

# Math 535, Lecture 13, 27/2/2023

Last time:  $G$  ctd cpt lie gr,  $\mathcal{T}G$  max/ torus  
 $\mathfrak{g} = \text{lie } G$ ,  $\mathfrak{t} = \text{lie } \mathcal{T}$ .  $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}} = \mathbb{C} \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathfrak{g}$

let  $\mathcal{T}$  act on  $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}}$  via adjoint rep'n. Then

$$\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}} = \mathfrak{g}_0 \oplus \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \Phi} \mathfrak{g}_{\alpha} \quad \text{where:}$$

(1)  $\mathfrak{g}_0 = \mathfrak{t}_{\mathbb{C}}$ , (2) if  $H \in \mathfrak{t}$ ,  $X_{\alpha} \in \mathfrak{g}_{\alpha}$  then

$$e(H) = e^{2\pi i H}$$

$$\text{Ad}(e(H)) \cdot X_{\alpha} = e(\alpha(H)) \cdot X_{\alpha} \quad (*)$$

$$\alpha \in \Lambda^* = \text{Hom}(\Lambda, \mathbb{Z}) \subseteq \mathfrak{t}^* \quad \text{where } \Lambda = \text{Ker}(e^{\pi i})$$

complex conjugation in (\*) gives:  $\overline{X_{\alpha}} \in \mathfrak{g}_{-\alpha}$   
(Cor:  $-\Phi = \Phi$ )

---

Lemma:  $X_{\alpha} \in \mathfrak{g}_{\alpha}, X_{\beta} \in \mathfrak{g}_{\beta} \Rightarrow [X_{\alpha}, X_{\beta}] \in \mathfrak{g}_{\alpha+\beta}$

Thm:  $\text{rk } G \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \dim_{\mathbb{R}} \mathfrak{t} = 1 \Rightarrow G \cong \text{SO}(3) \text{ or } \text{SU}(2)$   
( $\mathfrak{g} \cong \text{su}(2)$ ,  $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}} \cong \mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbb{C})$ )

Pf: Given any  $\beta \in \Phi$  let  $X_\beta \in \mathfrak{g}_\beta$ , know  $\overline{X}_\beta \in \mathfrak{g}_{-\beta}$   
 set  $H_\beta = [X_\beta, \overline{X}_\beta] \neq 0$  since max'l torus is Id.

$$\overline{H}_\beta = [X_{-\beta}, X_\beta] = -[X_\beta, X_{-\beta}] = -H_\beta$$

so  $H_\beta \in i\mathfrak{t}_\mathfrak{e}$ ,  $iH_\beta \in \mathfrak{t}_\mathfrak{e} = \mathfrak{z}$ .

Fix  $H \in \mathfrak{t}$  non-zero. Every real root  $\alpha$  is determined by  $\alpha(H)$ , **order** the roots by the values  $\alpha(H)$ . Let  $\beta$  be the **smallest** positive root. Choose  $X_\beta, X_{-\beta}$  as above

$$\text{Set } V = \mathbb{C} X_{-\beta} \oplus \mathfrak{t}_\mathfrak{e} \oplus \bigoplus_{\alpha > 0} \mathfrak{g}_\alpha \subset \mathfrak{g}_\mathfrak{e}$$

Observe if  $\gamma \in \Phi^+$  ( $\gamma > 0$ ),  $X \in V$ ,  $X_\gamma \in \mathfrak{g}_\gamma$   
 then  $[X_\gamma, X] \in V$ :

if  $X \in \mathfrak{g}_\alpha$  then  $[X_\gamma, X] \in \mathfrak{g}_{\alpha+\gamma} \subset V$   
 since  $\alpha + \gamma > \alpha > 0$

if  $X \in \mathfrak{t}_\mathfrak{e}$ ,  $[X_\gamma, X] \in \mathfrak{g}_\gamma \subset V$  (since  $\gamma > 0$ )

$[X_\beta, X_{-\beta}] \in \mathfrak{g}_{\alpha-\beta}$ ,  $\alpha - \beta \geq 0$  since  $\beta$  smallest positive root.

Particular case:  $V$  is  $\text{ad}(X_\beta)$ -stable.

Also:  $V$  is  $\text{ad}(X_{-\beta})$ -inv.

Pf:  $\text{ad}(X_{-\beta}) \cdot X_{-\beta} = 0$

$$\text{ad}(X_{-\beta}) \cdot t_{\mathbb{C}} \subseteq \mathbb{C} \cdot X_{-\beta}$$

$$\text{ad}(X_{-\beta}) \cdot \sigma_{\alpha} \subseteq \sigma_{\alpha-\beta} \subseteq V$$

$\uparrow$   
 $\alpha - \beta > 0$

$$\text{ad}_{\mathbb{R}} \cdot Y = [X, Y]$$

Consider  $\text{ad}(H_\beta)$  where  $H_\beta = [X_\beta, X_{-\beta}] \in t_{\mathbb{C}}$ .

Saw:  $\text{ad}$  is a Lie alg. rep'n so  $\text{ad}(H_\beta) = [\text{ad} X_\beta, \text{ad} X_{-\beta}]$

so  $V$  is  $H_\beta$ -stable and  $\text{Tr}_{\mathbb{C}}(H_\beta|_V) = 0$

On the other hand by the def'n of  $V$ ,

$$\text{Tr}(H_\beta|_V) = -2\pi i p(H_\beta) + 0 + \sum_{\alpha > 0} \dim_{\mathbb{C}} \sigma_{\alpha} \cdot 2\pi i \alpha(H_\beta)$$

divide by  $2\pi$ , set:

$$(\dim_{\mathbb{C}} \mathfrak{g}_{\beta} - 1) \beta(iH_{\rho}) + \sum_{\alpha > \beta} (\dim_{\mathbb{C}} \mathfrak{g}_{\alpha}) \cdot \alpha(iH_{\rho}) = 0$$

Now  $iH_{\rho} \in \mathfrak{t}_{\mathbb{R}}$  is non-zero so  $\beta(iH_{\rho}), \alpha(iH_{\rho})$  all are non-zero & have same sign (either  $iH_{\rho}$  is a positive multiple of  $H$  used to define order, or a negative multiple).

$\Rightarrow$  all terms are zero, i.e. **no roots**  $\alpha > \beta$

$$\Rightarrow \dim \mathfrak{g}_{\beta} = \mathfrak{g}_{-\beta} = 1, \mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}} = \mathfrak{g}_{-\rho} \oplus \mathfrak{t}_{\mathbb{C}} \oplus \mathfrak{g}_{\rho}$$

has  $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} \mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}} = 3, \Rightarrow \dim_{\mathbb{R}} \mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{R}} = 3$ , so  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{su}(2)$  and  $G = \mathfrak{SU}(2)$  or  $\mathfrak{SO}(3)$ .

□

The algebraic Weyl group

Back to general (ctd)  $G$ . Take  $\alpha \in \Phi$ , let

$$\mathfrak{u}_{\alpha} = \ker(\alpha) \subset \mathfrak{t}_{\mathbb{R}}$$

$$G_{\alpha} = Z_G(\mathfrak{u}_{\alpha})$$

Recall the **exponential root**  $\chi_\alpha(\exp H) = e(\alpha(H))$   
 See  $H \in \mathfrak{u}_\alpha \Rightarrow \exp H \in \ker \chi_\alpha$ , so  $\mathfrak{u}_\alpha \subset \ker(\chi_\alpha)$

So  $\exp(\mathfrak{u}_\alpha)$  is a closed subtorus of  $T$  of  
 codimension 1.

Example:  $G = SU(2)$   $T = \begin{pmatrix} e^{i\theta} & \\ & e^{-i\theta} \end{pmatrix}$

$$\mathfrak{t} = \mathbb{R} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} i & \\ & -i \end{pmatrix}, \quad \Lambda = 2\pi\mathbb{Z} \begin{pmatrix} i & \\ & -i \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} e^{i\theta} & \\ & e^{-i\theta} \end{pmatrix} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} e^{-i\theta} & \\ & e^{i\theta} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & e^{2i\theta} \\ & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\left[ \begin{pmatrix} i & \\ & -i \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right] = 2i \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\left[ \begin{pmatrix} 2\pi i & \\ & -2\pi i \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right] = 4\pi i \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\alpha \begin{pmatrix} 2\pi i & \\ & -2\pi i \end{pmatrix} = 2.$$

$$\chi_\alpha \begin{pmatrix} e^{i\theta} & \\ & e^{-i\theta} \end{pmatrix} = e^{2i\theta} \quad \ker(\chi_\alpha) = \{ \pm I \}.$$

Back to general case.  $\mathfrak{u}_\alpha = \ker(\alpha)$   
 $G_\alpha = Z_G(\mathfrak{u}_\alpha)$

$T$  commutative  $\Rightarrow T \subseteq Z_G(\mathfrak{u}_\alpha)$

so  $T$  max'l torus of  $G_\alpha$ , But  $Z_G(\mathfrak{u}_\alpha)$  is central in  $G_\alpha$ , so  $G_\alpha/Z_\alpha$  has rk 1

Prop:  $G_\alpha$  is a ctd subgroup of semisimple rank 1

Also (1)  $\dim \mathfrak{g}_\alpha = \dim \mathfrak{g}_{-\alpha} = 1$

(2)  $W(G_\alpha; T) \cong C_2$

(3) let  $s_\alpha \in W(G_\alpha; T) \subset W(G; T)$

be the non-trivial element. Then  $\text{Ad}(s_\alpha)|_{\mathfrak{t}} \in \text{GL}(\mathfrak{t})$  is a reflection in the hyperplane  $\mathfrak{u}_\alpha$

Pf: Saw:  $Z_G(\mathfrak{u}_\alpha)$  is connected, rk  $G_\alpha/Z_\alpha = 1$

$G_\alpha$  non-commutative,  $\mathfrak{g}_\alpha, \mathfrak{g}_{-\alpha}$  commute with  $\mathfrak{u}_\alpha$ :  
 if  $H \in \mathfrak{u}_\alpha, X_\alpha \in \mathfrak{g}_\alpha, [H, X_\alpha] = \alpha(H) X_\alpha = 0$

so  $\mathfrak{u}_\alpha$  commutes with  $\mathfrak{k}(\mathfrak{g}_\alpha \oplus \mathfrak{g}_{-\alpha})$   
 which has  $\dim \geq 2$ , does not commute with  $\mathfrak{t}$ .

Set  $\bar{G}_\alpha = G_\alpha/Z_\alpha, \bar{T}_\alpha = T/Z_\alpha$  set:  $\bar{G}_\alpha$  of rk 1,

max'l torus  $\bar{T}_\alpha$ . By thm  $\bar{G}_\alpha \cong \text{SU}(2)$  or  $\text{SO}(3)$

(i) Let  $\beta$  be a root proportional to  $\alpha$ .  
Then  $\pm\beta(H) = 0 \quad \forall H \in \mathfrak{u}_\alpha$  so

$$\text{Re}(\sigma_\beta \oplus \sigma_{-\beta}) \in \text{Lie } \bar{G}_\alpha$$

the sum of all these (over  $\beta \in \mathbb{R}\alpha$ ) is direct,  
disjoint from  $\mathfrak{u}_\alpha$ .  $\Rightarrow$  it injects into  $\text{Lie } \bar{G}_\alpha / \mathfrak{u}_\alpha$

complexity:  $\sigma_\beta$  inject into  $(\text{Lie } \bar{G}_\alpha)_\mathbb{C} / (\mathfrak{u}_\alpha)_\mathbb{C}$ .

But  $\text{Lie } \bar{G}_\alpha / \mathfrak{u}_\alpha = \text{Lie } \bar{G}_\alpha$  so  $\dim_{\mathbb{R}} \text{Lie } \bar{G}_\alpha = 3$

so  $(\text{Lie } \bar{G}_\alpha)_\mathbb{C} / (\mathfrak{u}_\alpha)_\mathbb{C} = (\text{Lie } \bar{G}_\alpha)_\mathbb{C} = \bar{T}_\alpha \oplus \sigma_\alpha \oplus \sigma_{-\alpha}$

& only roots prop to  $\alpha$  are  $\pm\alpha$ ,  $\sigma_\alpha$ ,  $\sigma_{-\alpha}$  id

aside: why is  $\bar{T}_\alpha = \mathfrak{t} / \mathfrak{u}_\alpha$  a max'l torus of  $\text{Lie } \bar{G}_\alpha$ ?

pf: if  $\bar{S} \subset \text{Lie } \bar{G}_\alpha$  is a max'l torus  $\supseteq \bar{T}_\alpha$   
its inverse image in  $\mathfrak{g}$  is a subalgebra  $S$   
st  $[S, S] \subseteq \mathfrak{u}_\alpha \subseteq \mathfrak{z}(\text{Lie } \bar{G}_\alpha)$

$\Rightarrow$  if  $X \in \mathfrak{S}$   $\text{ad}_{\mathfrak{K}} \upharpoonright_{\mathfrak{S}}$  is nilpotent,  
 $\text{ad}_{\mathfrak{K}}(s) \in \mathfrak{U}_{\mathfrak{K}}$  so  $(\text{ad}_{\mathfrak{K}})^2(s) = 0$

But in a cpt grp  $\mathfrak{K}$  is torus  $\Rightarrow \text{ad}_{\mathfrak{K}}$  is diagonalizable  
so its eigenvalues are 0, so  $\text{ad}_{\mathfrak{K}} \upharpoonright_{\mathfrak{S}} = 0$  so  $\mathfrak{S}$  is  
commutative, so  $\mathfrak{S}$  is a torus of  $G$  containing  
 $\mathfrak{t}$ , so  $\mathfrak{S} = \mathfrak{t}$ .