

# Math 912, lecture 18

Last time: Norms on vector spaces, maps

Fix  $F: \mathbb{R} \text{ or } \mathbb{C}$ ,  $V = F\text{-vsp}$

A norm on  $V$  is a map  $\|\cdot\|: V \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{>0}$  st.

$$(1) \|u+v\| \leq \|u\| + \|v\|$$

$$(2) \|\alpha v\| = |\alpha| \cdot \|v\| \quad (\|0\| = 0)$$

$$(3) \|v\| = 0 \Rightarrow v = 0.$$

Examples:  $\|\underline{x}\|_2 = \left( \sum_{i=1}^n |x_i|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \quad \underline{x} \in F^n$

$f$  cts on  $K$  cpt,  $\|f\|_\infty = \max \{|f(x)| : x \in K\}$   
( $v_{sp}$  is  $C(K)$ )

If  $U, V$  norms vsp,  $T: U \rightarrow V$  linear,  
 $T$  cts iff  $T$  is bounded:  $\|\tau_u\|_V \leq M \cdot \|u\|_U$   
for some  $M > 0$  indep of  $u$

set  $\|\tau\|_{U \rightarrow V} = \inf_M \{M \text{ bounds } T\} = \sup \left\{ \frac{\|\tau u\|_V}{\|u\|_U} : u \neq 0 \right\}$

Lemma:  $\|\cdot\|_{V \rightarrow V}$  is a norm on subspace  $\text{Hom}_F(V, V)$  of bounded linear maps.

---

## Today: Application: Power Method

Problem: Given  $T \in \text{End}_F(V)$ , want to find the eigenvalues (and eigen vectors).

- If  $A$  is symmetric/Hermitian can find all eigenvalues, eigenvectors in time  $O(n^3)$
- Today: only want one (or a few) eigenvalues/eigenvectors

## Application: Page Rank.

Problem: want to find web page "most relevant" to a search query.

Model: WWW is a directed graph: has nodes  $V$  (web pages),

edges  $E = \{(x_i, y_i)\} \subset V \times V$  hyperlinks

random walk on web is a random process where if at time  $t$  we are at page  $X_t$ , we click on an outgoing link, uniformly at random.

$$\Pr \{ X_{t+1} = y \mid X_t = x \} = \begin{cases} 0 & (x, y) \notin E \\ \frac{1}{d_x} & (x, y) \in E \end{cases}$$
$$d_x = |\{y \mid (x, y) \in E\}|$$

let  $W = \mathbb{R}^V = \{f: V \rightarrow \mathbb{R}\}$

if  $f \in W$

$$(Af)(x) = \frac{1}{d_x} \sum_{y \sim x} f(y)$$

$$A \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ \vdots \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ \vdots \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \Rightarrow 1 \text{ is an eigenvalue!}$$

$$|Af(x)| = \frac{1}{d_x} |\sum_{(x,y) \in E} f(y)| \leq \frac{1}{d_x} \sum_{(x,y) \in E} |f(y)| \leq \|f\|_\infty$$

$$\Rightarrow \|Af\|_\infty \leq \|f\|_\infty \Rightarrow \|A\|_{\ell^\infty \rightarrow \ell^\infty} \leq 1.$$

(actually  $\|A\|_\infty = 1$ )  $\Rightarrow$  all ev. satisfy  $|\lambda| \leq 1$

(if  $Af = \lambda f$ , take norms on both sides)

—  
Assume graph  $(V, E)$  connected, then  $\lambda = 1$   
 $\Rightarrow f$  constant.

Expect  $1$  simple eigenvalue, all other ev.  
satisfy  $|\lambda| < 1$

—  
Let  $A$  act on row vectors:

$\pi \in W^*$ , what's  $\pi A$ ?

$$(\pi A)_y = \sum_x \pi(x) A_{xy}$$

interpretation: if  $\pi$  is a rule for randomly choosing a vertex  $x$ ,  $\pi A$  is also a rule for randomly choosing a vertex  $y$  by (1) choose from  $\pi$   
(2) do one step of RW along  $A$ .

check  $\langle \pi A, (\cdot) \rangle = \langle \pi, A(\cdot) \rangle = \langle \pi, (\cdot) \rangle$

so total prob  $\sum_x \pi(x)$  is conserved.

Know: have eigenvector of Q.v. b, i.e.  $\tilde{\pi}$  s.t.

$$\tilde{\pi} A = \tilde{\pi}$$

stationary distribution

$\Rightarrow$  if we do more & more steps of RW (from any starting point), the prob of being at any place converges to  $\tilde{\pi}$ :

$$\pi_0 A^k \xrightarrow[k \rightarrow \infty]{} \tilde{\pi}$$

Better model: proportion  $1-\epsilon$  of time click on random link, proportion  $\epsilon$  of time we return to starting page

Now RW operator is  $Bf = (1-\epsilon)A + \epsilon \delta_{x_0} \cdot f$

$$(Bf)(x) = (1-\epsilon) \frac{1}{d_x} \sum_{y \sim x} f(y) + \epsilon \cdot f(x_0)$$

(still  $B \cdot (\cdot)$  =  $(\cdot)$ , still has stationary distr.  $\tilde{\pi}$ )

Def: (Brin-Page) The **Page Rank** of  $x$  is  $\tilde{\pi}(x)$ .

(For more reading: spectral graph theory,  
Perron-Frobenius eigenvalue/eigenvector)

---

Back to LA: Have matrix  $A$ , (largest ev.)  
want to find  $\lambda$ , and an eigenvector.

Idea: ("Power method") if  $v$  any vector,  
in  $Av$ , the component along largest eigenvector  
is enhanced. In  $A^k v$ , enhances a lot.

### Basic power method

let  $v = v_0$  be any vector, consider  $A^k v$

Fix eigenbasis  $\{u_i\}_{i=1}^n$ :  $A u_i = \lambda_i u_i$ ,

$$|\lambda_1| > |\lambda_2| \geq |\lambda_3| \geq \dots$$

spectral gap

Then if  $v = \sum_{i=1}^n c_i u_i$  has

$$A^k \underline{v} = \sum_{i=1}^n q_i \lambda_i^k \underline{u}_i$$

$$= q_1 \lambda_1^k \left( \underline{u}_1 + \sum_{i=2}^n \left( \frac{q_i}{q_1} \right) \cdot \left( \frac{\lambda_i}{\lambda_1} \right)^k \underline{u}_i \right)$$

By assumption  $\left| \frac{\lambda_i}{\lambda_1} \right| \leq \left| \frac{\lambda_2}{\lambda_1} \right| < \rho < 1$

$$\text{so } \left\| \sum_{i=2}^n \left( \frac{q_i}{q_1} \right) \left( \frac{\lambda_i}{\lambda_1} \right)^k \underline{u}_i \right\| \leq \rho^k \cdot \left( \frac{\max(q_i)}{q_1} \right) \max_i \| \underline{u}_i \|$$

as  $k \rightarrow \infty$  this decays exponentially

$$\Rightarrow \left\| \left\| \underline{u}_1 + \sum_{i=2}^n \star \right\| - \|\underline{u}_1\| \right\| \xrightarrow[k \rightarrow \infty]{\text{exp}} 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \| A^k \underline{v} \| \sim |q_1| \cdot |\lambda_1|^k \| \underline{u}_1 \|$$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{A^k \underline{v}}{\| A^k \underline{v} \|} = (1 + o(1)) \cdot (\underline{u}_1 + \text{small})$$

- practically don't want to mult by  $A^k$  then normalize, want to normalize at each step

- don't want to compute  $A^k$

Algorithm: Given square matrix  $A$

(1) choose vector  $\underline{v}_0$

(2) repeatedly set  $\underline{v}_{j+1} = \frac{A\underline{v}_j}{\|A\underline{v}_j\|}$

(3) When  $A\underline{v}_k \approx \lambda \underline{v}_k$  stop

Ex:  $\underline{v}_j = \frac{A^j \underline{v}_0}{\|A^j \underline{v}_0\|}$  (induction)

- Only do matrix-vector mult  $A\underline{v}_j$ ; if  $A$  is sparse, this is efficient
- Numerical errors aren't a huge deal: every mult by  $A$  enhances  $\underline{v}_j$ .
- Rate of convergence depends l-p =  $\frac{\lambda_1 - \lambda_2}{\lambda_1}$  really on  $\text{sep } \lambda_1 - \lambda_2$ .
- Algorithm projecting  $\underline{v}$  on  $\lambda_1$ -eigenspace
- If  $\lambda_2$  close, numerically eigenspace 2d

Ex extend to non-diagonalizable matrices

(still assume  $\lambda_1 \neq \lambda_2, |\lambda_2| < |\lambda_1|$ )

Hint: use Jordan form, check if  $N$  Jordan blocks  $N^k$  grows at most polynomially in  $k$ , suppression by  $f^k$  still works

### More eigenvalues: subspace methods

Suppose  $A$  is symmetric / Hermitian, use  $\ell^2$  norm

Instead of looking for  $(\underline{u}_i, \lambda_i)$ , want  $\{(v_i, \lambda_i)\}_{i=1}^r$ ,  
r fixed ( $n \rightarrow \infty$ )

Natural idea:  $V$  matrix with  $r$  orthogonal columns of length  $n$   
iteratively, mult  $AV$ , orthogonalize columns  
(use "QR factorization").

• Better method: Lanczos method

## Specific eigenvalues: inverse iteration

A still Hermitian, want ev. closest to  $\sigma$ .

$\Rightarrow$  smallest ev. of  $A - \sigma$

$\Leftrightarrow$  largest ev. of  $(A - \sigma)^{-1}$ !

"Algorithm":  $v_{j+1} = \frac{(A - \sigma)^{-1} v_j}{\|(A - \sigma)^{-1} v_j\|}$

really: set  $w_{j+1}$  by  $(A - \sigma)w_{j+1} = v_j$

set  $v_{j+1} = \frac{w_{j+1}}{\|w_{j+1}\|}$

solve system  
& linear equations

- at initially don't need exact solutions to  $L_A$
- can apply this to subspace iteration,  
find r eigenvalues closest to  $\sigma$ .