

ABSTRACT. In this note we prove that the Hasse-Weil zeta function of a curve is a rational function and satisfies a functional equation. We follow [Must, Chapter 3].

1. PRELIMINARIES AND NOTATION: QUICK REVIEW

Throughout this note X is a smooth projective curve over $k := \mathbb{F}_q$. A Weil divisor $D \in \text{Div}(X)$ on X is a finite formal sum of the form

$$D = \sum_{x \in X_{\text{cl}}} n_x x,$$

where X_{cl} are the closed points of X . We identify each closed point in X_{cl} with the orbit of a point in $X(\overline{\mathbb{F}_q})$ under the action of $\text{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{F}_q}|\mathbb{F}_q)$. The degree of a closed point $x \in X_{\text{cl}}$ is $\deg(x) = [k(x) : k]$, where $k(x)$ is the residue field of x . The degree of the divisor D is

$$\deg(D) = \sum_{x \in X_{\text{cl}}} n_x \deg(x).$$

Example 1.1. Let $X = \mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{F}_3}^1 = \text{spec}(\mathbb{F}_3[x])$. Then $P = \text{spec}\left(\mathbb{F}_3[x] / (x^2 + 1)\right)$ is a closed point of X corresponding to the maximal ideal $(x^2 + 1)$ of $\mathbb{F}_3[x]$. The residue field is $\mathbb{F}_3(P) = \mathbb{F}_3[x] / (x^2 + 1)$ which is a degree 2 extension of \mathbb{F}_3 . Hence the divisor $D = P$ has degree $\deg(D) = [\mathbb{F}_3(P) : \mathbb{F}_3] = 2$.

Because X is a smooth projective curve we may identify a Weil divisor $D \in \text{Div}(X)$ with its induced line bundle $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{O}_X(D)$. We write $\deg(\mathcal{O}_X(D)) = \deg(D)$.

We say that two Weil divisors $D, D' \in \text{Div}(X)$ are linearly equivalent and write $D \sim D'$ iff $D - D' = \text{div}(f)$ for some $f \in k(X)^\times$. We write $\text{Pic}(X)$ to denote the group of the divisors on X modulo this equivalence relation. Note that linearly equivalent Weil divisors correspond to isomorphic line bundles. In other words, $\text{Pic}(X)$ is the group of line bundles on X modulo the isomorphism relation. We write $[D]$ for a divisor class in $\text{Pic}(X)$.

Since our curve X is projective, linearly equivalent divisors have the same degree and hence the degree map descends to give a group homomorphism $\deg : \text{Pic}(X) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$. The kernel of this homomorphism is denoted by $\text{Pic}^0(X)$. We recall the Riemann-Roch theorem.

Theorem 1.2. Let $D \in \text{Div}(X)$ and write \mathcal{K} for the canonical divisor of X . We have

$$\ell(D) - \ell(\mathcal{K} - D) = \deg D - g + 1.$$

Moreover, $\deg(\mathcal{K}) = 2g - 2$ and

$$\ell(D) = \deg(D) - g + 1, \text{ if } \deg(D) \geq 2g - 1.$$

In the following we will make use of the following corollary of the Riemann-Roch.

Proposition 1.3. *The number of effective divisors in $\text{Div}(X)$ that are linearly equivalent to $D \in \text{Div}(X)$ is $\frac{q^{\ell(D)} - 1}{q - 1}$. If in particular $\deg(D) \geq 2g - 1$, then the number of effective divisors in $\text{Div}(X)$ that are linearly equivalent to D is $\frac{q^{\deg D - g + 1} - 1}{q - 1}$.*

Remark 1.4. *Recall that for $D, D' \in \text{Div}(X)$ with $D \sim D'$ we have $\ell(D) = \ell(D')$. Therefore the integer $\ell([D]) := \ell(D)$ is well defined for a divisor class in $\text{Pic}(X)$.*

2. RATIONALITY

In this section we aim to prove the following strong form of the rationality conjecture in the setting of a smooth projective curve X over \mathbb{F}_q .

In the following we write

$$\text{Pic}^0(X) = \{[D] \in \text{Pic}(X) : \deg([D]) = 0\},$$

and

$$\text{Pic}^m(X) = \{[D] \in \text{Pic}(X) : \deg([D]) = m\},$$

To state the strong form of the rationality conjecture we aim to prove, we will first see that $\text{Pic}^0(X)$ is a finite subgroup of $\text{Pic}(X)$. We will write $h := |\text{Pic}^0(X)|$.

Lemma 2.1. *We have that*

- (1) $\text{Pic}^0(X)$ is a finite subgroup of $\text{Pic}(X)$, we write $h := |\text{Pic}^0(X)|$.
- (2) $\deg(\text{Pic}(X)) = e\mathbb{Z}$ for some $e \in \mathbb{N}_{>0}$ and if we write $h := |\text{Pic}^0(X)|$, we have

$$|\{[D] \in \text{Pic}(X) : \deg([D]) = m\}| = \begin{cases} h & , e|m \\ 0 & , \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Proof. We will first prove the first part of this lemma. It is easy to see that $\text{Pic}^0(X)$ is a group. We will prove that $\text{Pic}^0(X)$ is finite. Let $D_n \in \text{Div}(X)$ be such that $\deg(D_n) := n \geq 2g$. Notice that the map

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Pic}^0(X) &\rightarrow \text{Pic}^n(X) \\ [D] &\mapsto [D + D_n], \end{aligned}$$

gives a bijection between $\text{Pic}^0(X)$ and $\text{Pic}^n(X)$. Therefore, it suffices to prove that $\text{Pic}^n(X)$ is a finite set. We claim that for any divisor class $[D] \in \text{Pic}^n(X)$, there exists an effective divisor $D' \in \text{Div}(X)$ such that $[D] = [D']$. This is a consequence of the Riemann-Roch. Since $\deg(D) \geq 2g > 2g - 1$, we have that $\ell(D) = n - g + 1 > 0$, hence D is linearly equivalent to an effective divisor D' . Thus it suffices to see that there is a finite number of effective divisors of degree n . This holds since there are only finitely many ways to write

n as a sum of positive numbers and there are only finitely many closed points in X_{cl} with degree less than n .

For the second part of this lemma, notice that $\deg(\text{Pic}(X))$ is an ideal of \mathbb{Z} , therefore it can be written as $\deg(\text{Pic}(X)) = e\mathbb{Z}$ for some $e \in \mathbb{N}_{>0}$. Fix a divisor class $[D_m] \in \text{Pic}^{em}(X)$. The map

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Pic}^0(X) &\rightarrow \text{Pic}^{em}(X) \\ [D] &\mapsto [D + D_m], \end{aligned}$$

gives a bijection between $\text{Pic}^0(X)$ and $\text{Pic}^{em}(X)$. The lemma follows. \square

Theorem 2.2. *If X is a smooth projective curve over \mathbb{F}_q of genus g such that X is irreducible over $\overline{\mathbb{F}_q}$, we have*

$$Z(X, t) = \frac{f(t)}{(1-t)(1-qt)},$$

where $f \in \mathbb{Z}[t]$ is a polynomial of degree $\deg(f) \leq 2g$, such that $f(0) = 1$ and $f(1) = h$.

We begin by establishing some key lemmas.

Lemma 2.3. *Let X be a variety over \mathbb{F}_q and X' be the same variety over \mathbb{F}_{q^r} . Then*

$$Z(X', t^r) = \prod_{i=1}^r Z(X, \xi^i t),$$

where ξ is a primitive r -th root of unity.

Proof. Let $N_m = |X(\mathbb{F}_{q^m})|$ and $N'_m = |X'(\mathbb{F}_{q^{rm}})|$. We want to prove that

$$\exp\left(\sum_{m \geq 1} \frac{N'_m}{m} t^{rm}\right) = \prod_{i=1}^r \exp\left(\sum_{\ell \geq 1} \frac{N_\ell}{\ell} \xi^{i\ell} t^\ell\right),$$

or equivalently that

$$\sum_{m \geq 1} \frac{N'_m}{m} t^{rm} = \sum_{\ell \geq 1} \frac{N_\ell}{\ell} \left(\sum_{i=1}^r \xi^{i\ell}\right) t^\ell.$$

The desired equality follows from the fact that $N'_m = N_{rm}$ for all $m \geq 1$ and

$$\sum_{i=1}^r \xi^{i\ell} = \begin{cases} 0 & r \nmid \ell \\ r & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

\square

Proof of Theorem 2.2. Last time we saw that

$$Z(X, t) = \sum_{D \geq 0} t^{\deg(D)}.$$

Denote by $a_{[D]} := |\{D' \in [D] : D' \geq 0\}|$. We may write

$$Z(X, t) = \sum_{[D] \in \text{Pic}(X)} a_{[D]} t^{\deg([D])}.$$

We break this sum into two components depending on whether $\deg([D]) \geq 2g - 1$ or $\deg([D]) \leq 2g - 2$. Then

$$(1) \quad Z(X, t) = \sum_{[D] \in \text{Pic}(X), \deg([D]) \leq 2g-2} a_{[D]} t^{\deg([D])} + \sum_{[D] \in \text{Pic}(X), \deg([D]) \geq 2g-1} a_{[D]} t^{\deg([D])}.$$

We will now prove the first part of this theorem. That is that $Z(X, t)$ is a rational function. Notice that

$$(2) \quad S_1(t) := \sum_{[D] \in \text{Pic}(X), \deg([D]) \leq 2g-2} a_{[D]} t^{\deg([D])},$$

is a polynomial. Therefore, it suffices to prove that

$$S_2(t) := \sum_{[D] \in \text{Pic}(X), \deg([D]) \geq 2g-1} a_{[D]} t^{\deg([D])}$$

is a rational function. By Proposition 1.3, we get

$$S_2(t) = \sum_{[D] \in \text{Pic}(X), \deg([D]) \geq 2g-1} \frac{q^{\deg([D])-g+1} - 1}{q - 1} t^{\deg([D])}.$$

Notice now that in view of Lemma 2.1 we have $\deg(\text{Pic}(X)) = e\mathbb{Z}$ for some $e \in \mathbb{N}_{>0}$ and

$$|\{[D] \in \text{Pic}(X) : \deg([D]) = m\}| = \begin{cases} h & e|m \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Let d_0 be the smallest integer such that $d_0 e \geq 2g - 1$. We have

$$(3) \quad S_2(t) = \sum_{d \geq d_0} h \frac{q^{de-g+1} - 1}{q - 1} t^{de} = \frac{h}{(q - 1)} \left(q^{1-g} \cdot \frac{(qt)^{d_0 e}}{1 - (qt)^e} - \frac{t^{d_0 e}}{1 - t^e} \right).$$

This finishes the proof that $Z(X, t)$ is a rational function.

We proceed now to establish the complete statement of the theorem. Notice that $S_1(t) = g(t^e)$ for some polynomial $g \in \mathbb{Z}[t]$ with degree $\deg(g) \leq \frac{2g-2}{e}$. Combining this with (3) we get

$$(4) \quad Z(X, t) = \frac{f(t^e)}{(1 - t^e)(1 - q^e t^e)},$$

where $f \in \mathbb{Q}[t]$ with $\deg(f) \leq \max\{2 + \frac{2g-2}{e}, d_0 + 1\}$. In fact since $Z(X, t) \in \mathbb{Z}[[t]]$ we see that $f \in \mathbb{Z}[t]$. We will now show that $e = 1$.

Note that the fact that $S_1(t)$ is a polynomial together with the expression in (3) yield

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 1} (t-1)Z(X, t) = \lim_{t \rightarrow 1} \frac{-ht^{d_0e}(t-1)}{q(1-t^e)}.$$

Therefore, $Z(X, t)$ has a pole of order 1 at $t = 1$. If we now consider X' to be the curve X over \mathbb{F}_{q^e} , in view of Lemma 2.3, we get

$$Z(X', t^e) = \prod_{i=1}^e Z(X, \xi^i t),$$

for a e -th primitive root of unity ξ . This equation combined with (4) gives that

$$Z(X', t^e) = Z(X, t)^e.$$

However as we have seen $Z(X, t)$ as well as $Z(X', t)$ has a pole of order 1 at $t = 1$, which gives $e = 1$.

We have thus established that $e = 1$.

Since $e = 1$, we have $d_0 = 2g - 1$. Therefore, the fact that $\deg(f) \leq \max\{2 + \frac{2g-2}{e}, d_0 + 1\}$ implies that $\deg(f) \leq 2g$.

If in particular $g = 0$ we have

$$Z(X, t) = \frac{h}{(1-t)(1-qt)}.$$

Finally, if $g \geq 1$ we have $f(0) = 1$ and $f(1) = h$ as one can easily see from (2) and (3). \square

In the course of the proof of Theorem 2.2 we saw that $\deg(\text{Pic}(X)) = \mathbb{Z}$. Combining this with Lemma 2.1, we get the following corollary.

Corollary 2.4. *All $\text{Pic}^m(X)$ have the same non-zero number of elements $h = |\text{Pic}^0(X)|$.*

Before proceeding to prove the functional equation, we make some remarks on the existence of divisors with degree one.

Remark 2.5.

- If a curve X has an \mathbb{F}_q point, then it has a divisor over \mathbb{F}_q of degree 1. However, the converse is not true.
- If a curve defined over any field K , has genus 0 or 1 then it has an K -point if and only if it has a divisor over K of degree 1. This is a consequence of the Riemann-Roch which in this case implies that every divisor is linearly equivalent to an effective divisor.
- Corollary 2.4 is not true for smooth curves over a number field K . For example we consider the smooth conic $C : x^2 + y^2 + z^2 = 0$ over \mathbb{Q} . This conic has no divisor of degree 1. Indeed, if it had, since it has genus 0 it would also have a \mathbb{Q} -point. However C is pointless over \mathbb{Q} . In fact, one can see that since the canonical divisor of C has degree -2 we have $\deg(\text{Pic}(C)) = 2\mathbb{Z}$.

- If the curve X has a divisor of degree n and m for two coprime integers n and m , then it has a divisor of degree 1. Most times (more specifically when the genus of the curve is not 1) we can find a divisor of even degree. This is the case because the canonical divisor on curve of genus g has degree $2g - 2$. Thus if a curve of genus not equal to one has a divisor of odd degree, then it also has a divisor of degree 1.

3. FUNCTIONAL EQUATION

We are now going to prove that the Hasse-Weil zeta function of a curve satisfies a functional equation, as stated in the theorem below.

Theorem 3.1. *If X is a smooth projective curve over \mathbb{F}_q of genus g such that X is irreducible over $\overline{\mathbb{F}_q}$, we have*

$$Z\left(X, \frac{1}{qt}\right) = q^{1-g} t^{2-2g} Z(X, t).$$

Proof. As in the proof of Theorem 2.2 we write

$$\begin{aligned} Z(X, t) &= \sum_{m=0}^{2g-2} \sum_{[D] \in \text{Pic}^m(X)} \frac{q^{\ell([D])} - 1}{q-1} t^m + \sum_{m \geq 2g-1} h \frac{q^{m-g+1} - 1}{q-1} t^m \\ &= \sum_{m=0}^{2g-2} \sum_{[D] \in \text{Pic}^m(X)} \frac{q^{\ell([D])} - 1}{q-1} t^m + \frac{h}{(q-1)} \left(q^{1-g} \cdot \frac{(qt)^{2g-1}}{1-qt} - \frac{t^{2g-1}}{1-t} \right) \\ &= \sum_{m=0}^{2g-2} \sum_{[D] \in \text{Pic}^m(X)} \frac{q^{\ell([D])}}{q-1} t^m - \sum_{m=0}^{2g-2} \frac{h}{q-1} t^m + \frac{h}{(q-1)} \left(q^{1-g} \cdot \frac{(qt)^{2g-1}}{1-qt} - \frac{t^{2g-1}}{1-t} \right) \\ &= \sum_{m=0}^{2g-2} \sum_{[D] \in \text{Pic}^m(X)} \frac{q^{\ell([D])}}{q-1} t^m + \frac{h}{(q-1)} \left(q^{1-g} \cdot \frac{(qt)^{2g-1}}{1-qt} - \frac{1}{1-t} \right) := F(t) + G(t), \end{aligned}$$

where $F(t) = \sum_{m=0}^{2g-2} \sum_{[D] \in \text{Pic}^m(X)} \frac{q^{\ell([D])}}{q-1} t^m$ and $G(t) = \frac{h}{(q-1)} \left(q^{1-g} \cdot \frac{(qt)^{2g-1}}{1-qt} - \frac{1}{1-t} \right)$.

We now compute

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{(q-1)}{h} G(1/qt) &= q^{1-g} \cdot \frac{t^{1-2g}}{1-t^{-1}} - \frac{1}{1-(qt)^{-1}} \\ &= \frac{q^{1-g} t^{2-2g}}{t-1} - \frac{qt}{qt-1} \\ &= t^{2-2g} q^{1-g} \left(\frac{q^g t^{2g-1}}{1-qt} - \frac{1}{t-1} \right) \\ &= t^{2-2g} q^{1-g} \frac{q-1}{h} G(t). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$(5) \quad G(1/qt) = t^{2-2g} q^{1-g} G(t).$$

Next are going to compute $F(1/qt)$. We have

$$F(1/qt) = \sum_{m=0}^{2g-2} \sum_{[D] \in \text{Pic}^m(X)} \frac{q^{\ell([D])}}{q-1} (qt)^{-m}.$$

In view of Theorem 1.2, we have that the map

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Pic}^m(X) &\rightarrow \text{Pic}^{2g-2-m} \\ [D] &\mapsto [\mathcal{K} - D], \end{aligned}$$

is a bijection. Moreover, as m runs through $\{0, \dots, 2g-2\}$ so does $2g-2-m$. Thus, the sum can be rewritten as

$$F(1/qt) = \sum_{m=0}^{2g-2} \sum_{[D] \in \text{Pic}^m(X)} \frac{q^{\ell([\mathcal{K}-D])}}{q-1} (qt)^{m+2-2g}.$$

Furthermore, Theorem 1.2 yields that $\ell([\mathcal{K}-D]) = \ell([D]) - (\deg([D]) - g + 1)$. Therefore, we get

$$\begin{aligned} F(1/qt) &= \sum_{m=0}^{2g-2} \sum_{[D] \in \text{Pic}^m(X)} \frac{q^{\ell([D]) - m + g - 1}}{q-1} (qt)^{m+2-2g} \\ &= t^{2-2g} q^{1-g} \sum_{m=0}^{2g-2} \sum_{[D] \in \text{Pic}^m(X)} \frac{q^{\ell([D])}}{q-1} t^m \\ &= t^{2-2g} q^{1-g} F(t). \end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$(6) \quad F(1/qt) = t^{2-2g} q^{1-g} F(t).$$

Combining (5) and (6) we get

$$Z(X, 1/qt) = q^{1-g} t^{2-2g} Z(X, t).$$

The theorem follows. □

REFERENCES

- [Must] Mircea Mustata, *Zeta functions in algebraic geometry*, lecture notes.
 [Popa] Mihnea Popa, *Modern aspects of the cohomological study of varieties*, lecture notes.

NIKI MYRTO MAVRAKI, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIA, VANCOUVER, BC V6T 1Z2, CANADA

E-mail address: myrtomav@math.ubc.ca