

## Math 535, Lecture 12, 3/2/2023

Last time: Exponential map

Def:  $e_{\mathfrak{X}}: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow G$  the integral curve of  $\mathfrak{X} \in \mathfrak{g}$   
s.t.  $e_{\mathfrak{X}}(0) = e_G$ .

Fact: lives forever,  $e_{\mathfrak{X}}(t+s) = e_{\mathfrak{X}}(t) \cdot e_{\mathfrak{X}}(s)$ , depends only on  $t\mathfrak{X}$ ,  $\Rightarrow$  write  $\exp(\mathfrak{X}) = e_{\mathfrak{X}}(1)$

Fact:  $\exp: \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow G$  a local diffeo,  $d_0 \exp = \text{id}_{\mathfrak{g}}$ .

$\Rightarrow$  Exponential co-ordinates:  $\mathfrak{g} \cong \bigoplus_{i=1}^r V_i \ni (\mathfrak{X}_i)_{i=1}^r \mapsto \prod_{i=1}^r \exp(\mathfrak{X}_i) \in G$ .

Fact:  $f \in \text{Hom}(G, H)$  has  $f(\exp_G(\mathfrak{X})) = \exp_H(df(\mathfrak{X}))$

Today: Closed subgroups

$G$  Lie sp,  $H \subset G$  closed subgp Goal:  $H$  is a Lie subgp

key: identify  $\text{Lie } H \subset \text{Lie } G$ .

Fixed  $o \in U_g \subset \mathfrak{g}$ ,  $U \subset G$  open s.t.  $\exp: U_g \rightarrow U$  is a diffeomorphism onto.  $\log: U \rightarrow U_g$  inverse

$$H_1 = \mathbb{R} \cdot \left\{ \lim_{h_n \rightarrow e} \frac{\log h_n}{|\log h_n|} \mid \left. \begin{array}{l} (h_n)_{n \geq 1} \subset H \\ h_n \rightarrow e \end{array} \right\}, \|\cdot\| \text{ on } \mathfrak{g} \text{ any norm.} \right\}$$

$$H_2 = \{ X \in \mathfrak{g} \mid \exp(tX) \in H \text{ for all } t \}$$

$$H_3 = \mathbb{R} \cdot \log(H \cap U).$$

if  $X \in H_2$  then for  $t_n \rightarrow 0$ ,  $\log(\exp(t_n X)) = t_n X$

$$\text{and } \frac{t_n X}{|t_n X|} \rightarrow \frac{X}{|X|} \text{ so } X \in H_1,$$

Similarly, if  $t$  small,  $\exp(tX) \in U$  so  $tX \in \log(H \cap U)$  so  $X \in H_3$

Converse:  $H_1 \subset H_2$ . Pf: let  $\frac{\log h_n}{|\log h_n|} \rightarrow X \in \mathfrak{g}$ .

Then for  $m_n \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $H \ni h_n^{m_n} = \exp(m_n \log h_n) =$

$$= \exp\left(\frac{\log h_n}{|\log h_n|} \cdot (m_n \cdot |\log h_n|)\right)$$

since  $h_n \rightarrow e$ ,  $|\log h_n| \rightarrow 0$ , can choose  $m_n$  s.t.  
 $m_n \cdot (\log h_n) \rightarrow t$  then

$$h_n^{m_n} \rightarrow \exp(tX)$$

But  $\mathfrak{H}$  is closed, so  $\exp(tX) \in \mathfrak{H}$  for all  $t$ ,  
and  $X \in \mathfrak{H}_2$ .

Goal:  $\log(H \cap U) \subset \mathfrak{H}_1 = \mathfrak{H}_2$

Claims: for a small enough  $U$  and  $e \in U$ ,  $U \subset U$ ,  
 $\log(H \cap U) \subset \mathfrak{H}_1 = \mathfrak{H}_2$ .

Pf: If not, we have  $\exists h_n?_{n=1}^{\infty} \subset \mathfrak{H}$  s.t.  $h_n \rightarrow e$ ,  
 $\log h_n \notin \mathfrak{H}_1 = \mathfrak{H}_2$ .

Fix a subspace  $k \subset \mathfrak{g}$  s.t.  $\mathfrak{H}_2 \oplus k = \mathfrak{g}$

then have  $h_n = \exp(X_n) \exp(Y_n)$  for some  
 $X_n \in \mathfrak{H}_1$ ,  $Y_n \in k$  (exponential co-ords)  
with  $X_n \rightarrow 0$ ,  $Y_n \rightarrow 0$ .

Then  $\exp(Y_n) = \exp(-X_n)h_n \in H$  tend to  $e$

So if  $Y_n \neq 0$ , any subsequential limit of  $\frac{Y_n}{|Y_n|}$  lies in  $\mathfrak{h}_1$ ; But it also lies in  $\mathfrak{k}$  since  $Y_n \in \mathfrak{k}$

So  $Y_n = 0$  from some point on,  $h_n \in \exp(\mathfrak{h}_1)$

$\Rightarrow \log$  identifies  $H \cap U_1$  with  $\mathfrak{h}_1 \cong \log(U_1)$

This shows  $H$  is a submanifold of  $G$  near  $e$ ,  
by translation -invariance of  $H$ ,  $H$  is a  
submanifold.

Payback:  $\mathfrak{h}_1 = \mathfrak{h}_2$  is a subspace. Need to show  
closed under  $+$ . Say  $X, Y \in \mathfrak{h}_1 = \mathfrak{h}_2$   
Then

$$\exp_G(tX) \exp(tY) \in H$$

we know  $d_0(\exp_G X \cdot \exp_G Y) = X + Y$   
 $\mathfrak{g} \times \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}$

so  $\log(\exp_G(tX) \exp_G(tY)) = t(X+Y) + O(t^2)$

$$\text{so } \frac{\log(\quad)}{|\log(\quad)|} = \frac{\mathcal{L} + \mathcal{Y}}{|\mathcal{L} + \mathcal{Y}|} + o(t)$$

$$\downarrow$$

$$\frac{\mathcal{L} + \mathcal{Y}}{|\mathcal{L} + \mathcal{Y}|} \text{ as } t \rightarrow 0$$

so  $\mathcal{L} + \mathcal{Y} \in \mathfrak{h}_1 = \mathfrak{h}_2$ .  $\square$

Cor: Let  $f: G \rightarrow H$  be a cts gp hom of Lie groups. Then  $f$  is smooth.

Pf:  $\Gamma_f = \{(g, f(g))\} \subset G \times H$  is a closed subgp.  $\square$

### Topological applications

Thm: Let  $H < G$  be closed, ctd. Then  $G/H$  has a unique manifold structure st  $\pi: G \rightarrow G/H$  is smooth. The regular action is smooth.

Pf:  $H$  is closed  $\Leftrightarrow G/H$  is Hausdorff in quotient topology. To get manifold structure fix complement  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathfrak{k}$

Then  $\exp_k$  is a co-ord system on  $G/H$  near identity.

□  
Cif  $H$  normal, this makes  $G/H$  a lie gp

Thm: A lie gp hom  $f: G \rightarrow H$  is a covering map iff  $df$  is an isomorphism (assume  $G, H$  ctd)

Pf: ① a cover is a local diffeo, so  $df$  is an isomorphism

② Suppose  $df$  is an isom, so  $df$  is an isom  $\Rightarrow f$  is a local diffeomorphism

Let  $K = \text{Ker } f$ . This is a closed subgp, with lie algebra  $\text{Ker } df = \{0\}$  so  $K$  is 0-dim, i.e. discrete. So let  $U$  be small enough s.t.  $K$ -translates of  $U$  are disjoint, s.t.  $f|_U$  is a diffeo. Then

$$f^{-1}(f(U)) = K \times U.$$

□

Thm: let  $df: \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{h}$  be a map of lie algebras,  $\mathfrak{g} = \text{lie } G$ ,  $\mathfrak{h} = \text{lie } (H)$ .  
 suppose  $H$  cts,  $G$  ctd & simply ctd. Then  $df$  lifts to a hom  $G \rightarrow H$ .

Pf: let  $\Gamma_{df} = \{ (X, df \cdot X) \} \subset \mathfrak{g} \oplus \mathfrak{h}$  be the graph of  $df$ , a lie subalgebra of  $\mathfrak{g} \oplus \mathfrak{h}$

(image of  $\text{id} \oplus df: \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g} \oplus \mathfrak{h}$ ). let  $\Gamma_f$  be the corresponding subgp of  $G \times H$ .

Have projections  $\begin{array}{ccc} & G \times H & \\ \pi_1 \downarrow & & \downarrow \pi_2 \\ G & & H \end{array}$  are homs

let  $\pi = \pi_1|_{\Gamma_f}$ .  $d\pi = d\pi_1|_{\Gamma_{df}}: \Gamma_{df} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}$  is projection on 1st co-ord, an isom.

$\Rightarrow$  map  $\pi: \Gamma_f \rightarrow G$  is a covering map

By hypothesis (G simply ctd)  $\pi$  is an isom.

This means  $\pi^{-1}: G \rightarrow \Gamma_f$  is an isom,

and  $\pi_2 \circ \pi^{-1}$  is a lie sp hom with graph  $\Gamma_f$ ,  
hence derivative df

$$\begin{array}{c} \mathfrak{g} \oplus \mathfrak{h} \\ \cup \\ \Gamma_{df} \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{c} \mathfrak{G} \times \mathfrak{H} \\ \cup \\ \Gamma_f \end{array}$$

□

(Ex: if  $P \subset \mathfrak{G} \times \mathfrak{H}$  is a subalg st.  $\pi_1|_P$  is  
an isom  $P \rightarrow \mathfrak{G}$  then  $P$  is the graph of a  
sp hom)

Thm: (Ado) Every f.d. lie algebra has a  
faithful linear representation (embedding in  $\mathfrak{gl}_n(\mathbb{R})$ )

Cor: Every f.d. lie algebra is the lie algebra  
of some lie sp (subalg of  $\mathfrak{gl}_n(\mathbb{R})$ ).

Example:  $\pi_1(SL_2(\mathbb{C})) \cong \mathbb{Z}$

But if  $G$  covers  $SL_2(\mathbb{C})$ , any hom  $G \rightarrow \mathfrak{gl}_n(\mathbb{R})$   
factors through  $SL_2(\mathbb{C})$