1}, \quad|z|<R \alpha^{-1}\right\} .
$$

Let $m_{R}\left(u_{\alpha}\right)$ denote the number of negative eigenvalues to 9.6 , counting multiplicities. Then, as in 9 it is straightforward to check that

$$
\begin{equation*}
m\left(u_{\alpha}\right)=\sup _{R>0} m_{R}\left(u_{\alpha}\right) \tag{9.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

9.1 Estimates on the Morse index for solutions in Theorem 1, Regarding solutions of Theorem 1 and to keep computations as clear as possible we consider only the case of two transitions, namely $m=2$. We also recall the sets

$$
A_{l}=\left\{X_{\alpha}(y, \theta, z):\left|z-f_{l}(\alpha y)\right| \leq \frac{1}{2}\left[\sigma+\sqrt{2}\left(1-\frac{1}{\sigma}-M \sigma^{-\frac{5}{4}}\right) \log \left(1+(\alpha y)^{2}\right)\right]\right\}
$$

We remark that, the solutions we have found in Theorem 1 have the asymptotic expansion for $x=X_{\alpha}(y, \theta, z) \in \mathcal{N}_{\alpha}$

$$
\begin{gather*}
u_{\alpha}(x)=w\left(z-f_{1}(\alpha y)\right)-w\left(z-f_{2}(\alpha y)\right)-1 \\
+\phi_{1,0}\left(y, z-f_{1}(\alpha y)\right)-\phi_{2,0}\left(y, z-f_{2}(\alpha y)\right)+\mathcal{O}\left(\alpha^{2+\tau_{1}}\left(1+|\alpha y|^{2}\right)^{-1} e^{-\varrho|t|}\right) \tag{9.8}
\end{gather*}
$$

where for $l=1,2$ and $t=z-f_{l}(\alpha y)$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi_{l, 0}(y, t)=e^{-\sqrt{2}\left(h_{2}-h_{1}\right)} \psi_{0}\left((-1)^{l+1} t\right)+\alpha^{2}\left[h_{l}^{\prime}\right]^{2} \psi_{1}(t)+\alpha^{2}\left|A_{M}(\alpha y)\right|^{2} \psi_{2}(t) \tag{9.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the functions $\psi_{i}$ are those described in 5.19, 5.21 and 5.20.
Using (9.8)- 9.9$)$, we find for instance in the set $A_{1}$ and in the coordinates $X_{\alpha, f_{1}}$ that

$$
\begin{align*}
& F^{\prime}\left(u_{\alpha}\right) w^{\prime}(t)=F^{\prime}(w) w^{\prime}+ \\
& \qquad \begin{aligned}
& F^{\prime \prime}(w) w^{\prime}\left[\left(-2 e^{\sqrt{2} t}+\psi_{0}(t)\right) e^{-\sqrt{2}\left(h_{2}-h_{1}\right)}+\alpha^{2}\left[h_{1}^{\prime}\right]^{2} \psi_{1}(t)+\alpha^{2}\left|A_{M}\right|^{2} \psi_{2}(t)\right] \\
&+\mathcal{O}\left(\alpha^{2+\tau_{1}}\left(1+|\alpha y|^{2}\right)^{-1} e^{-\varrho|t|}\right) .
\end{aligned}
\end{align*}
$$

Since $F^{\prime \prime}(w)=-6 w$, taking derivatives in the equations that the functions $\psi_{i}(t)$ solve, and integrating against $w^{\prime}(t)$, we can easily check that

$$
\begin{gathered}
\int_{\mathbb{R}} F^{\prime \prime}(w)\left(w^{\prime}\right)^{2}\left(-2 e^{\sqrt{2} t}+\psi_{0}(t)\right) d t=\sqrt{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} 6\left(1-w^{2}\right) e^{\sqrt{2} t} w^{\prime} d t=\sqrt{2} a_{0} \int_{\mathbb{R}}\left(w^{\prime}(t)\right)^{2} d t \\
\int_{\mathbb{R}} F^{\prime \prime}(w)\left(w^{\prime}\right)^{2} \psi_{1}(t) d t=-\int_{\mathbb{R}} t w^{\prime} w^{\prime \prime} d t=\frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}}\left(w^{\prime}\right)^{2} d t
\end{gathered}
$$

$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}} F^{\prime \prime}(w)\left(w^{\prime}\right)^{2} \psi_{2}(t) d t=-\int_{\mathbb{R}}\left(w^{\prime \prime}\right)^{2} d t
$$

On the other hand,

$$
\begin{gathered}
F^{\prime}\left(u_{\alpha}\right) w^{\prime}\left(t+f_{1}-f_{2}\right)=F^{\prime}\left(w\left(t+f_{1}-f_{2}\right)\right) w^{\prime}\left(t+f_{1}-f_{2}\right) \\
+\sqrt{2}\left(F^{\prime}(w)-F^{\prime}(1)\right) e^{\sqrt{2} t} e^{-\sqrt{2}\left(h_{2}-h_{1}\right)}+\mathcal{O}\left(\alpha^{2+\tau_{1}} e^{-\varrho}|t|(1+|\alpha y|)^{-4+\beta}\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

and since $F^{\prime}(w)-F^{\prime}(1)=6\left(1-w^{2}\right)$, we obtain that

$$
\sqrt{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} 6\left(1-w^{2}(t)\right) e^{\sqrt{2} t} w^{\prime}(t) d t=\sqrt{2} a_{0} \int_{\mathbb{R}}\left(w^{\prime}(t)\right)^{2} d t
$$

With the previous remarks, let us now consider a test function $v(x)$ defined in the region $\mathcal{N}_{\alpha}$, defined in local coordinates $x=X_{\alpha}(y, \theta, z)$ as

$$
v(x):=k_{1}(y, \theta) w^{\prime}\left(z-f_{1}(\alpha y)\right)-k_{2} w^{\prime}\left(z-f_{2}(\alpha y)\right)
$$

Using lemma 2.1 together with $2.3,(9.8$ and 9.9 and carrying out computations similar to those in lemma 11.1 in [9] we obtain, for instance in $A_{1}$, the validity of the following expression

$$
\begin{gather*}
\Delta_{X_{\alpha, f_{1}}} v+F^{\prime}\left(u_{\alpha}\right) v= \\
\underbrace{\Delta_{M_{\alpha}} k_{1} w^{\prime}-\alpha^{2}\left|A_{M}\right|^{2} k_{1} t w^{\prime \prime}+\alpha^{2}\left[h_{1}^{\prime}\right]^{2} k_{1} w^{\prime \prime \prime}+\alpha a_{1,0} f_{1} \partial_{y} k_{1} w^{\prime}}_{Q_{1}} \\
+\underbrace{F^{\prime \prime}(w(t))\left[\left(-2 e^{\sqrt{2} t}-\psi_{0}(t)\right) e^{-\sqrt{2}\left(h_{2}-h_{1}\right)}+\alpha^{2}\left[h_{1}\right]^{2} \psi_{1}(t)+\alpha^{2}\left|A_{M}\right|^{2} \psi_{2}(t)\right] k_{1} w^{\prime}}_{Q_{2}} \\
\underbrace{\sqrt{2}\left(F^{\prime}(w)-F^{\prime}(1)\right) e^{\sqrt{2} t} e^{-\sqrt{2}\left(h_{2}-h_{1}\right)} k_{2}}_{Q_{3}} \\
\underbrace{-w^{\prime \prime}\left[\alpha^{2} \mathcal{J}_{M}\left(f_{1}\right) k_{1}+2 \alpha f_{1}^{\prime} \partial_{y} k_{1}+\alpha^{2} a_{1,0} f_{1}\left(f_{1}^{\prime} \partial_{y} k_{1}+f_{1}^{\prime \prime} k_{1}\right)\right]}_{Q_{4}} \\
\underbrace{\left.k_{1}+\alpha b_{1,0} \partial_{y} k_{1}\right]}_{Q_{6 y}} \\
\underbrace{\left.\alpha^{2}\left(t+f_{1}\right)^{2} a_{1,1}\right)\left[\partial_{y y} k_{1} w^{\prime}-2 f_{1}^{\prime} \partial_{y} k_{1} w^{\prime \prime}\right]}_{Q_{5}}+\underbrace{\mathcal{O}\left(\alpha^{2+\tau_{1}}\left(1+|\alpha y|^{2+\frac{e}{\sqrt{2}}-\varepsilon}\right)^{-1} e^{-\varrho|t|}\right)}_{Q_{7}} . \tag{9.11}
\end{gather*}
$$

Observe also that

$$
\int_{|t|<\rho_{\alpha}} Q_{i} w^{\prime}(t) d t=\int_{\mathbb{R}} Q_{i} w^{\prime}(t) d t+\mathcal{O}\left(\alpha^{2+\tau_{1}}\left(1+|\alpha y|^{2+\frac{o}{\sqrt{2}-\varepsilon}}\right)^{-1}\right)
$$

where

$$
\rho_{\alpha}(\mathrm{y}):=\frac{1}{2}\left[\sigma_{\alpha}+\sqrt{2}\left(1-\frac{1}{\sigma_{\alpha}}-M \sigma^{-\frac{5}{4}}\right) \log \left(1+(\alpha y)^{2}\right)\right] .
$$

We observe also that
$\int_{\mathbb{R}} \sum_{i=1}^{5} Q_{i} w^{\prime}(t) d t=\left(\Delta_{M_{\alpha}} k_{1}+\alpha^{2}\left|A_{M}\right|^{2} k_{1}+\sqrt{2} a_{0} e^{-\sqrt{2}\left(h_{2}-h_{1}\right)}\left(k_{1}+k_{2}\right)+\alpha a_{1,0} f_{1} \partial_{y y} k_{1}\right) \int_{\mathbb{R}} w^{\prime}(t)^{2} d t$ and

$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}}\left(Q_{6}+Q_{7}\right) w^{\prime}(t) d t=\mathcal{O}\left(\alpha^{2} r(\alpha y)^{-2}\right) \partial_{y y} k_{1}+\mathcal{O}\left(\alpha^{3} r(\alpha y)^{-3}\right) \partial_{y} k_{1}
$$

Combining these computations, we have in the set $A_{1}$ that

$$
\begin{gathered}
\int_{|t| \leq \rho_{\alpha}}\left(\Delta v+F^{\prime}\left(u_{\alpha}\right) v\right) w^{\prime}(t) d t=\left(\Delta_{M_{\alpha}} k_{1}+\alpha^{2}\left|A_{M}\right|^{2} k_{1}+\alpha a_{1,0} f_{1} \partial_{y y} k_{1}\right) \int_{\mathbb{R}} w^{\prime}(t)^{2} d t \\
+\sqrt{2} a_{0} e^{-\sqrt{2}\left(h_{2}-h_{1}\right)}\left(k_{1}+k_{2}\right) \int_{\mathbb{R}} w^{\prime}(t)^{2} d t \\
\quad+\mathcal{O}\left(\alpha^{2} r(\alpha y)^{-2}\right) \partial_{y y} k_{1}+\mathcal{O}\left(\alpha^{3} r(\alpha y)^{-3}\right) \partial_{y} k_{1}+\mathcal{O}\left(\alpha^{2+\tau_{1}} r(\alpha y)^{2+\beta}\right) k_{1} .
\end{gathered}
$$

Regarding computations in the set $A_{2}$ in the coordinates $X_{\alpha, f_{2}}$ and using again (9.8) (9.9), we find in the set $A_{2}$ that

$$
\begin{align*}
& F^{\prime}\left(u_{\alpha}\right) w^{\prime}=F^{\prime}(w) w^{\prime}+ \\
& +F^{\prime \prime}(w) w^{\prime}\left[\left(2 e^{-\sqrt{2} t}-\psi_{0}(-t)\right) e^{-\sqrt{2}\left(h_{2}-h_{1}\right)}+\alpha^{2}\left[h_{2}^{\prime}\right]^{2} \psi_{1}(t)+\alpha^{2}\left|A_{M}\right|^{2} \psi_{2}(t)\right] \\
&  \tag{9.12}\\
& +\mathcal{O}\left(\alpha^{2+\tau_{1}}\left(1+|\alpha y|^{2}\right)^{-1} e^{-\varrho|t|}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

and the interaction term this time is

$$
\begin{gathered}
F^{\prime}\left(u_{\alpha}\right) w^{\prime}\left(t+f_{2}-f_{1}\right)=F^{\prime}\left(w\left(t+f_{2}-f_{1}\right)\right) w^{\prime}\left(t+f_{2}-f_{1}\right) \\
-\sqrt{2}\left(F^{\prime}(w)-F^{\prime}(1)\right) e^{-\sqrt{2} t} e^{-\sqrt{2}\left(f_{2}-f_{1}\right)}+\mathcal{O}\left(\alpha^{2+\tau_{1}} e^{-\varrho}|t|(1+|\alpha y|)^{-4+\beta}\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

Consequently when testing against $w^{\prime}(t)$ we obtain

$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}} F^{\prime \prime}(w)\left(w^{\prime}\right)^{2}\left(2 e^{-\sqrt{2} t}-\psi_{0}(-t)\right) d t=\sqrt{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} 6\left(1-w^{2}\right) e^{\sqrt{2} t} w^{\prime} d t=\sqrt{2} a_{0}
$$

so that in the set $A_{2}$

$$
\begin{gathered}
\int_{|t| \leq \rho_{\alpha}}\left(\Delta v+F^{\prime}\left(u_{\alpha}\right) v\right) w^{\prime}(t) d t=\left(\Delta_{M_{\alpha}} k_{2}+\alpha^{2}\left|A_{M}\right|^{2} k_{2}+\alpha a_{1,0} f_{1} \partial_{y y} k_{2}\right) \int_{\mathbb{R}} w^{\prime}(t)^{2} d t \\
+\sqrt{2} a_{0} e^{-\sqrt{2}\left(h_{2}-h_{1}\right)}\left(k_{1}+k_{2}\right) \int_{\mathbb{R}} w^{\prime}(t)^{2} d t \\
\quad+\mathcal{O}\left(\alpha^{2} r(\alpha y)^{-2}\right) \partial_{y y} k_{2}+\mathcal{O}\left(\alpha^{3} r(\alpha y)^{-3}\right) \partial_{y} k_{2}+\mathcal{O}\left(\alpha^{2+\tau_{1}} r(\alpha y)^{2+\beta}\right) k_{2}
\end{gathered}
$$

Hence, choosing functions $k_{1}=-k_{2}=k$, with $k$ bounded and using the fact that

$$
d x=\sqrt{1+(\alpha y)^{2}}\left(1-\alpha^{2}\left(t+f_{1}\right)^{2}\left|A_{M}\right|^{2}\right) d y d t
$$

we observe that in the region

$$
W_{R}=\left\{x \in \mathcal{N}_{\alpha}: r(\alpha x)<R\right\}
$$

it holds that

$$
Q(v, v)=\iint_{W_{R}}|\nabla v|^{2}-F^{\prime}\left(u_{\alpha}\right) v^{2} d x
$$

$$
\begin{gathered}
=2 \int_{\mathbb{R}} w^{\prime}(t)^{2} d t \int_{M_{\alpha}^{R}}\left|\nabla_{M_{\alpha}} k\right|^{2}-\alpha^{2}\left|A_{M}\right|^{2} k^{2}+2 \sqrt{2} a_{0} e^{-\sqrt{2}\left(h_{2}-h_{1}\right)} k^{2} d V_{M_{\alpha}} \\
+\mathcal{O}\left(\alpha \int_{M_{\alpha}^{R}}\left|\nabla_{M} k\right|^{2}+\alpha^{2}(1+(\alpha y))^{2+\beta} k^{2} d V_{M_{\alpha}}\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

On the other hand, since

$$
e^{-\sqrt{2}\left(h_{2}-h_{1}\right)}=\alpha^{2} \sigma\left|A_{M}(\alpha y)\right|^{2}+\mathcal{O}\left(\alpha^{2} \sigma^{-1}(1+r(\alpha y))^{-4} \log (r(\alpha y))^{2}\right)
$$

we find that

$$
\begin{gathered}
Q(v, v)=2 \int_{\mathbb{R}}\left(w^{\prime}(t)\right)^{2} d t \int_{r(\alpha y)<R}\left|\nabla_{M_{\alpha}} k\right|^{2}-\alpha^{2}(2 \sigma+1)\left|A_{M}(\alpha y)\right|^{2} k^{2}(y) d V_{M_{\alpha}} \\
+\alpha^{\tau_{1}} \mathcal{O}\left(\int_{r(\alpha y)<R}\left|\nabla_{M_{\alpha}} k\right|^{2}+\alpha^{2} \sigma\left|A_{M}(\alpha y)\right|^{2} k^{2}\right) .
\end{gathered}
$$

Taking $k(y)=z(\alpha y)$, with $z \in C^{2}(M)$ is an eigenfunction associated to a negative eigenvalue of the problem (9.1) and taking $R \rightarrow \infty$, we obtain that

$$
Q(v, v)=\alpha^{2} \lambda \int_{M}\left|A_{M}\right|^{2} z^{2} d V+\mathcal{O}\left(\alpha^{2+\tau_{1}} \int_{M}\left|\nabla_{M} z\right|^{2}+\sigma\left|A_{M}\right|^{2} z^{2}\right)
$$

Since we can take at least $\mathcal{O}(\sqrt{\sigma})$ of these eigenfunctions, we conclude that $m\left(u_{\alpha}\right) \geq \widetilde{c} \sqrt{\sigma}$.
9.2 Estimates on the Morse index for solutions in Theorem 2, As for the solutions described in Theorem 2, we have the asymptotic expansion

$$
\begin{gather*}
u_{\alpha}(x)=w\left(z-\mathrm{f}_{1 \alpha}\right)-w\left(z-\mathrm{f}_{2 \alpha}\right)-1 \\
+\phi_{1,0}\left(x^{\prime}, z-\mathrm{f}_{1 \alpha}\right)-\phi_{2,0}\left(x^{\prime}, z-\mathrm{f}_{2 \alpha}\right)+\mathcal{O}\left(\alpha^{2+\tau_{1}}\left(1+\left|\alpha x^{\prime}\right|^{2}\right)^{-1} \sum_{l=1,2} e^{-\varrho\left|z-\mathrm{f}_{l \alpha}\right|}\right) \tag{9.13}
\end{gather*}
$$

where for $l=1,2$

$$
\begin{equation*}
(-1)^{l} \phi_{l, 0}\left(x^{\prime}, t\right)=(-1)^{l+1} e^{-\sqrt{2}\left(\mathrm{q}_{2 \alpha}-\mathrm{q}_{1 \alpha}\right)} \psi_{0}\left((-1)^{l+1} t\right)+\left|\nabla \mathrm{q}_{l \alpha}\right|^{2} \psi_{1}(t) \tag{9.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the functions $\psi_{0}, \psi_{1}$ are again those described in 5.19. and 5.20. We also recall the sets

$$
A_{l}:=\left\{x=\left(x^{\prime}, z\right):\left|z-\mathrm{f}_{j \alpha}\left(x^{\prime}\right)\right| \leq \frac{1}{2}\left(\mathrm{f}_{2 \alpha}\left(x^{\prime}\right)-\mathrm{f}_{1 \alpha}\left(x^{\prime}\right)\right)\right\}, \quad l=1,2
$$

We use a test function $v\left(x^{\prime}, z\right)$ of the form

$$
v\left(x^{\prime}, z\right):=k_{1}\left(x^{\prime}\right) w^{\prime}\left(z-\mathrm{f}_{1 \alpha}\left(x^{\prime}\right)\right)+k_{2}\left(x^{\prime}\right) w^{\prime}\left(z-\mathrm{f}_{2 \alpha}\left(x^{\prime}\right)\right)
$$

and proceed as before to obtain in the set $A_{1}$

$$
\begin{gathered}
\Delta v+F^{\prime}\left(u_{\alpha}\right) v=\underbrace{\Delta_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} k_{1} w^{\prime}+\left|\nabla \mathrm{q}_{1 \alpha}\right|^{2} k_{1} w^{\prime \prime \prime}}_{Q_{1}} \\
+\underbrace{F^{\prime \prime}(w(t))\left[-\left(2 e^{\sqrt{2} t}+\psi_{0}(t)\right) e^{-\sqrt{2}\left(\mathrm{q}_{2 \alpha}-\mathrm{q}_{1 \alpha}\right)}+\left|\nabla \mathrm{q}_{1 \alpha}\right|^{2} \psi_{2}(t)\right] k_{1} w^{\prime}}_{Q_{2}}
\end{gathered}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \underbrace{+\sqrt{2}\left(F^{\prime}(w)-F^{\prime}(1)\right) e^{\sqrt{2} t} e^{-\sqrt{2}\left(\mathrm{q}_{2 \alpha}-\mathrm{q}_{1 \alpha}\right)} k_{2}}_{Q_{3}}-\underbrace{w^{\prime \prime}\left[\Delta_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} \mathrm{f}_{1 \alpha} k_{1}+2 \nabla_{x^{\prime}} \mathrm{f}_{1 \alpha} \nabla_{x^{\prime}} k_{1}\right]}_{Q_{5}} \\
&+\underbrace{\mathcal{O}\left(\alpha^{2+\tau_{1}}\left(1+\left|\alpha x^{\prime}\right|^{2+\frac{\theta}{\sqrt{2}}-\varepsilon}\right)^{-1} e^{-\varrho|t|}\right)}_{Q_{4}} . \tag{9.15}
\end{align*}
$$

Observe also that

$$
\int_{|t|<\rho_{\alpha}} Q_{i} w^{\prime}(t) d t=\int_{\mathbb{R}} Q_{i} w^{\prime}(t) d t+\mathcal{O}\left(\alpha^{2+\tau_{1}}\left(1+|\alpha y|^{2+\frac{\theta}{\sqrt{2}-\varepsilon}}\right)^{-1}\right)
$$

where this time

$$
\rho_{\alpha}(\mathrm{y}):=\frac{1}{2}\left(\mathrm{f}_{2 \alpha}\left(x^{\prime}\right)-\mathrm{f}_{1 \alpha}\left(x^{\prime}\right)\right) .
$$

We conclude that in the set $A_{1}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{|t| \leq \rho_{\alpha}}\left(\Delta v+F^{\prime}\left(u_{\alpha}\right) v\right) w^{\prime}(t) d t=\left(\Delta_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} k_{1}+\sqrt{2} a_{0} e^{-\sqrt{2}\left(\mathrm{q}_{2 \alpha}-\mathrm{q}_{1 \alpha}\right)}\left(k_{1}+k_{2}\right)\right) \int_{\mathbb{R}} w^{\prime}(t)^{2} d t \\
& \quad+\mathcal{O}\left(\alpha^{2} r\left(\alpha x^{\prime}\right)^{-2}\right) D^{2} k_{1}+\mathcal{O}\left(\alpha^{3} r\left(\alpha x^{\prime}\right)^{-3}\right) \nabla k_{1}+\mathcal{O}\left(\alpha^{2+\tau_{1}} r\left(\alpha x^{\prime}\right)^{2+\beta}\right) k_{1} .
\end{aligned}
$$

As before, a similar estimate holds estimate holds for the region $A_{2}$, namely

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{|t| \leq \rho_{\alpha}}\left(\Delta v+F^{\prime}\left(u_{\alpha}\right) v\right) w^{\prime}(t) d t=\left(\Delta_{M_{\alpha}} k_{2}+\sqrt{2} a_{0} e^{-\sqrt{2}\left(\mathrm{q}_{2 \alpha}-\mathrm{q}_{1 \alpha}\right)}\left(k_{1}+k_{2}\right)\right) \int_{\mathbb{R}} w^{\prime}(t)^{2} d t \\
& \quad+\mathcal{O}\left(\alpha^{2} r(\alpha y)^{-2}\right) D^{2} k_{2}+\mathcal{O}\left(\alpha^{3} r(\alpha y)^{-3}\right) \nabla k_{2}+\mathcal{O}\left(\alpha^{2+\tau_{1}} r(\alpha y)^{2+\beta}\right) k_{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

so that for the test function

$$
v\left(x^{\prime}, z\right)=k\left(x^{\prime}\right)\left[w^{\prime}\left(z-\mathrm{f}_{1 \alpha}\left(x^{\prime}\right)\right)-w^{\prime}\left(z-\mathrm{f}_{2 \alpha}\left(x^{\prime}\right)\right)\right]
$$

it holds that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& Q(v, v)=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}}\left(|\nabla v|^{2}-F^{\prime}\left(u_{\alpha}\right) v^{2}\right) d x^{\prime} d z=2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}}\left(|\nabla k|^{2}-\frac{8}{\left(1+\left|\alpha x^{\prime}\right|^{2}\right)^{2}} k^{2}\right) d x^{\prime} \\
&+\mathcal{O}\left(\alpha^{\tau} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}}\left(|\nabla k|^{2}+\frac{1}{\left(1+\left|\alpha x^{\prime}\right|^{2}\right)^{2}} k^{2}\right) d x^{\prime}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Taking $k(y)=z(\alpha y)$, with $z \in C^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right)$ an eigenfunction associated to a negative eigenvalue of the problem (9.2) and taking $R \rightarrow \infty$, we obtain that

$$
Q(v, v)=\alpha^{2} \lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}} w^{\prime}(t)^{2} d t \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} p\left(x^{\prime}\right) z^{2} d V+\mathcal{O}\left(\alpha^{2+\tau_{1}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}}\left|\nabla_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} z\right|^{2}+p\left(x^{\prime}\right) z^{2}\right) .
$$

This last expression implies that $m\left(u_{\alpha}\right) \geq 1$, since the problem (9.2) has exactly one negative simple eigenvalue.

Let us next prove the following lemma involving the size of negative eigenvalues to problem (9.5)
Lemma 9.1. that there exists a universal constant $\mu>0$ such that for any eigenvalue $\lambda<0$ for the problem (9.6) and any $R>0$ large enough

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda \geq-\mu \alpha^{2} . \tag{9.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. To prove this claim, let us consider sets $\Omega_{l}:=A_{l} \cap C_{R}, l=1,2$, where we recall that

$$
A_{l}=\left\{\left(x^{\prime}, t\right):|t| \leq \frac{1}{2}\left|\mathrm{f}_{2 \alpha}\left(x^{\prime}\right)-\mathrm{f}_{1 \alpha}\left(x^{\prime}\right)\right|\right\}, \quad z=t+\mathrm{f}_{1 \alpha}\left(x^{\prime}\right)
$$

Observe that it is enough to prove that

$$
Q_{l}(\psi, \psi)=\int_{\Omega_{l}}\left(|\nabla \psi|^{2}-F^{\prime}\left(u_{\alpha}\right) \psi^{2}\right) d x^{\prime} d z \geq-\mu \alpha^{2} \int_{\Omega_{l}} p(\alpha x) \psi^{2} d x^{\prime} d z, \quad l=1,2
$$

As in [9, consider the eigenvalue problem

$$
\Delta_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} \psi+F^{\prime}\left(u_{\alpha}\right) \psi+\lambda p(\alpha x) \psi=0, \quad \text { in } \Omega_{1} \cup \Omega_{2}
$$

$\psi=0, \quad$ on $\left|\alpha x^{\prime}\right|=R, \quad \partial_{n} \psi=0, \quad\left|z-\mathrm{f}_{l \alpha}\left(x^{\prime}\right)\right|=\frac{1}{2}\left|\mathrm{f}_{2 \alpha}\left(x^{\prime}\right)-\mathrm{f}_{1 \alpha}\left(x^{\prime}\right)\right|, \quad l=1,2$.
For a solution $\psi$ to 9.17 , we write in $\Omega_{l}$

$$
\psi\left(x^{\prime}, t\right)=\zeta_{l, 1} k_{l}\left(x^{\prime}\right) w^{\prime}(t)+\psi_{l}^{\perp}
$$

and where we can choose the functions $k_{l}$ so that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{|t| \leq \frac{1}{2}\left|\mathrm{f}_{2 \alpha}-\mathrm{f}_{1 \alpha}\right|} \psi_{l}^{\perp} w^{\prime}(t) d t=0 \tag{9.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

We write

$$
\begin{gathered}
Q_{l}(\psi, \psi)=Q_{l}\left(\zeta_{l, 1} k_{l}, \zeta_{l, 1} k_{l}\right)+2 Q_{l}\left(\zeta_{l, 1} k_{l}, \psi_{l}^{\perp}\right)+Q_{l}\left(\psi_{l}^{\perp}, \psi_{l}^{\perp}\right) \\
=I_{l}+I I_{l}+I I I_{l}
\end{gathered}
$$

By a series of lengthy calculations similar to those performed in subsection 9.1, we obtain that

$$
\begin{align*}
& I_{l}=\int_{\mathbb{R}} w^{\prime}(t)^{2} d t \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}}\left(\left|\nabla k_{l}\right|^{2}-\frac{4 \alpha^{2}}{\left(1+\left|\alpha x^{\prime}\right|^{2}\right)^{2}} k_{l}^{2}\right) d x^{\prime} \\
+ & \alpha^{\tau} \mathcal{O}\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}}\left(\left|\nabla k_{l}\left(x^{\prime}\right)\right|^{2}+\frac{\alpha^{2}}{\left(1+\left|\alpha x^{\prime}\right|^{2}\right)^{2}} k_{l}^{2}\left(x^{\prime}\right)\right) d x^{\prime}\right) . \tag{9.19}
\end{align*}
$$

Since, $\psi_{l}^{\perp}$ satisfies the same boundary conditions as $\psi$, we obtain that

$$
\begin{gather*}
I I I_{l}=\int_{\left|\alpha x^{\prime}\right|<R} \int_{|t| \leq \frac{1}{2}\left|\mathrm{f}_{2 \alpha}-\mathrm{f}_{1 \alpha}\right|}\left|\partial_{t} \psi_{l}^{\perp}\right|^{2}+\left|\nabla_{x^{\prime}} \psi_{l}^{\perp}\right|^{2}-F^{\prime}\left(u_{\alpha}\right) \psi_{l}^{\perp^{2}} d x^{\prime} d t \\
=\int_{\left|\alpha x^{\prime}\right|<R} \int_{|t| \leq \frac{1}{2}\left|\mathrm{f}_{2 \alpha}-\mathrm{f}_{1 \alpha}\right|}\left|\nabla \psi_{l}^{\perp}\right|^{2}-\psi_{l}^{\perp}\left[\partial_{t t} \psi_{l}^{\perp}+F^{\prime}\left(u_{\alpha}\right) \psi_{l}^{\perp}-\nabla_{x^{\prime}}\left(\mathrm{f}_{2 \alpha}-\mathrm{f}_{1 \alpha}\right) \cdot \nabla \psi_{l}^{\perp}\right] \\
\geq\left(\frac{\gamma}{2} \iint\left|\partial_{t} \psi_{l}^{\perp}\right|^{2}+\left|\nabla_{x^{\prime}} \psi_{l}^{\perp}\right|^{2}+\left|\psi_{l}^{\perp}\right|^{2}\right)+\alpha^{2-\tau} \mathcal{O}\left(\iint\left(1+\left|\alpha x^{\prime}\right|\right)^{-4+\beta}{\psi_{l}^{\perp}}^{\perp}\right) \\
\geq \widetilde{\mu} \iint\left(\left|\partial_{t} \psi_{l}^{\perp}\right|^{2}+\left|\nabla \psi_{l}^{\perp}\right|^{2}+\left|\psi_{l}^{\perp}\right|^{2}\right) . \tag{9.20}
\end{gather*}
$$

As for $I I_{l}$, we proceed as follows. For instance in $\Omega_{1}$ it holds that

$$
\begin{gathered}
L\left(k_{1}\left(x^{\prime}\right) w^{\prime}(t)\right):=\Delta k_{1}\left(x^{\prime}\right) w^{\prime}(t)+F^{\prime}\left(u_{\alpha}\right) k_{1}\left(x^{\prime}\right) w^{\prime}(t)= \\
\Delta_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} k_{1} w^{\prime}+\left|\nabla \mathrm{q}_{1 \alpha}\right|^{2} k_{1} w^{\prime \prime \prime}
\end{gathered}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
F^{\prime \prime}(w(t))[- & \left.\left(2 e^{\sqrt{2} t}+\psi_{0}(t)\right) e^{-\sqrt{2}\left(\mathrm{q}_{2 \alpha}-\mathrm{q}_{1 \alpha}\right)}+\left|\nabla \mathrm{q}_{1 \alpha}\right|^{2} \psi_{2}(t)\right] k_{1} w^{\prime} \\
& -w^{\prime \prime}\left[\Delta_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} \mathrm{f}_{1 \alpha} k_{1}+2 \nabla_{x^{\prime}} \mathrm{f}_{1 \alpha} \nabla_{x^{\prime}} k_{1}\right] \\
+ & \mathcal{O}\left(\alpha^{2+\tau}\left(1+\left|\alpha x^{\prime}\right|^{2+\frac{e}{\sqrt{2}}-\varepsilon}\right)^{-1} e^{-\varrho|t|}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

and this implies that

$$
I I_{1}=-\int \zeta_{1,1} L\left(k_{1}\left(x^{\prime}\right) w^{\prime}(t)\right) \psi_{1}^{\perp}+\int 2 \nabla \zeta_{1,1} \cdot \nabla\left(k_{1}\left(x^{\prime}\right) w^{\prime}(t)\right) \psi_{1}^{\perp}+\Delta \zeta_{1,1} w^{\prime}(t) k_{1}\left(x^{\prime}\right) \psi_{1}^{\perp}
$$

Since $\psi_{1}^{\perp}$ satisfies condition 9.18 and using equation (9.17), we obtain that

$$
\begin{aligned}
I I_{1} & =-\int_{\Omega} L\left(k_{1}\left(x^{\prime}\right) w^{\prime}(t)\right) \psi_{1}^{\perp} d x^{\prime} d z-\int_{\Omega_{1}}\left(1-\zeta_{1,1}\right) L\left(k_{1}\left(x^{\prime}\right) w^{\prime}(t)\right) \psi_{1}^{\perp} d x^{\prime} d z+\theta \\
& =-\int_{\Omega_{1}}\left(6 w(t) w^{\prime}(t)+3 w(t) \psi_{0}(t)\right) e^{\sqrt{2} t} e^{-\sqrt{2}\left(\mathrm{f}_{2 \alpha}-\mathrm{f}_{1 \alpha}\right)} k_{1}\left(x^{\prime}\right) \psi_{1}^{\perp} d x^{\prime} d z+\theta
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
\theta=o(1) \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}}\left(\left|\nabla k_{1}\left(x^{\prime}\right)\right|^{2}+\alpha^{2} p(\alpha x) k_{1}^{2}\left(x^{\prime}\right)\right) d x^{\prime}+o(1) \int_{\Omega_{1}}\left(\left|\psi_{1}^{\perp}\right|^{2}+\left|\nabla \psi_{1}^{\perp}\right|^{2}\right) d x^{\prime} d z
$$

So, we obtain that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|I I_{1}\right| \leq C \nu^{-1} \alpha^{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}}\left(1+\left|\alpha x^{\prime}\right|\right)^{-4} k_{i}^{2}\left(x^{\prime}\right) d x^{\prime}+\nu \int_{\Omega_{i}}\left(1+\left|\alpha x^{\prime}\right|\right)^{-4}\left|\psi_{i}^{\perp}\right|^{2} d x^{\prime} d z \tag{9.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

Putting together estimate (9.19)- 9.20)- 9.21) we get the estimate

$$
Q_{\Omega_{1}}(\psi, \psi) \geq-\mu_{1} \alpha^{2} \int\left(1+\left|\alpha x^{\prime}\right|\right)^{-4} k_{1}^{2}\left(x^{\prime}\right) d x^{\prime}
$$

Then inequality

$$
Q(\psi, \psi) \geq-\mu \alpha^{2} \int p(\alpha x) \psi^{2}
$$

follows since a similar procedure applies the region $A_{2}$.
9.3. The proof of Inequality $m\left(u_{\alpha}\right) \leq 1$ for solutions in Theorem 2 , We begin this part by proving that eigenvalues to problem (9.6) that are close to zero are actually positive and we give a precise estimation on their size. This information is collected in the following lemma, whose proof proceeds as in section 11 in 9], but that we include here for the sake of completeness.

Lemma 9.2. Assume that $\phi_{\alpha, R}$ and $\lambda_{\alpha, R} \neq 0$ are respectively an eigenfunction and eigenvalue for problem (9.6) such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\phi_{\alpha, R}\right\|_{L^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}\right)}=1, \quad\left|\lambda_{\alpha, R}\right| \leq M \alpha^{2} \tag{9.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some $M \rightarrow 0$ as $\alpha \rightarrow 0$. Then there exists a positive universal constant $\hat{\beta}$ such that for every $\alpha>0$ small and $R$ large enough

$$
\lambda_{\alpha}:=\lim _{R \rightarrow \infty} \lambda_{\alpha, R}=\alpha^{3} \log \left(\frac{1}{\alpha}\right) \hat{\beta}+\mathcal{O}\left(\alpha^{3}\right)
$$

and

$$
\phi_{\alpha, R}\left(x^{\prime}, z\right)=Z\left(\alpha x^{\prime}\right)\left[w^{\prime}\left(z-\mathrm{f}_{1 \alpha}\right)-w^{\prime}\left(z-\mathrm{f}_{2 \alpha}\right)\right]+\mathcal{O}\left(\sum_{l=1,2} e^{-\varrho\left|z-\mathrm{f}_{l \alpha}\right|}\right)
$$

where $Z\left(x^{\prime}\right)$ is a scalar multiple of the function $\bar{z}_{0}\left(x^{\prime}\right)$ described in (??).
Proof. Let us consider a solution $\phi$ to the problem (9.6). Using assumption (9.22) and a sub and super solutions scheme, it can be proven that

$$
\left|\phi\left(x^{\prime}, z\right)\right| \leq C \sum_{j=1}^{2} e^{-\varrho\left|z-\mathrm{f}_{j \alpha}\left(x^{\prime}\right)\right|}
$$

for $\left|\alpha x^{\prime}\right| \geq R_{0}$ and $R_{0}$ large enough. This inequality basically states that any solution to problem (9.6) can have values away from zero only in the regions $A_{l}$.

From inequality (9.22) we can write

$$
\lambda=\lambda_{\alpha, R}=\mu_{\alpha, R} \alpha^{2}, \quad \mu_{\alpha, R} \rightarrow \mu_{\alpha}, \quad \text { as } R \rightarrow \infty
$$

Consider again the sets

$$
\left.\widetilde{A}_{l}=\left\{\left(x^{\prime}, z\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{2} \times \mathbb{R}:\left|z-\mathrm{f}_{l \alpha}\right| \leq \theta\left[\mathrm{f}_{2 \alpha}-\mathrm{f}_{1 \alpha}\right]\right)\right\}, \quad \theta \in\left(\frac{1}{2}, 1\right), \quad l=1,2 .
$$

and consider a cut-off function $\widetilde{\zeta}_{l}$, supported in the set $\widetilde{A}_{l}$.
we consider a solution to the eigenvalue problem

$$
\begin{gathered}
\Delta_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} \phi+F^{\prime}\left(u_{\alpha}\right) \phi+\alpha^{2} \mu p\left(\alpha x^{\prime}\right) \phi=0, \quad \text { in } C_{\mathbb{R}} \\
\phi=0, \quad \text { on } \partial C_{R}
\end{gathered}
$$

and to fix ideas, let us localize $\phi$ in $\widetilde{A}_{1}$ by setting

$$
\tilde{\phi}_{1}=\zeta_{1} \phi
$$

which implies that $\widetilde{\phi}_{1}$ must solve the equation

$$
\Delta_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} \widetilde{\phi}_{1}+F^{\prime}\left(u_{\alpha}\right) \widetilde{\phi}_{1}+\alpha^{2} \mu p\left(\alpha x^{\prime}\right) \widetilde{\phi}_{1}=2 \nabla_{x^{\prime}} \zeta_{1} \cdot \nabla_{x^{\prime}} \phi_{1}+\phi_{1} \Delta \zeta_{1}=: E_{1 \alpha} .
$$

Since in the set $\widetilde{A}_{1}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
|D \phi|+|D \phi|+|\phi| \leq C e^{-\varrho|t|}, \quad z=t+\mathrm{f}_{1, \alpha}, \quad 0<\varrho<\sqrt{2} \tag{9.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

we find that

$$
\left|E_{1 \alpha}\right| \leq C e^{-\varrho \varepsilon|t|} e^{-(1-\varepsilon) \varrho|t|} \leq C\left[\alpha^{2}\left(1+\left|\alpha x^{\prime}\right|\right)^{-4+\beta}\right]^{\frac{\rho \theta}{\sqrt{2}}(1-\varepsilon)} e^{-\hat{\varrho}|t|}
$$

from where we conclude that

$$
\left|E_{1 \alpha}\right| \leq C \alpha^{1+\tau}\left(1+\left|\alpha x^{\prime}\right|\right)^{-2(1+\tau)} e^{-\hat{\varrho}|t|}, \quad \text { in } \widetilde{A}_{1} .
$$

for some $\hat{\varrho}>0, \frac{1}{2}<\theta<1$ and $\tau>0$ small.
Setting $z=t+\mathrm{f}_{1 \alpha}$, we write inside $\widetilde{A}_{1}$

$$
L_{*}\left(\widetilde{\phi}_{1}\right)=\partial_{t t} \widetilde{\phi}_{1}+\Delta_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} \widetilde{\phi}_{1}+F^{\prime}(w(t)) \widetilde{\phi}_{1}+B\left(\widetilde{\phi}_{1}\right)
$$

where

$$
\begin{gathered}
B\left(\widetilde{\phi}_{1}\right):=-\Delta_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} \mathrm{f}_{1 \alpha} \partial_{t} \widetilde{\phi}_{1}-2 \nabla \mathrm{f}_{1 \alpha} \nabla_{x^{\prime}} \partial_{t} \widetilde{\phi}_{1}+\left[\nabla \mathrm{f}_{1 \alpha}\right]^{2} \partial_{t t} \widetilde{\phi}_{1} \\
+\left[F^{\prime}\left(u_{\alpha}\right)-F^{\prime}(w)\right] \widetilde{\phi}_{1}
\end{gathered}
$$

Hence, $\widetilde{\phi}_{1}$ solves the equation

$$
L_{*}\left(\widetilde{\phi}_{1}\right)+\alpha^{2} \mu p\left(\alpha x^{\prime}\right) \widetilde{\phi}_{1}+B_{1}\left(\widetilde{\phi}_{1}\right)=E_{1 \alpha} \quad \text { in } B_{\alpha^{-1} R}(0) \times \mathbb{R}
$$

Proceeding in the same fashion, localizing $\phi$ in $\widetilde{A}_{2}$, we find that

$$
L_{*}\left(\widetilde{\phi}_{2}\right)+\alpha^{2} \mu p\left(\alpha x^{\prime}\right) \widetilde{\phi}_{2}+B_{2}\left(\widetilde{\phi}_{2}\right)=E_{2 \alpha} \quad \text { in } B_{\alpha^{-1} R}(0) \times \mathbb{R}
$$

where

$$
E_{2 \alpha}:=2 \nabla_{x^{\prime}} \zeta_{2} \cdot \nabla_{x^{\prime}} \phi_{2}+\phi_{2} \Delta \zeta_{2}
$$

and

$$
\begin{gathered}
B_{2}\left(\widetilde{\phi}_{2}\right):=-\Delta_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} \mathrm{f}_{2 \alpha} \partial_{t} \widetilde{\phi}_{2}-2 \nabla \mathrm{f}_{2 \alpha} \nabla_{x^{\prime}} \partial_{t} \widetilde{\phi}_{2}+\left[\nabla \mathrm{f}_{2 \alpha}\right]^{2} \partial_{t t} \widetilde{\phi}_{2} \\
+\left[F^{\prime}\left(u_{\alpha}\right)-F^{\prime}(w)\right] \widetilde{\phi}_{2}
\end{gathered}
$$

Consider functions $k_{1}$, $k_{2}$ define by the integrals

$$
\begin{gathered}
\int_{\mathbb{R}} \widetilde{\phi}_{1}\left(x^{\prime}, t\right) w^{\prime}(t) d t=k_{1}\left(x^{\prime}\right) \int_{\mathbb{R}}\left(w^{\prime}(t)\right)^{2} d t+k_{2}\left(x^{\prime}\right) \int_{\mathbb{R}} \widetilde{\zeta}_{1} w\left(t+\mathrm{f}_{1 \alpha}-\mathrm{f}_{2 \alpha}\right) w^{\prime}(t) d t \\
\int_{\mathbb{R}} \widetilde{\phi}_{2}\left(x^{\prime}, t\right) w^{\prime}(t)=k_{2}\left(x^{\prime}\right) \int_{\mathbb{R}}\left(w^{\prime}(t)\right)^{2} d t+k_{1}\left(x^{\prime}\right) \int_{\mathbb{R}} \widetilde{\zeta}_{2} w\left(t+\mathrm{f}_{2 \alpha}-\mathrm{f}_{1 \alpha}\right) w^{\prime}(t) d t
\end{gathered}
$$

so that in the set $\widetilde{A}_{1}$ we have the decomposition

$$
\begin{gathered}
\widetilde{\phi}_{1}=k_{1}\left(x^{\prime}\right) w^{\prime}(t)+\widetilde{\zeta}_{1} k_{2}\left(x^{\prime}\right) w^{\prime}\left(t+\mathrm{f}_{1 \alpha}-\mathrm{f}_{2 \alpha}\right)+\varphi_{1} \\
\int_{\mathbb{R}} \varphi_{1} w^{\prime}(t) d t=0
\end{gathered}
$$

Analogously, in $\widetilde{A}_{2}$, we have

$$
\begin{gathered}
\widetilde{\phi}_{2}=k_{2}\left(x^{\prime}\right) w^{\prime}(t)+\widetilde{\zeta}_{2} k_{1}\left(x^{\prime}\right) w^{\prime}\left(t+\mathrm{f}_{2 \alpha}-\mathrm{f}_{1 \alpha}\right)+\varphi_{2} \\
\int_{\mathbb{R}} \varphi_{2} w^{\prime}(t) d t=0
\end{gathered}
$$

From (9.23), it is clear that the functions are smooth and bounded up to their second derivatives.

We do the subsequent developments for $\widetilde{\phi}_{1}$ only, since for $\widetilde{\phi}_{2}$ is the procedure is the same.

Dropping again the subindexes we have that the equations for the function $\varphi$ have the form

$$
L_{*}(\varphi)+\alpha^{2} \mu p\left(\alpha x^{\prime}\right) \varphi+B(\varphi)=S_{*, \mu}+E_{\alpha}+B\left(k w^{\prime}\right), \quad \text { in } B_{\alpha^{-1} R}(0) \times \mathbb{R}
$$

where

$$
\begin{gather*}
S_{*, \mu}=\underbrace{\Delta_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} k_{1} w^{\prime}+\left|\nabla \mathrm{q}_{1 \alpha}\right|^{2} k_{1} w^{\prime \prime \prime}}_{Q_{1}} \\
+\underbrace{F^{\prime \prime}(w(t))\left[-\left(2 e^{\sqrt{2} t}+\psi_{0}(t)\right) e^{-\sqrt{2}\left(\mathrm{q}_{2 \alpha}-\mathrm{q}_{1 \alpha}\right)}+\left|\nabla \mathrm{q}_{1 \alpha}\right|^{2} \psi_{2}(t)\right] k_{1} w^{\prime}}_{Q_{2}} \\
\underbrace{+\sqrt{2}\left(F^{\prime}(w)-F^{\prime}(1)\right) e^{\sqrt{2} t} e^{-\sqrt{2}\left(\mathrm{q}_{2 \alpha}-\mathrm{q}_{1 \alpha}\right)} k_{2}}_{Q_{3}}-\underbrace{w^{\prime \prime}\left[\Delta_{\mathbb{R}^{2} \mathrm{f}_{1 \alpha} k_{1}}+2 \nabla_{x^{\prime}} \mathrm{f}_{1 \alpha} \nabla_{x^{\prime}} k_{1}\right]}_{Q_{4}} \\
+\underbrace{\mathcal{O}\left(\alpha^{2+\tau_{1}}\left(1+|\alpha y|^{2+\frac{e}{\sqrt{2}}-\varepsilon}\right)^{-1} e^{-\varrho|t|}\right)}_{Q_{5}} . \tag{9.24}
\end{gather*}
$$

for some $\tau>0$ small enough. Observe that $k_{1}\left(x^{\prime}\right), k_{1}\left(x^{\prime}\right)$ are bounded in the $C^{2}$ norm, in $B_{\alpha^{-1} R}(0)$.

Testing this equation against $w^{\prime}$, we observe that

$$
\begin{gathered}
\Delta_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} k_{1}+\sqrt{2} a_{0} e^{-\sqrt{2}\left(\mathrm{q}_{2 \alpha}-\mathrm{q}_{1 \alpha}\right)}\left(k_{1}+k_{2}\right)+\alpha^{2} \mu p\left(\alpha x^{\prime}\right) k_{1}=\widetilde{B} \\
+\mathcal{O}\left(\alpha^{2} r\left(\alpha x^{\prime}\right)^{-2}\right) D^{2} k_{1}+\mathcal{O}\left(\alpha^{3} r\left(\alpha x^{\prime}\right)^{-3}\right) \nabla k_{1}+\mathcal{O}\left(\alpha^{2+\tau_{1}} r\left(\alpha x^{\prime}\right)^{2+\beta}\right) k_{1}
\end{gathered}
$$

where

$$
\widetilde{B}=\frac{1}{\int_{\mathbb{R}} w^{\prime}(t)^{2} d t} \int_{\mathbb{R}} B(\varphi)
$$

We will prove that $\widetilde{B} \sim \mathcal{O}\left(\alpha^{2+\tau}\right)$ for some $\tau>0$ small enough.
Let us write $\varphi=\bar{\varphi}_{1}+\bar{\varphi}_{2}$, where

$$
L_{*}\left(\bar{\varphi}_{1}\right)+\alpha^{2} \mu p\left(\alpha x^{\prime}\right) \bar{\varphi}_{1}=Q_{4}, \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}} \bar{\varphi}_{1} w^{\prime}(t) d t=0
$$

Then, we obtain the estimate

$$
\left\|D^{2} \bar{\varphi}_{1}\right\|_{p, 1, \varrho}+\left\|D \bar{\varphi}_{1}\right\|_{\infty, 1, \varrho}+\left\|\bar{\varphi}_{1}\right\|_{\infty, 1, \varrho} \leq C \alpha
$$

Next, we observe that

$$
L_{*}\left(\bar{\varphi}_{2}\right)+\alpha^{2} \mu p\left(\alpha x^{\prime}\right) \bar{\varphi}_{2}+B\left(\bar{\varphi}_{2}\right)=g\left(x^{\prime}, t\right)+c\left(x^{\prime}\right) w^{\prime}(t)
$$

where

$$
g\left(x^{\prime}, t\right)=E_{\alpha}-\alpha^{2} \mu p\left(\alpha x^{\prime}\right) k_{1} w^{\prime}(t)-\left(Q_{1}+Q_{2}+Q_{3}+Q_{5}\right)-B\left(\bar{\varphi}_{1}\right)
$$

and

$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}} \bar{\varphi}_{2} w^{\prime}(t) d t=0
$$

Observe that $\left\|B\left(\bar{\varphi}_{1}\right)\right\|_{p, 2-\tau, \varrho} \leq C \alpha^{2}$ Using the size of $E_{\alpha}$ we obtain that

$$
\|g\|_{p, 2-\tau, \varrho} \leq C \alpha^{1+\tau}
$$

for some $\tau>0$ small enough, so that we conclude

$$
\left\|D^{2} \bar{\varphi}_{2}\right\|_{p, 2-\tau, \varrho}+\left\|D \bar{\varphi}_{2}\right\|_{p, 2-\tau, \varrho}+\left\|\bar{\varphi}_{2}\right\|_{p, 2-\tau, \varrho} \leq C \alpha^{1+\tau}
$$

and consequently

$$
\left\|B\left(\bar{\varphi}_{2}\right)\right\|_{p, 3-\tau, \varrho} \leq C \alpha^{2+\tau}
$$

So, we decompose

$$
\widetilde{B}=\widetilde{B}_{1}+\widetilde{B}_{2}, \quad \widetilde{B}_{l}=\frac{1}{\alpha^{2}\left\|w^{\prime}\right\|_{L^{2}(\mathbb{R})}^{2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} B\left(\bar{\varphi}_{l}\right)
$$

where

$$
\left|\widetilde{B}_{1}\right| \leq C, \quad\left|\widetilde{B}_{2}\right| \leq C \alpha^{\tau}
$$

Even more, keeping into account the procedure for $\varphi_{2}$ and setting $z_{l}\left(\alpha x^{\prime}\right)=$ $k_{l}\left(x^{\prime}\right)$, for $l=1,2$, and using elliptic estimates in the system of equations for $z_{1}, z_{2}$ we find that

$$
\left\|D^{2} z\right\|_{p, 2-\tau}+\left\|\left(1+\left|x^{\prime}\right|\right)^{1-\tau} D z\right\|_{\infty} \leq C \alpha
$$

from where

$$
\left\|Q_{4}\right\|_{p, 2-\tau, \varrho} \leq C \alpha^{2}
$$

and so

$$
\left\|\bar{\varphi}_{1}\right\|_{p, 2-\tau, \varrho} \leq C \alpha^{2}, \quad\left\|\widetilde{B}_{1}\right\|_{p, 3-\tau} \leq C\|\widetilde{B}\|_{p, 2-\tau} \leq C \alpha^{3}
$$

and so

$$
|\widetilde{B}| \leq C \alpha^{2+\tau}
$$

At this point we recall that $\phi=\phi_{\alpha, R}$ has a uniform $C^{1}$ bound and that

$$
\begin{gather*}
\phi_{i, \alpha, R}\left(x^{\prime}, z\right)=k_{1, i, \alpha, R}\left(x^{\prime}\right) w^{\prime}\left(z-\mathrm{f}_{1 \alpha}\right)+k_{2, i, \alpha, R}\left(x^{\prime}\right) w^{\prime}\left(z-\mathrm{f}_{2 \alpha}\right) \\
+\mathcal{O}\left(\alpha \sum_{j=1}^{2} e^{-\varrho\left|z-\mathrm{f}_{j \alpha}\right|}\right) \tag{9.25}
\end{gather*}
$$

so that

$$
\phi_{\alpha, R} \rightarrow \phi_{\alpha}, \quad \text { as } R \rightarrow \infty
$$

uniformly on compact sets and

$$
\Delta_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} \phi_{\alpha}+F^{\prime}\left(u_{\alpha}\right) \phi_{\alpha}=0, \quad \text { in } \mathbb{R}^{3}
$$

with

$$
\phi_{\alpha}\left(x^{\prime}, z\right)=k_{1, \alpha}\left(x^{\prime}\right) w^{\prime}\left(z-\mathrm{f}_{1 \alpha}\right)+k_{2, \alpha}\left(x^{\prime}\right) w^{\prime}\left(z-\mathrm{f}_{2 \alpha}\right)+\mathcal{O}\left(\alpha \sum_{j=1}^{2} e^{-\varrho\left|z-\mathrm{f}_{j \alpha}\right|}\right)
$$

Observe also that $z_{l, \alpha, R}\left(x^{\prime}\right)=k_{l, \alpha, R}\left(\frac{x^{\prime}}{\alpha}\right)$ satisfies
$\Delta_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} z_{1, \alpha, R}+\sqrt{2} e^{-\sqrt{2}\left(q_{2}-q_{1}\right)}\left(z_{2, \alpha, R}-z_{1, \alpha, R}\right)+\mu_{\alpha, R} p\left(x^{\prime}\right) z_{1, \alpha, R}=\mathcal{O}\left(\alpha^{\tau}\left(1+\left|x^{\prime}\right|\right)^{-2-\beta}\right)$.
$\Delta_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} z_{2, \alpha, R}+\sqrt{2} e^{-\sqrt{2}\left(q_{2}-q_{1}\right)}\left(z_{2, \alpha, R}+z_{1, \alpha, R}\right)+\mu_{\alpha, R} p\left(x^{\prime}\right) z_{2, \alpha, R}=\mathcal{O}\left(\alpha^{\tau}\left(1+\left|x^{\prime}\right|\right)^{-2-\beta}\right)$ so that, after passing to the limit $R \rightarrow \infty$, we obtain the estimates

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|z_{1, \alpha} \pm z_{2, \alpha}\right\|_{L^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right)} \leq C\left[\left\|z_{1, \alpha} \pm z_{2, \alpha}\right\|_{L^{\infty}\left(\left|x^{\prime}\right|<R_{0}\right)}+\mathcal{O}\left(\alpha^{\tau}\right)\right] \tag{9.26}
\end{equation*}
$$

or equivalently

$$
\left\|k_{1, \alpha} \pm k_{2, \alpha}\right\|_{L^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right)} \leq C\left[\left\|k_{1, \alpha} \pm k_{2, \alpha}\right\|_{L^{\infty}\left(\left|\alpha x^{\prime}\right|<R_{0}\right)}+\mathcal{O}\left(\alpha^{\tau}\right)\right]
$$

From (9.27) we know that $k_{1, \alpha} \pm k_{2, \alpha}$ can not be simultaneously zero. Then we obtain the limit situation

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Delta_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} z_{1}+\sqrt{2} e^{-\sqrt{2}\left(q_{2}-q_{1}\right)}\left(z_{2}+z_{1}\right)=0 \\
& \Delta_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} z_{2}+\sqrt{2} e^{-\sqrt{2}\left(q_{2}-q_{1}\right)}\left(z_{2}+z_{1}\right)=0
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence, for every $\alpha>0$ small and $R$ large enough we have the asymptotics

$$
\phi_{\alpha, R}\left(x^{\prime}, z\right)=z_{1}\left(\alpha x^{\prime}\right) w^{\prime}\left(z-\mathrm{f}_{1 \alpha}\right)+z_{2}\left(\alpha x^{\prime}\right) w^{\prime}\left(z-\mathrm{f}_{2 \alpha}\right)+\mathcal{O}\left(\alpha \sum_{j=1}^{2} e^{-\varrho\left|z-\mathrm{f}_{j \alpha}\right|}\right)
$$

and the functions $z=z_{1}+z_{2}, \hat{z}=z_{1}-z_{2}$ are bounded, no simultaneously zero and solve the system

$$
\Delta_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} z+2 \sqrt{2} e^{-\sqrt{2}\left(q_{2}-q_{1}\right)} z=0, \quad \Delta \hat{z}=0, \quad \text { in } \mathbb{R}^{2}
$$

Since, the bounded kernel of the operator

$$
\Delta_{\mathbb{R}^{2}}+2 \sqrt{2} e^{-\sqrt{2}\left(q_{2}-q_{1}\right)}
$$

is spanned by the functions described in polar coordinates

$$
\bar{z}_{0}:=\frac{-1+r^{2}}{1+r^{2}}, \quad \bar{z}_{1}:=\frac{r}{1+r^{2}} \cos (\theta), \quad \bar{z}_{2}:=\frac{r}{1+r^{2}} \sin (\theta), \quad r>0, \quad \theta \in(0,2 \pi)
$$

so that

$$
z\left(x^{\prime}\right)=\sum_{i=0}^{2} \bar{\beta}_{i} \bar{z}_{i}\left(x^{\prime}\right), \quad \bar{\beta}_{i} \in \mathbb{R},
$$

Since we are assuming that $\lambda \neq 0$, we may assume from spectral theory that

$$
\int_{C_{R}} p\left(\alpha x^{\prime}\right) \phi_{\alpha, R} \cdot \bar{\phi} d x^{\prime} d z=0
$$

for every bounded $\bar{\phi}$ solving

$$
\Delta \bar{\phi}+F^{\prime}\left(u_{\alpha}\right) \bar{\phi}=0, \quad \text { in } C_{\mathbb{R}}, \quad \bar{\phi}=0, \quad \text { on } \partial C_{R}
$$

and from proposition 8.2 we know that the functions

$$
\partial_{x_{1}^{\prime}} u_{\alpha}, \quad \partial_{x_{2}^{\prime}} u_{\alpha}, \quad \partial_{z} u_{\alpha}
$$

are bounded solutions to the equation

$$
\Delta \phi+F^{\prime}\left(u_{\alpha}\right) \phi=0, \quad \text { in } \mathbb{R}^{3}
$$

and passing to the limit, we obtain that

$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} p\left(\alpha x^{\prime}\right) \phi_{2, \alpha}\left(x^{\prime}, z\right) Z\left(x^{\prime}, z\right) d z=0
$$

for any $Z$ having the form

$$
Z=\beta_{i} \partial_{x_{i}} u_{\alpha}+\beta_{3} \partial_{z} u_{\alpha}, \quad \beta_{i} \in \mathbb{R}, \quad i=1,2,3
$$

From the asymptotic expansion

$$
\partial_{z} u_{\alpha}\left(x^{\prime}, z\right)=w^{\prime}\left(z-\mathrm{f}_{2 \alpha}-\mathrm{f}_{1 \alpha}\right)-w^{\prime}\left(z-\mathrm{f}_{2 \alpha}\right)+\mathcal{O}\left(\alpha\left(1+\left|\alpha x^{\prime}\right|\right)^{-2} e^{-\varrho|t|}\right)
$$

we can pass to the limit as $\alpha \rightarrow 0$, in the orthogonality condition respect to $\partial_{z} u_{\alpha}$, to obtain that

$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} p\left(x^{\prime}\right) \hat{z} d x^{\prime}=0
$$

so that from Liouville theorem we get that $\hat{z_{2}}=0$. This implies that

$$
\phi_{\alpha, R}\left(x^{\prime}, z\right)=\frac{1}{2} z\left(\alpha x^{\prime}\right)\left[w^{\prime}\left(z-\mathrm{f}_{1 \alpha}\right)+\left(\alpha x^{\prime}\right) w^{\prime}\left(z-\mathrm{f}_{2 \alpha}\right)\right]+\mathcal{O}\left(\alpha \sum_{j=1}^{2} e^{-\varrho\left|z-\mathrm{f}_{j \alpha}\right|}\right)
$$

Proceeding similarly but this time using orthogonality conditions respect to $\partial_{x_{i}} u_{\alpha}$ and asymptotic expansions

$$
\partial_{x_{i}^{\prime}} u_{\alpha}\left(x^{\prime}, z\right)=\alpha \partial_{x_{i}} q\left(\alpha x^{\prime}\right)\left[w^{\prime}\left(z-\mathrm{f}_{1 \alpha}\right)-w^{\prime}\left(z-\mathrm{f}_{2 \alpha}\right)\right]+\mathcal{O}\left(\alpha^{2}\right), \quad i=1,2
$$

we find that

$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} p\left(x^{\prime}\right) z\left(x^{\prime}\right) \bar{z}_{i}\left(x^{\prime}\right) d x^{\prime}=0, \quad i=1,2
$$

Consequently, $z\left(x^{\prime}\right)$ must be a scalar multiple of $\bar{z}_{0}\left(x^{\prime}\right)$ and with no loss of generality we write

$$
\phi_{\alpha, R}\left(x^{\prime}, z\right)=\bar{z}_{0}\left(\alpha x^{\prime}\right)\left[w^{\prime}\left(z-\mathrm{f}_{1 \alpha}\right)+\left(\alpha x^{\prime}\right) w^{\prime}\left(z-\mathrm{f}_{2 \alpha}\right)\right]+\mathcal{O}\left(\alpha \sum_{j=1}^{2} e^{-\varrho\left|z-\mathrm{f}_{j \alpha}\right|}\right)
$$

To finish the proof of the lemma, let us consider again the sets $\Omega_{l}=A_{l} \cap C_{R}$ from above and notice that

$$
\begin{gathered}
\alpha^{2} \mu_{\alpha} \int_{\Omega_{1, R} \cup \Omega_{2, R}} p\left(\alpha x^{\prime}\right) \alpha \partial_{\alpha} u_{\alpha} \cdot \phi_{\alpha} d x^{\prime} d z=\alpha \int_{\Omega_{1, R} \cup \Omega_{2, R}} \nabla \phi_{\alpha} \cdot \nabla \partial_{\alpha} u_{\alpha}-F^{\prime}\left(u_{\alpha}\right) \phi_{\alpha} \cdot \partial_{\alpha} u_{\alpha} \\
=\alpha \int_{\partial\left(\Omega_{1, R} \cup \Omega_{2, R}\right)} \phi_{\alpha} \partial_{n}\left(\partial_{\alpha} u_{\alpha}\right) d S
\end{gathered}
$$

Observe first that

$$
\begin{gathered}
\alpha^{3} \mu_{\alpha} \int_{\Omega_{1, R} \cup \Omega_{2, R}} p\left(\alpha x^{\prime}\right) \phi_{\alpha} \cdot \partial_{\alpha} u_{\alpha} d x^{\prime} d z \\
=2 \alpha^{2} \mu_{\alpha} \int_{\left|\alpha x^{\prime}\right| \leq R,|t| \leq \frac{1}{2}\left(\mathrm{f}_{2 \alpha}-\mathrm{f}_{1 \alpha}\right)} p\left(\alpha x^{\prime}\right) \bar{z}_{0}\left(\alpha x^{\prime}\right)^{2} w^{\prime}(t)^{2} d x^{\prime} d t+\mathcal{O}\left(\alpha^{\tau}\right) \\
=\mu_{\alpha}\left\|w^{\prime}\right\|_{L^{2}}^{2} \int_{\left|x^{\prime}\right| \leq R} p\left(x^{\prime}\right) \bar{z}_{0}^{2} d x^{\prime}+\mathcal{O}\left(\alpha^{\tau}\right)=c_{0} \mu_{2, \alpha}+\mathcal{O}\left(\alpha^{\tau}\right), \quad c_{0}>0 .
\end{gathered}
$$

On the other hand,

$$
\begin{gathered}
\alpha \int_{\partial\left(\Omega_{1, R} \cup \Omega_{2, R}\right)} \phi_{2, \alpha} \partial_{n}\left(\partial_{\alpha} u_{\alpha}\right) d S= \\
\underbrace{\int_{\left|\alpha x^{\prime}\right|=R,|t| \leq \frac{1}{2}\left(\mathrm{f}_{2 \alpha}-\mathrm{f}_{1 \alpha}\right)}}_{I}+\underbrace{\int_{\left|\alpha x^{\prime}\right| \leq R,|t|=\frac{1}{2}\left(\mathrm{f}_{2 \alpha}-\mathrm{f}_{1 \alpha}\right)} \phi_{2, \alpha} \partial_{n}\left(\partial_{\alpha} u_{\alpha}\right)}_{I I}
\end{gathered}
$$

Clearly, the largest contribution in this integral comes from the first term, which from the asymptotic formula 8.60, yields that

$$
\begin{aligned}
I & =2 \pi \alpha^{-1} R\left\|w^{\prime}\right\|_{L^{2}}^{2} \bar{z}_{0}(R)\left[\alpha \partial_{r, \alpha} q_{\alpha}+\alpha \partial_{r, \alpha} \mathrm{v}_{\alpha}\right]_{\left|x^{\prime}\right|=\alpha^{-1} R}+\mathcal{O}\left(\alpha^{1+\tau}\right) \\
& =\widetilde{\beta}_{0} \alpha \log \left(\frac{1}{\alpha}\right)+\mathcal{O}(\alpha)
\end{aligned}
$$

with $\widetilde{\beta}_{0}>0$. Hence, taking $R \rightarrow \infty$, we find that $\mu_{\alpha} \sim \alpha \log (\alpha) \hat{\beta}$ for some $\hat{\beta}>0$ and this completes the proof of the lemma.
9.4 The proof of inequality $m\left(u_{\alpha}\right) \leq 1$ for solutions in Theorem (2). To sketch the proof of inequality $m\left(u_{\alpha}\right) \leq 1$ we proceed as in the proof of the lemma 9.2 . From the characterization of $m\left(u_{\alpha}\right)$ in (9.7), we can take an eigenfunctions $\phi_{\alpha, R}$, associated to strictly negative eigenvalue $\lambda_{\alpha, R}<0$, which from the variational characterization of the eigenvalues can be chosen to be decreasing in $R$. We also may assume that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\phi_{\alpha, R}\right\|_{\infty}=1, \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} p\left(x^{\prime}\right) \phi_{\alpha, R} \bar{\phi}_{\alpha, R} d x^{\prime} d z=0 \tag{9.27}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $\bar{\phi}_{\alpha, R}$ an eigenfunction to problem (9.6) associated to a different eigenvalue. From inequality 9.16 we can write

$$
\lambda_{\alpha, R}=\alpha^{2} \mu_{\alpha, R}, \quad \mu_{\alpha, R} \rightarrow \mu_{\alpha}<0, \quad \text { as } R \rightarrow \infty
$$

And proceeding as above, we find the asymptotics for $\phi_{\alpha, R}$

$$
\phi_{\alpha, R}\left(x^{\prime}, z\right)=z_{1}\left(\alpha x^{\prime}\right) w^{\prime}\left(z-\mathrm{f}_{1 \alpha}\right)+z_{2}\left(\alpha x^{\prime}\right) w^{\prime}\left(z-\mathrm{f}_{2 \alpha}\right)
$$

$$
+\mathcal{O}\left(\alpha \sum_{j=1}^{2} e^{-\varrho\left|z-\mathrm{f}_{j \alpha}\right|}\right)
$$

with

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Delta_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} z_{1}+\sqrt{2} e^{-\sqrt{2}\left(q_{2}-q_{1}\right)}\left(z_{2}+z_{1}\right)+\mu p\left(x^{\prime}\right) z_{1}=0 \\
& \Delta_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} z_{2}+\sqrt{2} e^{-\sqrt{2}\left(q_{2}-q_{1}\right)}\left(z_{2}+z_{1}\right)+\mu p\left(x^{\prime}\right) z_{2}=0
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\mu \leq 0$.
The case $\mu=0$ is discarded with the help of lemma 9.2 , which states that there are not strictly negatives eigenvalues close to zero. Hence, $\mu<0$ and we observe that the equation for the difference $\hat{z}_{2}=z_{1}-z_{2}$, reads as

$$
\Delta_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} \hat{z}_{2}+\mu p\left(x^{\prime}\right) \hat{z}_{2}=0, \quad\left\|\hat{z}_{2}\right\|_{\infty}<\infty .
$$

Since, the eigenspace associated to the eigenvalue $\mu$ is spanned by exactly one simple and positive eigenfunction and using as before the orthogonality condition against $\partial_{z} u_{\alpha}$, we find that

$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} p\left(x^{\prime}\right) \hat{z}_{2} d x^{\prime}=0
$$

which implies that $\hat{z}_{2}=0$. So that, we have the asymptotic expansion

$$
\phi_{\alpha, R}\left(x^{\prime}, z\right)=z\left(\alpha x^{\prime}\right)\left[w^{\prime}\left(z-\mathrm{f}_{1 \alpha}\right)+w^{\prime}\left(z-\mathrm{f}_{2 \alpha}\right)\right]+\alpha^{2} \mathcal{O}\left(e^{-\varrho|t|}\right)
$$

where

$$
\Delta_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} z+2 \sqrt{2} e^{-\sqrt{2}\left(q_{2}-q_{1}\right)} z+\mu p\left(x^{\prime}\right) z=0 .
$$

From condition 9.27 for eigenfunctions associated to the same eigenvalue, we can conclude that and since there is exactly one negative eigenvalue for problem (9.6), we conclude that this eigenvalue must be simple so that $m\left(u_{\alpha}\right) \leq 1$ and this concludes the proof of Theorem 2 .
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