FINITE STATURE IN ARTIN GROUPS

KASIA JANKIEWICZ

ABSTRACT. We give criteria for a graph of groups to have finite stature with respect to its collection of vertex groups, in the sense of Huang-Wise. We apply it to the triangle Artin groups that were previously shown to split as a graph of groups. This allows us to deduce residual finiteness, and expands the list of Artin groups known to be residually finite.

1. Introduction

A group G has *finite stature* with respect to a collection of subgroups Ω, if for every $H \in \Omega$ there are only finitely many H-conjugacy classes of subgroups of the form $H \cap \bigcap_{i\in I} H_i^{g_i}$ where $H_i^{g_i}$ is a G-conjugate of an element $H_i \in \Omega$. Finite stature was introduced by Huang-Wise in [HW26] where they proved that under certain assumptions the fundamental group G of a graph of groups has certain separability properties, provided that G has finite stature with respect to its collection of vertex groups. In [HW24] the same authors showed that a graph of nonpositively curved cube complexes X with word hyperbolic fundamental group is virtually special, provided that $\pi_1 X$ has finite stature with respect to the vertex groups in the corresponding splitting as a graph of groups. Finite stature is closely related to the more classical notion of finite *height*, introduced and studied in [GMRS98].

The goals of this paper are two-fold. Firstly, we illustrate that the notion of finite stature is satisfied and useful in well-studied groups arising naturally in topology. Indeed, we provide explicit examples of very different nature than the groups studied in [HW26, HW24], as they are not hyperbolic and not virtually compact special. Specifically we show that the splittings of certain Artin groups obtained by the author in [Jan22, Jan24] have finite stature with respect to the vertex groups. Secondly, we deduce the residual finiteness of those Artin groups, which was previously not known in some cases.

A *triangle Artin group* is an Artin group on three generators, given by the presentation

$$G_{MNP} = \langle a, b, c \mid (a,b)_M = (b,a)_M,\ \ (b,c)_N = (c,b)_N,\ \ (c,a)_P = (a,c)_P \rangle,$$

where $(a,b)_M$ denotes the alternating word $aba\dots$ of length M.

Theorem 1.1. A triangle Artin group G_{MNP} splits as graphs of free groups with finite stature with respect to its collection of vertex groups, provided that either $M > 2$ or $N > 3$, where we assume that $M \le N \le P$.

As a consequence (using results of [HW26]) we obtain the following.

Corollary 1.2. A triangle Artin group G_{MNP}, where $M \le N \le P$ and either $M > 2$ or $N > 3$, is residually finite.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 20F36, 20F65, 20E26.

Key words and phrases. Artin groups, Finite stature, Graphs of groups, Residual finiteness.

The condition on M, N, P in Theorem 1.1 excludes the cases $(M, N, P) = (2, 2, P)$ and $(M, N, P) = (2, 3, P)$. In the first case, the corresponding Artin group G_{MNP} is isomorphic to $Z \times A_P$ where A_P denotes a dihedral Artin group, and consequently G_{MNP} does not split as a graph of free groups, but is well-known to be residually finite. Wu-Ye have recently shown that $G_{2,3,P}$ with $p \geq 6$ splits as a graphs of finite rank free groups if and only if P is even [WY25]. In a subsequent work, Meyer proved that G_{23P} with P even has finite stature with respect to its collection of vertex groups [Mey24]. It remains open whether G_{23P} with $P \geq 7$ is residually finite.

There are a few other classes of Artin group that are known to be residually finite. In the case of spherical type Artin groups, residual finiteness follows from linearity [Kra02, Big01, CW02, Dig03]. The linearity of a few other Artin groups was established as a consequence of being virtually special [Liu13, PW14], but none of the triangle Artin groups considered in Thereom 1.1 admit virtual geometric actions on CAT(0) cube complexes [HJP16, Hae21]. Residual finiteness of some other Artin groups was proven in [BGJP18, BGMPP19].

Some, but not all, of the groups considered in the above corollary were proven to virtually split as *algebraically clean* graphs of free groups, i.e. graphs of finite rank free groups where all inclusions of edge groups in the adjacent vertex groups are inclusions as free factors, in [Jan22, Jan24]. Such groups are known to be residually finite [Wis02]. Our method allows us to deduce residual finiteness of new Artin groups, but also recover the residual finiteness of the Artin groups treated in [Jan22, Jan24].

Group virtually splitting as algebraically clean graphs of groups satisfy some stronger profinite properties than residual finiteness, some of which are discussed in the forthcoming paper [JS25]. We do not know whether all the groups considered in this paper are in fact virtually algebraically clean. More generally, the following is open.

Question 1.3. Let G be a graph of finite rank free groups with finite stature with respect to its collection of vertex groups. Does G have a finite index subgroup whose induced splitting is algebraically clean?

The converse is known to be false, as there are examples of algebraically clean graphs of free groups that do not have finite stature [HW26, Exmp 3.31]. On the other hand, we do not know whether there exists a group G splitting as an algebraically clean graph of groups such that G does not have finite stature with respect to *any* splitting with free vertex groups.

This paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we state some facts about maps between graphs and free groups, and fix the notation and terminology. Section 3 discusses the notion of finite stature, and we prove some facts used later in the text. Section 4 studies certain families of graphs of free groups. Finally, Section 5 is devoted to Artin groups, and contains computations that allow us to apply the results from earlier sections to prove Theorem 1.1.

Acknowledgements. The author thanks Jingyin Huang and Dani Wise for fruitful discussions, and the anonymous referee for their corrections and suggestions. This material is based upon work supported by the National Science Foundation grants DMS-2203307, DMS-2238198, and DMS-1926686.

2. Preliminaries

2.1. **Maps between graphs.** A *combinatorial graph* Γ is a disjoint union $V(\Gamma) \sqcup E(\Gamma)$ together with the operation $E(\Gamma) \to E(\Gamma), e \mapsto \bar{e}$ of taking the *opposite edge* (i.e. the same edge with opposite orientation), and the operation $E(\Gamma) \to V(\Gamma), e \mapsto \tau(e)$ of taking the *endpoint* of an oriented edge.

A *metric graph* is a combinatorial graph that can also be viewed as a 1-dimensional CW-complex, with a path metric in which each 1-cell has length 1. Later, we will be considering graphs of free groups and corresponding graphs of spaces where the spaces are graphs as well. We will denote the underlying graph of the graph of groups/graphs by Γ, while the vertex and edge spaces will be denoted by letters such as X, Y and will be viewed as metric graphs. The following definitions will be applied to graphs arising as vertex and edge spaces.

A continuous map $\phi : Y \to X$ between two metric graphs is *combinatorial*, if the image of each 0-cell of Y is a 0-cell of X, and while restricted to an open 1-cell with endpoints y_1, y_2, ϕ is an isometry onto an edge with endpoints $\phi(y_1), \phi(y_2)$. A *combinatorial immersion* is a combinatorial map $f : Y \to X$ which is locally injective. Every combinatorial immersion is π_1-injective [Sta83, Prop 5.3]. Also, every combinatorial immersion can be "completed" to a covering map by attaching trees to Y, without changing its homotopy type.

A *Stalling's fold* is a combinatorial map $f : Y \to X$ where

- there exist distinct edges $y_1, y_2 \in E(Y)$ such that $\tau(\bar{y}_1) = \tau(\bar{y}_2)$, and $E(X) = E(Y)/y_1 \sim y_2$,
- $V(X) = V(Y)/\tau(y_1) \sim \tau(y_2)$, and
- f is the natural quotient map, where $f(y_1) = f(y_2)$.

We note that f is a homotopy equivalence if and only if $\tau(y_1) \neq \tau(y_2)$.

We will also consider more general maps between graphs than combinatorial.

Definition 2.1. A continuous map $\phi : Y \to X$ between two metric graphs is *monotone*, if the image of each 0-cell of Y is a 0-cell of X, and while restricted to each 1-cell y of Y, ϕ is either constant and its image is a 0-cell x in X, or ϕ is a combinatorial map after possibly subdividing y into n nontrivial subintervals.

Here are two important examples of monotone maps. An *edge-subdivision* is a monotone map $f : Y \to X$ where

- there exists an edge $y \in E(Y)$ and edges $y_1, \ldots, y_k \in E(X)$ where $k \geq 2$ such that $f(y)$ is equal the path $y_1 \cdots y_k$,
- $E(Y) - \{y\} = E(X) - \{y_1, \ldots, y_k\}$, and f is the identity map on $E(Y) - \{y\}$,
- $V(X) = V(Y) \sqcup \{\tau(y_1), \ldots, \tau(y_{k-1})\}$, and $\tau(y_i) = \tau(\bar{y}_{i+1})$ for all $i = 1, \ldots, k-1$ (i.e. $y_1 \cdots y_k$ is a path in X),

An edge-subdivision is always a homotopy equivalence. An *edge-collapse* is a monotone map $f : Y \to X$ where

- there exist an edge $y \in E(Y)$ such that $E(X) = E(Y) - \{y\}$,
- $V(X) = V(Y)/\tau(y) \sim \tau(\bar{y})$, and
- f is the natural quotient map, where $f_{|y}$ is constant.

Similarly, an edge-collapse is a homotopy equivalence if and only if $\tau(y) \neq \tau(\bar{y})$, i.e. if y is not a loop.

The following proposition provides a useful factorization of every monotone map.

Proposition 2.2. Every monotone map $\phi : Y \to X$ factors as $Y \xrightarrow{\sigma} \overline{Y} \xrightarrow{\iota} X$ where

- $\sigma : Y \to \overline{Y}$ is obtained by a sequence of edge-subdivisions, Stalling's folds, edge-collapses,

- $\iota : \overline{Y} \to X$ is a combinatorial immersion.

Proof. Every combinatorial map factors as a sequence of Stallings-folds followed by a combinatorial immersion [Sta83, Sec 3.3]. By definition, a monotone map ϕ restricted to an edge is either an edge-collapse, or an edge-subdivision post-composed with a combinatorial map. The statement follows. ☐

2.2. **Subgroups of free groups.** Let X be a metric graph with a basepoint $x \in X$, and let $F = \pi_1(X, x)$.

As noted above, for every combinatorial immersion $Y \to X$ and $y \in Y$ that maps to x, the fundamental group $\pi_1(Y, y)$ naturally embeds as a subgroup of F [Sta83, Prop 5.3], in which case we say $(Y, y) \to (X, x)$ *represents* $\pi_1(Y, y)$. Two such groups $\pi_1(Y, y)$ and $\pi_1(Y, y')$ are conjugate in F by an element of F represented by the loop in X that is an image of a path from y to y' in Y. More generally, any other F-conjugate of $\pi_1(Y, y)$, by say an element $g \in F$, can be obtained by taking a union of Y and a path labelled by g with its start-point attached to y and performing Stalling folds. The fundamental group of this new graph based at the endpoint of the added path is the conjugate $g^{-1}\pi_1(Y, y)g$. Thus a map $Y \to X$ without specifying the basepoint in Y determines an F-conjugacy class of subgroups of F.

Also, for every combinatorial immersion $Y \to X$, there exists a covering map $\hat{X} \to X$ such that $Y \to X$ factors as an embedding $Y \to \hat{X}$ which is a homotopy equivalence, composed with the covering map $\hat{X} \to X$ [Sta83, Thm 6.1].

Definition 2.3 ([Sta83, Sec 7])**.** Given a subgroup $H \subseteq F$, the *core of H with respect to X* is a based combinatorial immersion $i : (Y, y) \to (X, x)$ where Y is the minimal subgraph of the covering space of $\hat{X} \to X$ that corresponds to H, where the inclusion $Y \to \hat{X}$ is a homotopy equivalence, and in particular induces an isomorphism $\pi_1(Y, y) \to \pi_1(\hat{X}, y) = H$.

We can also think of the core of an F-conjugacy class of H as a combinatorial immersion $Y \to X$ where Y is minimal among cores $(Y, y) \to (X, x)$ of subgroups in the conjugacy class. A core of an F-conjugacy class has no leaves, as removing leaves does not affect homotopy type of a graph.

When $(Y, y) \to (X, x)$ is a monotone map and Y has no leaves (except for y possibly), then $\iota : (\overline{Y}, \sigma(y)) \to (X, x)$ in Proposition 2.2 is the core of $\pi_1(Y, y) \subseteq \pi_1(X, x)$.

2.3. **Intersections of subgroups.** Let $\phi_i : Y_i \to X$ be a combinatorial immersion for $i = 1, 2$. The *fiber product of* Y_1 *and* Y_2 *over* X is the graph $Y_1 \otimes_X Y_2$ with the vertex set

$$\{(y_1, y_2) \in V(Y_1) \times V(Y_2) : \phi_1(y_1) = \phi_2(y_2)\}$$

and the edge set

$$\{(e_1, e_2) \in E(Y_1) \times E(Y_2) : \phi_1(e_1) = \phi_2(e_2)\}.$$

There is a natural combinatorial immersion $Y_1 \otimes_X Y_2 \to X$, given by $(y_1, y_2) \mapsto \phi_1(y_1) = \phi_2(y_2)$.

Lemma 2.4 ([Sta83])**.** Let $H_1, H_2 \subseteq G = \pi_1(X, v)$ where X is a finite metric graph, and for $i = 1, 2$ let $(Y_i, y_i) \to (X, x)$ be the core of H_i with respect to X. Then the intersection $H_1 \cap H_2$ is represented by $(Y_1 \otimes_X Y_2, (y_1, y_2)) \to (X, x)$.

We emphasize that in general fiber products have multiple connected components. When $(Y_i, y_i) \to (X, x)$ represents H_i, then each connected component of $Y_1 \otimes_X Y_2$ represents a conjugacy class of a subgroup of G of the form $H_1^{g_1} \cap H_2^{g_2} = g_1^{-1} H_1 g_1 \cap g_2^{-1} H_2 g$ for some $g_1, g_2 \in G$.

Lemma 2.5 ([GMRS98, Lem 1.2])**.** Suppose G is a Gromov hyperbolic group, and H_1, H_2 are quasiconvex subgroups. Then there are only finitely many conjugacy classes of infinite intersections $H_1^{g_1} \cap H_2^{g_2}$ where $g_1, g_2 \in G$.

The above statement follows directly from [GMRS98, Lem 1.2] when $H_1 = H_2$. Their proof also works in the general case, and for completeness we include it below.

Proof. By Lemma 2.4 each conjugacy class of the intersection of conjugates H_1 and H_2 is represented by the connected component of the fiber product $Y_1 \otimes_X Y_2$ where Y_1, Y_2 are cores of H_1, H_2 with respect to X. Since H_1, H_2 have finite ranks, Y_1, Y_2 are finite graphs. Thus $Y_1 \otimes_X Y_2$ is finite, and in particular, $Y_1 \otimes_X Y_2$ has finitely many connected components (each representing a conjugacy class of the intersections of conjugates of H_1 and H_2).

Following [GMRS98, Lem 1.2] we will show that if H_1, H_2 are K-quasiconvex subgroups of a δ-hyperbolic group G, then for every shortest representative g of the double coset $H_1 g H_2$, if $|g| \geq 2k + 2\delta$, then $H_1 \cap H_2^g$ is finite. That will imply our statement. Indeed, each intersection $H_1^{g_1} \cap H_2^{g_2}$ is conjugate to $H_1 \cap H_2^g$, and there are only finitely many $g \in G$ such that $|g| < 2K + 2\delta$, hence only finitely many conjugacy classes of infinite subgroups of the form $H_1^{g_1} \cap H_2^{g_2}$.

Fix a double coset $H_1 g H_2$ and assume that every representative g of this double coset has length $|g| \geq 2k + 2\delta$. Since the cardinality of a subgroup $H_1 \cap g^{-1} H_2 g$ is invariant under conjugation, we can assume that g is the shortest representative of the coset gH_1. Let $h \in H_1 \cap g^{-1} H_2 g$, and let $h_0 \in H_2$ satisfy $h = g^{-1} h_0 g$. Consider a quadrilateral in the Cayley graph of G at points $1, g^{-1}, g^{-1} h_0, h = g^{-1} h_0 g$ with geodesic path p_h going from 1 to h, p_1 going from 1 to g^{-1}, p_{h_0} going from $g^{-1} h_0$, and p_2 going from $g^{-1} h_0$ to $g^{-1} h_0 g = h$. We will denote the label of a path p by $Lab(p)$, the standard distance in the Cayley graph by $d(\cdot, \cdot)$, and the length of a geodesic by $|\cdot|$, and a path x with reversed orientation by $\overline{x}$.

Let v be a vertex on the path p_h which is as close to the middle as possible, in particular $|d(1, v) - d(v, h)| \leq 1$. Let q be an initial subpath of p_h going from 1 to v. Since H_1 is K-quasiconvex and $h \in H_1$, there exists a vertex at distance at most K away from v which belongs to H_1, let s be a path from v to that vertex. Let t be a shortest path from v to p_{h_0}, and let w denote its endpoint. Since H_2 is quasiconvex and $h_0 \in H_2$, there exists an element of the coset $g^{-1} H_2$ at most K away from w, let s' be a path from that vertex to w.

We have $g = Lab(q' \overline{s'}) Lab(s' \overline{t} s) Lab(\overline{sq})$, and we have $Lab(q' \overline{s'}) \in H_2$, $Lab(s' \overline{t} s) \in H_2 g H_1$, and $Lab(\overline{sq}) \in H_1$. By our assumption $|Lab(s' \overline{t} s)| > 2K + 2\delta$, so $|t| \geq |Lab(s' \overline{t} s)| - |s| - |s'| > 2\delta$. Since every point of the quadrilateral is contained in 2δ-neighborhood of the other three sides, we conclude that $v \in N_{2\delta}(p_1 \cup p_2)$.

Suppose that $v \in N_{2\delta}(p_1)$, and let u be a vertex of p_1 such that $d(v, u) \leq 2\delta$ and let y be a geodesic from u to v. Let $p_1 = p_1' p_1''$ where p_1' ends at u. Since $g = Lab(\overline{p_1}) = Lab(\overline{p_1''} ys) Lab(\overline{sq})$ and g is a minimal length representative of gH_1, we have $|g| = |p_1'| + |p_1''| \leq |p_1''| + |y| + |s|$, and so $|p_1'| \leq |y| + |s| \leq 2\delta + K$. Thus $|q| \leq |y|_| p_1'| \leq 4\delta + K$, and so $|p_h| \leq 2|q| + 2 \leq 8\delta + 2K + 2$. We have just shown that for every $h \in H_1 \cap H_2^g$ the length $|h| \leq 2|q| + 2 \leq 8\delta$, which implies that $H_1 \cap H_2^g$ is a finite group. $\square$

3. Finite stature

Definition 3.1 ([HW26, Defn 1.1])**.** Let G be a group and let $\Omega = \{H_\lambda\}_{\lambda\in\Lambda}$ be a collection of subgroups of G. Then (G, Ω) has *finite stature* if for each $H \in \Omega$, there are finitely many H-conjugacy classes of infinite subgroups of form $H \cap C$, where C is an intersection of (possibly infinitely many) G-conjugates of elements of Ω.

The main result of [HW26] is the following.

Theorem 3.2 ([HW26, Thm 1.3])**.** Let G be the fundamental group of a graph of groups with finite underlying graph Γ. Suppose that

(1) each G_v for $v \in V(\Gamma)$ is a hyperbolic, virtually compact special group,
(2) each G_e for $e \in E(\Gamma)$ is quasiconvex in its vertex groups,
(3) $(G, \{G_v\}_{v\in V(\Gamma)})$ has finite stature.

Then each quasiconvex subgroup of a vertex group of G is separable in G. In particular, G is residually finite.

In particular, the first two conditions are automatically satisfied for any finite graph of finite rank free groups, and free groups are locally quasi-convex.

Corollary 3.3. Let G be the fundamental group of a graph of finite rank free groups. If $(G, \{G_v\}_{v\in V(\Gamma)})$ has finite stature, then every finitely generated subgroup of a vertex group of G is separable. In particular, G is residually finite.

We also note the following characterization of finite stature in terms of edge stabilizers in the action of G on the Bass-Serre tree associated to the splitting. All the stabilizers considered in this paper are *pointwise* stabilizers.

Lemma 3.4 ([HW26, Lem 3.9, Lem 3.19])**.** Let T be the Bass-Serre tree of the splitting of G as a graph of groups with the underlying graph Γ. Then $(G, \{G_v\}_{v\in V(\Gamma)})$ has finite stature if and only if for each $v \in V(\Gamma)$, there are only finitely many G_v-conjugacy classes of groups of the form $G_v \cap \bigcap_{e\in E} \mathrm{Stab}(e)$ where $E \subseteq E(T)$.

Moreover, if all the vertex groups are hyperbolic and edge groups are quasiconvex, then it suffices to only consider finite subsets $E \subseteq E(T)$.

We note that in the above statement, we can identify G_v with $\mathrm{Stab}(\tilde{v})$ for some fixed lift $\tilde{v} \in V(T)$ of v. In fact, every conjugate of a vertex group of G can be identified with $\mathrm{Stab}(\tilde{v})$ for some $\tilde{v} \in V(T)$. We explain in more detail, how one can think of the intersections of conjugates of vertex groups.

We will denote the pointwise stabilizer of a path ρ in T by $\mathrm{Stab}(\rho)$, i.e. $\mathrm{Stab}(\rho) = \bigcap_{e\in\rho} \mathrm{Stab}(e)$. Using the identification of G_v with $\mathrm{Stab}(\tilde{v})$, we can view $\mathrm{Stab}(\rho)$ as a subgroup of G_v if $\tilde{v}$ is contained in ρ. To emphasize that, we will denote such a subgroup by $G_v \cap \mathrm{Stab}(\rho)$. If ρ, ρ' both pass through $\tilde{v}$ and $\rho \subseteq \rho'$, then $G_v \cap \mathrm{Stab}(\rho') \subseteq G_v \cap \mathrm{Stab}(\rho)$.

Proposition 3.5. Let G be a graph of δ-hyperbolic groups with quasiconvex edge groups, and let T be its Bass-Serve tree. Then $(G, \{G_v\}_{v\in V(\Gamma)})$ has finite stature if and only if there are only finitely many G_v-conjugacy classes of groups of the form $G_v \cap \mathrm{Stab}(\rho)$ where ρ is a finite path in T passing through $\tilde{v}$.

Proof. Lemma 3.4 implies that it suffices to show that there are finitely many conjugacy classes of groups of the form $G_v \cap \bigcap_{e\in E} \mathrm{Stab}(e)$ for $v \in V(\Gamma)$ and finite $E \subseteq E(T)$, if and only if there are only finitely many conjugacy classes of groups of the form $G_v \cap \mathrm{Stab}(\rho)$ where ρ is a finite path that passes through v.

The forward implication is immediate. Let us assume there are only finitely many conjugacy classes of groups of the form $G_v \cap \mathrm{Stab}(\rho)$ where ρ is a finite path passing through $\tilde{v}$. The group $G_v \cap \bigcap_{e\in E} \mathrm{Stab}(e)$ is exactly the subgroup of G stabilizing all the edges in E (and in particular stabilizing $\tilde{v}$ which is an endpoint of some $e \in E$). In particular, $G_v \cap \bigcap_{e\in E} \mathrm{Stab}(e)$ can be realized as the subgroup of G_v stabilizing the union of paths $\{\rho_e\}_{e\in E}$ where ρ_e is the minimal path containing v and the edge e, i.e. $G_v \cap \bigcap_{e\in E} \mathrm{Stab}(e) = G_v \cap \bigcap_{e\in E} \mathrm{Stab}(\rho_e) = \bigcap_{e\in E} (G_v \cap \mathrm{Stab}(\rho_e))$. Since there are only finitely many conjugacy classes of subgroups of the form $G_v \cap \mathrm{Stab}(\rho)$ and all such subgroups are quasiconvex in G_v as finite intersections of quasiconvex subgroup, Lemma 2.5 implies that there are also only finitely many conjugacy classes of their intersections. □

We finish this section with the following observation that will allow us to work with certain finite index subgroups of the considered groups.

Proposition 3.6 (Passing to finite index supergroups)**.** Let G split as a graph of groups. If G' is a finite index subgroup of G such that G' has finite stature with respect to the vertex groups in the induced graph of groups decomposition, then G has finite stature with respect to its vertex groups.

Proof. This follows immediately from the characterization of finite stature in terms of the of number of orbits of based big trees in the sense of [HW26, Def 3.7], see [HW26, Lem 3.9]. □

4. Graphs of free groups

4.1. Amalgamated products $A *_C B$ where $[B : C] = 2$.

Let $G = A *_C B$ be an amalgamated product of finite rank free groups, where $[B : C] = 2$. Let $b \in B - C$, i.e. bC is the nontrivial coset of C/B.

Let T be the Bass-Serre tree of G (metrized so that each edge of T has length 1). The vertices of T are of two kinds: infinite valence A*-vertices*, corresponding to conjugates of A, and valence two B*-vertices* corresponding to conjugates of B. The edges of T correspond to conjugates of C. We use the convention where C^g denotes the conjugate $g^{-1}Cg$, so that $(C^g)^h = C^{gh}$.

We start with the following observation.

Lemma 4.1. An element $g \in G$ stabilizes an edge e of T if and only if g stabilizes an adjacent edge e' meeting e at a B-vertex.

Proof. Since the vertex incident to both e and e' has valence 2, any element stabilizing one of the edges must stabilize the other one as well. □

Remark 4.2. As a consequence of the lemma, we get that for every path ρ' in T, $\mathrm{Stab}(\rho') = \mathrm{Stab}(\rho)$ where ρ is the minimal path containing ρ' that starts and ends at A-vertices.

Thus we will only consider paths in T starting and ending at A-vertices. We continue measuring the length of paths with respect to the original metric on the tree, i.e. any two A-vertices are even distance away.

In the following lemma, we describe all the stabilizers of paths in T joining two A-vertices. In our application, we will only need the statement for the paths of length at most 8, so



Figure 1. Every length 6 path in the Bass-Serre tree of $A *_C B$ where $[B : C] = 2$ is conjugate to the pictured path. The labels are the stabilizers. We note that two consecutive edges meeting at a B-vertex have the same stabilizers. See Lemma 4.3. Algebraically, this also follows from the fact that $C^b = C$, since $[B : C] = 2$.

we give explicit description in those cases, but for completeness we also give the general statement for paths of arbitrary length.

Let $\rho : [0, 2\ell] \to T$ be a path joining two A-vertices. Since the length of ρ is even, the middle point of ρ is always a vertex in T. Depending on the parity of ℓ, the middle vertex can be an A-vertex or a B-vertex. If ℓ is even, the middle vertex of ρ is an A-vertex, and in the lemma below we will consider such paths where the middle vertex of ρ is stabilized by A, and that the following vertex is stabilized by B (see initial subpath of length 4 of the path in Figure 1 for an example with $\ell = 2$). If ℓ is odd, the middle vertex of ρ is a B-vertex, and by conjugating $\mathrm{Stab}(\rho)$, and we will consider paths where the middle vertex is stabilized by B, and the vertex before is stabilized by A (see Figure 1 for an example where $\ell = 3$). Note that those cases can be simultaneously described as satisfying $\mathrm{Stab}(\rho(2k)) = A$ and $\mathrm{Stab}(\rho(2k + 1)) = B$ where $\ell = 2k$ or $\ell = 2k + 1$, depending on the parity of ℓ.

Lemma 4.3. Let $\rho : [0, 2\ell] \to T$ be a length 2ℓ combinatorial path in T starting and ending at A-vertices. Suppose that $\mathrm{Stab}(\rho(2k)) = A$ and $\mathrm{Stab}(\rho(2k + 1)) = B$, where $\ell = 2k$ or $\ell = 2k + 1$ depending on the parity of ℓ.

Then $\mathrm{Stab}(\rho)$ is of the form $K_\ell \subseteq C$ where:

- $K_1 = C$
- $K_2 = C^{a_1} \cap C$ for some $a_1 \in A$
- $K_3 = C^{a_1} \cap C \cap C^{d_1 b}$ for some $a_1, d_1 \in A$
- $K_4 = C^{a_2 b a_1} \cap C^{a_1} \cap C \cap C^{d_1 b}$ for some $a_1, a_2, d_1 \in A$

and more generally,

- for $\ell = 2k + 1$:

$$K_{2k+1} = C^{a_k b a_{k-1} b \dots b a_1} \cap C^{a_{k-1} b \dots b a_1} \cap \dots \cap C^{a_1} \cap C \cap C^{d_1 b} \cap C^{d_2 b d_1 b} \cap \dots \cap C^{d_k \dots b d_1 b}$$

  for some $a_1, \dots, a_k, d_1, \dots, d_k \in A$;
- for $\ell = 2k$:

$$K_{2k} = C^{a_k b a_{k-1} b \dots b a_1} \cap C^{a_{k-1} b \dots b a_1} \cap \dots \cap C^{a_1} \cap C \cap C^{d_1 b} \cap C^{d_2 b d_1 b} \cap \dots \cap C^{d_{k-1} \dots b d_{1b}}$$

  for some $a_1, \dots, a_k, d_1, \dots, d_{k-1} \in A$.

Additionally, we have the following, where K_ℓ and K'_ℓ denote two groups of the form as above (for possibly different choices of elements a_i's and d_i's).

- if ℓ is odd, then $K_\ell = K_{\ell-1} \cap (K'_{\ell-1})^b$
- if ℓ is even, then $K_\ell = K_{\ell-1} \cap (K'_{\ell-1})^a$

Proof. Since $\mathrm{Stab}(\rho) = \bigcap_{e\in\rho} \mathrm{Stab}(e)$, by analyzing the stabilizers of edges in ρ, we get the description of $\mathrm{Stab}(\rho)$ as required.

Let us now prove the second part of the statement. First assume that $\ell = 2k+1$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}K_{2k+1} =&(C^{a_k b a_{k-1} b \ldots b a_1} \cap C^{a_{k-1} b \ldots b a_1} \cap \cdots \cap C^{a_1} \cap C \cap C^{d_1 b} \cap C^{d_2 b d_1 b} \cap \cdots \cap C^{d_{k-1} \ldots b d_1 b}) \cap \\ &\cap (C^{d_k b d_{k-1} b \ldots b d_1} \cap \cdots \cap C^{d_1} \cap C \cap C^{(a_1 b^{-2}) b} \cap C^{a_2 b (a_1 b^{-2}) b} \cap \cdots \cap C^{a_{k-1} b \ldots (a_1 b^{-2}) b})^b\end{aligned}$$

We note that $a_1 b^{-2} \in A$ since $b^2 \in C$, so the expression above is indeed of the form $K_{2k} \cap (K'_{2k})^b$. Similarly, when $\ell = 2k$, we get

$$\begin{aligned}K_{2k} =&(C^{a_{k-1} b \ldots b a_1} \cap C^{a_{k-2} b \ldots b a_1} \cap \cdots \cap C^{a_1} \cap C \cap C^{d_1 b} \cap C^{d_2 b d_1 b} \cap \cdots \cap C^{d_{k-1} \ldots b d_1 b}) \cap \\ &\cap (C^{d_{k-2} \ldots b d_1 b a_1^{-1}} \cap \cdots \cap C^{a_1^{-1}} \cap C \cap C^{a_2 b} \cap \cdots \cap C^{a_k b \ldots b a_2 b})^{a_1}\end{aligned}$$

which gives as $K_\ell = K_{\ell-1} \cap (K'_{\ell-1})^a$ for $a = a_1$ as required. □

We emphasize that subgroups K_ℓ in the above statement are not uniquely defined, i.e. they depend on the choice of elements a_i and d_i.

4.2. **Monochrome cycles preserving splittings.** We start with recalling the definition of a graph of spaces in the special cases where all the vertex and edge spaces are graphs. A *graph of graphs* $X(\Gamma)$ consist of the following data:

- a combinatorial graph Γ,
- for every $v \in V(\Gamma)$, a metric graph X_v,
- for every edge $e \in E(\Gamma)$, a metric graph X_e such that $X_e \overset{\beta}{\simeq} X_{\bar{e}}$, and an injective monotone map $\phi_e : X_e \to X_{\tau(e)}$.

Moreover, we say $X(\Gamma)$ is *orientation preserving*, if all graphs X_u for $u \in V(\Gamma) \cup E(\Gamma)$ are oriented, and maps ϕ_e are orientation preserving monotone maps (i.e. sending oriented paths to possibly trivial oriented paths).

We emphasize that we do not require ϕ_e to be a combinatorial map, but by Proposition 2.2 we know that ϕ_e factors as the composition $X_e \to \overline{X}_e \to X_{\tau(e)}$ where the second map is a combinatorial immersion.

Let $[n]$ denote the set $\{1, \ldots, n\}$. An *edge coloring* of a metric graph X is a maps $c : \{\text{1-cells of } X\} \to [n]$. We refer to $i \in [n]$ as *colors*. A cycle in a graph X is *monochrome* if each edge in the cycle has the same color. Suppose graphs X, X' admit edge colorings c, c' with colors $[n]$ respectively. A monotone map $\phi : X \to X'$ is *color-preserving*, if $c'(\phi(e)) = c(e)$ for every 1-cell e of X. A *color-preserving isomorphism* is a combinatorial map which is bijective on both vertex-sets and edge-sets, which is color-preserving.

Definition 4.4 (Monochrome cycles preserving graph of graphs)**.** Fix $n \geq 1$ and for each $i \in [n] = \{1, \ldots, n\}$ let $\ell_i \geq 1$. Let $X(\Gamma)$ be a graph of graphs, where for each $u \in V(\Gamma) \cup E(\Gamma)$ there exists a coloring $c_u : \{\text{1-cells of } X_u\} \to [n]$, and if $u \in E(\Gamma)$ then $c_u = c_{\bar{u}}$. A graph of graphs $X(\Gamma)$ is *monochrome cycles preserving* if

- for every $e \in E(\Gamma)$, ϕ_e is color-preserving, and
- for each $i \in [n]$ and each $u \in V(\Gamma) \sqcup E(\Gamma)$, the preimage $c_u^{-1}(i) \subseteq X_u$ is a disjoint union of embedded cycles,
- for $e \in E(\Gamma)$, the map ϕ_e restricted to each cycle of color i factors through a cycle of length ℓ_i in the factorization provided by Proposition 2.2.

We can visualize such graphs of groups as having edges in vertex and edge graphs colored in a way that the induced colorings of edges in the edge graphs is consistent with respect to both adjacent vertex graphs. Note that in particular, each vertex and edge graph in a monochrome cycles preserving graph of graphs is a union of monochrome cycles. The third condition can be thought of stating that each cycle of a given color in an edge graph has length ℓ_i in the metric induced by each vertex group. We note that this length does not need to correspond to the combinatorial length of that cycle, as the attaching maps ϕ_e do not need to be combinatorial. We make this (and more general) statement more precise in Lemma 4.6. Instead of providing any examples now, we refer the reader to Section 5 and splittings of Artin groups, induced by monochrome cycles preserving graph of graphs. They are the motivation for the above definition.

We will denote the associated graph of group by $G(\Gamma)$.

Lemma 4.5. Let $X(\Gamma)$ be a monochrome cycles preserving graph of groups.

(1) For $j = 1, 2$, let $\overline{Y}_j \to X_v$ be a combinatorial immersion where for each color i the subgraph of $\overline{Y}_i$ consisting of edges of color i is a disjoint union of cycles of length ℓ_i. Then for each color i the subgraph of $\overline{Y}_1 \otimes_{X_v} \overline{Y}_2$ consisting of edges of color i is a disjoint union of cycles of length ℓ_i.

(2) Let $\psi : Y \to X_e$ be a combinatorial immersion. Let $\phi_e \cdot \psi : Y \to X_{\tau(e)}$ factor through $\overline{Y}$ and let $\phi_e \cdot \beta \cdot \psi : Y \to X_{\tau(\overline{e})}$ factors through $\overline{Y}'$ in the factorization provided by Proposition 2.2. Then for each color i the subgraph of $\overline{Y}$ consisting of edges of color i is a disjoint union of cycles of length ℓ_i, if and only if, for each color i the subgraph of $\overline{Y}'$ consisting of edges of color i is a disjoint union of cycles of length ℓ_i.

Proof.

(1) We need to show that each e of $Y_1 \otimes_{X_v} Y_2$ of color i is contained in a unique monochrome cycle of length ℓ_i. For $j = 1, 2$, let $\pi_j : Y_1 \otimes_{X_v} Y_2 \to Y_j$ be the natural projection. Note that for $j = 1, 2$, $\pi_j(e)$ has color i and by assumption it is contained in a unique monochrome cycle C_j of length ℓ_i. Thus C_1, C_2 lift to a monochrome cycle of length ℓ_i containing e.

(2) For each color i the subgraph of $\overline{Y}$ consisting of edges of color i is necessarily a disjoint union of cycles and path segments, since $\overline{Y}$ embeds in some covering of $X_{\tau(e)}$. The same is also true for Y for the same reason.

The map $X_e \to \overline{X}_e$ does not identify two vertices of X_e, which are both adjacent to edges of the same color, and consequently this property also holds for the map $Y \to \overline{Y}$. In particular, the subgraph of $\overline{Y}$ consisting of edges of color i has any path segments if and only if the subgraph of Y consisting of edges of color i does. Thus the subgraph of $\overline{Y}$ consisting of edges of color i is a disjoint union of cycles if and only if the subgraph of $\overline{Y}'$ consisting of edges of color i is a disjoint union of cycles.

Finally, let $\overline{C}$ be a monochrome cycle of $\overline{Y}$ of color i, and let C be the preimage of $\overline{C}$ in Y, which is also a monochrome cycle. Then $\overline{C}$ has length ℓ_i if and only if the map $\overline{Y} \to X_{\tau(e)}$ restricted to $\overline{C}$ is 1-1. This happens if and only if $Y \to X_e$ restricted to C is 1-1. Thus each monochrome cycle of color i in $\overline{Y}$ has length ℓ_i if and only if each monochrome cycle of color i in $\overline{Y}'$ does.

$\square$

In the next couple of Lemmas, we assume that $\rho \subseteq T$ is a path in the Bass-Serre tree of $G(\Gamma)$ passing through the vertex $\tilde{v}$, and an edge $\tilde{e}$ containing $\tilde{v}$. We identify the stabilizer $\operatorname{Stab}\tilde{v}$ with G_v for some $v \in V(\Gamma)$, and the $\operatorname{Stab}(\tilde{e})$ with G_e for some $e \in E(\Gamma)$. We view the stabilizer $\operatorname{Stab}(\rho) = \bigcap_{e \subseteq \rho} \operatorname{Stab}(e)$ as a subgroup of $\operatorname{Stab}(\tilde{e}) = G_e$.

Since we are assuming that G_v is the fundamental group of the graph X_v, the inclusion of $\operatorname{Stab}(\rho)$ in G_v can be represented by the monotone map $\phi : Y_\rho \to X_v$, where Y_ρ is the core of $\operatorname{Stab}(\rho)$ with respect to X_e, and the map is obtained by post-composition with $X_e \to X_v$. Let $\phi : Y_\rho \xrightarrow{\sigma} \overline{Y}_\rho \xrightarrow{\iota} X_v$ be a factorization of ϕ provided by Proposition 2.2. Graphs Y_ρ and $\overline{Y}_\rho$ have natural coloring induced by their combinatorial immersions to X_e and $\overline{X}_e$ respectively.

Lemma 4.6. Let $X(\Gamma)$ be a monochrome cycle preserving graph of groups. Then for every finite path ρ in the Bass-Serre tree of the associated group $G(\Gamma)$, for each color i the subgraph of $\overline{Y}_\rho$ consisting of edges of color i is a disjoint union of cycles of length ℓ_i.

Proof. Since $\operatorname{Stab}(\rho) = \bigcap_{e \in \rho} \operatorname{Stab}(e)$, we can obtain $\overline{Y}_\rho$ by a finite sequence and of fiber product of graphs $\overline{Y}_1 \otimes_{X_v} \overline{Y}_2$ and moving between the factorizations of intermediate graphs Y combinatorially immersing in some X_e with respect to two maps to the vertex spaces $X_{\tau(e)}, X_{\tau(\overline{e})}$ By Lemma 4.5 those operations preserve the property that each subgraph of color i is a disjoint union of cycles of length ℓ_i. Thus the resulting graph $\overline{Y}_\rho$ has this property. □

As a consequence of Lemma 4.6, we can view every $\overline{Y}_\rho$ as the 1-skeleton of a 2-complex $\widehat{Y}_\rho$ obtained by attaching ℓ_i-gons of color i along each monochrome cycle of color i.

If $\rho \subseteq \rho'$, then $\operatorname{Stab}(\rho') \subseteq \operatorname{Stab}(\rho)$ and so there is a combinatorial immersion $\overline{Y}_{\rho'} \to \overline{Y}_\rho$ over X_v.

Lemma 4.7. Suppose $\rho \subseteq \rho'$ and $\widehat{Y}_\rho$ is simply connected. Then the combinatorial immersion $\overline{Y}_{\rho'} \to \overline{Y}_\rho$ is an embedding of a subgraph.

Proof. Since $\widehat{Y}_\rho$ is simply connected, it follows that $\widehat{Y}_{\rho'} \subseteq \widehat{Y}_\rho$, and so $\overline{Y}_{\rho'} \subseteq \overline{Y}_\rho$. □

5. Finite stature in triangle Artin groups

5.1. The statement.

A *triangle Artin group* is given by the presentation

$$G_{MNP} = \langle a, b, c \mid (a,b)_M = (b,a)_M, (b,c)_N = (c,b)_N, (c,a)_P = (a,c)_P \rangle,$$

where $(a,b)_M$ denote the alternating word $aba\dots$ of length M.

The following theorem describes a splitting of G_{MNP} as an amalgamated product of free groups, where the map from the amalgamating subgroup to the vertex groups is described in terms of maps between graphs.

Theorem 5.1 ([Jan22, Cor 4.13])**.** Let G_{MNP} be an Artin group where $M, N, P \geq 3$. Then $G_{MNP} = A *_C B$ where $A \simeq F_3$, $B \simeq F_4$ and $C \simeq F_7$, and $[B : C] = 2$. The map $C \to A$ is induced by the map $\phi : X_C \to X_A$ pictured in Figure 2, and the map $C \to B$ is induced by the quotient of the graph X_C by a π rotation.

Theorem 5.2 ([Jan24, Prop 2.8])**.** Let G_{MNP} be an Artin group where $M, N \geq 4$ and $P = 2$.



FIGURE 2. The map $\phi : X_C \xrightarrow{\sigma} \overline{X}_C \xrightarrow{\iota} X_A$ when (1) none, (2) one, (3) two or (4) all of M, N, P are even, respectively. Specifically, $M = 2m$ or $2m+1$, $N = 2n$ or $2n+1$, and $P = 2p$ or $2p+1$. We use the convention where the edge labelled by a number k is a concatenation of k edges of the given color. The thickened edges in X_C are the ones that get collapsed to a vertex in $\overline{X}_C$

- If at least one of M, N is odd, then $G_{MNP} = A *_C B$ where $A \simeq F_2$, $B \simeq F_3$ and $C \simeq F_5$, and $[B : C] = 2$. The map $C \to A$ is induced by the map $\phi : X_C \to X_A$ pictured in Figure 3, and the map $C \to B$ is induced by the quotient of the graph X_C by a π rotation.
- If both M, N are even then $G_{MNP} = A*_B$ where $A \simeq F_2$, $B \simeq F_3$. The two maps $B \to A$ are induced by the maps $\phi_1, \phi_2 : X_B \to X_A$ pictured in Figure 4.

Here is a precise statement of the main theorem of this paper (Theorem 1.1).

Theorem 5.3. Let G_{MNP} be a triangle Artin group where $M \leq N \leq P$ and either $M > 2$, or $N > 3$. Then G_{MNP} has finite stature with respect to $\{A\}$, where A is as described in Theorem 5.1 or Theorem 5.2 respectively. All finitely generated subgroups of A are separable in G_{MNP}, and in particular G_{MNP} is residually finite.



FIGURE 3. The map $\phi : X_C \xrightarrow{id} X_C \xrightarrow{\sigma} \overline{X}_C \xrightarrow{\iota} X_A$ when $P = 2$, $M = 2m+1 \geq 5$, and (top) $N = 2n + 1 \geq 5$, (bottom) $N = 2n \geq 4$, respectively. The use of colors in the leftmost graphs represents the π-rotation of X_C.



FIGURE 4. The maps $\phi_i : X_B \xrightarrow{id} X_B \xrightarrow{\sigma} \overline{X}_B \xrightarrow{\iota} X_A$ for $i = 1, 2$, when $M = 2m \geq 4$, $N = 2n \geq 4$, and $P = 2$.

In subsections 5.5, 5.6, 5.7 and 5.8 we will prove groups G_{MNP} as above have finite stature with respect to $\{A\}$ by analyzing various cases (see Proposition 5.12, Proposition 5.14, Proposition 5.20, and Proposition 5.24). The separability of finitely generated subgroups of A will then follow from Corollary 3.3.

5.2. **Some facts about the splittings of Artin groups.** We start with some facts that will be used in the next sections. We first focus on the cases where G_{MNP} splits as $A *_C B$. Let $\beta : X_C \to X_C$ be the π-rotation, as in Theorem 5.1 or Theorem 5.2 respectively. A choice of a path between $x \in X_C$ and $\beta(x) \in X_C$ determines an element $b \in B - C$, such that the induced homomorphism $C \to C$ is the conjugation by b. We emphasize that β^2 is the identity map. Figure 2 and Figure 3 illustrate the factorization $\phi = \iota \circ \sigma$ from Proposition 2.2. We denote $\sigma(X_C) = \overline{X}_C$.

We will also extend the definition of σ to any combinatorial immersion of X_C (and abuse the notation) in the following way. Given a combinatorial immersion $Y \to X_C$, let $\overline{Y} \to \overline{X}_C$ be a combinatorial immersion, and let $\sigma : Y \to \overline{Y}$ be a composition of edge-subdivisions, Stallings' folds, and edge-collapses, which locally coincides with $\sigma : X_C \to \overline{X}_C$. In particular, the following diagram commutes.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Y & \xrightarrow{\ \sigma\ } & \overline{Y} \\ \big\downarrow & & \big\downarrow \\ X_C & \xrightarrow{\ \sigma\ } & \overline{X}_C. \end{array}$$

We note the following.

Lemma 5.4. The map $\sigma : Y \to \overline{Y}$ is a homotopy equivalence for every combinatorial immersion $Y \to X_C$.

For each subgroup $H \subseteq C$ there is a one-to-one correspondence between the core $Y \to X_C$ of H with respect to X_C and the core $\overline{Y} \to \overline{X}_C$ of H with respect to $\overline{X}_C$, where $\overline{Y} = \sigma(Y)$ as above.

Proof. The map $\sigma : X_C \to \overline{X}_C$ is obtained as a sequence of edge-subdivisions and edge-collapses of the edges. By analyzing each of the cases in Figure 2 and Figure 3, we note that we never collapse a loop. Thus, by discussion in Section 2, $\sigma : X_C \to \overline{X}_C$ is a homotopy equivalence. Similarly, any induced map $Y \to \overline{Y}$ is also obtained as a sequence of edge-subdivisions and edge-collapses of the edges that are not loops, and hence $\sigma : Y \to \overline{Y}$ is a homotopy equivalence. By construction $Y \to X_C$ is the core of some subgroup $H \subseteq \pi_(X_C)$ with respect to X_C if and only if $\overline{Y} \to \overline{X}_C$ is the core of H with respect to $\overline{X}_C$. □

We will use the notation $\sigma^{-1}(\overline{Y})$ to denote Y such $\overline{Y} = \sigma(Y)$.

Lemma 5.5. Let $H \subseteq C$ be a subgroup, and let $Y \to X_C$ be its core with respect to X_C. Then $Y \to X_C \xrightarrow{\beta} X_C$ is the core of $H^b \subseteq C$. Moreover,

Proof. Indeed, $Y \to X_C$ induces the inclusion $H \to C$ and $X_C \xrightarrow{\beta} X_C$ induces the conjugation by b. □

When we consider the composition of the map $Y \to X_C \xrightarrow{\beta} X_C$ with $\sigma : X_C \to \overline{X}_C$ we will again abuse the notation and write $\sigma\beta(Y)$ to represent the map $Y \to \overline{X}_C$.

The following lemma will allow us to apply Proposition 3.5.

Lemma 5.6. Let T be the Bass-Serre tree of the splitting $G_{MNP} = A *_C B$, and ρ be a finite path in T of length 2ℓ between a pair of A-vertices and such that $\text{Stab}(\rho(2k)) = A$ where $\ell = 2k$ or $2k + 1$. The A-conjugacy class of the stabilizer $\text{Stab}(\rho)$ is represented by a combinatorial immersion $\overline{Y}_\ell \to \overline{X}_C \to X_A$, where the corresponding Y_ℓ is defined recursively:

- $Y_1 = X_C$,
- Y_ℓ is a connected component of $\sigma^{-1}(\overline{Y}_{\ell-1} \otimes_{X_A} \overline{Y}_{\ell-1})$ for even ℓ,
- Y_ℓ is a connected component of $\sigma^{-1}(\overline{Y}_{\ell-1} \otimes_{X_A} \sigma \cdot \beta(Y_{\ell-1}))$ for odd ℓ

The map $\overline{Y}_{\ell-1} \to X_A$ in the recursive definition above is obtained by composing the map $\overline{Y}_{\ell-1} \to \overline{X}_C$ with the map $\overline{X}_C \to X_A$.

Proof. Let ρ be a path of length 2ℓ. By Lemma 4.3, $\text{Stab}(\rho)$ is equal to a group K_ℓ defined recursively as

- $K_1 = C$,
- if ℓ is even, then $K_\ell = K_{\ell-1} \cap (K'_{\ell-1})^{a_1}$.
- if ℓ is odd, then $K_\ell = K_{\ell-1} \cap (K'_{\ell-1})^b$,

Clearly, $Y_1 = X_C \to X_C$ is the core of $K_1 = C$ with respect to X_C. For even ℓ, $K_\ell = K_{\ell-1} \cap (K'_{\ell-1})^a$, so by Lemma 2.4 the core of K_ℓ with respect to $\overline{X}_C$ is $\overline{Y}_{\ell-1} \otimes_{X_A} \overline{Y}_{\ell-1}$, and by Lemma 5.4 the core of K_ℓ with respect to X_C is $\sigma^{-1}(\overline{Y}_{\ell-1} \otimes_{X_A} \overline{Y}_{\ell-1})$. For odd ℓ, $K_\ell = K_{\ell-1} \cap (K'_{\ell-1})^b$, so by Lemma 2.4 and Lemma 5.5 the core K_ℓ with respect to $\overline{X}_C$ is $\overline{Y}_{\ell-1} \otimes_{X_A} \sigma \cdot \beta(Y_{\ell-1})$, and by Lemma 5.4 the core of K_ℓ with respect to X_C is $\sigma^{-1}(\overline{Y}_{\ell-1} \otimes_{X_A} \sigma \cdot \beta(Y_{\ell-1}))$. □

We emphasize that a group K_ℓ is not uniquely determined, and similarly a graph Y_ℓ is not uniquely determined, as at each step in this recursive construction there might be multiple connected components to choose from. Since a sequence of group $C = K_1 \supseteq K_2 \supseteq K_3 \supseteq \dots$ form a descending chain, we have a corresponding sequence of combinatorial immersions $\dots \to Y_3 \to Y_2 \to Y_1 = X_C$.

Lemma 5.7. Let ρ be an arbitrary finite path in T of length 2ℓ between the pair of A-vertices containing a vertex whose stabilizer is A. Then the A-conjugacy class of $\mathrm{Stab}(\rho)$ is represented by a $\overline{Y}_\ell$ or $\sigma\beta(Y_\ell)$.

Proof. Let $\ell = 2k$ or $2k+1$. The proof is by induction on the half of the distance d from the vertex of stabilized by A to the "middle" A-vertex $\rho(2k)$ of ρ (since any two A vertices are at even distance, d measures the number of steps between "consecutive" A-vertices). When $d = 0$, i.e. $\rho(2k)$ is stabilized by A, then we are in the setting of Lemma 5.6 and the A-conjugacy class of $\mathrm{Stab}(\rho) \subseteq A$ is represented by $\overline{Y}_\ell$. Suppose that for every length 2ℓ path ρ' between A-vertices where the distance from $\rho'(2k)$ to the vertex stabilized by A equals $d-1$, the A-conjugacy class of $\mathrm{Stab}(\rho')$ is represented by $\overline{Y}_\ell$ or $\sigma\beta(Y_\ell)$.

Now let ρ be a length 2ℓ path between A-vertices where the distance from $\rho(2k)$ to the vertex stabilized by A equals d. Then there exist $b \in B - C$ and $a \in A$ (possibly $a = 1$) such that $\rho = a^{-1}b^{-1}\rho'$, where ρ' is another length 2ℓ path with the distance from $\rho'(2k)$ to the vertex stabilized by A equal $d-1$. By the inductive assumption the A-conjugacy class of $\mathrm{Stab}(\rho')$ is represented by $\overline{Y}_\ell$ or $\sigma\beta(Y_\ell)$. The A-conjgacy class of $\mathrm{Stab}(\rho) = a^{-1}b^{-1}\,\mathrm{Stab}(\rho')ba$ is represented by $\sigma\beta(Y_\ell)$ or $\sigma\beta\sigma^{-1}\sigma\beta(Y_\ell) = \sigma\beta^2(Y_\ell) = \overline{Y}_\ell$. The last equality holds since β^2 is the identity. $\square$

5.3. **Representing combinatorial maps as colored graphs.** By orienting and coloring all the edges of X_A with distinct colors, we can represent the combinatorial immersion $\overline{Y}_\rho \xrightarrow{\iota} X_A$ as the graph $\overline{Y}_\rho$ whose edges are oriented and colored by the colors of the edges of X_v. From now on, we will mostly view $\overline{Y}_\rho$ as graphs together with orientation and coloring of edges, which means that such a graph encodes a map $\overline{Y}_\rho \to X_A$.

Lemma 5.8. Suppose that there are only finitely many orientation and color preserving isomorphism types of graphs Y_ℓ for any $\ell \geq 1$. Then G_{MNP} has finite stature with respect to $\{A\}$.

In particular if there exists $k \geq 1$ such that every map $\overline{Y}_{k+2} \to \overline{Y}_k$ is an embedding of a subgraph, then G_{MNP} has finite stature with respect to $\{A\}$.

Proof. We first prove the first statement. The orientation and color preserving isomorphism types of graphs Y_ℓ correspond to combinatorial immersions $Y_\ell \to X_A$. By Lemma 5.6 and Lemma 4.3 there are finitely many A-conjugacy classes of $\mathrm{Stab}(\rho)$ for finite paths ρ in T joining two A-vertices with $\mathrm{Stab}(\rho(2k)) = A$ where $\ell = 2k$ or $2k+1$. By Lemma 5.7 there are also only finitely many A-conjugacy classes of $\mathrm{Stab}(\rho)$ for an arbitrary path ρ between A-vertices, and passing through the vertex stabilized by A. By Remark 4.2, every for every finite path ρ' in T, $\mathrm{Stab}(\rho') = \mathrm{Stab}(\rho)$ where ρ is the shortest path containing ρ' joining two A-vertices. We conclude that there are only finitely many A-conjugacy classes of groups of the form $A \cap \mathrm{Stab}(\rho)$ where ρ is any finite path passing through the vertex . Thus the assumptions of Proposition 3.5 are satisfied. We deduce that G_{MNP} has finite stature with respect to $\{A\}$.

Now let $k \geq 1$ such that $Y_{k+2} \to Y_k$ is an inclusion. Since Y_{k+2} is obtained from Y_k in two steps as described in Lemma 5.6, we deduce that $Y_{k+2(i+1)} \to Y_{k+2i}$ is an inclusion for each $i \geq 0$. In particular, there can only be finitely many orientation and color preserving isomorphism types of graphs Y_{k+2i} since Y_k, as a finite graph, has only finitely many subgraphs. Using the formula for Y_{k+2i+1} from Lemma 5.6 we deduce that there are finitely many isomorphism types of graphs for any $\ell \geq 1$. The conclusion follows from the first part of the lemma. $\square$

5.4. **Monochrome cycle preserving structure of splitting of Artin groups.**

Proposition 5.9. Let G_{MNP} be an Artin group $M, N, P \geq 3$. Then G_{MNP} has a subgroup G' of index at most 2 that is the fundamental group of a monochrome cycles preserivng graph of graphs $X_A \xleftarrow{\phi} X_C \xrightarrow{\beta\cdot\phi} X_A$.

Proof. Let $G_{MNP} = A *_C B$ as in Theorem 5.1. Then G_{MNP} has an index 2 subgroup G' which splits as $A *_C A$. The associated graph of graphs has two vertices with each vertex graph being a copy of X_A, and one edge graph X_C. We choose the coloring of $c_A : X_A \to \{\text{red}, \text{green}, \text{blue}\}$, where each loop has distinct color, as in Figure 2. Those figure also show how the coloring is $c_C : X_C \to \{\text{red}, \text{green}, \text{blue}\}$ is defined. The two maps $X_C \to X_A$ differ by precomposing one with the automorphism β of X_C. In particular, both maps $X_C \to X_A$ are orientation and color preserving, and the preimage of each color in X_C is a union of disjoint embedded cycles. Moreover, the maps $X_C \to X_A$ both factor through $\overline{X}_C$, and in particular, both maps restricted to each cycle factors through a cycle of length Q if Q is odd, and $Q/2$ is Q is even, for $Q = M, N, P$ respectively. Thus the graphs of graphs $X_A \xleftarrow{\phi} X_C \xrightarrow{\beta\cdot\phi} X_A$ is orientation and monochrome cycles preserving. $\square$

Every finite path ρ in the Bass-Serre tree of $G_{MNP} = A *_C B$ joining a pair of A-vertices can be also thought of as a path in the Bass-Serre tree of the index 2 subgroup $G' = A *_C A$ of G_{MNP}. By Proposition 5.9 above and Lemma 4.6, for the combinatorial immersion $Y_\rho \to X_A$ of $\mathrm{Stab}(\rho)$ the associated graph $\overline{Y}_\rho$ is a union of monochrome cycles, where each cycle of color i has length ℓ_i. We can denote the the 2- complex obtained from Y_ρ by attaching 2-cells whose boundaries have color i and length ℓ_i by $\widehat{\overline{Y}}_\rho$, as in Section 4.2.

Notation 5.10. We now switch to the use of notation of Lemma 5.6, where the graph Y_ρ is denoted by Y_ℓ where $2\ell = |\rho|$, and the associated K_ℓ is the stabilizer $\mathrm{Stab}(\rho)$. We will also write $\widehat{\overline{Y}}_\ell$ for $\widehat{\overline{Y}}_\rho$. Once again, we remind that Y_ℓ, K_ℓ depend not only on ℓ, but also the choice of parameters a_i, d_i in their definition, which are equivalent to the choice of ρ.

Lemma 5.11. If for some $\ell \geq 1$ a complex $\widehat{\overline{Y}}_\ell$ is simply connected, then for every $\overline{Y}_{\ell+2}$, the combinatorial immersion $\overline{Y}_{\ell+2} \to \overline{Y}_\ell$ is an embedding of a subgraph. In particular, if there exists $\ell \geq 1$ such that every $\widehat{\overline{Y}}_\ell$ is simply connected, then G_{MNP} has finite stature with respect to $\{A\}$.

Proof. The first statement follows directly from Lemma 4.7. Since there are only finitely many orientation and color preserving isomorphism types of $\overline{Y}_k$, there are also only finitely many orientation and color preserving isomorphism types of their subgraphs. Thus if all Y_k are simply-connected, there are only finitely many orientation and color preserving isomorphism types of graphs that $\overline{Y}_\rho$ might have. It follows that there are only finitely many conjugacy classes of the groups of the form $G_{\tilde{v}} \cap \mathrm{Stab}(\rho)$. By Proposition 3.5 G' has finite



FIGURE 5. $(M, N, P) = (2m + 1, 4, 4)$. The graph on the left is the fiber product $\overline{Y}_2 = \overline{X}_C \otimes_{X_A} \overline{X}_C$. The graph on the right is $\sigma\beta(Y_2) \otimes_{X_A} \overline{Y}_2$.

stature with respect to both copies of A. By Proposition 3.6 G_{MNP} also has finite stature with respect to $\{A\}$. □

In the next subsections we apply Lemma 5.8 or Lemma 5.11 to prove that all the large type triangle Artin group have finite stature. We consider three cases:

(Sec 5.5) at least one $M, N, P \geq 3$ is even and $\{M, N, P\} \neq \{2m + 1, 4, 4\}$ for $m \geq 1$,
(Sec 5.6) $\{M, N, P\} = \{2m + 1, 4, 4\}$ where $m \geq 1$,
(Sec 5.7) all M, N, P are odd and ≥ 3.

We also consider the case where one of the exponents is 2, and the other two are both strictly greater than 3:

(Sec 5.8) $\{M, N, P\}$ where $M, N \geq 4$ and $P = 2$.

The goal in all the cases is to prove that there are only finitely many orientation and color preserving isomorphism types of graphs $\overline{Y}_\ell$. In the remaining sections, we will just say an "isomorphism" in reference to an "orientation and color preserving isomorphism".

5.5. **Case where at least one of $M, N, P \geq 3$ is even and $\{M, N, P\} \neq \{2m + 1, 4, 4\}$.** In the next proof, we continue to use Notation 5.10.

Proposition 5.12. Suppose $M, N, P \geq 3$ and at least one of them is even, but $\{M, N, P\} \neq \{2m + 1, 4, 4\}$. Then G_{MNP} has finite stature with respect to $\{A\}$, where A is as in Theorem 5.1.

Proof. By Theorem 5.1 in all the cases listed in the statement, G_{MNP} splits as an amalgamated product $A *_C B$ of finite rank free groups where $[B : C] = 2$, which by Proposition 5.9 is virtually the fundamental group of a monochrome cycles preserving graph of graphs. By [Jan22, Lem 5.2, 5.3, 5.4] (see also [Jan22, Rem 5.5]) $\widehat{Y_2}$ is simply-connected, where $Y_2 \to X_C$ if the core of $C \cap C^g$ with respect to X_C, as in Lemma 5.6. By Lemma 5.11 G_{MNP} has finite stature with respect to $\{A\}$. □

We note that the residual finiteness of the Artin groups considered above was also proven in [Jan22].

5.6. **Case where $\{M, N, P\} = \{2m + 1, 4, 4\}$.** We continue to use Notation 5.10.

Lemma 5.13. Let $\{M, N, P\} = \{2m + 1, 4, 4\}$. Every graph $\overline{Y}_2$ is either the left graph in Figure 5, or has simply connected $\widehat{Y_2}$. Every graph $\overline{Y}_3$ is either the right graph in Figure 5, or has simply connected $\widehat{Y_3}$. The map $\overline{Y}_4 \to \overline{Y}_2$ is always an embedding of a subgraph.



FIGURE 6. $(M, N, P) = (2m+1, 4, 4)$. The vertical arrows are respectively: $\overline{Y}_2 \to \overline{X}_C$, $Y_2 \to X_C$, $\beta(Y_2) \to X_C$, and $\sigma \cdot \beta(Y_2) \to \overline{X}_C$.

Proof. By Theorem 5.1 in all the cases listed in the statement, G_{MNP} splits as an amalgamated product $A *_C B$ of finite rank free groups where $[B : C] = 2$, which by Proposition 5.9 is virtually the fundamental group of a monochrome cycles preserving graph of graphs.

By Lemma 5.6, $\overline{Y}_2$ is computed as a connected component of the fiber product $\overline{Y}_1 \otimes_{X_A} \overline{Y}_1$, which has been done in [Jan22, Lem 5.3]. If $\widehat{\overline{Y}_2}$ is simply-connected, then $\overline{Y}_4 \to \overline{Y}_2$ is an embedding of a subgraph for every Y_4, by Lemma 5.11.

In the case where $\widehat{\overline{Y}_2}$ is not simply connected, Y_2 is the graph on the left in Figure 5. This graph has an order 2 isomorphism which can be represented by swapping the top-left vertex with the bottom-left vertex, and the top-right vertex with the bottom-right vertex, and extending appropriately to the edges. The two maps $\overline{Y}_2 \to \overline{X}_C$ (corresponding to the projection onto two components of the fiber product) differ by precomposing one with this symmetry, and so both are represented by the first vertical arrow in Figure 6. Lemma 5.4 ensures that $Y_2 \to X_C$ can be computed, which is done in the second vertical arrow in Figure 6. Then the rest of Figure 6 represent the computation of $\sigma\beta(Y_2) \to \overline{X}_C$. Finally, by Lemma 5.6, $\overline{Y}_3$ is computed as the fiber product $\sigma\beta(Y_2) \otimes_{X_A} \overline{Y}_2$, i.e. the fiber product of the left top and the right top graphs in Figure 6. We deduce that $\overline{Y}_3$ either has simply connected $\widehat{\overline{Y}_3}$, or it is the right graph in Figure 5.

If $\widehat{\overline{Y}_3}$ is simply-connected, then so is $\widehat{\overline{Y}_4}$ and $\overline{Y}_4 \to \overline{Y}_2$ is an embedding of a subgraph, as required. Otherwise, $\overline{Y}_4$ is a connected component of $\overline{Y}_3 \otimes_{X_A} \overline{Y}_3$ by Lemma 5.6. Note that each connected component $\overline{Y}_4$ is either equal to $\overline{Y}_3$, or has simply connected $\widehat{\overline{Y}_4}$, and in particular, the map $\overline{Y}_4 \to \overline{Y}_2$ is an embedding of a subgraph. □

Combining Lemma 5.13 and Lemma 5.8 yields the following.

Proposition 5.14. The Artin group G_{MNP} where $M = 2m+1 \geq 3$ and $N = P = 4$ has finite stature with respect to $\{A\}$, where A is as described in Theorem 5.1.

5.7. **Case where $M, N, P \geq 3$ are all odd.** First consider the case where $M = N = P = 3$.

Proposition 5.15. Let $(M, N, P) = (3, 3, 3)$, and let T be the Bass-Serre tree of the splitting $G_{333} = A *_C B$. Then for every path ρ in T, $\mathrm{Stab}(\rho) = C$.



FIGURE 7. $(M, N, P) = (2m+1, 2n+1, 2p+1)$. The graph on the left is the fiber product $\overline{Y}_2 = \overline{X}_C \otimes_{X_A} \overline{X}_C$. The graph on the right is $\sigma\beta(Y_2) \otimes_{X_A} \overline{Y}_2$.

Proof. Indeed, in this case C is normal in both A and B, so all G_{333}-conjugates of C are equal C. This proves that all edge stabilizers in the action of G_{333} on T are equal C. □

For the remaining cases, we will apply Lemma 5.8 to deduce that G_{MNP} has finite stature with respect to $\{A\}$, similarly as in Section 5.6 We now consider the case where M, N, P are all at least 5. We continue to use Notation 5.10.

Lemma 5.16. Let $M, N, P \geq 5$ be all odd. Every graph $\overline{Y}_2$ is either the left graph in Figure 7, or has simply connected $\widehat{Y_2}$. Also, every graph $\overline{Y}_3$ is either the right graph in Figure 7, or has simply connected $\widehat{Y_3}$. The map $\overline{Y}_4 \to \overline{Y}_2$ is always an embedding of a subgraph.

Proof. We write $M = 2m+1$, $N = 2n+1$, and $P = 2p+1$. By Theorem 5.1 in all the cases listed in the statement, G_{MNP} splits as an amalgamated product $A *_C B$ of finite rank free groups where $[B : C] = 2$, which by Proposition 5.9 is virtually the fundamental group of a monochrome cycles preserving graph of graphs.

The first part of the lemma was proven in [Jan22, Lem 5.1]. In order to prove the second part we start with computing $\sigma\beta(Y_2)$, which is illustrated in Figure 8. We note that there are two connected components $\overline{Y}_2$ of the fiber product $\overline{X}_C \otimes_{X_A} \overline{X}_C$ for which $\widehat{Y_2}$ is not simply connected. They are both isomorphic to the left graph in Figure 7, but their maps to X_C are different. The first column of Figure 8 shows the two combinatorial immersions $\overline{Y}_2 \to \overline{X}_C$ (they are determined by the coloring of the vertices). For each Y_2, we compute $\sigma\beta(Y_2)$, in a similar manner as in Lemma 5.13, see the rest of Figure 8. In each case, we deduce that each connected component $\overline{Y}_3$ of $\overline{Y}_2 \otimes_{X_A} \sigma\beta(Y_2)$ either has simply connected $\widehat{Y_3}$, or it is the right graph in Figure 7. In either case, we every map $\overline{Y}_4 \to \overline{Y}_2$ is an embedding of a subgraph by a reasoning similar to one in Lemma 5.13. □

We now move to the case where one or two of M, N, P are equal to 3. Unlike in the previous case, the computation of the fiber product $\overline{X}_C \otimes_{X_A} \overline{X}_C$ in such cases was not included in [Jan22]. We start with that computation.

Lemma 5.17. Suppose one or two of M, N, P are equal to 3. Every connected component Y_2 of $\overline{X}_C \otimes_{X_A} \overline{X}_C$ either has simply connected $\widehat{Y_2}$ or is

- the left graph in Figure 9, when $M = 3$ and $N, P \geq 5$,
- the right graph in Figure 9, when $M = N = 3$ and $P \geq 5$,



FIGURE 8. $(M, N, P) = (2m+1, 2n+1, 2p+1)$. Each of the two rows of vertical arrows corresponds to respectively: $\overline{Y}_2 \to \overline{X}_C$, $Y_2 \to X_C$, $\beta(Y_2) \to X_C$, and $\sigma\beta(Y_2) \to \overline{X}_C$.



FIGURE 9. $(M, N, P) = (2m + 1, 2n + 1, 2p + 1)$. A connected component of $\overline{X}_C \otimes_{X_A} \overline{X}_C$, when $M = 3$ and $N, P \geq 5$ (left), $M = N = 3$ and $P \geq 5$ (right).

Proof. This is a direct computation. We remind that the graph $\overline{X}_C$ is the middle graph in the first row of Figure 2. In Figure 9 we bi-colored the vertices of the graphs (i.e. colored with a pair of colors) to make it easier for the reader to verify the computation. □

Now our goal is to show that $\overline{Y}_{\ell+2} \to \overline{Y}_\ell$ is an embedding of a subgraph for some ℓ, so we can apply Lemma 5.8. The case where exactly one of M, N, P is equal 3 is considered first.

Lemma 5.18. Let $N, P \geq 5$ be odd, and $M = 3$. Every $\overline{Y}_3$ either has a simply connected $\widehat{\overline{Y}}_3$, or is one of the graphs in in Figure 10. Moreover, the map $\overline{Y}_5 \to \overline{Y}_3$ is always an embedding of a subgraph.



FIGURE 10. $(M, N, P) = (3, 2n+1, 2p+1)$. All the connected components $\overline{Y}_3$ of $\overline{Y}_2 \otimes_{X_A} \sigma\beta(Y_2)$ either has simply connected $\widehat{Y}_3$, or is one of the graphs pictured above. The labels of vertices are $1c$, $2d$ etc, where the number corresponds to a vertex of $\overline{Y}_2$ and the letter corresponds to a vertex of $\sigma\beta(Y_2)$ (see Figure 11).

Proof. We write $N = 2n + 1$ and $P = 2p + 1$. By Lemma 5.17, every Y_2 either has simply connected $\widehat{Y}_2$ or is the left graph in Figure 9. There are two components of $\overline{X}_C \otimes_{X_A} \overline{X}_C$ isomorphic to the left graph in Figure 9, each with a map to $\overline{X}_C$. For each of them we compute $\sigma\beta(Y_2)$ similarly as in Lemma 5.16 and Lemma 5.18. This is illustrated in Figure 11. Next, for each of the two choices of $\sigma\beta(Y_2)$ (as illustrated in Figure 9) we compute the fiber product $\overline{Y}_2 \otimes \sigma\beta(Y_2)$, whose connected component yield $\overline{Y}_3$. The labelling of the vertices in the top left, top right and the bottom right graph in Figure 9, will help the reader to verify that every connected component $\overline{Y}_3$ of those fiber products are either pictured in Figure 10 or has simply-connected $\widehat{Y}_3$.

Finally, we compute the fiber product of the pairs of graphs from Figure 10, which yield $\overline{Y}_4$. The only $\overline{Y}_4$ with non-simply-connected $\widehat{Y}_4$ is the top-left graph in Figure 12, which in particular embeds in appropriate $\overline{Y}_3$ and is invariant under $\sigma\beta\sigma^{-1}$ (as verified in Figure 12). Thus every $\overline{Y}_5 \to \overline{Y}_3$ is an embedding. □

In the remaining case exactly two of M, N, P are equal 3.

Lemma 5.19. Let $P \geq 5$ be odd, and $M = N = 3$. Every $\overline{Y}_3$ either has simply connected $\widehat{Y}_3$, or is one of the graphs in Figure 13. Moreover, the map $\overline{Y}_5 \to \overline{Y}_3$ is always an embedding of a subgraph.

Proof. We write $P = 2p + 1$. By Lemma 5.17, every Y_2 either has simply connected $\widehat{Y}_2$ or is isomorphic to the right graph in Figure 9.

We compute $\sigma\beta(Y_2)$ similarly as in Lemma 5.18. This is illustrated in Figure 14. Once again, for each of the two choices of $\sigma\beta(Y_2)$ we compute the fiber product $\overline{Y}_2 \otimes \sigma\beta(Y_2)$. As a result we obtain that $\overline{Y}_3$ is either a monochrome (blue) cycle, or it is isomorphic to one of the graphs in Figure 13.

We now note that the collection of graphs in Figure 13:

- has the property that the fiber product of any two graphs is a subgraph of one of the graphs in the collection, and
- is invariant under $\sigma\beta\sigma^{-1}$, as verified in Figure 15.



FIGURE 11. $(M, N, P) = (3, 2n+1, 2p+1)$. Each of the two rows of vertical arrows corresponds to respectively: $\overline{Y}_2 \to \overline{X}_C$, $Y_2 \to X_C$, $\beta(Y_2) \to X_C$, and $\sigma\beta(Y_2) \to \overline{X}_C$.



FIGURE 12. $(M, N, P) = (3, 2n+1, 2p+1)$. The vertical arrows corresponds to respectively: $\overline{Y}_4 \to \overline{X}_C$, $Y_4 \to X_C$, $\beta(Y_4) \to X_C$, and $\sigma\beta(Y_4) \to \overline{X}_C$.



FIGURE 13. $(M, N, P) = (3, 3, 2p + 1)$. Each unlabelled blue loop has length $2p + 1$.



FIGURE 14. $(M, N, P) = (3, 3, 2p+1)$. Each of the two rows of vertical arrows corresponds to respectively: $\overline{Y}_2 \to \overline{X}_C$, $Y_2 \to X_C$, $\beta(Y_2) \to X_C$, and $\sigma\beta(Y_2) \to \overline{X}_C$.

The first fact implies that every $\overline{Y}_4$ is a subgraph of some $\overline{Y}_3$. The second fact implies that this is also the case for $\overline{Y}_5$. In particular, every $\overline{Y}_5 \to \overline{Y}_3$ is an embedding. □

We now summarize what we have proven in this subsection.

Proposition 5.20. The Artin group G_{MNP} where $M, N, P \geq 3$ are odd has finite stature with respect to $\{A\}$, where A is as described in Theorem 5.1.

Proof. When $M = N = P = 3$, the statement follows from Proposition 5.15. The case where $M = N = 3$, and $P = 2p+1 \geq 5$ follows from Lemma 5.19 and Lemma 5.8. The case where $M = 3$, $N = 2n + 1 \geq 5$, and $P = 2p + 1 \geq 5$ follows from Lemma 5.18 and Lemma 5.8. Finally, the case where $M = 2m + 1 \geq 5$, $N = 2n + 1 \geq 5$, and $P = 2p + 1 \geq 5$ is a consequence of Lemma 5.16 and Lemma 5.8. □



FIGURE 15. Each unlabelled blue loop has length $2p + 1$

We note that the residual finiteness of G_{333} follows from [Squ87]. The residual finiteness of G_{MNP} where $M, N, P \geq 5$ was proven in [Jan22]. However, the methods of [Jan22] do not cover the cases where one or two of M, N, P are equal 3.

5.8. **The case where $\{M, N, 2\}$ where $M, N \geq 4$.** We first focus on the case where M, N are both even. We recall that, unlike in the previous cases, G_{MNP} splits as an HNN-extension $A*_B$, as in Theorem 5.2.

Lemma 5.21. Let $M = 2m, N = 2n$ and $P = 2$. The graphs $\overline{\phi_1 X_B}$ and $\overline{\phi_2 X_B}$ are (unbased) isomorphic. In particular, the stabilizer of every finite path in the Bass-Serre tree of the splitting of $G_{MNP} = A*_B$ is conjugate to a subgroup of A represented by $\overline{\phi_1 X_B}$ or a wedge of monochrome cycles.



FIGURE 16. $P = 2$. All the graphs $\overline{Y}_\ell$ are either wedges of circles, or one of the graphs above, when (a) $M, N \geq 5$ are both odd and $P = 2$, and (b) exactly one of $M, N \geq 4$ is odd and $P = 2$.



FIGURE 17. $(M, N, 2) = (2m + 1, N, 2)$. In case (a) $N = 2n + 1$, and in case (b) $N = 2n$. If $\overline{Y}$ is the rightmost graphs, then it is isometric to $\sigma\beta(Y)$.

Proof. The graphs $\overline{\phi_1 X_B}$ and $\overline{\phi_2 X_B}$ are computed in Theorem 5.2, and it is easy to see that the two graphs are isomorphic. Every connected component Y of the fiber product $\overline{\phi_1 X_B} \otimes_{X_A} \overline{\phi_1 X_B}$ is either isomorphic to $\overline{\phi_1 X_B}$ or is a wedge of monochrome cycles. □

Next, we consider the cases where at both M, N are odd.

Lemma 5.22. Let $P = 2$ and $M = 2m + 1, N = 2n + 1 \geq 5$. Every graph $\overline{Y}_2$ either is isomorphic to the left graph in Figure 16(a) or it is a wedge of monochrome cycles. If Y is the left graph in Figure 16(a), then $\sigma\beta(Y)$ is (unbased) isometric to Y. Therefore, every graph $\overline{Y}_i$ either one of the two graphs in Figure 16(a), or it is a wedge of monochrome cycles.

Proof. The first statement was proven in [Jan24, Rem 3.5]. The proof of the second statement is illustrated in Figure 17(a). Let $\overline{Y}_2$ be the left graph in Figure 16(a). Then every connected component $\overline{Y}_3$ of the fiber product $\overline{Y}_2 \otimes_{X_A} \sigma\beta(Y_2) = \overline{Y}_2 \otimes_{X_A} \overline{Y}_2$ is a wedge of monochrome cycles, is isomorphic to $\overline{Y}_2$ or to the right graph in Figure 16(a). We also note that if Y is the right graph in Figure 16(b), then $\sigma\beta(Y)$ is isometric to Y. We conclude that every graph $\overline{Y}_\ell$ either one of the two graphs in Figure 16(a), or it is a wedge of monochrome cycles. □

Finally, we consider the cases where exactly one of M, N is odd.

Lemma 5.23. Let $P = 2$, $M = 2m + 1 \geq 5$, and $N = 2n \geq 4$. Every graph $\overline{Y}_2$ either is isometric to the graph in Figure 16(b) or it is a wedge of monochrome cycles. If Y is the graph in Figure 16(b), then $\sigma\beta(Y)$ is (unbased) isometric to Y. Therefore, every graph $\overline{Y}_i$ either one of the graph in Figure 16(b), or it is a wedge of monochrome cycles.

Proof. The first statement was proven in [Jan24, Prop 3.4]. The proof of the second statement is illustrated in Figure 17(b). Let Y denote the graph in Figure 17(b). Every connected component of the fiber product $Y \otimes_{X_A} Y$ is either isometric to Y or it is a wedge of monochrome cycles. □

Proposition 5.24. The Artin group G_{MN2} where $M, N \geq 4$ has finite stature with respect to $\{A\}$, where A is as described in Theorem 5.1.

Proof. All the cases can be deduced from Lemma 5.8 together with

- Lemma 5.21 when M, N are both even;
- Lemma 5.23 when exactly one of M, N is odd;
- Lemma 5.22 when both M, N are odd. □

Residual finiteness of G_{MN2} where at least one of M, N is even was proven in [Jan24], but the case of both M, N odd is a new result.

5.9. **Triangle Artin groups with label ∞.** Note that all of the above proofs are valid if any of the labels M, N, P are equal to ∞.

References

[BGJP18] Rubén Blasco-García, Arye Juhász, and Luis Paris. Note on the residual finiteness of Artin groups. *J. Group Theory*, 21(3):531–537, 2018.

[BGMPP19] Rubén Blasco-García, Conchita Martínez-Pérez, and Luis Paris. Poly-freeness of even Artin groups of FC type. *Groups Geom. Dyn.*, 13(1):309–325, 2019.

[Big01] Stephen J. Bigelow. Braid groups are linear. *J. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 14(2):471–486 (electronic), 2001.

[CW02] Arjeh M. Cohen and David B. Wales. Linearity of Artin groups of finite type. *Israel J. Math.*, 131:101–123, 2002.

[Dig03] François Digne. On the linearity of Artin braid groups. *J. Algebra*, 268(1):39–57, 2003.

[GMRS98] Rita Gitik, Mahan Mitra, Eliyahu Rips, and Michah Sageev. Widths of subgroups. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 350(1):321–329, 1998.

[Hae21] Thomas Haettel. Virtually cocompactly cubulated Artin-Tits groups. *Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN*, (4):2919–2961, 2021.

[HJP16] Jingyin Huang, Kasia Jankiewicz, and Piotr Przytycki. Cocompactly cubulated 2-dimensional Artin groups. *Comment. Math. Helv.*, 91(3):519–542, 2016.

[HW24] Jingyin Huang and Daniel T. Wise. Virtual specialness of certain graphs of special cube complexes. *Math. Ann.*, 388(1):329–357, 2024.

[HW26] Jingyin Huang and Daniel T. Wise. Stature and separability in graphs of groups. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, pages 1–33, 2026. To appear.

[Jan22] Kasia Jankiewicz. Residual finiteness of certain 2-dimensional Artin groups. *Adv. Math.*, 405:Paper No. 108487, 2022.

[Jan24] Kasia Jankiewicz. Splittings of triangle Artin groups. *Groups Geom. Dyn.*, 18(1):91–108, 2024.

[JS25] Kasia Jankiewicz and Kevin Schreve. Profinite properties of algebraically clean graphs of free groups. *J. Pure Appl. Algebra*, 229(1):Paper No. 107775, 2025.

[Kra02] Daan Krammer. Braid groups are linear. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 155(1):131–156, 2002.

[Liu13] Yi Liu. Virtual cubulation of nonpositively curved graph manifolds. *J. Topol.*, 6(4):793–822, 2013.

[Mey24] Greyson Meyer. Residual finiteness of $A_{2,3,2n}$ triangle artin groups, 2024.

[PW14] Piotr Przytycki and Daniel T. Wise. Graph manifolds with boundary are virtually special. *J. Topol.*, 7(2):419–435, 2014.

[Squ87] Craig C. Squier. On certain 3-generator Artin groups. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 302(1):117–124, 1987.

[Sta83] John R. Stallings. Topology of finite graphs. *Invent. Math.*, 71(3):551–565, 1983.

[Wis02] Daniel T. Wise. The residual finiteness of negatively curved polygons of finite groups. *Invent. Math.*, 149(3):579–617, 2002.

[WY25] Xiaolei Wu and Shengkui Ye. Splittings and poly-freeness of triangle Artin groups. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 378(7):4707–4737, 2025.

Department of Mathematics, University of British Columbia, Canada
Email address: kasia@math.ubc.ca