

# Solutions to MATH 516-101, Assignment 4, 2016-2017

(Sketch)

1. Prove it by contradiction.  $\exists$  a sequence

$$\int_{\Omega} u_k^2 = 1, \quad \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u_k|^2 \leq \frac{1}{k}$$

$$|\{u_k = 0\}| \geq \alpha$$

Let  $u_k \rightarrow u_0$  weakly in  $H^1$ . Then  $\int_{\Omega} u_0^2 = 1, \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u_0|^2 = 0$

Hence  $u_0 \equiv \text{constant}$ .

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega} (u_k - u_0)^2 &= \int_{\Omega \cap \{u_k = 0\}} (u_k - u_0)^2 + \int_{\Omega \setminus \{u_k = 0\}} (u_k - u_0)^2 \\ &\geq C \{ |u_k|_0 \} + \int_{\Omega \setminus \{u_k = 0\}} (u_k - u_0)^2 \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\int_{\Omega \cap \{u_k = 0\}} (u_k - u_0)^2 \leq \int_{\Omega} (u_k - u_0)^2 \rightarrow 0$ . We obtain a contradiction.

2. (a) Let  $\eta$  be a cut-off in  $B_1(0)$ . Let  $u_k(x) = \eta(x - k e_1)$ .

(b) Take  $\eta$  and rescale as

$$\eta_\lambda = \lambda^\beta \eta(\lambda x) \quad \text{such that}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \int |\nabla^2 \eta_\lambda|^2 &= \int |\nabla (\lambda^\beta \eta)|^2 \\ \int \eta_\lambda^{\frac{2n}{n-4}} &= \int \eta^{\frac{2n}{n-4}} \end{aligned}$$

(c)  $2p < n \Rightarrow W^{2,p} \hookrightarrow L^{\frac{n}{2}}, \gamma < \frac{2n}{n-4}$ , compact;  $f = \frac{2y}{n-4p}$ , continuous

$\frac{n}{2} < p < n \Rightarrow W^{2,p} \hookrightarrow C^{1,\alpha}, 0 < \alpha \leq 2 - \frac{n}{p}$ , compact;  $\varphi = 2 - \frac{n}{p}$ , continuous

$p \geq \frac{n}{2} \Rightarrow W^{2,p} \hookrightarrow C^{1,\alpha}, \alpha < 1 - \frac{n}{p}$ , compact;  $\alpha = 1 - \frac{n}{p}$ , continuous

3. We identify  $H^1(U)$  with its continuous representative in  $C([0,1])$ .

• By trace theorem,

$$H_0^1(U) = \{u \in H^1(U) \mid u(0) = 0, u(1) = 0\}$$

The orthogonal complement of  $H_0^1(U)$  (in  $H^1(U)$ ) is  $u \in (H_0^1(U))^\perp \iff$

$$\langle u, v \rangle = 0, \forall v \in H_0^1(0,1) \iff \int_0^1 (uv + u'v') dx = 0, \forall v \in H_0^1(U)$$

so  $u$  is a weak solution of the ODE

$$-u'' + u = 0$$

By regularity,  $u \in W^{2,2}$ . Hence  $u = c_1 e^x + c_2 e^{-x}$ . So

$$H^1(0,1) = H_0^1(0,1) \oplus E$$

where  $E$  is the two dimensional subspace of  $H^1$  spanned by  $\{e^x, e^{-x}\}$ .

Thus

$$(H^1(0,1))^* = (H_0^1(0,1))^* \oplus E^*$$

If  $f \in (H^1(0,1))^*$ , and  $u = u_0 + c_1 e^x + c_2 e^{-x}$  where  $u_0 \in H_0^1(0,1)$ , then

$$(f, u) = (f_0, u_0) + a_1 c_1 + a_2 c_2$$

where  $f_0 \in H^1(U)$  is the restriction of  $f$  to  $H_0^1(0)$  and

$$a_1 = (f, e^x), a_2 = (f, e^{-x})$$

4.(a) The equality is simple. We show existence

Let  $\Sigma = \{u \in H^1(U) \mid \int_{\Omega} u = 0\}$ . Show that  $\Sigma$  is a closed subspace of  $H^1$ .

We define a bilinear form

$$B[u, v] = \int_{\Omega} Du \cdot Dv \quad \forall u, v \in \Sigma$$

By Poincaré, check  $B[u, v]$  satisfies the two condition.

By Lax-Milgram, we prove the existence of weak sol'n.

(b) It is easy to see that if a solution exists then  
(strong)

$$\int_U D_u \cdot Dv + \int_{\partial U} u \cdot v = \int_U f v$$

By trace theorem,  $\int_{\partial U} u \cdot v$  makes sense for  $u, v \in H^1(U)$ .

Now define bilinear

$$B[u, v] = \int_U D_u \cdot Dv + \int_{\partial U} u \cdot v$$

and  $\Sigma = H_0^1(U)$ .

check  $B[u, v]$  is bounded (by trace).

$$B[u, u] = \int_U |Dv|^2 + \int_{\partial U} u^2$$

Use contradiction argument to show the following new Poincaré inequality

$$\int_U u^2 \leq \int_U |Du|^2 + \int_{\partial U} u^2.$$

Then Lax-Milgram theorem gives the existence

3.  $v = D_K^{-h} (D_K^h u)$

$$\begin{aligned} \int g(u) D_K^{-h} D_K^h u &= \int D_K^h (g(u)) D_K^h u \\ &= \int \frac{g(u(x+h e_i)) - g(u(x))}{h} \cdot \frac{u(x+h e_i) - u(x)}{h} \geq 0 \end{aligned}$$

by our assumption.