

# Math 535, lecture 3G . 29/9/23

Setup:  $G$  ctd Lie gp, Lie alg.  $\mathfrak{o}_G = \mathfrak{k} \oplus \mathfrak{p}$

$\Theta \in \text{Aut}(G)$  Cartan involution, diff  $\theta \in \text{Aut}(\mathfrak{o}_G)$

s.t.  $B_\Theta(X, Y) = B(X, \theta Y)$  is neg. def.

Then

$\mathfrak{k}, \mathfrak{p}$  are  $+1, -1$  eigenspaces

$[\mathfrak{k}, \mathfrak{k}] \subseteq \mathfrak{k}, [\mathfrak{k}, \mathfrak{p}] \subseteq \mathfrak{p}$

Previously:  $K = \text{Fix}(\Theta) \subset G$  closed subgp, ctd,  
contains  $Z = Z(G)$ ,  $\text{Lie } K = \mathfrak{k}$ , **Polar decomposition**  
 $K/Z$  cpt.

$G \cong K \times_{\mathfrak{o}_P} \mathfrak{p}^*$  (diffeo)

Last time:  $S = G/K$  is a symmetric space of  
non-positive curvature

If  $Z$  is finite,  $K$  is a max'l cpt subgp of  $G$   
all are conjugate.

Today: roots & Weyl group for real lie  
algebra  $\mathfrak{o}_G$ .

Recall: in adjoint repn,  $\Theta(x) = -x^t$ ,  $\Theta(g) = g^{-1}$   
 $k$  = anti-symmetric matrices      } wrt  $B_0$   
 $\beta$  = symmetric matrices

## Iwasawa Decomposition

let  $\mathcal{O}_C \subset \mathfrak{g}$  be a max'l abelian subalgebra,  
 a real Cartan subalgebra. ( $\alpha_C \subset \mathcal{O}_C$  not a  
 Cartan subalg.)

Since  $\text{ad}H$  are symmetric wrt  $B_0$  for all  $H \in \mathcal{O}_C$ ,  
 they are diagonalizable.

Def: Call  $r = \dim_{\mathbb{R}} \alpha$  the **real rank** of  $G$ .

(since  $\alpha$  is ad-diagonalizable,  $\alpha_C$  is contained in  
 a Cartan subalgebra  $\mathcal{H} \subset \mathcal{O}_C$ , so  $r \leq \text{rk } \mathcal{O}_C$ )

(if  $G$  cpt,  $r = 23$ ,  $r = 0$ )

(Call  $G$  **R-split** if  $r = \text{rk } \mathcal{O}_C$ , ie if  $\alpha_C$  is  
 a Cartan subalg. of  $\mathcal{O}_C$ ).

Lemma - def'n:  $Z_{\mathfrak{g}}(\alpha) = \alpha \oplus m$  where  $m = Z_k(\alpha)$

Pf: Since  $\Theta(\alpha) = \alpha$ ,  $\Theta$  acts on  $Z_{\mathfrak{g}}(\alpha)$

$$\text{so } Z_{\mathfrak{g}}(\alpha) = Z_p(\alpha) \oplus Z_k(\alpha) = \alpha \oplus Z_k(\alpha).$$

$\alpha$  is max'ly abelian.

Cor: let  $b \in m$  be a max'ly abelian subalg.  
Then  $b = \alpha \oplus b$  is a Cartan subalg. of  $\mathfrak{g}$ .  
If:  $b$  is ad-diagonalizable since  $K$  is cpt

$$Z_{\mathfrak{g}}(b) = \bigoplus_{\alpha \in m} Z_{\mathfrak{g}}(\alpha) = \alpha \oplus Z_m(b) = \alpha \oplus b.$$

(then  $b + ia \subset \mathfrak{o}_c$  is the max'ly torus of the cpt form) □

Def: The **restricted roots**  $\Sigma = \Sigma(\mathfrak{g}: \alpha) \subset \alpha^*$ ,  
are the characters of  $\alpha$  occurring in the adjoint action on  $\mathfrak{g}$  (other than  $\alpha$ )

Summary:  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}_0 \oplus \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \Sigma} \mathfrak{g}_\alpha$  with

$$(1) \mathcal{O}_{\beta_0} = \mathcal{O}_\alpha \oplus \mathcal{M}$$

$$(2) [\mathcal{O}_{\beta_\alpha}, \mathcal{O}_{\beta_\beta}] \subseteq \mathcal{O}_{\alpha+\beta}$$

$$(3) \Theta(H) = -H \Rightarrow$$

$$\Theta(\mathcal{O}_\alpha) = \mathcal{O}_{-\alpha}$$

$$\Rightarrow \sum = -\sum$$

(+)  $\sum = \{\alpha \cap_{\alpha} | \alpha \in \Phi(\mathcal{O}_\alpha; h_\alpha)\}$ , the roots  $\alpha$  in  $\Phi$  are real on  $\mathcal{O}_\alpha$ .

Example:  $G = SL_n(\mathbb{R})$ ,  $\alpha = \begin{pmatrix} * & & \\ & \ddots & \\ & & * ( $\text{tr} = 0$ )$

$\mathbb{R}$ -split, so some roots as for  $SL_n(\mathbb{C})$

Example:  $G = SL_2(\mathbb{C})$  (thought of as a real group)

$$\Theta(g) = {}^t g^{-1} \quad (\text{transpose, complex conj, inverse})$$

$$\Theta(X) = {}^t X^{-1} \quad \mathcal{O} = \{X \in M_2(\mathbb{C}) \mid \text{tr } X = 0\}$$

$$k = \text{SU}(2) = \{X \mid \bar{X}^T = -X, \text{tr } X = 0\}$$

$$\mathcal{P} = \{X \mid \bar{X}^T = X, \text{tr } X = 0\} \\ = \{ \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ b^* & -a \end{pmatrix} \mid \begin{array}{l} a \in \mathbb{R} \\ b \in \mathbb{C} \end{array} \}$$

$$H = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \\ & -1 \end{pmatrix} \in \mathcal{P}$$

$$Z_P(H) = \mathbb{R} \cdot H \quad Z_G(H) = \mathbb{R} \cdot H + \mathbb{R} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} i & 0 \\ 0 & i \end{pmatrix}$$

$$m = b_0 = \mathbb{R} \cdot iH + \mathcal{Z} \left[ \begin{pmatrix} i\theta & -i\theta \\ -i\theta & -i\theta \end{pmatrix} \right]$$

(Max torus of  $SL_2(\mathbb{C})$  is  $AM$ ,  $A = \mathcal{Z} \left[ \begin{pmatrix} e^{tk} & \\ & e^{-tk} \end{pmatrix} \right]$ )

$$M = \mathcal{Z} \left[ \begin{pmatrix} e^{i\theta} & \\ & e^{-i\theta} \end{pmatrix} \right]$$

two restricted roots:

$$\alpha_\alpha := \mathcal{Z} \left[ \begin{pmatrix} 0 & z \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} : z \in \mathbb{C} \right], \quad \alpha_{-\alpha} := \mathcal{Z} \left[ \begin{pmatrix} 0 & z_0 \\ z_0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} : z_0 \in \mathbb{C} \right]$$

$$\alpha(H) = 2. \quad \dim_{\mathbb{R}} \mathcal{O}_{\alpha} = \dim_{\mathbb{R}} \mathcal{O}_{-\alpha} = 2$$

$$(in fact \quad \mathfrak{sl}_2 \mathbb{C} \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C} \cong \mathfrak{sl}_2 \mathbb{C} \oplus \mathfrak{sl}_2 \mathbb{C})$$

$\mathfrak{a} \oplus m$  embedded in  $\mathfrak{g}$ : a diagonal,  $\nearrow$   
 in via complex comp.  
 in 2<sup>nd</sup> copy.)

As before, choose a notion of positivity for  $\alpha^*$   
 (fix basis  $\{H_i\}_{i=1}^r \subset \alpha$ . say  $\alpha > \beta$  if first  $i$  s.t.  $\alpha(H_i) >$   
 how  $\beta(H_i)$  (can extend to basis of  $\mathfrak{g} \oplus \mathfrak{h}$ )  
 ensure that ordering is compatible with one on  $\mathfrak{g}$ )

Call  $\alpha \in \Sigma^+$  **simple** if it's not a positive  
 combo of positive roots,  $\Delta = \text{simple roots } \subset \Sigma^+$

As before,  $\Sigma^+ \subseteq \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \Delta} \mathbb{Z}_{>0}\alpha$ ,  $n = \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \Sigma^+} \alpha$  is

the subalg. generated by  $\{\alpha_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \Delta}$ .

pos  
roots  
↓

Set  $\bar{n} = \Theta(n) = \bigoplus_{\alpha < 0} \alpha$ , so  $\mathcal{O}_f = \bar{n} \oplus a \oplus m \oplus n$

$\uparrow$   
negative  
 $\underbrace{\phantom{0}}_0$   
roots

Prop:  $\mathcal{O}_f = n \oplus a \oplus k$

Pf: Fix bases  $\{H_i\}_{i \in I}$ ,  $\{c_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \Delta}$ ,  $\{N_j\}_{j \in J}$ ,  $\{X_k\}_{k \in K}$

Then

$$\{\Theta(X_k)\} \cup \{H_i\} \cup \{N_j\} \cup \{X_k + \Theta(X_k)\}$$

is a basis of  $\mathcal{O}_f$ .

$$\Rightarrow \{X_k - \Theta(X_k)\} \cup \{H_i\} \cup (\{N_j\} \cup \{X_k + \Theta(X_k)\})$$

is also a basis.

$$\text{now } X_k - \Theta(X_k), H_i \in \mathfrak{p} \quad | \quad N_j, X_k + \Theta(X_k) \in k$$

$\mathcal{O}_f = p \oplus k$  so these are bases of the subspace

$$\Rightarrow \text{in basis } \{X_k\} \cup \{H_i\} \cup (\{N_j\} \cup \{X_k + \Theta(X_k)\})$$

we let

$$n \oplus a \oplus k = \mathcal{O}_f. \quad \blacksquare$$

Let  $A, N \subset G$  be the subgps corresp to  $\alpha, n$ .  
 Let  $M = Z_K(\alpha) = Z_K(f)$ .

Goal:  $G \cong N \times A \times K$  (differs)

Example:  $G = GL_n(\mathbb{R})$  or  $GL_n(\mathbb{C})$

$N$  = upper triangular unipotent  $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & * & * \\ 0 & 1 & * \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ ,  
 $A$  = diagonal, positive real entries  
 $K = O(n)$  or  $U(n)$ .

$G = NAK$  is Gram-Schmidt!

$M = \text{diag}(\pm 1, \pm 1, \dots, \pm 1)$  in  $GL_n(\mathbb{R})$   
 $\text{diag}(S^1, S^1, \dots, S^1)$  in  $GL_n(\mathbb{C})$

Example:  $G = PSL_2(\mathbb{R})$  acting on its symmetric space  $H = \{x+iy \mid y>0\}$  by Möbius transform.

$$\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \cdot z = \frac{az+b}{cz+d} \cdot x+iy$$

$$(\text{metric is } \frac{dx^2+dy^2}{y^2})$$



clearly  $z \mapsto z + b$  is an isometry

also  $z \mapsto az$

action of  $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & x \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ 0 & 1_{\mathbb{H}} \end{pmatrix} \cdot z$

\$\ddot{\text{S}}\$wasawa: NA acts simply transitively on  $S = G/K$

here:

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & x \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{y} & 0 \\ 0 & 1_{\mathbb{H}} \end{pmatrix} \cdot i = x + iy.$$

or  $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & x \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} y & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \cdot i = x + iy$

Similarly  $\mathbb{H}^{(3)} = \left\{ x + iy \mid \begin{array}{l} x \in \mathbb{R}^2 \\ y \in \mathbb{R}_{>0} \end{array} \right\}$  with metric  $\frac{dx_1^2 + dx_2^2 + dy^2}{y^2}$

is symmetric space of  $SL_2(\mathbb{C})$  in \$\ddot{\text{S}}\$wasawa coord

now

$$N = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & x \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} : x \in \mathbb{C} \right\}, A = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{y} & 0 \\ 0 & 1_{\mathbb{H}} \end{pmatrix} \right\}$$

(In general  $O(n, 1)$  not isogenous to another group)

$$O(2, 1) \hookrightarrow SL_2(\mathbb{R}), O(3, 1) \hookrightarrow SL_2(\mathbb{H})$$

$$O(3, 1) \hookrightarrow SL_2(\mathbb{C})$$

$$O(4, 1) \hookrightarrow \dots$$

in  $O(S, \iota)$   $N = \{ X : X \in \mathbb{H} \}$   
 $A = \{ \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \mid \begin{array}{l} a, b \in \mathbb{H} \\ ad - bc = 1 \end{array} \}$  Hamilton's quat.

$$N = \mathbb{Z}_k(A) = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \mid \begin{array}{l} a, b \in \mathbb{H} \\ ad - bc = 1 \end{array} \right\}$$

$$(\mathbb{H} = \{x + iy + jz + kw \}, \|\cdot\| = \sqrt{x^2 + y^2 + z^2 + w^2})$$

here  $\mathbb{H} = SU(2) \times SU(1) \subset O(3) \subset SO(3, 1)$   
mark cpt.

Again:  $\alpha \subset \mathbb{P}$  mark abelian,  $m = \mathbb{Z}_k(\alpha)$

$$\mathcal{O}_j = \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \Sigma} \mathcal{O}_\alpha \oplus a \oplus m$$

$$h = \bigoplus_{\alpha > 0} \mathcal{O}_\alpha, \quad \mathcal{O}_j = h \oplus a \oplus k$$

Prop:  $A$  is a closed subgp,  $\exp: \alpha \rightarrow A$  is a diffeomorphism.

Pf: Know  $\exp: \alpha \rightarrow A$  is surjective since  $A$  is abelian. Also  $K \times P \rightarrow G$   $k \cdot \exp \chi$  is a diffeo, and  $\alpha \subset \mathbb{P}$  is a closed subset.

So  $\exp: \alpha \rightarrow G$  maps  $\alpha$  to  $A$  bijectively if  $A$  is closed.

(Another proof: use action on  $\mathcal{O}$ :  $\bigcap_{\alpha \in \Sigma} \text{ker}(\alpha) \subset \mathbb{Z}_q = 0$ )

so  $\|H\|_\alpha \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \max \{|\alpha(f)|\}_{\alpha \in \Sigma}$  is a norm on  $\mathcal{O}$

the l.v. of  $\text{Ad}_{\exp H}$  on  $\mathcal{O}$  are exactly  $e^{\alpha(H)}$

so for any operator norm in  $\text{End}(\mathcal{O})$ ,

$$\|\text{Ad}_{\exp H}\| \geq \exp(\|H\|_\alpha)$$

so if  $H \rightarrow \infty$  in  $\mathcal{O}$ ,  $\exp H \rightarrow \infty$  in  $\mathcal{A}$ .

$\Rightarrow \ker \exp_\alpha$  is trivial,

$\Rightarrow$  image of a loc cpt set by proper map  
is closed.)

Lemma: If  $x_\alpha \in \mathcal{O}_\alpha^\circ$ , then  $H_\alpha := [x_\alpha, \theta(x_\alpha)] \neq 0$

Pf:

$$H_\alpha \in \mathcal{O}_{\alpha+(-\alpha)} = \mathcal{O}_0, \quad \theta(H_\alpha) = [\theta(x_\alpha), x_\alpha] = -H_\alpha$$

so  $H_\alpha \in (\mathcal{O} \oplus \mathcal{H}) \cap \mathcal{J} = \mathcal{O}_0$ .

If  $H \in \alpha$  then,

$$B\left(\left[X_\alpha, \theta(X_\alpha)\right], H\right) =$$

$$= B\left(\text{Ad}_{X_\alpha} \cdot \theta(X_\alpha), H\right) = B\left(\theta X_\alpha, [H, X_\alpha]\right)$$

$$= \alpha(H) B(\theta X_\alpha, X_\alpha) = \alpha(H) \cdot B_\theta(X_\alpha, X_\alpha) \neq 0$$

choose  $H$  s.t.  $\alpha(H) \neq 0$       neg.  $\uparrow$  det.

Prop:  $N$  is a closed subgp,  $\exp: \mathfrak{n} \rightarrow N$  is a diffeo. □

Key idea:  $A$  acts on  $N$ :  $a \exp(x) a^{-1} =$

$$\exp(\text{Ad}_a \cdot x)$$

if  $X \in \mathfrak{n}$ , since roots are real on  $A$ , positive can find  $H \in \alpha$  s.t.  $a = \exp(H)$  uniformly expanding,

so if something is true in a small nbhd  $U \subset n$  then after applying  $\text{Ad}_{\exp(H)} \in \text{Aut}(G)$ , same thing is true in the large nbhd  $\exp(tH) \cdot U$ .

Pf: let  $U \subset n$  be an open nbhd of  $0$  s.t.

$\exp: U \rightarrow N$  is a diffeo onto its image

Fix  $H \in$  positive Weyl chamber (s.t.  $\alpha(H) > 0$  for  $\alpha \in \Sigma^+$ )

Suppose  $\exp X = \exp Y$  for some  $X, Y \in \mathfrak{n}$   
 Write  $X = \sum_{\alpha > 0} X_\alpha$ ,  $Y = \sum_{\alpha > 0} Y_\alpha$ ,  $X_\alpha, Y_\alpha \in \mathfrak{o}_\alpha$ .

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Then } \text{Ad}_{\exp(-tH)} \exp(X) &= \exp(\text{Ad}_{\exp(-tH)} X) \\ &= \exp(\text{Ad}_{\exp(tH)} \sum_\alpha X_\alpha) = \exp\left(\sum_\alpha e^{-t\alpha(H)} X_\alpha\right) \\ \Rightarrow \exp\left(\sum_\alpha e^{-t\alpha(H)} X_\alpha\right) &= \exp\left(\sum_\alpha e^{-t\alpha(H)} Y_\alpha\right) \end{aligned}$$

But for  $t$  large enough both sides are in  $U$

$$\text{thus } \sum_\alpha e^{-t\alpha(H)} X_\alpha = \sum_\alpha e^{-t\alpha(H)} Y_\alpha$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Since } \mathfrak{n} = \bigoplus_{\alpha > 0} \mathfrak{g}_\alpha \quad \text{get} \quad e^{-t\alpha(H)} X_\alpha &= e^{-t\alpha(H)} Y_\alpha \\ \text{or } X_\alpha &= Y_\alpha, \quad X \subseteq Y \end{aligned}$$


---

For surjectivity, know:  $\bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} (\exp(U))^\downarrow$  is an open subgroup  
 so all of  $N$ , so suppose

$g \in N$  has form  $g = \exp(X_1) \cdots \exp(X_k)$   
 with  $X_i \in U$ .

Apply  $\text{Ad}_{\exp(-tH)}$  to get

$$\exp(-t\alpha) g \exp(t\alpha) = \prod_{j=1}^n \exp(\text{Ad}_{\exp(-t\alpha)} \cdot x_j)$$

$\exists$  small nbd  $V_k \subset U$  s.t.  $(\exp V_k)^k \subset \exp(U)$   
 (continuity of mult in  $N$ )

If  $t$  is large enough  $\text{Ad}_{\exp(-t\alpha)} x_j \in V_k$

then  $\exp(-t\alpha) g \exp(t\alpha) \in \exp(U)$

so  $g \in \exp(\text{Ad}_{\exp(t\alpha)} U) \subset \exp N$ .

Get:  $\exp_N: N \rightarrow N$  is a smooth bijection

Diffeo on  $U$ , hence on  $\bigcup_{t>0} \text{Ad}_{\exp(t\alpha)} U = N$ .

Let  $\bar{N}$  = top. closure of  $N$ , closed std subgp of  $G$ .  
 Still normalized by  $\alpha$ , so  $\text{Lie}(\bar{N})$  decomposes into  
 irreps of  $\alpha$ :

$$\text{Lie}(\bar{N}) = (\text{Lie}(N) \cap g_\alpha) \oplus (\text{Lie}(\bar{N} \cap g_\alpha))$$

if  $g \in N$  then  $\text{ad}(g) = \text{ad}(\exp(X))$ ,  $X \in \mathfrak{n}$ ,  
 $= \exp(\text{ad } X)$ .

$\text{ad } X$  is nilpotent (raises weight) so  $\text{ad}(g)$  is

unipotent (all  $\lambda_{ii} = 1$ ). This is a closed subset  
 (matrices  $M: (\mathfrak{N} \cdot \text{Id})^{\dim \mathfrak{g}} = 0$ )

let  $H \in \text{Lie}(\bar{N}) \cap \mathfrak{o}_\beta$ .

$H \in \mathfrak{o}_\beta$ , so  $\text{ad } H$  is semisimple, so  $\exp(t \text{ad } H)$  is semisimple + unipotent  $\Rightarrow \text{id}$ .

$$\Rightarrow \exp(tH) \in \mathcal{Z}(G) \Rightarrow H \in \mathcal{Z}_{\mathfrak{g}} = 0$$

similarly let  $x_{-\beta} \in \text{Lie}(\bar{N}) \cap \mathfrak{o}_{-\beta}$ , then in basis  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}_0 \oplus \bigoplus_\alpha \mathfrak{o}_\alpha$ ,  $\text{ad } x_{-\beta}$  is strictly lower-triangular, so

$\exp(t \text{ad } x_{-\beta})$  is lower-triangular unipotent in this basis,

but  $N$  is upper-triangular unipotent

so  $\exp(t \text{ad } x_{-\beta}) \in \text{diagonal unipotent} \Rightarrow \text{Id}$

$$\text{so } x_{-\beta} = 0.$$

$$\Rightarrow \text{Lie}(\bar{N}) \subset \text{Lie}(N), \text{ so } \text{Lie}(\bar{N}) = \text{Lie}(N)$$

both ct'd  $\Rightarrow \bar{N} = N$ ,  $N$  closed.

QED

Cor: The semidirect prod  $N \rtimes CG$  is closed, diffeomorphic to  $N \oplus \mathfrak{a}$

(need to show: if  $n_k \in N$  or  $a_k \in \mathfrak{a}$  go to  $\infty$ ,  $n_k a_k \rightarrow \infty$ )

In "usual" (explict) groups,  $G \subseteq GL_n(\mathbb{R})$

$A =$  diagonal matrices of positive entries  
 $N =$  upper triangular unipotents,

so obviously closed subgps

Not general: if  $G$  covering of  $SL_2(\mathbb{R})$   
 $\pi_1(\pi_1(SL_2(\mathbb{R}))) = \pi_1(SO(2)) = \mathbb{Z}$

Any hom  $f: G \rightarrow GL_n(\mathbb{R})$  is a rep'n of  
Lie  $C = SL_2(\mathbb{R})$ ,  $\Rightarrow$  direct sum of irreps, all integrate  
to rep'n's of  $SL_2(\mathbb{R})$ ,

so  $f$  factors through covering map  $\begin{array}{c} G \\ \downarrow f \\ SL_2(\mathbb{R}) \end{array} \rightarrow GL_n(\mathbb{R})$

if  $G$  not isom to any subgp of  $GL_n(\mathbb{R})$