

## A Fixed-Time Problem with Rocket-Car Dynamics

UBC Math 403 Lecture Notes by Philip D. Loewen

**Problem Statement.** A point  $(x_f, y_f)$  in state space is given, and used to define the objective function

$$\ell(x_1, x_2) = \frac{1}{2}(x_1 - x_f)^2 + \frac{1}{2}(x_2 - y_f)^2.$$

We start the rocket car at the origin of state space and minimize the  $\ell$ -value of its state at time  $T = 2$ . A concise statement of this problem appears below.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{minimize} \quad & \frac{1}{2}(x_1(T) - x_f)^2 + \frac{1}{2}(x_2(T) - y_f)^2 \\ \text{subject to} \quad & \dot{x}_1 = x_2 && \text{a.e. } t \in [0, T], && x_1(0) = 0, \\ & \dot{x}_2 = u && \text{a.e. } t \in [0, T], && x_2(0) = 0, \\ & u \in [-1, 1], && \text{a.e. } t \in [0, T]. \end{aligned}$$

**PreHamiltonian.**  $H(x_1, x_2, p_1, p_2, u) = p_1 x_2 + p_2 u$ .

**Transversality.**

$$\begin{aligned} -p_1(T) &= \left. \frac{\partial \ell}{\partial x_1} \right|_{\mathbf{x}(T)} = x_1(T) - x_f, \\ -p_2(T) &= \left. \frac{\partial \ell}{\partial x_2} \right|_{\mathbf{x}(T)} = x_2(T) - y_f \end{aligned}$$

**Maximum Condition.**

$$\hat{u}(t) \in \arg \max_{v \in [-1, 1]} H(\mathbf{x}(t), \mathbf{p}(t), v) = \text{Sgn}(p_2(t)).$$

**Costate Evolution.** There must be constants  $m$  and  $b$  for which

$$\begin{aligned} -\dot{p}_1(t) &= \frac{\partial H}{\partial x_1} = 0 && \implies && p_1(t) = -m, \\ -\dot{p}_2(t) &= \frac{\partial H}{\partial x_2} = p_1 && \implies && p_2(t) = mt + b. \end{aligned}$$

**Degenerate Cases.** Could  $m = 0$  and  $b = 0$ ? Yes, but only in special situations. These choices make  $\mathbf{p}(T) = 0$ , and in this case the transversality conditions require  $(x_1(T), x_2(T)) = (x_f, y_f)$ . That is, if the target point  $(x_f, y_f)$  can be hit exactly by the system state, then of course that will give the minimum. It's a bit uninteresting as a challenge, though. The problems we care most about are ones where the target  $(x_f, y_f)$  lies outside the attainable set at time  $T$ .

Could  $m = 0$  with  $b \neq 0$ ? Yes, but this is pretty special too. These choices make  $\hat{u}(t) = \text{sgn}(b) =: \sigma$  a constant. Then the state obeys

$$x_1(t) = \frac{\sigma}{2} t^2, \quad x_2(t) = \sigma t,$$

and terminates at  $\mathbf{x}(T) = \sigma(\frac{1}{2}T^2, T)$ . This endpoint is compatible with the transversality conditions above only when

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= -p_1(T) = x_1(T) - x_f = \frac{\sigma}{2}T^2 - x_f, \\ -b &= -p_2(T) = x_2(T) - y_f = \sigma T - y_f. \end{aligned}$$

Different choices of  $b \neq 0$  give different possibilities here, but they are all rather special. The system defining extremality has a solution with  $m = 0$  and  $b > 0$  only if the target point lies on this vertical ray parametrized by  $b$ :

$$(x_1, x_2) = (\frac{1}{2}T^2, T) + (0, b), \quad b > 0.$$

The symmetric ray captures all targets where one of these extremals can work with  $b = -\beta < 0$ :

$$(x_1, x_2) = -(\frac{1}{2}T^2, T) - (0, \beta), \quad \beta > 0.$$

**Nondegenerate Cases.** For a target point  $(x_f, y_f)$  that lies outside the attainable set  $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{A}(T; \mathbf{0}, [-1, 1])$  and not on one of the special rays identified above, extremality requires  $m \neq 0$ . Thus we can write

$$p_2(t) = mt + b = m(t + b/m) = m(t - \theta), \quad \text{where} \quad \theta \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} -b/m.$$

Let  $\sigma = -\text{sgn}(m)$  so that (at all instants except  $t = \theta$ )

$$\hat{u}(t) = \text{sgn}(p_2(t)) = \text{sgn}(-\sigma(t - \theta)) = \begin{cases} \sigma, & \text{for } 0 < t < \theta, \\ -\sigma, & \text{for } \theta < t < T. \end{cases}$$

Now integrating the dynamics, using the initial condition and insisting on continuity at  $t = \theta$ , gives

$$\begin{aligned} \text{for } 0 \leq t \leq \theta: & \quad x_2(t) = \sigma t, & \quad x_1(t) = \frac{\sigma}{2}t^2, \\ \text{when } t = \theta: & \quad x_2(\theta) = \sigma\theta, & \quad x_1(\theta) = \frac{\sigma}{2}\theta^2, \\ \text{for } \theta \leq t \leq T: & \quad x_2(t) = \sigma(2\theta - t), & \quad x_1(t) = \sigma\theta^2 - \frac{\sigma}{2}(2\theta - t)^2, \\ \text{when } t = T: & \quad x_2(T) = \sigma[2\theta - T], & \quad x_1(T) = \sigma[2T\theta - \frac{1}{2}T^2 - \theta^2]. \end{aligned}$$

We must reconcile these outcomes with the transversality conditions:

$$\begin{aligned} m &= -p_1(T) = x_1(T) - x_f = \sigma[2T\theta - \frac{1}{2}T^2 - \theta^2] - x_f, \\ -m(T - \theta) &= -p_2(T) = x_2(T) - y_f = \sigma[2\theta - T] - y_f, \end{aligned}$$

Using the first equation to eliminate  $m$  from the second leads to a cubic equation for the switching time  $\theta$ :

$$\sigma \left( 3T\theta^2 - \frac{5}{2}T^2\theta - \theta^3 + \frac{1}{2}T^3 + T - 2\theta \right) + (T - \theta)x_f + y_f = 0.$$

**A Specific Case.** When  $T = 2$  and  $(x_f, y_f) = (3, 1)$ , the equation above becomes

$$\sigma (6\theta^2 - 10\theta - \theta^3 + 6 - 2\theta) + 7 - 3\theta = 0.$$

When  $\sigma = -1$  it has no solution in the interval  $[0, 2]$ , but when  $\sigma = +1$  the unique solution is  $\hat{\theta} = 1.67781$ . This gives the optimal final point  $\mathbf{x}(2) = (1.89620, 1.35563)$ , the costate parameters  $m = -1.10380$  and  $b = 1.85198$ , and the final costate vector  $\mathbf{p}(2) = (1.10380, -0.35563)$ . The sketch shows the optimal state trajectory and final point, the target with some level sets for  $\ell(x_1, x_2) = \frac{1}{2}(x_1 - x_f)^2 + \frac{1}{2}(x_2 - y_f)^2$ , and the vector  $\alpha\mathbf{p}(2)$  for  $\alpha = 0.60$  (to make everything look nice).

