Slow Translational Instabilities of Spike Patterns in the One-Dimensional Gray-Scott Model

Theodore Kolokolnikov, Michael J. Ward, Juncheng Wei[‡]

Abstract

Slow translational instabilities of symmetric k-spike equilibria for the one-dimensional singularly perturbed two-component Gray-Scott (GS) model are analyzed. These symmetric spike patterns are characterized by a common value of the spike amplitude. The GS model is studied on a finite interval in the semi-strong spike-interaction regime, where the diffusion coefficient of only one of the two chemical species is asymptotically small. Two distinguished limits for the GS model are considered: the low feedrate regime and the intermediate regime. In the low feed-rate regime it is shown analytically that k-1small eigenvalues, governing the translational stability of a symmetric k-spike pattern, simultaneously cross through zero at precisely the same parameter value at which k-1 different asymmetric k-spike equilibria bifurcate off of the symmetric k-spike equilibrium branch. These asymmetric equilibria have the general form SBB...BS (neglecting the positioning of the B and S spikes in the overall spike sequence). For a one-spike equilibrium solution in the intermediate regime it is shown that a translational, or drift, instability can occur from a Hopf bifurcation in the spike-layer location when a reaction-time parameter τ is asymptotically large as $\varepsilon \to 0$. Locally, this instability leads to small-scale oscillations in the spike-layer location. For a certain parameter range within the intermediate regime such a drift instability for the GS model is shown to be the dominant instability mechanism. Numerical experiments are performed to validate the asymptotic theory.

1 Introduction

We study the translational stability of equilibrium spike solutions in the one-dimensional Gray-Scott (GS) model in particular parameter regimes. The GS system, introduced for continuously stirred systems in [14], models an irreversible reaction involving two reactants in a gel reactor, where the reactor is maintained in contact with a reservoir of one of the chemical species. The dimensionless GS model is (cf. [30], [21])

$$v_t = \varepsilon^2 v_{xx} - v + Auv^2$$
, $-1 < x < 1$, $t > 0$; $v_x(\pm 1, t) = 0$, (1.1a)

$$\tau u_t = D u_{xx} + (1 - u) - u v^2 \qquad -1 < x < 1, \quad t > 0; \qquad u_x(\pm 1, t) = 0.$$
(1.1b)

Here A > 0 is the feed-rate parameter, D > 0, $\tau > 1$, and $0 < \varepsilon \ll 1$. For $\varepsilon \ll 1$, there are equilibrium solutions for v, called spike patterns, that are localized near certain points in the domain. The parameter D measures the strength of the inter-spike interactions. The resulting spike patterns can be classified into two main categories. The semi-strong spike interaction regime corresponds to the limit $\varepsilon^2 \ll 1$ and

^{*}Department of Mathematics, University of British Columbia, Vancouver, Canada V6T 1Z2

[†]Department of Mathematics, University of British Columbia, Vancouver, Canada V6T 1Z2, (corresponding author)

[‡]Department of Mathematics, Chinese University of Hong Kong, New Territories, Hong Kong

D = O(1). The weak-interaction regime, where both the *u* and *v* components are localized, corresponds to the parameter range $D = O(\varepsilon^2) \ll 1$. In this paper we will focus on the semi-strong regime.

The numerical study of [38] for the GS model in the weak-interaction regime in a two-dimensional domain showed a plethora of spike-type patterns in certain parameter ranges including, time-dependent oscillating spikes, spike death due to over-crowding, spike-replication behavior, spatio-temporal chaos, labyrinthine patterns and zigzag instabilities, etc. The similarities between these behaviors and chemical patterns arising in certain physical experiments is striking (cf. [24], [25]). These numerical and experimental studies have stimulated much theoretical work to classify steady-state and time-dependent spike behavior in the simpler case of one spatial dimension, including: spike-replication and dynamics in the weak-interaction regime (cf. [39], [41], [36], [44]); spatio-temporal chaos in the weak-interaction regime (cf. [37]); the existence and stability of equilibrium solutions in the semi-strong interaction regime (cf. [10], [7], [8], [28], [29], [30], [21], [22]), and the dynamics of solutions in the semi-strong interaction regime (cf. [5], [6], [43]).

For (1.1) in the semi-strong interaction limit, there are three distinguished limits for A where different behaviors is observed: The *low feed-rate regime* $A = O(\varepsilon^{1/2})$, the *intermediate regime* $O(\varepsilon^{1/2}) \ll A \ll 1$, and the *high feed-rate regime* A = O(1). The high feed-rate regime is associated with pulse-splitting behavior (cf. [7], [30], [22]). In the low feed-rate regime, we introduce new variables \mathcal{A} and ν defined by

$$A = \varepsilon^{1/2} \mathcal{A}, \qquad v = \varepsilon^{-1/2} \nu. \tag{1.2}$$

In terms of (1.2), (1.1) is transformed to

$$\nu_t = \varepsilon^2 \nu_{xx} - \nu + \mathcal{A} u \nu^2, \qquad -1 < x < 1, \quad t > 0; \qquad \nu_x(\pm 1, t) = 0, \qquad (1.3a)$$

$$\tau u_t = D u_{xx} + (1 - u) - \varepsilon^{-1} u \nu^2 \qquad -1 < x < 1, \quad t > 0; \qquad u_x(\pm 1, t) = 0.$$
(1.3b)

In [21] symmetric k-spike equilibrium solutions to (1.3), where the spikes have a common amplitude, were constructed asymptotically in the low feed-rate regime. A construction of similar solutions using the geometric theory of singular perturbations is given in [10] for a special scaling of the GS model. In [21] the stability of k-spike patterns on an O(1) time-scale was analyzed by first formally deriving a nonlocal eigenvalue problem (NLEP). From a rigorous analysis of this NLEP, two fast instability mechanisms were identified: synchronous oscillatory instabilities of the spike amplitudes, and competition, or overcrowding, instabilities leading to the spike annihilation events. In the intermediate regime $O(1) \ll \mathcal{A} \ll O(\varepsilon^{-1/2})$, the existence and stability of k-spike patterns was first analyzed in [7] (see also §4 of [21] for a different approach). A stability analysis for a one-spike solution on the infinite-line was given in [29] in both the low and intermediate feed-rate regimes. In §2 and Appendix A we briefly summarize those previous results for fast instabilities that are important for an understanding of the new results derived herein.

The goal here is to study slow translational instabilities of equilibrium spike solutions for the GS model in the low and intermediate feed-rate regimes. A related analysis for stripe and ring-type solutions to the GS model is given in [23]. For the low feed-rate regime $\mathcal{A} = O(1)$, in §3 we analyze the stability of symmetric k-spike equilibrium solutions with respect to the small eigenvalues of order $O(\varepsilon^2)$ that govern translational instabilities of the spike profile. Using a formal asymptotic analysis, in Principal Result 3.1



(a) symmetric and asymmetric branches: D = 0.75

(b) stability of symmetric branches: D = 0.75

Figure 1: Left figure: $|\nu|_2$ versus \mathcal{A} for the symmetric (solid curves) and asymmetric (dotted curves) solution branches when $\mathcal{A} = O(1)$, D = 0.75 and k = 1, 2, 3, 4. The saddle-node values \mathcal{A}_{ke} increase with k. Right figure: $|\nu|_2$ versus \mathcal{A} stability plot. The smooth curve for each symmetric solution branch has three portions with different stability properties. The curves with widely spaced dots are unstable for $\tau \geq 0$. The heavy solid curves are stable only with respect to the large eigenvalues when $\tau < \tau_{hL}$. The solid curves are stable with respect to both the large and small eigenvalues when $\tau < \tau_{hL}$. The asymmetric branches, shown as the dotted curves, bifurcate at the point where the solid and heavy solid curves meet

we obtain a certain auxiliary problem that the small eigenvalues satisfy. By solving this problem exactly, we give explicit formulae for the small eigenvalues in Proposition 3.2 and explicit stability thresholds with respect to D and \mathcal{A} in Propositions 3.3 and 3.4, respectively. In particular, in Proposition 3.4 we show for any $\tau = O(1)$, k > 1, and fixed D > 0, that the k-spike pattern is stable with respect to translations only when $\mathcal{A} > \mathcal{A}_{kS}$, for some explicit threshold \mathcal{A}_{kS} . As \mathcal{A} decreases below \mathcal{A}_{kS} , and for k > 1, there are k - 1 small eigenvalues that simultaneously cross into the unstable right half-plane $\operatorname{Re}(\lambda) > 0$ along the real axis. Furthermore, for τ below some threshold and k > 1, we show there is a range of values of \mathcal{A} where a symmetric k-spike equilibrium pattern is unstable with respect to translations but is stable with respect to the large eigenvalues of the NLEP that govern fast O(1) instabilities. For D = 0.75, this range of \mathcal{A} is illustrated in Fig. 1(b). In §3.1 we perform numerical computations to illustrate spike dynamics for (1.3) in this range of \mathcal{A} .

In §4 we use a formal asymptotic analysis to study the existence of asymmetric k-spike patterns where the spikes have different heights. In Principal Result 4.2 we show that the resulting spike patterns have the form SBB..BS, where there are $k_1 > 0$ small spikes S and $k_2 = k - k_1 > 0$ large spikes B arranged in any order across the interval. Neglecting the positioning of large and small spikes in a spike sequence, we show in Principal Result 4.3 that for k > 1 there are k - 1 asymmetric k-spike equilibrium patterns that bifurcate from the symmetric k-spike solution branch at precisely the threshold value $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{A}_{kS}$ where k - 1 small eigenvalues for the symmetric k-spike solution branch simultaneously cross through zero. The k - 1 bifurcating branches correspond to the number of small spikes S in a spike sequence SBB...BS. For D = 0.75, in Fig. 1(a) we plot a bifurcation diagram of the norm of ν versus \mathcal{A} for the symmetric k-spike solution branches for $k = 1, \ldots, 4$. The dotted curves in Fig. 1(a) show the asymmetric k-spike patterns that bifurcate off of the symmetric k-spike solution branch at $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{A}_{kS}$. In Fig. 1(b) we illustrate the stability properties of the symmetric solution branches when τ is below the Hopf bifurcation threshold τ_{hL} . In this figure, we show the range in \mathcal{A} where the pattern is stable with respect to the large eigenvalues but not the small eigenvalues. In Fig. 2(a) we plot a symmetric three-spike solution at a particular point on the upper branch. An asymmetric three-spike solution of the form BSB is shown in Fig. 2(b).



(a) symmetric three-spike pattern (b) asymmetric three-spike pattern

Figure 2: Left figure: symmetric three-spike solution on the upper branch of the bifurcation diagram when D = 0.75, $\mathcal{A} = 9.0$, and $\varepsilon = 0.02$. Right figure: a three-spike BSB asymmetric pattern with D = 0.75, $\mathcal{A} = 10.5$, and $\varepsilon = 0.02$. In these plots ν are the solid curves and u are the dotted curves.

Similar analyses of the existence and stability of k-spike patterns have been previously performed for the Gierer Meinhardt (GM) model (cf. [13]), widely used to model localization in biological pattern formation (cf. [26]). This system can be written in dimensionless form as (cf. [17])

$$a_t = \varepsilon^2 a_{xx} - a + \frac{a^p}{h^q}, \qquad \tau h_t = Dh_{xx} - h + \varepsilon^{-1} \frac{a^m}{h^s} \qquad |x| < 1; \qquad a_x(\pm 1, t) = h_x(\pm 1, t) = 0.$$
(1.4)

Here $0 < \varepsilon^2 \ll 1$, D > 0, and $\tau \ge 0$, are constants. The GM exponents (p, q, m, s) are assumed to satisfy

$$p > 1$$
, $q > 0$, $m > 1$, $s \ge 0$, with $\zeta \equiv \frac{qm}{(p-1)} - (s+1) > 0$. (1.5)

The stability of symmetric k-spike equilibrium solutions to (1.4) was analyzed in [17] for the case $\tau = 0$ and in [46] for $\tau > 0$. Asymmetric k-spike equilibria were constructed in [45] and [9], and a partial stability analysis for asymmetric patterns was given in [45]. In [47] asymmetric spike patterns for the GM model in two spatial dimensions were analyzed rigorously. However, the rigorous proofs given there in [47] do not directly carry over to the one-dimensional situation for the GS model studied here. The stability of a one-spike solution to (1.4) on the infinite-line was studied in [11], and the dynamics of spikes was studied in [16], [42], and [43]. For the GM model, the relationship between translational instabilities of symmetric k-spike patterns and the emergence of asymmetric spike patterns is emphasized in [45] and [47]. The results in this study show that there is a rather close spectral equivalence between translational instabilities in the GM model and the GS model in the low feed-rate regime.

The method that we use to study translational instabilities for the GS model is related to the SLEP (singular limit eigenvalue problem) method developed and applied in [32], [33], and [34], in the context of analyzing the translational stability of hyperbolic-tangent type interface solutions to singularly perturbed two-component systems in the semi-strong interaction limit. For these class of systems, where the non-linearity associated with the fast variable is bistable, each transition layer is closely approximated by a heteroclinic connection. The resulting spectral problem has only small eigenvalues, also called critical spectra, that tend to zero linearly with the thickness ε of the interface. Under certain reasonable hypotheses, it was proved in [32] that a one-layer solution is stable. Under the same conditions, the stability of an *n*-layer solution was proved in [34] by first reducing the spectral problem to a certain matrix eigenvalue problem. Similar problems involving only critical spectra occur in certain phase separation models with an associated variational principle including the Cahn-Hilliard, Allen-Cahn, and phase-field models (cf. [1], [2], [3], [4]), and the analysis of lamellar states for Diblock copolymers (cf. [40]). The stability problem for these transition layer structures is in marked contrast to the study of the stability of homoclinic-type spike patterns for the GS or GM models where there is no variational structure, and where there are both large eigenvalues, with $\lambda = O(1)$, and small eigenvalues in the spectrum of the linearization.

In §5 we investigate translational instabilities for the GS model in the intermediate regime when τ is asymptotically large as $\varepsilon \to 0$. For a k-spike equilibrium solution in this regime it was shown in [7] and [21] that the NLEP governing instabilities on an O(1) time-scale has a Hopf bifurcation when $\tau = O(\mathcal{A}^4) \gg 1$ (see Principal Result A.3 below). Therefore, in the intermediate regime, this suggests that for some asymptotic range where $\tau \gg 1$ an instability with respect to the small eigenvalues may be possible before the onset of the Hopf bifurcation in the spike profile. This exchange in the dominant instability mechanism as τ is increased, which has no known counterpart in the GM model (1.4), was suggested in [28] and [29] in the context of a one-spike solution for the infinite-line problem. For a one-spike equilibrium solution on a finite interval, we use a formal asymptotic analysis to obtain an auxiliary problem in Principal Result 5.1 that the small eigenvalue satisfies. By studying this problem rigorously, in Proposition 5.2 we show that as τ increases past some critical value there is a drift instability due to a Hopf bifurcation, which leads to small-scale oscillations in the spike-layer location. As τ is increased even further, a pair of unstable complex conjugate eigenvalues merge onto the positive real axis, which results in a slow monotonic drift of the spike layer location. The critical value for the onset of an oscillatory drift instability occurs on the asymptotic range $\tau = O(\mathcal{A}^{-2}\varepsilon^{-2})$. A related type of Hopf bifurcation, followed by a monotonic drift instability, as a reaction-time constant is increased has been analyzed in [15] and [27] for hyperbolic tangent-type interfaces associated with a two-component reaction diffusion system with bistable nonlinearities. Alternatively, for a three-component reaction-diffusion system it was shown numerically in [35] that the Hopf bifurcation occurs after the onset of a monotonic drift instability as a reaction-time parameter is increased.

In §6 we make a few remarks and suggest a few open problems for further study.

Finally, we remark on the mathematical rigor of our approach. The key results of formal asymptotic derivations are labeled as Principal Results. Rigorous results based either on exact calculations or mathematical theory are labeled as Propositions. More specifically, in §3 we use a formal asymptotic analysis to construct equilibrium k-spike solutions and to derive the auxiliary problem in Principal Result 3.1 for the small eigenvalues. The results in Propositions 3.2–3.6 follow from exact calculations involving this problem. The construction of asymmetric patterns in §4 is done using formal asymptotics. In §5 we present a formal derivation of the auxiliary problem for drift instabilities leading to Principal Result 5.1. The existence of a drift instability threshold in Proposition 5.2 follows from a rigorous analysis of this problem.

2 Symmetric k-Spike Equilibria: The Stability Problem

In this section and in Appendix A we summarize some previous results for the existence and stability, on an O(1) time-scale, of symmetric k-spike patterns to the GS model (1.3) in the low feed-rate regime $\mathcal{A} = O(1)$ and in the intermediate regime $O(1) \ll \mathcal{A} \ll O(\varepsilon^{-1/2})$. In the low feed-rate regime, a symmetric k-spike equilibrium solution to (1.3) was constructed in §2 of [21] using the method of matched asymptotic expansions. We now briefly outline this derivation, as many of the formulae are needed below in §3–§5.

For a symmetric spike pattern the spikes have equal height so that $u(x_j) = U$ for $j = 1, \ldots, k$, where

$$x_j = -1 + \frac{(2j-1)}{k}, \qquad j = 1, \dots, k.$$
 (2.1)

In the inner region near the j^{th} spike, we let $y = \varepsilon^{-1}(x - x_j)$. In each inner region, we obtain that $u \sim U + O(\varepsilon)$. Therefore, from (1.3a), the leading-order inner solution for ν is $\nu \sim w/(\mathcal{A}U)$, where $w(y) = \frac{3}{2} \operatorname{sech}^2(y/2)$ is the homoclinic solution to

$$w'' - w + w^2 = 0, \quad -\infty < y < \infty; \quad w \to 0 \quad \text{as} \quad |y| \to \infty, \quad w'(0) = 0, \quad w(0) > 0.$$
 (2.2)

In the outer region, defined away from an $O(\varepsilon)$ region near each spike, ν is exponentially small and the term $\varepsilon^{-1}u\nu^2$ in (1.3b) can be approximated by a Dirac mass. Thus, the outer solution for u satisfies

$$Du_{xx} + (1-u) - \frac{6}{\mathcal{A}^2 U} \sum_{j=1}^k \delta(x-x_j) = 0, \quad -1 < x < 1; \qquad u_x(\pm 1) = 0.$$
 (2.3)

In obtaining (2.3), we used $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} w^2 dy = 6$. The solution to (2.3) is

$$u(x) = 1 - \frac{6}{\mathcal{A}^2 U} \sum_{j=1}^k G(x; x_j), \qquad (2.4)$$

where $G(x; x_i)$ is the Green's function, satisfying

$$DG_{xx} - G = -\delta(x - x_j), \qquad -1 < x < 1; \qquad G_x(\pm 1; x_j) = 0.$$
(2.5)

We define $a_g \equiv \sum_{i=1}^k G(x_j; x_i)$, where the spike locations satisfy (2.1). From an explicit calculation of $G(x; x_j)$, we obtain that a_g is independent of j, and is given by

$$a_g \equiv \sum_{i=1}^k G(x_j; x_i) = \left[2\sqrt{D} \tanh(\theta_0/k)\right]^{-1}$$
 (2.6)

Evaluating (2.4) at $x = x_i$, where $u(x_i) = U$, we obtain a quadratic equation for U

$$U(U-1) = -\frac{6a_g}{A^2}.$$
 (2.7)

In this way, the following formal result for symmetric k-spike equilibrium solutions to (1.3) was obtained in Principal Result 2.1 of [21]:

Principal Result 2.1(From [21]): Let $\varepsilon \to 0$, with $\mathcal{A} = O(1)$ and D = O(1) in (1.3). Then, when $\mathcal{A} > \mathcal{A}_{ke}$, there are two symmetric k-spike equilibrium solutions to (1.3) given asymptotically by

$$\nu_{\pm}(x) \sim \frac{1}{\mathcal{A}U_{\pm}} \sum_{j=1}^{k} w \left[\varepsilon^{-1}(x - x_j) \right], \qquad u_{\pm}(x) \sim 1 - \frac{(1 - U_{\pm})}{a_g} \sum_{j=1}^{k} G(x; x_j).$$
(2.8)

We label u_+ , ν_+ and u_- , ν_- as the small and large solution, respectively. In (2.8), w and G satisfy (2.2) and (2.5), respectively. In addition, U_{\pm} are the roots of (2.7) given by

$$U_{\pm} = \frac{1}{2} \left[1 \pm \sqrt{1 - \frac{\mathcal{A}_{ke}^2}{\mathcal{A}^2}} \right], \qquad \mathcal{A}_{ke} \equiv \sqrt{\frac{12\theta_0}{\tanh\left(\theta_0/k\right)}}, \qquad \theta_0 \equiv D^{-1/2}.$$
(2.9)

Although we have only sketched the formal derivation of equilibrium solutions in the low feed-rate regime, there are several methods that can be used to construct these solutions rigorously. In [10] a rigorous geometric singular perturbation approach was used to establish the existence of a one-spike solution and a periodic solution to a different dimensionless form of the infinite line GS model (cf. Theorem 4.3 of [10]). For a one-spike solution on the infinite line, the threshold $\mathcal{A}_{1e}^{\infty} = \sqrt{12}D^{-1/4}$ for the low feed-rate regime is equivalent to that given in Theorem 4.3 of [10]. This infinite line threshold can be recovered by setting k = 1 and then letting $D \to 0$ in the formula for \mathcal{A}_{ke} in (2.9).

In Fig. 1(a) we plot the bifurcation diagram of the L_2 norm $|\nu|_2$ versus \mathcal{A} for D = 0.75, and $k = 1, \ldots, 4$. These are the solid curves in Fig. 1(a). Using (2.8) and (2.9), we obtain

$$|\nu|_{2} \equiv \left(\varepsilon^{-1} \int_{-1}^{1} \nu^{2} dx\right)^{1/2} \sim \frac{2\sqrt{6k}}{\mathcal{A}} \left[1 \pm \sqrt{1 - \frac{\mathcal{A}_{ke}^{2}}{\mathcal{A}^{2}}}\right]^{-1}.$$
 (2.10)

The existence thresholds \mathcal{A}_{ke} are the saddle-node points in Fig. 1(a). For the values $\mathcal{A} = 9.0$, D = 0.75, and $\varepsilon = 0.02$, a symmetric three-spike solution is shown in Fig. 2(a). The classification of small and large solution refers to low and high concentrations of ν in the core of the spike. Smaller concentrations of u in the core of the spike generate larger amplitudes for ν . Hence, each upper branch (upper solid curve) in Fig. 1(a) corresponds to the large solution, while each lower branch corresponds to the small solution. A convenient way to parametrize these solution branches is to introduce a parameter s defined by $s \equiv (1 - U_{\pm})/U_{\pm}$. Then, from (2.9), we get

$$\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{A}_{ke} \frac{(1+s)}{2\sqrt{s}}, \qquad s = \frac{1-U_{\pm}}{U_{\pm}}, \qquad 0 < s < \infty.$$
(2.11)

The large solution u_- , ν_- corresponds to the range $1 < s < \infty$, while the small solution u_+ , ν_+ corresponds to 0 < s < 1. The existence threshold \mathcal{A}_{ke} is at s = 1.

To analyze the stability of symmetric k-spike equilibrium solutions we let

$$u(x,t) = u_{\pm}(x) + e^{\lambda t} \eta(x), \qquad \nu(x,t) = \nu_{\pm}(x) + e^{\lambda t} \phi(x), \qquad (2.12)$$

where $\eta \ll 1$ and $\phi \ll 1$. Substituting (2.12) into (1.3), and linearizing, we obtain the eigenvalue problem

$$\varepsilon^2 \phi_{xx} - \phi + 2\mathcal{A}u_{\pm}\nu_{\pm}\phi + \mathcal{A}\eta\nu_{\pm}^2 = \lambda\phi, \quad -1 < x < 1; \quad \phi_x(\pm 1) = 0,$$
 (2.13a)

$$D\eta_{xx} - \eta - \varepsilon^{-1}\nu_{\pm}^2 \eta - 2\varepsilon^{-1}u_{\pm}\nu_{\pm}\phi = \tau\lambda\eta, \quad -1 < x < 1; \quad \eta_x(\pm 1) = 0.$$
 (2.13b)

There are two classes of eigenvalues and eigenfunctions of (2.13); the large eigenvalues for which $\lambda = O(1)$ as $\varepsilon \to 0$, and the small eigenvalues for which $\lambda = O(\varepsilon^2)$ as $\varepsilon \to 0$.

For the large eigenvalues with $\lambda = O(1)$ as $\varepsilon \to 0$, which determine the stability of a k-spike equilibrium solution on an O(1) time-scale, the corresponding eigenfunction has the form

$$\phi(x) \sim \sum_{j=1}^{k} b_j \Phi\left[\varepsilon^{-1}(x-x_j)\right], \qquad (2.14)$$

where $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} w(y) \Phi(y) dy \neq 0$. Here the coefficients b_j , for $j = 1, \ldots, k$, are found to be related to the eigenvectors of a certain matrix eigenvalue problem. This stability problem, which involves the analysis of a nonlocal eigenvalue problem, was studied in [7], [8], [21], and [29]. Spectral results for this problem in the low feed-rate and intermediate regimes of the GS model (1.3) are summarized in Appendix A.

In this paper we study the small eigenvalues of (2.13) that lead to slow translational instabilities. In contrast to (2.14), for $\varepsilon \to 0$ the corresponding eigenfunction in §3 has the form

$$\phi(x) \sim \sum_{j=1}^{k} \left(c_j w' \left[\varepsilon^{-1} (x - x_j) \right] + \varepsilon c_j \phi_{1j} \left[\varepsilon^{-1} (x - x_j) \right] \right) \,. \tag{2.15}$$

The leading term in (2.15) is simply the translation mode associated with the spike profile w. The correction term ϕ_{1j} in (2.15) is shown below in (3.11) (see also (3.27) of Proposition 3.2) to be proportional to the spike profile $w \left[\varepsilon^{-1} (x - x_j) \right]$ of the j^{th} spike. In §3 we will derive explicit formulae for the coefficients c_j in terms of a matrix eigenvalue problem, and we will calculate the small eigenvalues $\lambda_j = O(\varepsilon^2)$ precisely.

Our method to analyze translational instabilities is related to the SLEP method originally developed and analyzed rigorously for the study of transition-layer stability associated with two-component reactiondiffusion systems in the semi-strong limit (cf. [32], [33], [34]). In these systems, the nonlinearity in the fast variable is of bistable type. In these works, the study of the critical spectrum is also reduced to the study of the spectrum of a matrix eigenvalue problem. However, since the leading term in (2.15) is a monopole when the profile w is a transition layer, then only the first term in (2.15) is sufficient for calculating the spectrum. For the transition layer case, the critical spectra are $O(\varepsilon)$ as $\varepsilon \to 0$, and the matrix manipulations required to calculate the critical spectrum are relatively straightforward. In addition, it was shown in [34], under reasonable hypotheses, that the critical spectra are all negative for an *n*-layer solution. Therefore, such a solution is stable and there are no bifurcations to other patterns. Alternatively, in our analysis of spike stability, since the leading term in (2.15) is a dipole and the $O(\varepsilon)$ term in (2.15) is found to be a monopole, these two terms have the same effective order as $\varepsilon \to 0$ in our analysis of the critical spectrum of $O(\varepsilon^2)$ for (2.13). In addition, in contrast to the critical spectrum for transition layers, the critical spectrum for spikes in the GS model can have bifurcations.

3 Slow Translational Instabilities for a Symmetric k-Spike Pattern

For $\varepsilon \ll 1$, we now study the stability of the symmetric k-spike equilibrium solutions of Principal Result 2.1 with respect to the small eigenvalues of (2.13) of order $O(\varepsilon^2)$. The first part of the analysis is to reduce (2.13) to the study of a matrix eigenvalue problem. We begin by writing (2.13) in the form

$$L_{\varepsilon}\phi + \mathcal{A}\eta\nu_{\pm}^2 = \lambda\phi, \quad -1 < x < 1; \qquad \phi_x(\pm 1) = 0, \tag{3.1a}$$

$$D\eta_{xx} - (1 + \tau\lambda + \varepsilon^{-1}\nu_{\pm}^2)\eta = 2\varepsilon^{-1}u_{\pm}\nu_{\pm}\phi, \quad -1 < x < 1; \qquad \eta_x(\pm 1) = 0,$$
(3.1b)

where u_{\pm} and ν_{\pm} are given in (2.8) of Principal Result 2.1. Here the operator L_{ε} is defined by

$$L_{\varepsilon}\phi \equiv \varepsilon^2 \phi_{xx} - \phi + 2\mathcal{A}u_{\pm}\nu_{\pm}\phi. \qquad (3.1c)$$

Since $\lambda = O(\varepsilon^2)$, (3.1b) yields that $\tau \lambda \ll 1$ unless $\tau = O(\varepsilon^{-2})$. In the derivation below, we will assume that $\tau = O(1)$ so that the small eigenvalues are asymptotically independent of τ . It is important to emphasize here that we cannot naively replace L_{ε} by the non-invertible Fisher operator L_0 of the NLEP problem of Principal Result A.1. Although the coefficients in these two operators are $O(\varepsilon)$ close near a spike, the small spatial variations in the coefficients of L_{ε} are important for estimating the small eigenvalues for $\varepsilon \ll 1$.

Since ν_{\pm} is localized near each spike x_j , the spike pattern is nearly translationally invariant. To show this, we differentiate the equilibrium problem for (1.3a) with respect to x_1 to get

$$L_{\varepsilon}\nu_{\pm x} = -\mathcal{A}u_{\pm x}\nu_{\pm}^2.$$
(3.2)

Defining $w_j = w_j(y_j) \equiv w \left[\varepsilon^{-1} (x - x_j) \right]$, where w satisfies (2.2), we calculate from (2.8) that for x near x_j

$$\nu_{\pm} \sim \frac{1}{\mathcal{A}U_{\pm}} w_j, \qquad \nu_{\pm x} \sim \frac{\varepsilon^{-1}}{\mathcal{A}U_{\pm}} w'_j.$$
(3.3)

Substituting (3.3) into (3.2), we obtain for x near x_j that

$$L_{\varepsilon}w'_{j} \sim -\varepsilon U_{\pm}^{-1}u_{\pm x}w_{j}^{2} = O(\varepsilon).$$
(3.4)

This suggests that we look for an eigenfunction to (3.1) in the form

$$\phi = \phi_0 + \varepsilon \phi_1 + \cdots, \qquad \eta(x) = \varepsilon \eta_0(x) + \cdots,$$
(3.5a)

where, for some coefficients c_j , with j = 1, ..., k, to be determined, we have

$$\phi_0 \equiv \sum_{j=1}^k c_j w'_j \left[\varepsilon^{-1} (x - x_j) \right] , \qquad \phi_1 \equiv \sum_{j=1}^k c_j \phi_{1j} \left[\varepsilon^{-1} (x - x_j) \right] .$$
(3.5b)

Here and below we have defined $\langle \zeta \rangle_j \equiv (\zeta(x_{j+}) + \zeta(x_{j-}))/2$ and $[\zeta]_j \equiv \zeta(x_{j+}) - \zeta(x_{j-})$, where $\zeta(x_{j\pm})$ are the one-sided limits of $\zeta(x)$ as $x \to x_{j\pm}$. In particular, by differentiating the outer solution u_{\pm} given in (2.8), it follows that the equilibrium positions for x_j of (2.1) satisfy

$$\langle u_{\pm x} \rangle_j = 0, \qquad j = 1, \dots, k.$$
 (3.6)

We substitute (3.5a) into (3.1a) with $\lambda = O(\varepsilon^2) \ll 1$, and we use (3.4). For x near x_j , we get that $\phi_{1j}(y)$ satisfies

$$c_j L_{\varepsilon} \phi_{1j} \sim f(x_j + \varepsilon y_j) w_j^2, \qquad f(x) \equiv \frac{c_j u_{\pm x}(x)}{U_{\pm}} - \frac{\eta_0(x)}{\mathcal{A} U_{\pm}^2}.$$

$$(3.7)$$

Substituting (3.5a) into (3.1b), we obtain that η_0 satisfies

$$D\eta_{0xx} - \left(1 + \varepsilon^{-1}\nu_{\pm}^{2}\right)\eta_{0} \sim 2\varepsilon^{-2}u_{\pm}\nu_{\pm}\left(\phi_{0} + \varepsilon\phi_{1}\right), \quad -1 < x < 1; \quad \eta_{0x}(\pm 1) = 0.$$
(3.8)

Since ϕ_0 is proportional to the odd function w'_j near $x = x_j$, the term multiplied by ϕ_0 in (3.8) behaves like a dipole near $x = x_j$. Hence, for $\varepsilon \ll 1$ and x near x_j , this term is proportional to $\delta'(x - x_j)$ for j = 1, ..., k, where $\delta(x)$ is the delta function. Thus, η_0 is discontinuous across $x = x_j$.

Since η_0 is discontinuous across $x = x_j$, it would suggest that f(x) in (3.7) is also discontinuous across $x = x_j$. However, this is not the case, as we find that the first term on the right-hand side of f(x) in (3.7) exactly cancels this singularity. To see this, we differentiate the equilibrium problem for u in (1.3b) with respect to x, and subtract appropriate multiples of the resulting equation and (3.8) to find that the dipole term proportional to ϕ_0 cancels exactly. In this way, we obtain for x near x_j that f(x) satisfies

$$Df_{xx} - \left(1 + \varepsilon^{-1}\nu_{\pm}^{2}\right)f = -\frac{2\varepsilon^{-1}c_{j}}{\mathcal{A}U_{\pm}^{2}}\phi_{1j}u_{\pm}\nu_{\pm}, \quad -1 < x < 1; \qquad f_{x}(\pm 1) = \frac{c_{j}u_{\pm xx}(\pm 1)}{U_{\pm}}.$$
 (3.9)

Assume for the moment that ϕ_{1j} is an even function. Then, the right-hand side of (3.9) is a monopole term. Therefore, we would conclude that f(x) is continuous across $x = x_j$, and consequently $\langle f \rangle_j = f(x_j)$. Since $\langle u_{\pm x} \rangle_j = 0$ from (3.6), (3.7) reduces for $\varepsilon \ll 1$ to

$$c_j L_{\varepsilon} \phi_{1j} \sim f(x_j) w_j^2, \qquad f(x_j) = -\frac{\langle \eta_0 \rangle_j}{\mathcal{A} U_{\pm}^2}.$$
 (3.10)

Since $L_{\varepsilon}w_j = w_j^2 + O(\varepsilon)$, and L_{ε} is uniformly invertible on function spaces of even functions, we can then solve (3.10) uniquely as

$$c_j \phi_{1j} \sim f(x_j) w_j \,. \tag{3.11}$$

Since w_j is even, then so is ϕ_{1j} , and the calculation is self-consistent. Alternatively, if we had initially assumed that ϕ_{1j} was odd in (3.9) we would reach a contradiction. If ϕ_{1j} is an odd function, then the right-hand side of (3.9) is a dipole term and for $\varepsilon \to 0$ can be represented as $\varepsilon d\delta'(x - x_j)$ for some O(1)constant d. Therefore, for $\varepsilon \ll 1$, the leading order behavior of f(x) is still continuous across $x = x_j$. Hence, (3.10) still holds, and the resulting form (3.11) contradicts the assumed oddness of ϕ_{1j} .

This discussion shows that the term in (3.8) proportional to ϕ_1 behaves like a linear combination of $\delta(x - x_j)$. Most importantly, this shows in (3.12) below that the effect of the monopole term for ϕ_1 is of the same order in ε as the dipole term proportional to ϕ_0 . Therefore, to calculate an eigenvalue of order $O(\varepsilon^2)$, we need to determine the asymptotic eigenfunction for ϕ in (3.5a) to both the O(1) and $O(\varepsilon)$ terms.

Next, in the sense of distributions, we calculate the strengths of the dipole and monopole terms appearing on the right-hand side of (3.8). Using (3.3) for ν_{\pm} and $u_{\pm} \sim U_{\pm}$ for x near x_j , we calculate from

 $(3.5b), (3.10), and (3.11) that, for x near <math>x_i$

$$2\varepsilon^{-2}u_{\pm}\nu_{\pm}\phi_{0} \to \frac{6c_{j}}{\mathcal{A}}\delta'(x-x_{j}), \qquad \varepsilon^{-1}\nu_{\pm}^{2} \to \frac{6}{\mathcal{A}^{2}U_{\pm}^{2}}\delta(x-x_{j}), \qquad (3.12a)$$

$$2\varepsilon^{-1}u_{\pm}\nu_{\pm}\phi_{1} \to \frac{12f(x_{j})}{\mathcal{A}}\,\delta(x-x_{j}) = -\frac{12\langle\eta_{0}\rangle_{j}}{\mathcal{A}^{2}U_{\pm}^{2}}\,\delta(x-x_{j})\,. \tag{3.12b}$$

Here we have used $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} w_j^2 dy = 6$. Substituting (3.12) into (3.8), we obtain that η_0 satisfies

$$D\eta_{0xx} - \left[1 + \frac{6}{\mathcal{A}^2 U_{\pm}^2} \sum_{j=1}^k \delta(x - x_j)\right] \eta_0 = \frac{6}{\mathcal{A}} \sum_{j=1}^k c_j \delta'(x - x_j) - \frac{12}{\mathcal{A}^2 U_{\pm}^2} \sum_{j=1}^k \langle \eta_0 \rangle_j \delta(x - x_j) \,, \tag{3.13}$$

with $\eta_{0x}(\pm 1) = 0$. Using (2.7) for U_{\pm} , and the definition of s in (2.11), we can write

$$\frac{6}{\mathcal{A}^2 U_{\pm}^2} = \frac{s}{a_g}, \qquad s = \frac{1 - U_{\pm}}{U_{\pm}}, \qquad (3.14)$$

where a_g is given in (2.6). Substituting (3.14) into (3.13), we conclude that (3.13) is equivalent to

$$D\eta_{0xx} - \eta_0 = 0, \qquad -1 < x < 1; \qquad \eta_{0x}(\pm 1) = 0,$$
 (3.15a)

$$[D\eta_0]_j = \frac{6c_j}{\mathcal{A}}; \qquad [D\eta_{0x}]_j = \frac{-s}{a_g} \langle \eta_0 \rangle_j, \qquad j = 1, \dots, k.$$
(3.15b)

Next, we estimate the small eigenvalue. We substitute (3.5) into (3.1a) and then multiply both sides of (3.1a) by w'_i . By integrating the resulting equation across the domain, we get

$$\sum_{i=1}^{k} \left(w_{j}^{'}, c_{i}L_{\varepsilon}w_{i}^{'} \right) + \varepsilon \mathcal{A}\left(\eta_{0}\nu_{\pm}^{2}, w_{j}^{'} \right) + \varepsilon \sum_{i=1}^{k} \left(w_{j}^{'}, c_{i}L_{\varepsilon}\phi_{1i} \right) \sim \lambda \sum_{i=1}^{k} c_{i}\left(w_{j}^{'}, w_{i}^{'} \right) .$$
(3.16)

Here we have defined $(f,g) \equiv \int_{-1}^{1} f(x)g(x) dx$. Since w'_{j} is exponentially small away from $x = x_{j}$, it follows that to within negligible exponentially small terms the dominant contribution to the sums in (3.16) arise from i = j. Thus, (3.16) reduces asymptotically to

$$c_{j}\left(w_{j}^{'}, L_{\varepsilon}w_{j}^{'}\right) + \varepsilon \mathcal{A}\left(\eta_{0}\nu_{\pm}^{2}, w_{j}^{'}\right) + \varepsilon\left(w_{j}^{'}, c_{j}L_{\varepsilon}\phi_{1j}\right) \sim \lambda c_{j}\left(w_{j}^{'}, w_{j}^{'}\right).$$
(3.17)

We then integrate the third term on the left-hand side of (3.17) by parts. Since L_{ε} is self-adjoint, we can then use (3.4) for $L_{\varepsilon}w'_j$ and (2.8) for ν_{\pm} . Since the integrands are localized near $x = x_j$, we can write the resulting integrals in terms of $y = \varepsilon^{-1}(x - x_j)$ to get

$$-\frac{\varepsilon^2 c_j}{U_{\pm}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} w' w^2 u_{\pm x} \, dy + \frac{\varepsilon^2}{\mathcal{A}U_{\pm}^2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} w^2 w' \eta_0 \, dy - \frac{\varepsilon^3 c_j}{U_{\pm}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \phi_{1j} w^2 u_{\pm x} \, dy \sim \varepsilon \lambda c_j \left(\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} w'^2 \, dy \right) \,, \quad (3.18)$$

where w satisfies (2.2). In this expression we have labeled $\eta_0 \equiv \eta_0(x_j + \varepsilon y)$ and $u_{\pm x} \equiv u_{\pm x}(x_j + \varepsilon y)$.

Using (3.11) for ϕ_{1j} , and (3.7) for f(x), we can write (3.18) more compactly as

$$-\varepsilon^2 \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} w' w^2 f(x_j + \varepsilon y) \, dy - \frac{\varepsilon^3 f(x_j)}{U_{\pm}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} w^3 u_{\pm x}(x_j + \varepsilon y) \, dy \sim \varepsilon \lambda c_j \left(\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} w'^2 \, dy \right) \,. \tag{3.19}$$

The second integral on the left-hand side of (3.19) is $O(\varepsilon^4)$ since w is even and $\langle u_{\pm x} \rangle_j = 0$ from (3.6).

From (3.9) and (3.11), it follows that f(x) is continuous but not differentiable across $x = x_j$. Therefore, we must calculate the first term on the left-hand side of (3.19) by first expanding $f(x_j + \varepsilon y)$ in one-sided Taylor series. After doing so, we then integrate the resulting equation by parts. This yields,

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} w' w^2 f(x_j + \varepsilon y) \, dy \sim -\frac{\varepsilon}{3} \langle f' \rangle_j \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} w^3 \, dy \,. \tag{3.20}$$

Using (3.7) for f(x), and $\langle u_{\pm xx} \rangle_j = (U_{\pm} - 1) / D$ from (2.3), we calculate

$$\langle f' \rangle_j = \frac{c_j \left(U_{\pm} - 1 \right)}{U_{\pm} D} - \frac{\langle \eta_{0x} \rangle_j}{\mathcal{A} U_{\pm}^2} \,. \tag{3.21}$$

Then, we substitute (3.21) and (3.20) into (3.19), to obtain

$$\lambda c_j \sim \frac{\varepsilon^2}{3} \left[\frac{c_j \left(U_{\pm} - 1 \right)}{U_{\pm} D} - \frac{\langle \eta_{0x} \rangle_j}{\mathcal{A} U_{\pm}^2} \right] \left(\frac{\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} w^3 dy}{\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} w'^2 dy} \right) \,. \tag{3.22}$$

Here $\langle \eta_{0x} \rangle_j$ is to be found from (3.15). Finally, we write (3.22) and (3.15) in terms of $\tilde{\eta}_0$ defined by

$$\eta_0 \equiv -\frac{6a_g}{\mathcal{A}}\tilde{\eta}_0 \,. \tag{3.23}$$

In addition, we calculate the ratio of the two integrals in (3.22) using $w(y) = \frac{3}{2} \operatorname{sech}^2(y/2)$. In this way, we formally obtain the following problem that determines the small eigenvalues:

Principal Result 3.1: Let $\varepsilon \ll 1$ and $\tau = O(1)$. Then, the eigenvalues of (2.13) of order $O(\varepsilon^2)$ satisfy

$$\lambda c_j \sim 2\varepsilon^2 s \left[\langle \tilde{\eta}_{0x} \rangle_j - \frac{c_j}{D} \right], \qquad j = 1, \dots, k,$$
(3.24)

where $\langle \tilde{\eta}_{0x} \rangle_j$ is obtained from the solution to the following auxiliary problem

$$D\tilde{\eta}_{0xx} - \tilde{\eta}_0 = 0, \qquad -1 < x < 1; \qquad \tilde{\eta}_{0x}(\pm 1) = 0,$$
 (3.25a)

$$[D\tilde{\eta}_0]_j = -\frac{c_j}{a_g}; \qquad [D\tilde{\eta}_{0x}]_j = \frac{-s}{a_g} \langle \tilde{\eta}_0 \rangle_j, \qquad j = 1, \dots, k.$$
(3.25b)

Here s, which parametrizes the equilibrium solution branches, is defined in (2.11).

A problem similar to (3.25) was formally derived in Proposition 8 of [17] in the context of the GM model (1.4) with exponent set (p, q, m, s), where $\zeta \equiv qm/(p-1) - (1+s) > 0$. By comparing Proposition 8 of [17] with Principal Result 3.1 above, we conclude that (3.25) for the GS model is equivalent to the corresponding problem for the small eigenvalues of a GM model with exponent set (p, q, m, s) = (2, s, 2, s), where $s = (1 - U_{\pm})/U_{\pm}$. A similar spectral equivalence principle for the large eigenvalues of the GM model and the GS model in the low feed-rate regime was derived in Proposition 3.3 of [21].

To calculate explicit formulae for the small eigenvalues, we must first solve (3.25) to calculate $\langle \tilde{\eta}_{0x} \rangle_j$ for $j = 1, \ldots, k$. By substituting the resulting expressions into (3.24) we obtain that λ_j , for $j = 1, \ldots, k$, are the real eigenvalues of a certain generalized symmetric matrix eigenvalue problem. Each eigenvector of this problem generates a set of coefficients c_j for $j = 1, \ldots, k$. The eigenvalues and eigenvectors of this matrix can be calculated analytically. Since the details of this exact, but lengthy, calculation parallel that given in §4.2 of [17] for the GM model (1.4), we only outline they key steps in this derivation in Appendix B. In this way, the following rigorous result is obtained for the small eigenvalues of Principal Result 3.1.

Proposition 3.2: Let $\varepsilon \ll 1$ and $\tau = O(1)$. Assume that the invertibility condition (B.12) holds. Then, the eigenvalues of (2.13) of order $O(\varepsilon^2)$ are given explicitly by

$$\lambda_j \sim -\frac{2\varepsilon^2 s}{D} \left[\frac{1 - \cos\left(\pi j/k\right) - z_j \left(\cosh\left(2\theta_0/k\right) - 1\right)}{\cosh\left(2\theta_0/k\right) - \cos\left(\pi j/k\right)} \right], \qquad j = 1, \dots, k.$$
(3.26a)

Here z_j is defined in terms of the parametrization $s = (1 - U_{\pm})/U_{\pm}$ of each k-spike equilibrium solution branch as

$$z_j \equiv \frac{s\gamma}{s\gamma - \xi_j} \operatorname{csch}^2\left(\frac{2\theta_0}{k}\right) \sin^2\left(\frac{\pi j}{k}\right), \quad j = 1, \dots, k; \qquad \gamma \equiv 2 \tanh\left(\frac{\theta_0}{k}\right), \quad \theta_0 = D^{-1/2}.$$
(3.26b)

For j = 1, ..., k, ξ_j is defined in (B.20a) of Appendix B. The corresponding eigenfunction ϕ from (3.5) is

$$\phi(x) \sim \sum_{n=1}^{k} \left(c_n w' \left[\varepsilon^{-1} (x - x_n) \right] + \varepsilon s \langle \tilde{\eta}_0 \rangle_n w \left[\varepsilon^{-1} (x - x_n) \right] \right) , \qquad (3.27a)$$

where $\langle \tilde{\eta}_0 \rangle_n$ is the nth component of $\langle \tilde{\eta}_0 \rangle$ given by

$$\langle \tilde{\eta}_0 \rangle = \gamma \sqrt{D} \left[I + s \gamma \left(\mathcal{B} - s \gamma I \right)^{-1} \right] \mathcal{P}_g \boldsymbol{c} \,.$$
 (3.27b)

Here the matrices \mathcal{B} and \mathcal{P}_g are defined in (B.10) and (B.4), respectively. Finally, there are k independent choices for the vector of coefficients $\mathbf{c}^t = (c_1, \ldots, c_k)$, given explicitly by $\mathbf{c}_j^t = (c_{1,j}, \ldots, c_{k,j})$ where

$$\boldsymbol{c}_{k}^{t} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{k}} \left(1, -1, 1, \dots, (-1)^{k+1} \right); \qquad \boldsymbol{c}_{l,j} = \sqrt{\frac{2}{k}} \sin\left(\frac{\pi j}{k} \left(l - 1/2\right)\right), \quad j = 1, \dots, k-1.$$
(3.28)

Here c_j^t , for j = 1, ..., k, are the eigenvectors of the matrix \mathcal{B}_g of Appendix B defined in (B.20b).

As shown in Appendix B, the invertibility condition (B.12) holds when the parameters are such that $\lambda = 0$ is not an eigenvalue of the NLEP problem (A.1) of Appendix A for the large eigenvalues.

Next, we determine the sign of λ_j with respect to the parameters. Since $z_k = 0$ in (3.26b), then $\lambda_k < 0$ for any k, D and A. Therefore, there is always one negative eigenvalue and one stable direction, given by c_k in (3.28) and (3.27a), for translational perturbations of the equilibrium solution. From (3.26a) we get that $\lambda_j < 0$ for $j = 1, \ldots, k - 1$, if and only if

$$1 - \cos\left(\frac{\pi j}{k}\right) - 2z_j \sinh^2\left(\frac{\theta_0}{k}\right) > 0, \qquad j = 1, \dots, k.$$
(3.29)

Using (3.26b) for z_j , we can write (3.29) as

$$\frac{\xi_j}{\gamma} < s - s \cos^2\left(\frac{\pi j}{2k}\right) \operatorname{sech}^2\left(\frac{\theta_0}{k}\right), \qquad j = 1, \dots, k.$$
(3.30)

Finally, using (B.20a) for ξ_j , a short calculation shows that we can write the stability condition (3.30) as

$$\left(1 - s + \operatorname{csch}^{2}\left(\frac{\theta_{0}}{k}\right)\right) \left(1 - \cos^{2}\left(\frac{\pi j}{2k}\right)\operatorname{sech}^{2}\left(\frac{\theta_{0}}{k}\right)\right) < 0, \qquad j = 1, \dots, k.$$

$$(3.31)$$

Since the second bracketed term on the left hand-side of (3.31) is always positive, we conclude that $\lambda_j < 0$ for j = 1, ..., k - 1 if and only if

$$1 - s + \operatorname{csch}^2\left(\frac{\theta_0}{k}\right) < 0.$$
(3.32)

Since (3.32) is independent of j, it follows that any zero-crossing of the small eigenvalues λ_j , for $j = 1, \ldots, k-1$, must be simultaneous.

For the small solution u_+ , ν_+ , where 0 < s < 1, the left hand-side of (3.32) is always positive, and so there are k - 1 positive small eigenvalues λ_j , for j = 1, ..., k - 1. For the large solution where s > 1, we can calculate stability thresholds from (3.32). The threshold condition from (3.32) can be written as

$$\sinh^2\left(\frac{\theta_0}{k}\right) = \frac{1}{s-1}, \qquad \theta_0 \equiv D^{-1/2}.$$
 (3.33)

This can be solved in the form

$$D = \frac{4}{k^2} \left(\ln \left[\left(\frac{s+1}{s-1} \right) + \sqrt{\left(\frac{s+1}{s-1} \right)^2 - 1} \right] \right)^{-2} .$$
(3.34)

In (3.34), we then use (2.11) for s to write D in terms of A. This yields the following main result:

Proposition 3.3: Let $\varepsilon \ll 1$, and $\tau = O(1)$. For k = 1, both the large and small solutions $u_{\pm} \nu_{\pm}$ are always stable with respect to the small eigenvalue. For k > 1, and for the small solution u_{+}, ν_{+} , there are always k - 1 positive small eigenvalues and one negative eigenvalue λ_k . Similarly, for k > 1, we also have $\lambda_k < 0$ for the large solution u_{-}, ν_{-} . However, the other small eigenvalues λ_j , for $j = 1, \ldots, k - 1$ are negative at a fixed value of $\mathcal{A}/\mathcal{A}_{ke}$ if and only if D satisfies,

$$D < D_{kS} \equiv \frac{4}{k^2 \left[\ln \left(r + \sqrt{r^2 - 1} \right) \right]^2}, \qquad r \equiv \left[1 - \frac{\mathcal{A}_{ke}^2}{\mathcal{A}^2} \right]^{-1/2}.$$
 (3.35)

When $D = D_{kS}$, then $\lambda = 0$ is an eigenvalue of algebraic multiplicity k - 1.

The criterion (3.35) expresses D_{kS} in terms of the ratio $\mathcal{A}_{ke}/\mathcal{A}$. However, since \mathcal{A}_{ke} also depends on D, the criterion (3.35) is a transcendental equation for D_{kS} . Below, we solve this equation asymptotically in the intermediate regime to obtain a certain scaling law.

A similar criterion can be found with respect to \mathcal{A} . We substitute the stability condition (3.32) into (2.11) to obtain the stability threshold

$$\frac{\mathcal{A}}{\mathcal{A}_{ke}} = \frac{(1+s)}{2\sqrt{s}} = \frac{2 + \operatorname{csch}^2(\theta_0/k)}{2\sqrt{1 + \operatorname{csch}^2(\theta_0/k)}}.$$
(3.36)

By simplifying (3.36), we obtain the next result.

Proposition 3.4: Let $\varepsilon \ll 1$, $\tau = O(1)$, and k > 1. For the large solution u_{-} , ν_{-} , the small eigenvalues λ_{j} , for $j = 1, \ldots, k - 1$, are negative at a fixed value of D if and only if A satisfies

$$\mathcal{A} > \mathcal{A}_{kS}, \qquad \mathcal{A}_{kS} \equiv \mathcal{A}_{ke} \left[\tanh\left(\frac{2\theta_0}{k}\right) \right]^{-1}, \qquad \theta_0 \equiv D^{-1/2}.$$
 (3.37)

Here \mathcal{A}_{ke} is the existence threshold of (2.9). Notice that $\mathcal{A}_{kS} - \mathcal{A}_{ke} \to 0^+$ as $D \to 0$.

Propositions 3.3 and 3.4 show that k-1 small eigenvalues simultaneously cross through zero as either D crosses through D_{kS} or as \mathcal{A} crosses through \mathcal{A}_{kS} . Therefore, there must be a bifurcation at these critical values. In §4, this bifurcation is shown to be related to the emergence of asymmetric solution branches.

Next, we compare D_{kS} and \mathcal{A}_{kS} with the large eigenvalue stability thresholds D_{kL} and \mathcal{A}_{kL} of Principal Result A.2, which hold when τ is below the Hopf bifurcation threshold. A simple calculation shows that

$$\mathcal{A}_{kS} > \mathcal{A}_{kL}, \qquad D_{kS} < D_{kL}. \tag{3.38}$$

Therefore, the stability thresholds with respect to the small eigenvalues are more stringent than those for the large eigenvalues. The next result summarizes the main stability conclusion for the large solution branch u_{-} and ν_{-} with respect to both the small and the large eigenvalues.

Principal Result 3.5: Let $\varepsilon \ll 1$, and consider the large solution branch u_{-} , ν_{-} of Principal Result 2.1 Suppose that $\tau < \tau_{hL}$, where τ_{hL} is the Hopf bifurcation value of the NLEP problem of Principal Result A.1 for the large eigenvalues. Then, the k-spike symmetric solution branch is stable with respect to both the large and the small eigenvalues when either $D < D_{kS}$, or equivalently when $\mathcal{A} > \mathcal{A}_{kS}$. For $\tau < \tau_{hL}$ where D satisfies $D_{kS} < D < D_{kL}$, or when $\mathcal{A}_{kL} < \mathcal{A} < \mathcal{A}_{kS}$, the large solution is stable with respect to the large eigenvalues but is not stable with respect to the small eigenvalues.

To illustrate our main stability result graphically, in Fig. 1(b) of §1 we plotted the norm $|\nu|_2$ defined in (2.10) versus \mathcal{A} for the parameter values D = 0.75 and $k = 1, \ldots, 4$. The stability information of Principal Result 3.5 is shown by different shadings of different portions of these branches. For smaller values of D, we still have $\mathcal{A}_{ke} < \mathcal{A}_{kL} < \mathcal{A}_{kS}$ for $k \geq 2$, but in this case \mathcal{A}_{kS} is close to \mathcal{A}_{ke} .

Next, we derive a scaling law for the small eigenvalues that is valid in the intermediate parameter regime $O(1) \ll \mathcal{A} \ll O(\varepsilon^{-1/2})$. In this regime, we have to leading order from (2.11) that $s \sim 4\mathcal{A}^2/\mathcal{A}_{ke}^2 \gg 1$. Letting $s \to \infty$ in (3.26) we obtain the following limiting result for the small eigenvalues:

Proposition 3.6: Let $\varepsilon \ll 1$, D = O(1), $\tau = O(1)$, and assume that $O(1) \ll \mathcal{A} \ll O(\varepsilon^{-1/2})$. Then, for the large solution u_{-} , ν_{-} , the small eigenvalues of (2.13) are given asymptotically by

$$\lambda \sim -\frac{4\varepsilon^2 \mathcal{A}^2 \theta_0}{3} \tanh\left(\frac{\theta_0}{k}\right) \sin^2\left(\frac{\pi j}{2k}\right) \frac{\left[1 - \operatorname{sech}^2\left(\frac{\theta_0}{k}\right) \cos^2\left(\frac{\pi j}{(2k)}\right)\right]}{\cosh\left(2\theta_0/k\right) - \cos\left(\frac{\pi j}{k}\right)} + O(\varepsilon^2), \quad j = 1, \dots, k.$$
(3.39)

From (3.39), we conclude that $\lambda_j < 0$ for $j = 1, \ldots, k$ when D = O(1) and $\tau = O(1)$. Therefore, when D = O(1) and $\tau = O(1)$, we always have stability with respect to the small eigenvalues in the intermediate regime for \mathcal{A} . However, stability can be lost when D is asymptotically large. To show this we use $s \sim 4\mathcal{A}^2/\mathcal{A}_{ke}^2$ in (3.35), to conclude that a k-spike pattern is stable with respect to translations when $D < D_{kS}^{\infty}$, where D_{kS}^{∞} for k > 1 is the unique root of

$$\sqrt{D} = \frac{\mathcal{A}^2}{3k^2} \tanh\left(\frac{1}{k\sqrt{D}}\right) \,. \tag{3.40}$$

In the intermediate regime, (3.40) can be solved asymptotically to predict a minimum inter-spike distance L_{mS} for the translational stability of a spike pattern. For a k-spike pattern on a domain of length 2, the

inter-spike separation is L = 2/k. For $\mathcal{A} \gg 1$, the root of (3.40) satisfies $D \gg 1$. For $D \gg 1$, we solve (3.40) for k with $\mathcal{A} = \varepsilon^{-1/2} A$ to conclude that a k-spike pattern is translationally stable when

$$L > L_{mS} \sim \left(\frac{24D\varepsilon}{A^2}\right)^{1/3}, \qquad O(\varepsilon^{1/2}) \ll A \ll O(1).$$
(3.41)

Since $L_{mS} \gg O(\varepsilon)$, the calculation is self-consistent. A similar scaling law for competition instabilities in the intermediate regime, associated with the large eigenvalues, was derived in §4 of [21]. For τ below the Hopf bifurcation threshold τ_{hL} , it was shown in [21] that there are no positive real eigenvalues when

$$L > L_{mC} \sim \left(\frac{12\gamma_k D\varepsilon}{A^2}\right)^{1/3}, \qquad O(\varepsilon^{1/2}) \ll A \ll O(1); \qquad \gamma_k = 1 + \cos\left(\pi/k\right). \tag{3.42}$$

Clearly $L_{mC} < L_{mS}$. Therefore, as the inter-spike separation distance L is decreased below L_{mS} , instability is first lost due to k - 1 independent slow translational instabilities. As L is further decreased below L_{mC} , there are fast O(1) instabilities leading to spike annihilation events.

Finally, we comment on the uniformity of our results in (3.26) and (3.39) with respect to the diffusivity D, which measures the inter-spike interaction strength. First, we consider a one-spike solution with $D \gg 1$. From (3.26), we obtain that $\lambda_1 \sim -2\varepsilon^2 s/D < 0$ for $D \gg 1$. This suggests that a one-spike solution to the GS model is stable when $D \gg 1$. However, for the shadow problem where $D = \infty$, it is well-known for the corresponding GM model (1.4) that a spike is translationally unstable for $\varepsilon \ll 1$ due to a positive exponentially small eigenvalue, which leads to dynamic metastability of the spike (cf. [17], [20]). Therefore, with the close relationship between the GS and GM models, (3.26) for the GS model is not expected to be uniformly valid as $D \to \infty$. In fact, for $D = O(e^{c/\varepsilon})$ an extra term must be added to the expression $\lambda_1 \sim -2\varepsilon^2 s/D$, which arises from analyzing exponentially weak boundary layer effects for ϕ near $x = \pm 1$. The resulting formula for λ_1 leads to a bifurcation of a one-spike equilibrium on the range $D = O(e^{c/\varepsilon})$, for some c > 0. We do not study this problem in detail here, since a very similar issue for the GM model (1.4) was studied in detail in [20] (see also §5.2 of [17]).

For the GS model in the other limit where $D \rightarrow 0$, we summarize our comments as follows:

Remark 3.1 The analysis leading to (3.26) and (3.39) is valid provided that $D \gg O(\varepsilon^2)$. This is needed to ensure a decoupling of the slow and fast variables in the core of the spike. However, if we did let $D \to 0$ in (3.26), but with $D \gg O(\varepsilon^2)$, we obtain after a short calculation that

$$\lambda_k \sim -\frac{8\varepsilon^2 s}{D} e^{-2/(k\sqrt{D})}; \qquad \lambda_j \sim \frac{8\varepsilon^2 s}{D} \left(\frac{s}{s-1}\right) \sin^2\left(\frac{\pi j}{k}\right) e^{-2/(k\sqrt{D})}, \quad j = 1, \dots, k-1.$$
(3.43)

Therefore, for $D \ll 1$, there are k exponentially small eigenvalues, with k - 1 of them being positive for the large solution branch where s > 1. However, in the weak interaction regime $D = O(\varepsilon^2)$, where both variables are localized, a k-spike pattern with an O(1) inter-spike separation distance is essentially equivalent to a periodic, near-homoclinic, pattern of an asymptotically large period. For such long-wavelength periodic patterns, with a sufficiently large wavelength, it was proved in [12] that there is a continuous loop of spectra in the neighborhood of each isolated eigenvalue of the infinite-period homoclinic connection. Although the result (3.43) was derived for Neumann boundary conditions rather than periodic conditions, the discrete spectra in (3.43) should presumably be related to the breaking of the spectral loop near the origin resulting from the transition from the weak to the semi-strong interaction regime. A potential parametrization for this transition is to take $D = \varepsilon^{2-p}$ with $0 \le p \le 2$.

3.1 Slow Translational Instabilities: Dynamics

We now perform a few numerical experiments to illustrate the theory and to show the significance of the small eigenvalues for the dynamics of spike patterns. The computational results shown below for (1.3) have been computed using the routine D03PCF of the NAG library [31] with 1500 uniformly spaced meshpoints.



Figure 3: Numerical solution to (1.3) with D = 0.75, k = 2, $\varepsilon = 0.03$, $\tau = 2.0$, and $\mathcal{A} = 6.5$. Left figure: plot of the spike amplitudes ν_m of ν_- versus t. Right figure: plot of the spike location x_2 versus t.

For (1.3) we take D = 0.75, k = 2, $\varepsilon = 0.03$, and $\tau = 2.0$. For this two-spike equilibrium solution, the stability thresholds for the small and large eigenvalues, obtained from (3.37) and (A.4), respectively, are $\mathcal{A}_{2S} = 6.296$ and $\mathcal{A}_{2L} = 5.633$. Since τ is below the stability threshold τ_{hL} , there is no Hopf bifurcation for the equilibrium profile. For the initial condition for (1.3), we take the equilibrium solution of Principal Result 2.1, with initial spike locations $x_j(0)$ slightly offset from their equilibrium values of $\pm \frac{1}{2}$.

We first take $\mathcal{A} = 6.5 > \mathcal{A}_{2S}$, and $x_1(0) = 0.52$, $x_2(0) = -0.48$. For this value of \mathcal{A} , the two small eigenvalues are negative. Therefore, the equilibrium solution should be stable with respect to translations of the profile. In Fig. 3(a) we plot the spike amplitudes $\nu_m(t) = \nu_-(x_j, t)$, for j = 1, 2, versus t showing the convergence towards a symmetric two-spike equilibrium solution over a long time-scale. In Fig. 3(b) we plot x_2 versus t, which shows that $x_2 \to 1/2$ as t increases. A similar plot can be made for x_1 .

Next, we take $\mathcal{A} = 6.0$, so that $\mathcal{A}_{2L} < \mathcal{A} < \mathcal{A}_{2S}$. We again choose $x_1(0) = 0.52$, $x_2(0) = -0.48$. In Fig. 4(a) we plot the locations of the two spikes as a function of time showing the divergence away from the two-spike equilibrium locations $\pm \frac{1}{2}$. In Fig. 4(b) we plot the amplitudes ν_m of the two spikes versus t. The numerical solution for ν versus x is shown in Fig. 5(a) at different times. This example suggests



Figure 4: Numerical solution to (1.3) with D = 0.75, k = 2, $\varepsilon = 0.03$, $\tau = 2.0$, and $\mathcal{A} = 6.0$. Left figure: plot of spike locations x_j versus t. Right figure: plot of the spike amplitudes ν_m versus t.



Figure 5: Left figure: plot of numerical solution to (1.3) at different times for the parameter values of Fig. 4. The clustered solid curves correspond to t = 0, 150, 300, and the heavy solid curve corresponds to t = 500. Only one spike remains at t = 500. Right figure: plot of the spike location x_2 versus t for the parameter values of Fig. 4, except now with symmetric initial locations $x_1(0) = 0.52$ and $x_2(0) = -0.52$.

the following scenario: For this value of $\mathcal{A} = 6.0$, the equilibrium two-spike solution is stable with respect to profile instabilities (large eigenvalues), but is unstable with respect to translations (small eigenvalues). Therefore, the locations of the two spikes diverge away from $\pm \frac{1}{2}$. During their evolution, a competition instability occurs on a fast O(1) time scale as a result of a large eigenvalue crossing into the right halfplane. Recall that the results in §2 and Appendix A pertain only to profile instabilities associated with equilibrium spike solutions, and not the quasi-equilibrium solutions where the spike locations are not at their equilibrium positions. This competition instability annihilates one of the spikes at approximately $t \approx 500$, and the other spike then slowly drifts to the stable one-spike equilibrium solution centered at the origin. Since $\lambda_k < 0$ in Proposition 3.3, a one-spike equilibrium solution is always stable with respect to translations.

Finally, we take $\mathcal{A} = 6.0$, but we now introduce a symmetric perturbation in the initial spike locations so that $x_1(0) = -0.52$ and $x_2(0) = 0.52$. Although, the equilibrium solution is unstable with respect to translations, the spike location x_2 is shown in Fig. 5(b) to approach its equilibrium value. An identical convergence occurs for x_1 . To explain this, we recall from Proposition 3.3 that, although $\lambda_1 > 0$ for $\mathcal{A} = 6.0$, we always have that $\lambda_2 < 0$. Therefore, there is a saddle-structure for the two-spike equilibrium solution. From Proposition 3.3, and from the form of the stable eigenvector c_2 , given in (3.28) with k = 2, it follows that symmetric perturbations of the initial spike locations are, locally, on the stable manifold of the saddle point. Therefore, with sufficient numerical resolution it may be possible that we can approach the saddle point as t increases. This is what is observed in Fig. 5(b).

4 Asymmetric k-Spike Equilibria

We now construct asymmetric equilibrium spike patterns, where the spikes have different heights. We follow a similar approach as in [45] for the GM model (1.4) by first constructing a one-spike solution centered at the origin for (1.3) posed on -l < x < l, with $u_x(\pm l) = \nu_x(\pm l) = 0$. For $\varepsilon \ll 1$, such a solution for ν and u is even, $\nu(l)$ is exponentially small, and u(l) = O(1). We determine all values of l, labeled by l_1, \ldots, l_n , such that $u(l_1) =, \ldots, = u(l_n)$. For a certain range of the parameters, as found below, there are exactly two such values of l. These "local" solutions are then used to construct a global asymmetric equilibrium k-spike pattern for (1.3) on [-1, 1].

To construct a solution to (1.3) on -l < x < l with a spike at the origin, we proceed as in §2 for a symmetric spike pattern. We obtain that

$$\nu(x) \sim \frac{1}{\mathcal{A}U} w(x/\varepsilon) , \qquad u(x) \sim 1 - \frac{6}{\mathcal{A}^2 U} G_l(x;0) .$$
(4.1)

Here w(y) satisfies (2.2), and $G_l(x;0)$ is the Green's function on -l < x < l satisfying

$$DG_{lxx} - G_l = -\delta(x), \quad -l < x < l; \quad G_{lx}(\pm l; 0) = 0; \quad G_l(x; 0) = \left(\frac{\theta_0}{2}\right) \frac{\cosh\left[(l - |x|)\theta_0\right]}{\sinh\left(l\theta_0\right)}, \tag{4.2}$$

where $\theta_0 \equiv D^{-1/2}$. The constant U in (4.1), representing the leading-order approximation for u in the

inner region, is obtained by setting u(0) = U in (4.1). By solving the resulting quadratic equation we get

$$U = \frac{1}{2} \left(1 \pm \sqrt{1 - \frac{\coth(\theta_0 l)}{\tilde{\mathcal{A}}^2}} \right) , \qquad \mathcal{A} \equiv \sqrt{12\theta_0} \tilde{\mathcal{A}} , \qquad \theta_0 \equiv D^{-1/2} .$$
(4.3)

To construct asymmetric patterns, we must calculate u(l). Using (4.1) and (4.2), we get

$$\frac{u(l)-1}{U-1} = \frac{G_l(l;0)}{G_l(0;0)} = \operatorname{sech}\left(l\theta_0\right) \,. \tag{4.4}$$

Combining (4.4) and (4.3), we obtain a key formula for u(l)

$$u(l) = E_{\pm}(z) \equiv 1 + \frac{1}{2}\operatorname{sech}(z) \left[-1 \pm \sqrt{1 - \frac{\operatorname{coth}(z)}{\tilde{\mathcal{A}}^2}} \right], \qquad z \equiv \theta_0 l.$$
(4.5)

The minus and plus signs in (4.5) refer to the large and small solutions, respectively. In (4.5), $E_{\pm}(z)$ is defined only when $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}^2 \ge \coth z > 1$. This yields that $z > z_0$, where

$$z_0 \equiv \frac{1}{2} \ln \left(\frac{\tilde{\mathcal{A}}^2 + 1}{\tilde{\mathcal{A}}^2 - 1} \right) \,. \tag{4.6}$$

Clearly $E_{\pm}(z) > 0$ for $z > z_0$. For $z > z_0$, we readily derive some key properties of $E_{\pm}(z)$. Lemma 4.1: Let $\tilde{\mathcal{A}} > 1$. Then, for $z > z_0$, $E'_{+}(z) > 0$ with $E_{+}(z_0) < 1$, and $E_{+}(z) \to 1$ as $z \to \infty$. Alternatively, $E_{-}(z_0) < 1$ with $E'_{-}(z) < 0$ for $z_0 < z < z_m$, and $E'_{-}(z) > 0$ for $z > z_m$. Moreover, $E_{-}(z) \to 1$ as $z \to \infty$. The point z_m where $E_{-}(z)$ has its minimum value is the unique root of

$$\tilde{\mathcal{A}} = [\tanh z]^{-1/2} \left[\tanh(2z) \right]^{-1} . \tag{4.7}$$

Proof: Clearly $E_{\pm}(z_0) < 1$ and $E_{\pm}(z) \to 1$ as $z \to \infty$. In addition, by differentiating (4.5) it follows readily that $E'_{+}(z) > 0$ for $z > z_0$. For $E_{-}(z)$, we calculate

$$E'_{-}(z) = \frac{\sinh z}{2\cosh^2 z} \left[1 + \sqrt{1 - \frac{\coth z}{\tilde{\mathcal{A}}^2}} \right] - \frac{\operatorname{sech} z}{\tilde{\mathcal{A}}^2} \frac{\operatorname{csch}^2 z}{\sqrt{1 - \frac{\coth z}{\tilde{\mathcal{A}}^2}}}.$$
(4.8)

Therefore, $E'_{-}(z) < 0$ only when

$$2\tilde{\mathcal{A}}^{2} \tanh z \left[\sqrt{1 - \frac{\coth z}{\tilde{\mathcal{A}}^{2}}} + 1 - \frac{\coth z}{\tilde{\mathcal{A}}^{2}} \right] > \operatorname{csch}^{2} z \,. \tag{4.9}$$

By manipulating (4.9), we get that $E'_{-}(z) < 0$ if and only if

$$\sqrt{1 - \frac{\coth z}{\tilde{\mathcal{A}}^2}} > \frac{\cosh(2z)}{2\tilde{\mathcal{A}}^2 \sinh^2 z} \coth z - 1.$$
(4.10)

It is easy to see from (4.10) that there exists a z_m such that $E'_{-}(z) < 0$ for $z_0 < z < z_m$, and $E'_{-}(z) > 0$ for $z > z_m$. To determine where $E'_{-}(z) = 0$, we square both sides of (4.10) to obtain

$$1 - t = \left(\frac{t\cosh(2z)}{2\sinh^2 z} - 1\right)^2, \qquad t \equiv \frac{\coth z}{\tilde{\mathcal{A}}^2}.$$
(4.11)



Figure 6: Plot of E_{-} versus z for $z \geq z_0$ when $\tilde{\mathcal{A}} = 3$.

By solving (4.11) for t > 0 we get that $t = \tanh^2(2z)$. Since, $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}^2 = (\coth z)/t$, we obtain (4.7) for $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}$.

Therefore, when $\tilde{\mathcal{A}} > 1$, it follows that for any z in $z_0 < z < z_m$, there exists a unique \tilde{z} , with $\tilde{z} > z_m$, such that $E_-(z) = E_-(\tilde{z})$. In Fig. 6 we plot $E_-(z)$ versus z when $\tilde{\mathcal{A}} = 3$. Since $z = \theta_0 l$ and $\tilde{z} = \theta_0 \tilde{l}$, the implication of this result is that given any l with $z_0 < l\theta_0 < z_m$, there exists a unique \tilde{l} , with $\tilde{l}\theta_0 > z_m$, such that $u(l) = u(\tilde{l})$. This implies that in any asymmetric pattern generated using $E_-(z)$ there are only two distinct types of spikes. Furthermore, since $E_+(z)$ is monotonically increasing we cannot construct asymmetric patterns for the small solution.

For $E_{-}(z)$, solutions of length l and l are called S-type and B-type spikes, respectively. We now construct asymmetric k-spike patterns to the global problem (1.3) on [-1, 1] with $k_1 > 0$ S-type spikes and $k_2 = k - k_1 > 0$ B-type spikes arranged in any sequence from left to right across the interval as

SBSSB....B,
$$k_1 - S's, \quad k_2 - B's.$$
 (4.12)

To do so, we use translation invariance and the condition $u(l) = u(\tilde{l})$ to glue S and B type spikes together to satisfy C^1 continuity for the global function u on [-1,1]. The global function ν is asymptotically C^1 continuous since the local function is such that $\nu(l)$ and $\nu(\tilde{l})$ are exponentially small when $\varepsilon \ll 1$.

Since the supports of an S-spike and a B-spike are 2l and $2\tilde{l}$, respectively, we get the length constraint $2k_1l + 2k_2\tilde{l} = 2$. The other condition, which ensures that u is C^1 continuous is that $E_-(z) = E_-(\tilde{z})$. Using, $l = z\theta_0$ and $\tilde{l} = \tilde{z}\theta_0$, we obtain a nonlinear coupled algebraic system for z and \tilde{z}

$$k_1 z + k_2 \tilde{z} = \theta_0, \qquad E_-(z) = E_-(\tilde{z}); \qquad l = z \theta_0^{-1}, \quad \tilde{l} = \tilde{z} \theta_0^{-1}, \quad \theta_0 = D^{-1/2}.$$
 (4.13)

Here $E_{-}(z)$ is defined in (4.5). In terms of the half-lengths l and l of the supports of the spikes as given in (4.13), we formally obtain the following result for asymmetric k-spike patterns:

Principal Result 4.2: Let $\tilde{\mathcal{A}} > 1$ and D > 0. Then, for $\varepsilon \to 0$, an asymmetric equilibrium k-spike

pattern for (1.3) with k_1 spikes of type S and $k_2 = k - k_1$ spikes of type B is characterized by

$$\nu_{-}(x) \sim \sum_{j=1}^{k} \frac{1}{\mathcal{A}U(l_{j})} w \left[\varepsilon^{-1}(x - x_{j}) \right], \qquad U(l_{j}) \equiv \frac{1}{2} \left(1 - \sqrt{1 - \frac{\coth(\theta_{0}l_{j})}{\tilde{\mathcal{A}}^{2}}} \right), \qquad \mathcal{A} \equiv \sqrt{12\theta_{0}} \tilde{\mathcal{A}},$$

$$(4.14a)$$

where w(y) satisfies (2.2). Here for each j, $l_j = l$ or $l_j = \tilde{l}$, where l and \tilde{l} are determined in terms of k_1 , k_2 , θ_0 , and \tilde{A} by (4.13). The value $l_j = l$ occurs $k_1 > 0$ times, while $l_j = \tilde{l}$ occurs $k_2 = k - k_1 > 0$ times. The small and large spikes are arranged in any sequence. For $\varepsilon \ll 1$, the leading-order outer solution is

$$u(x) = 1 - \sum_{j=1}^{k} \frac{2 \left[1 - U(l_j)\right]}{\theta_0 \coth(\theta_0 l_j)} G(x; x_j), \qquad (4.14b)$$

Here $G(x; x_i)$ is the (global) Green's function satisfying (2.5). The spike locations x_i are found from

$$x_1 = l_1 - 1, \qquad x_k = 1 - l_k, \qquad x_{j+1} = x_j + l_{j+1} + l_j, \qquad j = 1, .., k - 2.$$
 (4.14c)

To recover the symmetric k-spike equilibrium solutions constructed earlier in §2, we set $z = \tilde{z} = \theta_0/k$, which solves (4.13). Then, (4.13) has a solution only when $z = \tilde{z} = z_m$. Therefore, setting $z = \theta_0/k$ in (4.7) we obtain the critical value of $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}$ for the emergence of the asymmetric branch. By comparing this critical value with that in Proposition 3.4 of §3, we obtain the following bifurcation result:

Principal Result 4.3: Let k > 1 and $\varepsilon \ll 1$. For a fixed D > 0 the asymmetric k-spike equilibrium solutions bifurcate from the k-spike symmetric large equilibrium solution branch of Principal Result 2.1 at the value $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{A}_{kS}$ in (3.37) of Proposition 3.4. Alternatively, for a fixed ratio $\mathcal{A}/\mathcal{A}_{ke} > 1$, the asymmetric k-spike branches bifurcate from the large symmetric k-spike solution branch at the value $D = D_{kS}$ in (3.37) of Proposition 3.4. Alternatively, for a fixed ratio $\mathcal{A}/\mathcal{A}_{ke} > 1$, the asymmetric k-spike branches bifurcate from the large symmetric k-spike solution branch at the value $D = D_{kS}$ in (3.35) of Proposition 3.3. These critical values are precisely the thresholds where k-1 small eigenvalues associated with the translational stability of the large symmetric solution simultaneously cross through zero.

Neglecting the positioning of the small and large spikes in a k-spike sequence, there are k - 1 possible asymmetric patterns for a k-spike solution. Principal Result 4.3 shows that these patterns emerge at the simultaneous zero-crossings of k - 1 small eigenvalues for the symmetric k-spike solution branch.

This result was illustrated graphically in Fig. 1(a) of §1. To display the bifurcation diagram for the asymmetric branches we use (4.14a) to calculate the L_2 norm $|\nu|_2$ as

$$|\nu|_{2} \equiv \left(\varepsilon^{-1} \int_{-1}^{1} \nu^{2} dx\right)^{1/2} \sim \frac{1}{\mathcal{A}} \left[\left(\frac{6k_{1}}{[U(l)]^{2}} \right) + \left(\frac{6k_{2}}{[U(\tilde{l})]^{2}} \right) \right]^{1/2} .$$
(4.15)

In Fig. 1(a) we plotted $|\nu|_2$ versus \mathcal{A} for the symmetric and asymmetric solution branches for $k = 1, \ldots, 4$ when D = 0.75. The dashed lines in Fig. 1(a) of §1 correspond to plots of (4.15) versus \mathcal{A} for all of the asymmetric branches that emerge from the symmetric branches at the bifurcation values $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{A}_{kS}$, for $k = 1, \ldots, 4$. In this bifurcation diagram, the ordering of spikes on the interval is invisible to the norm (4.15). From (4.13), (4.15), and Fig. 1(a), it can be seen that an asymmetric branch with k_1 small spikes asymptotes to the symmetric branch with $k - k_1$ spikes as $\mathcal{A} \to \infty$.



(e) k = 4 : SSBB pattern

(f) k = 4 : SSSB pattern

Figure 7: Asymmetric patterns for D = 0.75 and $\varepsilon = 0.02$. The thresholds are $\mathcal{A}_{2S} = 6.296$, $\mathcal{A}_{3S} = 9.500$, and $\mathcal{A}_{4S} = 13.487$. Top left: SB: $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{A}_{2S}$ (heavy solid), $\mathcal{A} = 6.60$ (solid), $\mathcal{A} = 6.90$ (dotted), and $\mathcal{A} = 7.20$ (widely spaced dots). Top right: SBB: $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{A}_{3S}$ (heavy solid), $\mathcal{A} = 9.90$ (solid), $\mathcal{A} = 10.40$ (dotted), and $\mathcal{A} = 11.10$ (widely spaced dots). Middle Left: SSB: $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{A}_{3S}$ (heavy solid), $\mathcal{A} = 9.020$ (solid), $\mathcal{A} = 8.90$ (dotted), and $\mathcal{A} = 9.04$ (widely spaced dots). Middle right: SBBB: $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{A}_{4S}$ (heavy solid), $\mathcal{A} = 15.30$ (solid), $\mathcal{A} = 16.43$ (dotted), and $\mathcal{A} = 17.83$ (widely spaced dots). Bottom Left: SSBB: $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{A}_{4S}$ (heavy solid), $\mathcal{A} = 13.60$ (solid), $\mathcal{A} = 13.95$ (dotted), and $\mathcal{A} = 14.37$ (widely spaced dots). Bottom right: SSSB: $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{A}_{4S}$ (heavy solid), $\mathcal{A} = 12.34$ (solid), $\mathcal{A} = 11.30$ (dotted), and $\mathcal{A} = 11.12$ (widely spaced dots).

In Fig. 7 we plot a few of the asymmetric patterns for ν when D = 0.75, $\varepsilon = 0.02$, and $k = 1, \ldots, 4$. These parameters correspond to those in the bifurcation diagram of Fig. 1(a). The plots in Fig. 7 are obtained by first solving (4.13) using Newton's method with different numbers k_1 of small spikes on the interval and for different values of \mathcal{A} . The different patterns for ν are then obtained from (4.14).

Finally, we comment on the stability of the asymmetric solutions. Although we do not analyze this problem here, we expect that these branches are all unstable with respect to the small eigenvalues. From numerical computations of a certain matrix eigenvalue problem, it was shown in [45] that the asymmetric spike patterns for the GM model (1.4) are unstable with respect to translations. However, since the asymmetric patterns emerge at the point $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{A}_{kS} > \mathcal{A}_{kL}$, where the NLEP problem (A.1) has no unstable eigenvalues for $\tau < \tau_{hL}$, we would expect by continuity that the asymmetric branches are stable with respect to the large eigenvalues for $\mathcal{A} - \mathcal{A}_{kS}$ sufficiently small and when τ is below some threshold.

5 A Traveling-Wave, or Drift, Instability for $\tau = O(\varepsilon^{-2})$

In §3 we analyzed the small eigenvalues for $\tau = O(1)$. In this section, we study the initiation of a travelingwave, or drift, instability for a one-spike large equilibrium solution u_- , ν_- centered at the origin for the regime where $\tau \gg 1$. For this solution, we show that a small eigenvalue can become complex when $\tau \gg 1$. In addition, we derive a formula for the critical value τ_{TW} of τ , with $\tau_{TW} \gg 1$, where a traveling-wave instability occurs as a result of a pair of complex small eigenvalues entering the unstable right half-plane through a Hopf bifurcation. The path of these small eigenvalues as a function of τ for $\tau > \tau_{TW}$ is analyzed. This instability with respect to translations in the spike profile leads to oscillations in the spike location, and is distinct from the Hopf bifurcation of §2 for the amplitude of the spike profile. The thresholds for drift and profile instabilities are compared, and it is shown that a drift instability is the dominant instability on the asymptotic subrange $O(\varepsilon^{-1/3}) \ll \mathcal{A} \ll O(\varepsilon^{-1/2})$ of the intermediate regime, and is subdominant to the profile instability when $O(1) \ll \mathcal{A} \ll O(\varepsilon^{-1/3})$. In the context of the infinite-line problem this interchange in the dominant instability mechanism was explored in [28].

For $\tau = O(\varepsilon^{-2})$, we now derive a formula for the small eigenvalue associated with a one-spike solution. By repeating the analysis leading to Principal Result 3.1, we obtain the following result:

Principal Result 5.1: Let $\varepsilon \ll 1$ and $\tau = O(\varepsilon^{-2})$. Then, the small eigenvalue of (2.13) for a one-spike large solution satisfies

$$\lambda \sim 2\varepsilon^2 s \left[\langle \tilde{\eta}_{0x} \rangle_0 - \frac{1}{D} \right] \,. \tag{5.1}$$

Here $\langle \tilde{\eta}_{0x} \rangle_0$ is to be calculated from the auxiliary problem

$$D\tilde{\eta}_{0xx} - [1 + \tau\lambda]\,\tilde{\eta}_0 = 0\,, \qquad -1 < x < 1\,; \qquad \tilde{\eta}_{0x}(\pm 1) = 0\,, \tag{5.2a}$$

$$[D\tilde{\eta}_0]_0 = -\frac{1}{a_g}; \qquad [D\tilde{\eta}_{0x}]_0 = \frac{-s}{a_g} \langle \tilde{\eta}_0 \rangle_0.$$
 (5.2b)

Here $\langle \zeta \rangle_0 \equiv \frac{1}{2}(\zeta(0^+) + \zeta(0^-)), \ [\zeta]_0 \equiv \zeta(0^+) - \zeta(0^-), \ s = (1 - U_-)/U_-, \ a_g = \left[2\sqrt{D} \tanh \theta_0\right]^{-1}, \ and \ U_- \ is$ given in (2.9).

An eigenvalue with $\operatorname{Re}(\lambda) > 0$ yields a drift instability since, from (3.5), the perturbation in ν is

$$\nu_{-}(x) \sim \frac{1}{\mathcal{A}U_{-}} \left[w(\varepsilon^{-1}x) + \delta e^{\lambda t} w'(\varepsilon^{-1}x) \right] \sim \frac{1}{\mathcal{A}U_{-}} w(\varepsilon^{-1} \left[x - x_{0}(t) \right]), \qquad x_{0} \sim -\varepsilon \delta e^{\lambda t}, \tag{5.3}$$

where $\delta \ll 1$. An instability with $\lambda > 0$ and real leads to a monotonic drift of the spike away from x = 0. An instability with $\lambda = \pm i\lambda_I$ and $\lambda_I > 0$ leads to the onset of small-scale oscillations around x = 0.

To determine an explicit formula for λ in (5.1), we must calculate $\langle \tilde{\eta}_{0x} \rangle_0$. By solving (5.2) we obtain

$$\langle \tilde{\eta}_{0x} \rangle_0 = \frac{\beta}{D} \tanh(\theta_0 \beta) \tanh \theta_0, \qquad \beta \equiv \sqrt{1 + \tau \lambda}.$$
 (5.4)

Substituting (5.4) into (5.1), we get

$$\lambda \sim \frac{2\varepsilon^2 s}{D} \left[\beta \tanh(\theta_0 \beta) \tanh \theta_0 - 1\right].$$
(5.5)

To analyze (5.5), it is convenient to introduce the new variables τ_d , ω , and ξ , defined by

$$\tau = \left(\frac{D}{2s\varepsilon^2}\right)\tau_d, \qquad \lambda = \left(\frac{2s\varepsilon^2}{D}\right)\omega, \qquad \xi = \tau_d\omega = \tau\lambda.$$
(5.6)

Substituting (5.6) into (5.5), we obtain that ξ satisfies $F(\xi) = 0$, where

$$F(\xi) \equiv \frac{\xi}{\tau_d} - G(\xi), \qquad G(\xi) \equiv \beta \tanh \theta_0 \tanh(\theta_0 \beta) - 1, \qquad \beta \equiv \sqrt{1+\xi}, \quad \theta_0 = D^{-1/2}.$$
(5.7)

In (5.7), the principal value of the square root is taken. In terms of the roots $F(\xi) = 0$, the scaled eigenvalues ω are recovered from $\omega = \xi/\tau_d$.

We seek complex roots $\xi = \xi_R + i\xi_I$ to $F(\xi) = 0$. For each D > 0, we will show that $F(\xi) = 0$ has a pair of complex conjugate roots on the imaginary axis $\xi_R = 0$ when $\tau_d = \tau_{dh}$. Increasing τ_d past τ_{dh} , this complex conjugate pair of roots merges onto the positive real axis in the ξ plane when $\tau_d = \tau_{dm}$. At this value of τ_d , (5.7) has a double real root. For $\tau_d > \tau_{dm}$, (5.7) always has two positive real roots.

Before analyzing the zeroes of $F(\xi)$ in (5.7), we discuss the implications for the stability of a one-spike solution in the intermediate regime $O(1) \ll \mathcal{A} \ll O(\varepsilon^{-1/2})$ as τ is increased. Since $s = O(\mathcal{A}^2)$ in this regime, (5.6) shows that a traveling-wave instability occurs when $\tau = \tau_{TW} = O(\mathcal{A}^{-2}\varepsilon^{-2})$. In contrast, from (A.7) a Hopf bifurcation in the spike profile will occur when $\tau = \tau_H = O(\mathcal{A}^4)$. Comparing the asymptotic orders of these two scales, we conclude

$$\tau_H \ll \tau_{TW}$$
, for $1 \ll \mathcal{A} \ll O(\varepsilon^{-1/3})$; $\tau_{TW} \ll \tau_H$, for $O(\varepsilon^{-1/3}) \ll \mathcal{A} \ll O(\varepsilon^{-1/2})$. (5.8)

For the infinite-line problem, (5.8) was also observed in [28]. Therefore, there is some scaling regime within the intermediate range $O(1) \ll \mathcal{A} \ll O(\varepsilon^{-1/2})$ where, as τ is increased, a traveling-wave instability will occur before the onset of the Hopf bifurcation associated with the spike profile.

We first look for roots of $F(\xi) = 0$ along the real axis. These roots correspond to the intersections points of the line ξ/τ_d with $G(\xi)$. A simple calculation using (5.7) shows that

$$G_{\xi}(\xi) = \frac{\tanh \theta_0}{2\beta} \left[\tanh(\theta_0 \beta) + \beta \theta_0 \operatorname{sech}^2(\theta_0 \beta) \right] , \qquad (5.9a)$$

$$G_{\xi\xi}(\xi) = \frac{\tanh \theta_0}{4\beta^3} \left[(\theta_0 \beta) \operatorname{sech}^2(\theta_0 \beta) - \tanh(\theta_0 \beta) \right] - \frac{\theta_0^2}{2\beta} \tanh \theta_0 \tanh(\theta_0 \beta) \operatorname{sech}^2(\theta_0 \beta) \,. \tag{5.9b}$$

Since $z \operatorname{sech}^2 z - \tanh z < 0$ for all z > 0, it follows that $G(\xi)$ is a monotonically increasing, and concave, function on $\xi \ge 0$. In addition, $G(0) = -\operatorname{sech}^2 \theta_0 < 0$. Therefore, there are exactly two real roots to $F(\xi) = 0$ when $\tau_d > \tau_{dm}$ and no such roots when $0 < \tau_d < \tau_{dm}$. Here τ_{dm} is the value of τ_d for which the straight line ξ/τ_d intersects $G(\xi)$ tangentially at some point ξ_m . By combining the equations $F = F_{\xi} = 0$ for the double root at $\tau_d = \tau_{dm}$ and $\xi = \xi_m$, we obtain, after a little algebra, that ξ_m is the unique root of

$$\left(\beta^2 + 1\right) \frac{\tanh(\theta_0\beta)}{\theta_0\beta} - \left(\beta^2 - 1\right) \operatorname{sech}^2(\theta_0\beta) = \frac{2}{\theta_0 \tanh\theta_0}, \qquad \beta = \sqrt{1+\xi}.$$
(5.10)

This yields ξ_m as a function of $\theta_0 = D^{-1/2}$, which can be computed numerically using Newton's method. In terms of ξ_m , we then calculate τ_{dm} and the eigenvalue ω_m from $\tau_{dm} = \xi_m/G(\xi_m)$ and $\omega_m = \xi_m/\tau_{dm}$.



(a) τ_{dm} and ω_m

(b) G versus ξ

Figure 8: Left figure: τ_{dm} (heavy solid curve) and ω_m (solid curve) versus *D*. Right figure: graphical determination of real roots to F = 0 when D = 0.75: Here $G(\xi)$ (heavy solid curve), and ξ/τ_d are shown for $\tau_d = 2.0$ (dotted curve), $\tau_d = \tau_{dm} \approx 4.91$ (solid curve), and $\tau_d = 6.0$ (widely spaced dots).

In Fig. 8(a) we plot the numerical results for τ_{dm} and ω_m versus D. For D = 0.75 and D = 0.1, we get

$$D = 0.75, \quad \tau_{dm} = 4.914, \quad \omega_m = 0.717; \qquad D = 0.1, \quad \tau_{dm} = 2.229, \quad \omega_m = 0.116.$$
(5.11)

For D = 0.75, in Fig. 8(b) we plot $G(\xi)$ together with the straight line ξ/τ_d for three different values of τ_d , one of which is the double root value $\tau_d = \tau_{dm}$. From this figure we see that there are two real roots to $F(\xi) = 0$ when $\tau_d > \tau_{dm}$ and none when $0 < \tau_d < \tau_{dm}$. Fig. 8(a) suggests that τ_{dm} and ω_m have limiting values as $D \to 0$ and $D \to \infty$, respectively. Let $D \to 0$ so that $\theta_0 \to \infty$. In this limit, $\xi_m \to 0$ from (5.10). Therefore, for $D \to 0$, τ_{dm} corresponds to the value of τ_d for which $F(\xi) = 0$ has a double root at the origin. Using (5.7), we set $F(0) = F_{\xi}(0) = 0$, and let $\theta_0 \to \infty$ to obtain

$$\frac{1}{\tau_{dm}} = \frac{1}{2} \left[\tanh^2 \theta_0 + \theta_0 \tanh \theta_0 \operatorname{sech}^2 \theta_0 \right] \to \frac{1}{2} \qquad \text{as} \quad \theta_0 \to \infty \,.$$
(5.12)

Hence, $\tau_{dm} \to 2$ as $D \to 0$, which is consistent with Fig. 8(a). To determine the limiting behavior of ω_m as $D \to \infty$, we let $\theta_0 \to 0$ in (5.10) to conclude that $\xi_m \to \infty$. Using $\beta \sim \sqrt{\xi}$ when $\xi \gg 1$, the double root condition for F can be written asymptotically as

$$\frac{\xi}{\tau_d} \sim \sqrt{\xi}\theta_0 \tanh\left(\sqrt{\xi}\theta_0\right) - 1, \qquad \frac{1}{\tau_d} \sim \frac{1}{2\sqrt{\xi}} \left[\theta_0 \tanh\left(\sqrt{\xi}\theta_0\right) + \sqrt{\xi}\theta_0^2 \operatorname{sech}^2\left(\sqrt{\xi}\theta_0\right)\right].$$
(5.13)

Assuming that $\sqrt{\xi}\theta_0 \to \mu$ as $\theta_0 \to 0$ for some $\mu = O(1)$, we can combine the equations in (5.13) to get

$$\mu \tanh \mu - 2 = \mu^2 \operatorname{sech}^2 \mu \,. \tag{5.14}$$

The unique root of (5.14) is $\mu = 2.2649$. Then, since $\omega_m = \xi_m / \tau_{dm}$ and $\xi_m \sim D\mu^2$, we conclude that

$$\omega_m \to \mu \tanh \mu - 1 \approx 1.2166, \quad \xi_m \to 5.1298D, \quad \tau_{dm} \to 4.2165D, \quad \text{as} \quad D \to \infty.$$
 (5.15)

Hence for $D \gg 1$, τ_{dm} is linear in D. The limiting behavior for ω_m is clearly seen in Fig. 8(a).

Next, we look for roots of $F(\xi) = 0$ on the positive imaginary axis $\xi = i\xi_I$ with $\xi_I \ge 0$. Separating (5.7) into real and imaginary parts, we obtain

$$F_R(\xi_I) = -G_R(\xi_I), \qquad F_I(\xi_I) = \frac{\xi_I}{\tau_d} - G_I(\xi_I),$$
(5.16)

where $F_R(\xi_I) \equiv \operatorname{Re}[F(i\xi_I)], F_I(\xi_I) \equiv \operatorname{Im}[F(i\xi_I)], G_R(\xi_I) \equiv \operatorname{Re}[G(i\xi_I)], \text{ and } G_I(\xi_I) \equiv \operatorname{Re}[G(i\xi_I)].$

Using (5.7), we readily see that for each D > 0 we have $G_R(0) = -\operatorname{sech}^2 \theta_0 < 0$ and that $G_R(\xi_I)$ is monotonically increasing with $G_R \to +\infty$ as $\xi_I \to \infty$. Therefore, $F_R(\xi_I) = 0$ has a unique root, which we label by ξ_h . Then, setting $F_I(\xi_h) = 0$, we determine the Hopf bifurcation value of τ_d as

$$\tau_{dh} = \left(\frac{\theta_0}{\tanh\theta_0}\right) \frac{\xi_h}{\operatorname{Im}(z\tanh z)}, \qquad z \equiv \theta_0 \sqrt{1 + i\xi_h}.$$
(5.17)

The corresponding value of ω for the frequency of small-scale oscillations is $\omega_h = \xi_h / \tau_{dh}$. In Fig. 9(a) we plot the numerical results for τ_{dh} and ω_m versus D. For D = 0.75 and D = 0.1, we get

$$D = 0.75, \quad \tau_{dh} = 2.617, \quad \omega_h = 0.772; \qquad D = 0.1, \quad \tau_{dh} = 1.986, \quad \omega_h = 0.115.$$
 (5.18)

Clearly, $\tau_{dh} \rightarrow \tau_{dm} \rightarrow 2$ as $D \rightarrow 0$.

By calculating the roots of $F(\xi) = 0$ with $\xi = \xi_R + i\xi_I$ numerically on the range $\tau_{dh} < \tau_d < \tau_{dm}$ we obtain a path in the complex ξ plane. In Fig. 9(b) we plot this path in terms of $\omega = \xi/\tau_d$ for both D = 0.75 and D = 0.1. These numerical results suggest that for each $\tau_d > \tau_{dh}$ there are exactly two small eigenvalues in the right half-plane.

To prove that, for each D > 0, there are exactly two small eigenvalues in the right half-plane when $\tau_d > \tau_{dh}$ we must show that $F(\xi) = 0$ has exactly two roots in $\operatorname{Re}(\xi) > 0$ when $\tau_d > \tau_{dh}$. This is done by calculating the winding number of $F(\xi)$ over the counterclockwise contour composed of the imaginary axis $-iR \leq \operatorname{Im} \xi \leq iR$ and the semi-circle Γ_R , given by $|\xi| = R > 0$, for $\operatorname{Re}(\xi) > 0$. For any $\tau_d > 0$, (5.7) shows that $F(\xi) \sim \frac{\xi}{\tau_d} \left[1 + O(\xi^{-1/2})\right]$ as $|\xi| \to \infty$ in $\operatorname{Re}(\xi) > 0$. Therefore, the change in the argument of $F(\xi)$



(a) τ_{dh} and ω_h

(b) eigenvalues in right half-plane

Figure 9: Left figure: The Hopf bifurcation values: τ_{dh} (heavy solid curve) and ω_h (solid curve) versus D. Right figure: eigenvalues $\omega = \omega_R + i\omega_I$ in the right half-plane for $\tau > \tau_{dh}$ when D = 0.75 (heavy solid curve) and D = 0.1 (solid curve).

over Γ_R as $R \to \infty$ is π . Since $F(\xi)$ is analytic in $\operatorname{Re}(\xi) > 0$, we then use the argument principle, together with $F(\overline{\xi}) = \overline{F(\xi)}$, to show that the number M of zeroes of $F(\xi)$ in $\operatorname{Re}(\xi) > 0$ is

$$M = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{\pi} \left[\arg F\right]_{\Gamma_I} \,. \tag{5.19}$$

Here $[\arg F]_{\Gamma_I}$ denotes the change in the argument of $F(\xi)$ along the semi-infinite imaginary axis $\Gamma_I = i\xi_I$, $0 \le \xi_I < \infty$, traversed in the downwards direction.

To calculate M we note that $F_I = O(\xi_I)$ and $F_R = O(\sqrt{\xi_I})$ as $\xi_I \to \infty$, and that $F_I(0) = 0$ with $F_R(0) > 0$. Therefore, $\arg F = \pi/2$ as $\xi_I \to +\infty$, and $\arg F = 0$ at $\xi_I = 0$. Since the root to $F_R(\xi_I) = 0$ is unique, we obtain that $[\arg F]_{\Gamma_I}$, is either $3\pi/2$ or $-\pi/2$ whenever $F_I(\xi_I) < 0$ or $F_I(\xi_I) > 0$, respectively, at the unique root of $F_R(\xi_I) = 0$. Therefore, M = 0 or M = 2 from (5.19). Finally, since the root ξ_h to $F_R(\xi_I) = 0$ is independent of τ_d , we conclude that $F_I(\xi_I) < 0$ when $\tau_d > \tau_{dh}$ and $F_I(\xi_I) > 0$ when $\tau_d < \tau_{dh}$. This leads to a strict transversal crossing condition at the Hopf bifurcation point. This result is illustrated in Fig. 10(a) and Fig. 10(b) where we show a graphical determination of the roots of $F_R(\xi_I) = 0$ and $F_I(\xi_I) = 0$. We summarize our results as follows:

Proposition 5.2: Let $\varepsilon \ll 1$ and $\tau = O(\varepsilon^{-2})$, and consider the small eigenvalue with $\lambda = O(\varepsilon^2)$ for the large one-spike solution. Then, there is a complex conjugate pair of pure imaginary eigenvalues when $\tau_d = \tau_{dh}$. For any $\tau_d > \tau_{dh}$ there are exactly two eigenvalues in the right half-plane. These eigenvalues have nonzero imaginary parts when $\tau_{dh} < \tau_d < \tau_{dm}$, and they merge onto the positive real axis at $\tau_d = \tau_{dm}$. They remain on the positive real axis for all $\tau_d > \tau_{dm}$.

We now compare the two thresholds of instability for a one-spike solution in the intermediate regime. Let τ_H denote the Hopf bifurcation threshold of the spike amplitude, and the τ_{TW} denote the drift stability



Figure 10: Graphical determination of the roots of $F(i\xi_I) = 0$ when D = 0.75. Left figure: Re $[G(i\xi_I)]$ versus ξ_I . Right figure: Im $[G(i\xi_I)]$ versus ξ_I (heavy solid curve). We also plot ξ_I/τ_d for $\tau_d = 1.5$ (dotted curve), $\tau_d = \tau_{dh} = 2.6169$ (solid curve), and $\tau_d = 4.0$ (widely spaced dots).

threshold for a spike-layer oscillation. Then, from (A.7) and (5.6), we obtain

$$\tau_H = 1.748 \tanh^2(\theta_0) s^2 , \qquad \tau_{TW} = \frac{D}{2s\varepsilon^2} \tau_{dh} , \qquad (5.20a)$$

where

$$s = \frac{1 - U_{-}}{U_{-}}, \qquad U_{-} = \frac{1}{2} \left[1 - \sqrt{1 - \frac{\mathcal{A}_{1e}^2}{\mathcal{A}^2}} \right], \qquad \mathcal{A} = \varepsilon^{-1/2} A, \qquad \mathcal{A}_{1e} \equiv \sqrt{\frac{12\theta_0}{\tanh(\theta_0)}}, \tag{5.20b}$$

and $\theta_0 \equiv D^{-1/2}$. For D = 0.1 and D = 0.75, we plot $\log_{10}(\tau_H)$ and $\log_{10}(\tau_{TW})$ in Fig. 11(a) and Fig. 11(b), respectively, for two values of ε on the parameter range $\varepsilon^{1/2} \mathcal{A}_{1e} < A < 1$. We observe that when ε is sufficiently small, the curves τ_H and τ_{TW} will cross at some point in the intermediate parameter regime. To determine this crossing point, we set $\tau_H = \tau_{TW}$ and solve for A. Using (5.20) and $s \sim 4\varepsilon^{-1}A^2/\mathcal{A}_{1e}^2$, we obtain that the de-stabilization of a one-spike solution occurs by a traveling-wave instability when

$$A > A_{sw} \sim \left(\frac{\varepsilon D\tau_{dh}}{223.744 \tanh^2(\theta_0)}\right)^{1/6} \mathcal{A}_{1e} \,. \tag{5.21}$$

Alternatively, a de-stabilization by a Hopf bifurcation in the spike amplitude occurs when $A < A_{sw}$. This interchange in the instability mechanism from profile to drift instabilities as τ is increased, suggests that drift instabilities will be the dominant instabilities with $\tau_{TW} = O(\varepsilon^{-1})$ in the pulse-splitting regime where A = O(1). These instabilities are indeed found to play an important role in this regime (see [22]).

We now recover the result of [29] (see section 2.6 of [29]) for the infinite-line problem by letting $D \to 0$. In (5.21) we let $\theta_0 \to \infty$, $\tau_{dh} \to 2$, and we set $\tilde{\varepsilon} \equiv \varepsilon/\sqrt{D}$ and $\mathcal{A}_{1e} = \sqrt{12\theta_0}$. Then, (5.21) becomes

$$A_{sw} = 1.578\tilde{\varepsilon}^{1/6}, \qquad \tilde{\varepsilon} \equiv \varepsilon/\sqrt{D}, \qquad (5.22)$$



Figure 11: Plots of $\log_{10}(\tau_H)$ (increasing curves) and $\log_{10}(\tau_{TW})$ (decreasing curves) as a function of A on the range $\varepsilon^{1/2} \mathcal{A}_{1e} < A < 1$. The left figure and right figures are for D = 0.1 and D = 0.75, respectively. The heavy solid curves are for $\varepsilon = 0.005$ and the solid curves are for $\varepsilon = 0.01$.

which agrees with the result derived in [29]. The result (5.21) for the finite domain problem is new. Qualitatively, the effect of the finite domain on the traveling-wave instability is to de-stablize a one-spike solution through a Hopf bifurcation leading to oscillations in the spike-layer location. This will occur for any fixed D > 0. Alternatively, for the infinite-domain problem corresponding to the limit $D \to 0$, the unstable complex conjugate eigenvalues merge into the origin (see Fig. 9(b) for a plot where D = 0.1). This leads to a monotonic drift instability of a one-spike solution for the infinite-line problem.

To illustrate the analysis, we take D = 0.75, A = 0.96436, and $\varepsilon = .005$. For D = 0.75, we get $\tau_{dh} = 2.617$ from (5.18). Then, from (5.20), we calculate $\tau_{TW} \approx 935$ and $\tau_H \approx 2066 > \tau_{TW}$. For four values of τ , we then compute the numerical solution to (1.1) and output the spike location x_0 as a function of t. The initial condition for v in (1.1) was

$$v(x,0) = 60 \operatorname{sech}^2 \left[\varepsilon^{-1}(x+.01) \right] , \qquad (5.23)$$

which represents a layer initially located at $x_0(0) = -0.01$. In Fig. 12(a) we show that the spike location has a decaying oscillation around $x_0 = 0$ for $\tau = 850$ and $\tau = 920 < \tau_{TW}$. Alternatively, in Fig. 12(b), where $\tau = 950 > \tau_{TW}$ and $\tau = 1000$, the oscillations are found to grow. The ultimate fate of these large-scale oscillations in the spike-layer location is unknown.

6 Discussion and Conclusion

We have analyzed slow translational instabilities for two parameter regimes of the GS model (1.3). In the low feed-rate regime, we have shown analytically that k - 1 small eigenvalues, governing the translational stability of a symmetric k-spike pattern, simultaneously cross through zero at precisely the same parameter



Figure 12: Example 1: D = 0.75, A = 0.96436, and $\varepsilon = 0.005$. Left figure: $x_0(t)$ versus t for $\tau = 850$ (heavy solid curve) and $\tau = 920$ (solid curve). Right figure: $x_0(t)$ versus t for $\tau = 950$ (solid curve) and $\tau = 1000$ (heavy solid curve). In these figures $x_0(t)$ is the location of the maximum of v(x, t).

value at which k-1 different asymmetric equilibria of the form BS..SB (neglecting the positioning of the *B* and *S* spikes in the spike sequence) bifurcate from the symmetric *k*-spike solution branch. For a onespike equilibrium solution for (1.3) in the intermediate regime $O(1) \ll \mathcal{A} \ll O(\varepsilon^{-1/2})$, we showed that a traveling wave-instability will result from a Hopf bifurcation in the spike-layer location when $\tau = \tau_{TW}$, for some threshold $\tau_{TW} \gg 1$. Locally, this instability yields small-scale oscillations in the spike-layer location.

There are some specific open problems that should be investigated. The first problem is to determine the stability of asymmetric k-spike equilibria with respect to the large and small eigenvalues. We expect that these equilibria are all unstable with respect to translational instabilities, but that they should be stable with respect to the large O(1) eigenvalues sufficiently close to the bifurcation points where they originate from the symmetric solution branches. Another open problem is to study the large-scale oscillations of the spike-layer location seen in §5 after the onset of the Hopf bifurcation that occurs when $\tau = \tau_{TW}$. The largescale oscillations presumably arise from a local spike motion coupled to memory effects of a time-dependent Green's function. Finally, it would be interesting to study the transition between spectral properties in the weak interaction regime $D = O(\varepsilon^2)$ and those analyzed here in the semi-strong regime D = O(1). In particular, for periodic waves of a sufficiently long wavelength it was proved in [12] that there is a continuous loop of spectra in the neighborhood of each isolated eigenvalue of the infinite-period homoclinic connection. For the GS model (1.3), this result pertains to a k-spike solution in the weak interaction regime $D = O(\varepsilon^2)$, where the spikes are separated by O(1) distances. In Remark 3.1 of §3, we have shown that our discrete critical spectra λ_j , $j = 1, \ldots, k$, for the Neumann problem, are all exponentially small when $D \ll 1$. However, our analysis is not valid when $D = O(\varepsilon^2)$. Therefore, it would be interesting to see how the discrete critical spectra emerge from a loop spectrum in terms of a homotopy parameter, such as $D = \varepsilon^{2-p}$ with $0 \le p \le 2$, which connects the weak and semi-strong interaction regimes.

In a more general context, as discussed in §1 and §2, our method of analysis is related to the SLEP method originally developed and analyzed for transition-layer stability problems associated with twocomponent reaction-diffusion systems in the semi-strong limit (cf. [32], [33], [34]). In these systems, where the nonlinearity in the fast variable is of bistable type, the study of the critical spectrum is reduced to the study of the spectrum of a matrix eigenvalue problem (cf. [34]). Under reasonable hypotheses, the critical spectrum for these problems are all negative and there are no bifurcations to other patterns (cf. [34]). In this sense, it would be worthwhile to extend the case-study analyses presented here, and for the GM model (1.4) in [17], [45], and [47], in order to develop a "SLEP method for spikes" for general two-component systems in the semi-strong regime, where the fast component has a homoclinic connection. For this class of systems, in what sense is it generic that the critical spectra will have simultaneous zero crossings leading to asymmetric spike patterns? In this more general context, once we have derived an equivalent auxiliary problem as in Principal Result 3.1, the matrix manipulations of Appendix B could then still be used to calculate the critical spectrum explicitly.

A The Instabilities of the Large Eigenvalues

In this appendix we summarize some stability results for the large eigenvalues of (2.13). For the low feedrate regime, a formal asymptotic analysis was used in §3.1 of [21] to derive a nonlocal eigenvalue problem (NLEP), which determines the stability of a k-spike equilibrium solution on an O(1) time-scale. The result in Principal Result 3.2 of [21] is as follows:

Principal Result A.1(From [21]): Let $\varepsilon \ll 1$ and $\mathcal{A} = O(1)$. Then, with $\Phi = \Phi(y)$, the O(1) eigenvalues of (2.13) satisfy the NLEP

$$L_0 \Phi - \chi w^2 \left(\frac{\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} w \Phi \, dy}{\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} w \, dy} \right) = \lambda \Phi, \qquad -\infty < y < \infty; \qquad \Phi \to 0, \quad \text{as} \quad |y| \to \infty.$$
(A.1a)

Here L_0 is the local operator $L_0 \Phi \equiv \Phi'' - \Phi + 2w\Phi$ associated with Fisher's equation. The k choices for the multiplier $\chi = \chi_j(z)$, and its reciprocal $C_j(z)$, for j = 1, ..., k, are given by

$$[C_j(\lambda)]^{-1} \equiv \chi_j(\lambda) = 2s \left(s + \frac{\sqrt{1+z}}{\tanh(\theta_0/k)} \left[\tanh(\theta_\lambda/k) + \frac{(1-\cos[\pi(j-1)/k])}{\sinh(2\theta_\lambda/k)} \right] \right)^{-1}, \quad (A.1b)$$

where $z \equiv \tau \lambda$, $\theta_{\lambda} \equiv \theta_0 \sqrt{1+z}$, and $\theta_0 \equiv D^{-1/2}$. Here *s* is given in (2.11). There are *k* independent choices for the vector of coefficients $\mathbf{b}^t = (b_1, \ldots, b_k)$ in (2.14), given explicitly by $\mathbf{b}_j^t = (b_{1,j}, \ldots, b_{k,j})$ where

$$\boldsymbol{b}_{1}^{t} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{k}} \left(1, \dots, 1\right); \quad b_{l,j} = \sqrt{\frac{2}{k}} \cos\left(\frac{\pi(j-1)}{k} \left(l-1/2\right)\right), \quad j = 2, \dots, k.$$
(A.2)

Here t denotes transpose.

There is an equivalent formulation of (A.1). A simple calculation shows that the eigenvalues of (A.1) with $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} w\Phi \, dy \neq 0$ are the union of the zeros of the functions $g_j(\lambda) = 0$ for j = 1, ..., k, where

$$g_j(\lambda) \equiv C_j(\lambda) - f(\lambda), \qquad f(\lambda) \equiv \frac{\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} w \left(L_0 - \lambda\right)^{-1} w^2 \, dy}{\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} w^2 \, dy}.$$
 (A.3)

Since $L_0w = w^2$, the condition $C_j(0) = 1$ implies that the NLEP problem (A.1) has a zero eigenvalue. From a rigorous analysis of the zeroes of $g_j(\lambda)$ in $\operatorname{Re}(\lambda) \ge 0$, the following main stability result for multi-spike solutions in the low feed-rate regime was obtained in Proposition 3.10 and 3.13 of [21]:

Proposition A.2(From [21]): The small solution u_+ , v_+ is unstable on an O(1) time-scale for any $0 < s < 1, k \ge 1$, and D > 0. Next, let k > 1, and consider the multi-spike large solution u_- , v_- , where s > 1. For $D < D_{kL}$, or $\mathcal{A} > \mathcal{A}_{kL}$, this solution will be stable on an O(1) time-scale when $0 < \tau < \tau_{hL}$, for some $\tau_{hL} > 0$. Alternatively, suppose that $D > D_{kL}$, or $\mathcal{A}_{ke} < \mathcal{A} < \mathcal{A}_{kL}$. Then, this solution is unstable for any $\tau > 0$. The thresholds \mathcal{A}_{kL} and D_{kL} are given explicitly by

$$D_{kL} \equiv \frac{4}{k^2 \left[\ln \left(r_k + \sqrt{r_k^2 - 1} \right) \right]^2}, \qquad \mathcal{A}_{kL} \equiv \mathcal{A}_{ke} \frac{\left((\gamma_k/2) + 2\sinh^2 \left(\theta_0/k \right) \right)}{\left(\left[(\gamma_k/2) + 2\sinh^2 \left(\theta_0/k \right) \right]^2 - \left(\gamma_k/2 \right)^2 \right)^{1/2}}, \qquad (A.4)$$

where $\gamma_k \equiv 1 + \cos(\pi/k)$ and $r_k \equiv 1 + \gamma_k/(s-1)$.

Suppose that \mathcal{A} satisfies $\mathcal{A} > \mathcal{A}_{kL}$. Then, as τ increases beyond τ_{hL} , a Hopf bifurcation in the spike amplitudes was computed numerically in [21]. The threshold τ_{hL} is given by the *minimum value* of the set τ_j , j = 1, ..., k, for which $g_j(\lambda) = 0$, j = 1, ..., k, has complex conjugate roots on the imaginary axis. Let $\lambda = \pm i\lambda_h$ be the corresponding value of λ . Then, as was shown in [21], the unstable eigenfunction most typically has the form of a synchronous oscillatory instability with

$$\nu \sim \nu_{-} + \delta e^{i\lambda_{h}t}\phi + \text{c.c}, \quad \phi(x) = \sum_{l=1}^{k} b_{l}\Phi\left[\varepsilon^{-1}(x-x_{l})\right], \quad b_{l} = 1, \dots, k.$$
(A.5)

Here c.c denotes complex conjugate and $\delta \ll 1$. This eigenfunction corresponds to b_1^t in (A.2)

Alternatively, suppose that $\mathcal{A}_{ke} < \mathcal{A} < \mathcal{A}_{kL}$. Then, for any $\tau > 0$, the dominant initial instability was shown in [21] to have the form

$$\nu \sim \nu_{-} + \delta e^{\lambda_{Rk} t} \phi, \quad \phi(x) = \sum_{l=1}^{k} b_{l,k} \Phi\left[\varepsilon^{-1}(x-x_l)\right], \quad b_{l,k} = \cos\left(\frac{\pi(k-1)}{k}\left(l-1/2\right)\right), \quad l = 1, .., k.$$
(A.6a)

Here $\delta \ll 1$, and $\lambda_{Rk} > 0$ is the unique root of $g_k(\lambda_R) = 0$. This form corresponds to the choice \mathbf{b}_k in (A.2). Since $\sum_{l=1}^k b_{l,k} = 0$, this instability locally conserves the sum of the heights of the spikes. Hence, it is referred to as a *competition instability*. The numerical experiments in §3.3 of [21] show that this instability leads to a spike competition process whereby certain spikes in a spike sequence are ultimately annihilated. The first qualitative discussion of competition instabilities for other systems, referred to there as activator re-pumping, was given in [19] and [18] ((see Sections 14.4.8, 15.3, Fig. 14.13, and Fig 14.16 of [18]).

In the intermediate regime $O(1) \ll \mathcal{A} \ll O(\varepsilon^{-1/2})$, corresponding to $s \to \infty$ in (2.11), the stability results for symmetric k-spike patterns simplify considerably. By letting $s \to \infty$ in (A.1), an instability occurs only when $\tau \gg 1$. In this way, the following result can be obtained:

Principal Result A.3(From [21]): Let $\varepsilon \ll 1$, D = O(1), and $O(1) \ll \mathcal{A} \ll O(\varepsilon^{-1/2})$. Then, the *k*-spike equilibrium large solution u_- , ν_- , is stable on an O(1) time-scale when $\tau < \tau_H$, where $\tau_H = O(\mathcal{A}^4)$ is given by

$$\tau_H \sim \frac{\mathcal{A}^4 D}{9} \tanh^4\left(\theta_0/k\right) \tau_{0h} \left(1 - \frac{6\theta_0}{\mathcal{A}^2 \tanh(\theta_0/k)}\right)^2 + o(1), \qquad (A.7)$$

and $\tau_{0h} = 1.748$. As τ increases past τ_H , stability is lost due to a Hopf bifurcation. The critical value for τ_{0h} is the minimum value of τ_0 for which the following NLEP has eigenvalues on the imaginary axis:

$$L_0 \Phi - \frac{2w^2}{1 + \sqrt{\tau_0 \lambda}} \left(\frac{\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} w \Phi \, dy}{\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} w \, dy} \right) = \lambda \Phi \,, \quad -\infty < y < \infty \,; \quad \Phi \to 0 \quad \text{as} \quad |y| \to \infty \,. \tag{A.8}$$

The result (A.7) is asymptotically equivalent to the stability result in the intermediate regime first derived in equation (5.16) of [7] in terms of an alternative dimensionless form of the GS model. In the form (A.7), this result was reported in Principal Result 4.2 and Proposition 4.3 of [21]. A rigorous analysis of (A.8) was given in [8], with an alternative analysis given in §4 of [21]. In the intermediate regime, competition instabilities for multi-spike solutions can only occur if $D \gg 1$, or equivalently if the inter-spike separation distance $L = \frac{2}{k}$ is too small. The result, as obtained in §4 of [21], is given in (3.42) above.

B Matrix Calculations of the Small Eigenvalues

In this appendix we give a brief outline of the derivation of the explicit formulae in Proposition 3.2 for the small eigenvalues. The solution to (3.25) is decomposed as

$$\tilde{\eta}_0(x) = \frac{1}{a_g} \left(\sum_{j=1}^k c_j g(x; x_j) + \sum_{j=1}^k m_j G(x; x_j) \right) , \qquad (B.1)$$

for some unknown coefficients m_j , for j = 1, ..., k. Here $G(x; x_j)$ is the Green's function satisfying (2.5), while $g(x; x_j)$ is the dipole Green's function satisfying

$$Dg_{xx} - g = -\delta'(x - x_j), \quad -1 < x < 1; \quad g_x(\pm 1; x_j) = 0.$$
 (B.2)

Define the vectors $\mathbf{m}^t \equiv (m_1, \ldots, m_k)$ and $\mathbf{c}^t \equiv (c_1, \ldots, c_k)$, where t denotes transpose. Then, by satisfying the jump conditions in (3.25b), we get a matrix problem for \mathbf{m} in terms of \mathbf{c} of the form

$$\left(-\frac{s}{a_g}\mathcal{G}+I\right)\boldsymbol{m} = \frac{s}{a_g}\mathcal{P}_g\mathbf{c}\,.\tag{B.3}$$

Here \mathcal{G} and \mathcal{P}_g are matrices associated with G and g, defined by

$$\mathcal{G} \equiv \begin{pmatrix} G(x_1; x_1) & \cdots & G(x_1; x_k) \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ G(x_k; x_1) & \cdots & G(x_k; x_k) \end{pmatrix}, \qquad \mathcal{P}_g \equiv \begin{pmatrix} \langle g(x_1; x_1) \rangle_1 & \cdots & g(x_1; x_k) \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ g(x_k; x_1) & \cdots & \langle g(x_k; x_k) \rangle_k \end{pmatrix}.$$
(B.4)

As in §3, the angle brackets in (B.4) again denote the average of the right and left-sided limits.

In (3.24) we must calculate $\langle \tilde{\eta}_{0x} \rangle^t \equiv (\langle \tilde{\eta}_{0x} \rangle_1, \dots, \langle \tilde{\eta}_{0x} \rangle_k)$. To do so, we use (B.1) to get

$$\langle \tilde{\eta}_{0x} \rangle = \frac{1}{a_g} \left(\mathcal{G}_g \boldsymbol{c} + \mathcal{P} \boldsymbol{m} \right) ,$$
 (B.5)

where \mathcal{G}_g and \mathcal{P} are two additional Green's function matrices defined by

$$\mathcal{G}_{g} \equiv \begin{pmatrix} g_{x}(x_{1};x_{1}) & \cdots & g_{x}(x_{1};x_{k}) \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ g_{x}(x_{k};x_{1}) & \cdots & g_{x}(x_{k};x_{k}) \end{pmatrix}, \qquad \mathcal{P} \equiv \begin{pmatrix} \langle G_{x}(x_{1};x_{1})\rangle_{1} & \cdots & G_{x}(x_{1};x_{k}) \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ G_{x}(x_{k};x_{1}) & \cdots & \langle G_{x}(x_{k};x_{k})\rangle_{k} \end{pmatrix}.$$
(B.6)

Next, we define a new eigenvalue variable σ in terms of λ by

$$\lambda = \frac{2\varepsilon^2 s}{a_g} \sigma \,. \tag{B.7}$$

Combining (3.24), (B.5), and (B.7), we obtain a matrix eigenvalue problem for σ and c given by

$$\mathcal{G}_g \boldsymbol{c} + \mathcal{P} \boldsymbol{m} = \left(\sigma + \frac{a_g}{D}\right) \boldsymbol{c},$$
 (B.8)

where \boldsymbol{m} is determined in terms of \boldsymbol{c} by (B.3).

In Appendices A and C of [17] it was shown that the inverses of \mathcal{G} and \mathcal{G}_g are tridiagonal matrices, which are given explicitly by

$$\mathcal{G} = \frac{\mathcal{B}^{-1}}{\sqrt{D}}, \qquad \mathcal{G}_g = \frac{\mathcal{B}_g^{-1}}{D^{3/2}}, \tag{B.9}$$

Here \mathcal{B} is a tridiagonal matrix defined by

$$\mathcal{B} \equiv \begin{pmatrix} d & f & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ f & e & f & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & f & e & \ddots & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & f & e & f & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & f & d \end{pmatrix},$$
(B.10a)

with matrix entries

$$d \equiv \coth\left(2\theta_0/k\right) + \tanh\left(\theta_0/k\right); \qquad e \equiv 2\coth\left(2\theta_0/k\right); \qquad f \equiv -\operatorname{csch}\left(2\theta_0/k\right). \tag{B.10b}$$

Here $\theta_0 \equiv D^{-1/2}$. The matrix \mathcal{B}_g has exactly the same tridiagonal form as in (B.10a), except that the coefficients d, e, and f, in (B.10b) are to be replaced with d_g , e_g , and f_g , respectively, where

$$d_g \equiv \coth(2\theta_0/k) + \coth(\theta_0/k), \qquad e_g \equiv 2\coth(2\theta_0/k), \qquad f_g \equiv -\operatorname{csch}(2\theta_0/k). \tag{B.11}$$

A key condition is that we can solve (B.3) for \boldsymbol{m} in terms of \boldsymbol{c} . This requires that the matrix in (B.3) is invertible. Using (2.6) for a_g and (B.9) for \mathcal{G} , we can write this invertibility condition as

$$s\gamma - \kappa_j \neq 0, \quad j = 1, \dots, k, \qquad \gamma \equiv \left[a_g \sqrt{D}\right]^{-1} = 2 \tanh\left(\theta_0/k\right).$$
 (B.12)

Here κ_j is an eigenvalue of \mathcal{B} . The spectra κ_j and q_j of \mathcal{B} was calculated in Proposition 2 of [17] as

$$\kappa_j = 2 \tanh\left(\theta_0/k\right) + 2 \left[1 - \cos\left(\frac{\pi(j-1)}{k}\right)\right] \operatorname{csch}\left(2\theta_0/k\right), \qquad j = 1, \dots, k,$$
(B.13a)

$$q_1^t = \frac{1}{\sqrt{k}} (1, \dots, 1) ; \quad q_{l,j} = \sqrt{\frac{2}{k}} \cos\left(\frac{\pi(j-1)}{k} (l-1/2)\right), \quad j = 2, \dots, k.$$
 (B.13b)

Here $\boldsymbol{q}_j^t = (q_{1,j}, \ldots, q_{k,j})$. The invertibility condition (B.12) has an interpretation in terms of the large eigenvalues of Appendix A. To see this, we write $C_j(0)$ in (A.3) and (A.1b) in terms of κ_j as

$$C_j(0) = 1 - \frac{1}{2s\gamma} \left(s\gamma - \kappa_j \right) \,. \tag{B.14}$$

In Appendix A it was shown that $C_j(0) = 1$ implies that the NLEP problem of Principal Result A.1 has a zero eigenvalue. Therefore, (B.12) is equivalent to the condition that the parameters s, k, and D, do not correspond to a zero large eigenvalue. Assuming that (B.12) holds, we can combine (B.3) and (B.8) to get

$$\mathcal{G}_{g}\boldsymbol{c} + \frac{s}{a_{g}}\mathcal{P}\left(-\frac{s}{a_{g}}\mathcal{G} + I\right)^{-1}\mathcal{P}_{g}\boldsymbol{c} = \left(\sigma + \frac{a_{g}}{D}\right)\boldsymbol{c}.$$
(B.15)

To calculate σ in (B.15) explicitly, we first introduce the spectral decomposition of \mathcal{B}

$$\mathcal{B} = \mathcal{Q}\mathcal{K}\mathcal{Q}^t \,. \tag{B.16}$$

Here \mathcal{Q} is the orthogonal matrix of eigenvectors \boldsymbol{q}_j of \mathcal{B} , and \mathcal{K} is the diagonal matrix of eigenvalues κ_j . In addition, we use the following key identity of equation (4.37c) of [17]:

$$\mathcal{PB} = -\left(\mathcal{P}_g \mathcal{B}_g\right)^t \,. \tag{B.17}$$

By substituting (B.9), (B.16), and (B.17), into (B.15), and assuming that the invertibility condition (B.12) holds, we can derive the following generalized symmetric eigenvalue problem:

$$\mathcal{B}_g \boldsymbol{u} = \omega \left(I + \mathcal{R} \right) \boldsymbol{u} \,. \tag{B.18a}$$

Here the symmetric matrix \mathcal{R} is defined in terms of a diagonal matrix \mathcal{D} by

$$\mathcal{R} \equiv \left(\mathcal{P}_{g}\mathcal{B}_{g}\right)^{t} Q \mathcal{D} Q^{t} \mathcal{P}_{g}\mathcal{B}_{g}, \qquad \mathcal{D}_{jj} = \frac{s D^{2} \gamma}{s \gamma - \kappa_{j}}, \quad j = 1, \dots, k, \qquad \gamma \equiv 2 \tanh\left(\frac{\theta_{0}}{k}\right). \tag{B.18b}$$

The spectra of (B.18) and (B.15) are related by

$$\sigma = \frac{1}{D^{3/2}} \left(\frac{1}{\omega} - \frac{1}{\gamma} \right), \qquad \boldsymbol{c} = \mathcal{B}_g \boldsymbol{u}.$$
(B.19)

Since \mathcal{B}_g is invertible, then $\omega = 0$ is not an eigenvalue.

In §4.2 of [17] (see equations (4.47)–(4.57) of [17]) it was shown that \mathcal{R} and \mathcal{B}_g have the same eigenspace. In Proposition 9 of [17] the eigenvalues ξ_j and eigenvectors u_j of \mathcal{B}_g were calculated explicitly as

$$\xi_j = 2 \coth\left(\frac{2\theta_0}{k}\right) - 2 \operatorname{csch}\left(\frac{2\theta_0}{k}\right) \cos\left(\frac{\pi j}{k}\right), \qquad j = 1, \dots, k, \qquad (B.20a)$$

$$\boldsymbol{u}_{k}^{t} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{k}} \left(1, -1, 1, \dots, (-1)^{k+1} \right); \quad \boldsymbol{u}_{l,j} = \sqrt{\frac{2}{k}} \sin\left(\frac{\pi j}{k} \left(l - 1/2\right)\right), \quad j = 1, \dots, k-1.$$
(B.20b)

Here $\boldsymbol{u}_j^t = (u_{1,j}, \ldots, u_{k,j})$. The matrix \mathcal{R} has the same eigenvectors as \mathcal{B}_g and its eigenvalues z_j for $j = 1, \ldots, k$ can be calculated explicitly as (see equation (4.56) of [17])

$$z_j = \frac{s\gamma}{s\gamma - \xi_j} \operatorname{csch}^2\left(\frac{2\theta_0}{k}\right) \sin^2\left(\frac{\pi j}{k}\right), \qquad j = 1, \dots, k.$$
(B.21)

Therefore, \boldsymbol{u}_i is an eigenvector of (B.18a), with eigenvalue

$$\omega_j = \xi_j / (1 + z_j), \qquad j = 1, \dots, k,$$
 (B.22)

Next, we substitute (B.20a) and (B.21) into (B.22). Then, from (B.19), we can determine λ_j in (B.7). The result is given in (3.26a) of Proposition 3.2.

Since $c_j = \mathcal{B}_g u_j = \xi_j u_j$, the components of each eigenvector of \mathcal{B}_g generates a set of coefficients c_1, \ldots, c_k in (3.5b). This is expressed in (3.27a). Finally, we calculate the $O(\varepsilon)$ term in the eigenfunction of (3.27a). From (3.10), (3.11), (3.14), and (3.23), we obtain

$$c_j\phi_{1j} \sim f(x_j)w_j = \frac{\langle \eta_0 \rangle_j}{\mathcal{A}U_{\pm}^2} = \frac{6a_g}{\mathcal{A}^2 U_{\pm}^2} \langle \tilde{\eta}_0 \rangle_j = s \langle \tilde{\eta}_0 \rangle_j, \qquad j = 1, \dots, k.$$
(B.23)

We then use (B.1) and (B.3) to write $\langle \tilde{\eta}_0 \rangle^t = (\langle \tilde{\eta}_0 \rangle_1, \dots, \langle \tilde{\eta}_0 \rangle_k)$ as

$$\langle \tilde{\boldsymbol{\eta}}_0 \rangle = \frac{1}{a_g} \left(\mathcal{P}_g \boldsymbol{c} + \mathcal{G} \boldsymbol{m} \right) = \frac{1}{a_g} \left(I + \frac{s}{a_g} \mathcal{G} \left(-\frac{s}{a_g} \mathcal{G} + I \right)^{-1} \right) \mathcal{P}_g \boldsymbol{c} \,. \tag{B.24}$$

Then, by using (B.9), we can write $\langle \tilde{\eta}_0 \rangle$ as in (3.27b).

Acknowledgements

T. K. was supported by a PGS-B graduate scholarship from NSERC (Canada). M. J. W. thanks the grant support of NSERC, and the hospitality of the IMS of the Chinese University of Hong Kong. J. W. thanks the support of RGC of Hong Kong and a direct grant from CUHK.

References

- N. D. Alikakos, P. Bates, G. Fusco, Slow Motion for the Cahn-Hilliard Equation in One Space Dimension, J. Differential Equations, 90, No. 1, (1991), pp. 81–135.
- [2] N. D. Alikakos, X. Chen, G. Fusco, Motion of a Droplet by Surface Tension Along the Boundary, Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations, 11, No. 3, (2000), pp. 233-305.
- [3] N. D. Alikakos, G. Fusco, C. Stephanopolous, Critical Spectrum and Stability of Interfaces for a Class of Reaction-Diffusion Equations, J. Differential Equations, 126, No. 1, (1996), pp. 106-167.
- [4] X. Chen, Spectrum for the Allen-Cahn, Cahn-Hilliard, and Phase-Field Equations for Generic Interfaces, Comm. Partial Differential Equations, 19, No. 7-8, (1994), pp. 1371-1395.
- [5] A. Doelman, W. Eckhaus, T. J. Kaper, Slowly Modulated Two-Pulse Solutions in the Gray-Scott Model I: Asymptotic Construction and Stability, SIAM J. Appl. Math., 61, No. 3, (2000), pp. 1080-1102.
- [6] A. Doelman, W. Eckhaus, T. J. Kaper, Slowly Modulated Two-Pulse Solutions in the Gray-Scott Model II: Geometric Theory, Bifurcations, and Splitting Dynamics, SIAM J. Appl. Math., 61, No. 6, (2000), pp. 2036-2061.
- [7] A. Doelman, R. A. Gardner, T. J. Kaper, Stability Analysis of Singular Patterns in the 1D Gray-Scott Model: A Matched Asymptotics Approach, Physica D, 122, No. 1-4, (1998), pp. 1-36.

- [8] A. Doelman, R. A. Gardner, T. Kaper, A Stability Index Analysis of 1-D Patterns of the Gray Scott Model, Memoirs of the AMS, 155, No. 737, (2002).
- [9] A. Doelman, T. Kaper, H. van der Ploeg, Spatially Periodic and Aperiodic Multi-Pulse Patterns in the One-Dimensional Gierer Meinhardt Model, Methods and Appl. of Analysis, 8, No. 3, (2001), pp. 387-414.
- [10] A. Doelman, T. J. Kaper, P. Zegeling, Pattern Formation in the One-Dimensional Gray-Scott Model, Nonlinearity, 10, No. 2, (1997), pp. 523-563.
- [11] A. Doelman, R. Gardner, T. Kaper, Large Stable Pulse Solutions in Reaction-Diffusion Systems, Indiana Math J., 50, No. 1, (2001), pp. 443-507.
- [12] R. A. Gardner, Spectral Analysis of Long Wavelength Periodic Waves and Applications, J. Reine Angew. Math. 491, (1997), pp. 149-181.
- [13] A. Gierer, H. Meinhardt, A Theory of Biological Pattern Formation, Kybernetik, 12, (1972), pp. 30– 39.
- [14] P. Gray, S. K. Scott, Autocatalytic Reactions in the Isothermal, Continuous Stirred Tank Reactor: Oscillations and Instabilities in the System $A + 2B \rightarrow 3B$, $B \rightarrow C$, Chem. Eng. Sci. **39**, (1984), pp. 1087-1097.
- [15] T. Ikeda, Y. Nishiura, Pattern Selection for Two Breathers, SIAM J. Appl. Math., 54, No. 1, (1994), pp. 195-230.
- [16] D. Iron, M. J. Ward, The Dynamics of Multi-Spike Solutions to the One-Dimensional Gierer-Meinhardt Model, SIAM J. Appl. Math., 62, No. 6, (2002), pp. 1924-1951.
- [17] D. Iron, M. J. Ward, J. Wei, The Stability of Spike Solutions to the One-Dimensional Gierer-Meinhardt Model, Physica D, 150, No. 1-2, (2001), pp. 25-62.
- [18] B. S. Kerner, V. V. Osipov, Autosolitions: A New Approach to Problem of Self-Organization and Turbulence, Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, (1994).
- [19] B. S. Kerner, V. V. Osipov, On the Spontaneous Onset of Irregular or Pulsating Structures at the Stratification of the Uniform State of Nonequilibrium Systems, Sov. Phys., Doklady, 28, No. 6, (1983), pp. 485-487.
- [20] T. Kolokolnikov, M. J. Ward, Bifurcation of Spike Equilibria in a Near Shadow Reaction-Diffusion System, Disc. Cont. Dyn. Sys. Series B, Vol. 4, No. 4, (2004), pp. 1033-1064.
- [21] T. Kolokolnikov, M. J. Ward, J. Wei, The Existence and Stability of Spike Equilibria in the One-Dimensional Gray-Scott Model: The Low Feed-Rate Regime, Studies in Appl. Math., 115, No. 1, (2005), pp. 21–71.
- [22] T. Kolokolnikov, M.J. Ward, J. Wei, The Existence and Stability of Spike Equilibria in the One-Dimensional Gray-Scott Model: The Pulse-Splitting Regime, Physica D, Vol. 202, No. 3-4, (2005), pp. 258–293.
- [23] T. Kolokolnikov, M. J. Ward, J. Wei Zigzag and Breakup Instabilities of Stripes and Rings in the Two-Dimensional Gray-Scott Model, Studies in Applied Math, 116, No. 1, (2006), pp. 35–95.
- [24] K. J. Lee, W. D. McCormick, J. E. Pearson, H. L. Swinney, Experimental Observation of Self-Replicating Spots in a Reaction-Diffusion System, Nature, 369, (1994), pp. 215-218.
- [25] K. J. Lee, H. L. Swinney, Lamellar Structures and Self-Replicating Spots in a Reaction-Diffusion System, Phys. Rev. E., 51, (1995), pp. 1899-1915.

- [26] H. Meinhardt, Models of Biological Pattern Formation, Academic Press, London, (1982).
- [27] M. Mimura, N. Nishiura, Layer Oscillations in Reaction Diffusion Systems, SIAM J. Appl. Math., 49, No. 2, (1989), pp. 481-514.
- [28] C. Muratov, V. V. Osipov, Traveling Spike Auto-Solitons in the Gray-Scott Model, Physica D, 155, No. 1-2, (2001), pp. 112-131.
- [29] C. Muratov, V. V. Osipov, Stability of the Static Spike Autosolitons in the Gray-Scott Model, SIAM J. Appl. Math., 62, No. 5, (2002), pp. 1463-1487.
- [30] C. Muratov, V. V. Osipov, Static Spike Autosolitons in the Gray-Scott Model, J. Phys. A: Math Gen. 33, (2000), pp. 8893-8916.
- [31] NAG Fortran library Mark 17, routine D03PCF, Numerical Algorithms Group Ltd., Oxford, United Kingdom (1995).
- [32] Y. Nishiura, H. Fujii, Stability of Singularly Perturbed Solutions to Systems of Reaction-Diffusion Equations, SIAM J. Math. Anal., 18, (1987), pp. 1726-1770.
- [33] Y. Nishiura, M. Mimura, H. Ikeda, H. Fujii, Singular Limit Analysis of Stability of Traveling Wave Solutions in Bistable Reaction-Diffusion Systems, SIAM J. Math. Anal., 21, (1990), pp. 85-122.
- [34] Y. Nishiura, H. Fujii, SLEP Method to the Stability of Singularly Perturbed Solutions with Multiple Internal Transition Layers in Reaction-Diffusion Systems, in Dynamics of Infinite-Dimensional Systems (Lisbon, 1986), pp. 211-230, NATO Adv. Sci. Inst. Ser. F Comput. Systems Sci, 37, Springer, Berlin (1987).
- [35] Y. Nishiura, T. Teramoto, K. Ueda, Scattering and Separators in Dissipative Systems, Phys. Rev. E., 67, No. 5, 56210, (2003).
- [36] Y. Nishiura, D. Ueyama, A Skeleton Structure of Self-Replicating Dynamics, Physica D, 130, No. 1-2, (1999), pp. 73-104.
- [37] Y. Nishiura, D. Ueyama, Spatio-Temporal Chaos for the Gray-Scott Model, Physica D, 150, No. 3-4, (2001), pp. 137-162.
- [38] J. E. Pearson, Complex Patterns in a Simple System, Science, 216, (1993), pp. 189-192.
- [39] V. Petrov, S. K. Scott, K. Showalter, Excitability, Wave Reflection, and Wave Splitting in a Cubic Autocatalysis Reaction-Diffusion System, Phil. Trans. Roy. Soc. Lond., Ser. A, 347, (1994), pp. 631-642.
- [40] X. Ren, J. Wei, Wriggled Lamellar Solutions and their Stability in the Diblock Copolymer Problem, preprint, (2003).
- [41] W. N. Reynolds, S. Ponce-Dawson, J. E. Pearson, Dynamics of Self-Replicating Spots in Reaction-Diffusion Systems, Phys. Rev. E, 56, No. 1, (1997), pp. 185-198.
- [42] W. Sun, T. Tang, M. J. Ward, J. Wei, Numerical Challenges for Resolving Spike Dynamics for Two Reaction-Diffusion Systems, Studies in Appl. Math., 111, No. 1, (2003), pp. 41-84.
- [43] W. Sun, M. J. Ward, R. Russell, The Slow Dynamics of Two-Spike Solutions for the Gray-Scott and Gierer-Meinhardt Systems: Competition and Oscillatory Instabilities, SIAM J. App. Dyn. Systems, 4, No. 4, (2005), pp. 904–953.
- [44] D. Ueyama, Dynamics of Self-Replicating Patterns in the One-Dimensional Gray-Scott Model, Hokkaido Math J., 28, No. 1, (1999), pp. 175-210.

- [45] M. J. Ward, J. Wei, Asymmetric Spike Patterns for the One-Dimensional Gierer-Meinhardt Model: Equilibria and Stability, Europ. J. Appl. Math., 13, No. 3, (2002), pp. 283-320.
- [46] M. J. Ward, J. Wei, Hopf Bifurcations and Oscillatory Instabilities of Spike Solutions for the One-Dimensional Gierer-Meinhardt Model, Journal of Nonlinear Science, 13, No. 2, (2003), pp. 209-264.
- [47] J. Wei, M. Winter, Existence and Stability Analysis of Asymmetric Patterns for the Gierer-Meinhardt System, J. Math. Pures Appl. (9), 83, No. 4, (2004), pp. 433-476.