

MATH 552 (2023W1) Lecture 27: Fri Nov 10

[ **Last lecture:** ... centre manifold theory ... ]

*The projection method and local bifurcations in  $n$  dimensions*

Example: the fold bifurcation for vector fields in  $n$  dimensions, continued.

Consider the family

$$\dot{x} = f(x, \alpha), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^n, \quad \alpha \in \mathbb{R}^1 \quad (3.9)$$

with state space dimension  $n \geq 2$ , and assume

$$f(0, \alpha_0) = 0 \quad (\text{equilibrium}), \quad (\text{F.0.i}')$$

and

$A_0 = f_x(0, \alpha_0)$  has a simple eigenvalue 0 and all other eigenvalues

have nonzero real parts *(bifurcation)*. (F.0.ii')

Let  $q \in \mathbb{R}^n$  be an eigenvector corresponding to the simple eigenvalue 0

$$A_0 q = 0, \quad q \neq 0,$$

then for  $\alpha = \alpha_0$  the centre subspace is

$$T^c = \text{span}\{q\}$$

thus every vector  $x(t)$  in  $T^c$  for any  $t$  has the form

$$u(t) q, \quad u \in \mathbb{R}^1.$$

To find this scalar  $u(t)$  easily, we find the normalized adjoint eigenvector  $p \in \mathbb{R}^n$

$$A_0^\top p = 0, \quad \langle p, q \rangle = 1.$$

=====

Then we can use  $p$  to project any vector  $x(t) \in \mathbb{R}^n$  onto  $T^c$ : it can be proved (using the Fredholm Alternative Theorem, Appendix B, p.6) that any  $x(t) \in \mathbb{R}^n$  can be uniquely decomposed as

$$x(t) = u(t) q + y(t), \quad u(t) \in \mathbb{R}^1, \quad y(t) \in \mathbb{R}^n, \quad (3.10)$$

with

and

Write (3.9) at  $\alpha = \alpha_0$  in the form

$$\dot{x} = A_0 x + \underbrace{\frac{1}{2} B_0 [x, x]}_{f^{(2)}(x, \alpha_0)} + O(\|x\|^3) \quad (3.11)$$

where

$$B_0 [\cdot, \cdot] : \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$$

is a symmetric **bilinear** function or map (linear in each of the two slots),

the  $i$ -th component of  $B_0[v, w] \in \mathbb{R}^n$  is

$$B_{0,i}[v, w] = \sum_{j=1}^n \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{\partial^2 f_i}{\partial x_j \partial x_k}(0, \alpha_0) v_j w_k, \quad \text{for } v, w \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$

Substituting (3.10) into (3.11) and taking the inner product with the adjoint eigenvector  $p$ , we get essentially the  $u$ -component of (3.7) in the reduced system of Theorem 3.8

Since  $y \in T^{su}$ , the representation of the local centre manifold as a graph in this setup is

and so we have

$$\dot{u} = \underbrace{\langle p, \frac{1}{2}B_0 [q, q] \rangle}_b u^2 + O(|u|^3), \quad u \in \mathbb{R}^1$$

which represents (3.9) with  $\alpha = \alpha_0$ , restricted to the local centre manifold.

In  $n$  dimensions, the conditions (F.1) and (F.2) for a fold bifurcation in Theorem 3.1 are replaced by

$$a = \langle p, f_\alpha(0, \alpha_0) \rangle \neq 0 \quad (\textit{transversality}), \quad (\text{F.1}')$$

$$b = \langle p, \frac{1}{2}B_0 [q, q] \rangle \neq 0 \quad (\textit{nondegeneracy}). \quad (\text{F.2}')$$

**Exercise.** Analyze Example 3.E using the projection method and the four conditions (F.0.i')–(F.2'), to verify there is a fold bifurcation.

The Hopf bifurcation in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  can be treated similarly, but it's somewhat more complicated, because in the calculation of the normal form coefficient at order 3, the Taylor expansion of the centre manifold function  $y = V(z_1, \bar{z}_1) = O(\|(z_1, \bar{z}_1)\|^2)$  interacts with the Poincaré normal form calculations (see pp. 177–180 in the textbook).

## 4. Topics in Global Dynamics and Bifurcations

### Homoclinic orbits

Suppose a vector field

$$\dot{x} = f(x), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$

has an equilibrium  $p^0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$ . An orbit  $\Gamma = \{x^0(t)\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$  is called **homoclinic** to  $p^0$  if  $\Gamma \neq \{p^0\}$ ,  $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} x^0(t) = p^0$  and  $\lim_{t \rightarrow -\infty} x^0(t) = p^0$ .

A homoclinic orbit to a hyperbolic equilibrium is *structurally unstable*: if there is a vector field with such a homoclinic orbit, then there exist arbitrarily small perturbations of the vector field such that the perturbed vector field in some open neighbourhood  $U$ , of  $\Gamma \cup \{p^0\}$ , is not topologically equivalent to the original vector field, in particular, the perturbed vector field has *no* homoclinic orbit.

## The homoclinic bifurcation for 2-dimensional vector fields

Homoclinic orbits are often associated with other dynamics of interest (“homoclinic phenomena”). As an introductory example, we summarize a bifurcation analysis near a homoclinic orbit  $\Gamma$  for a family of vector fields in  $\mathbb{R}^2$ . For the unperturbed system, this orbit is homoclinic to a hyperbolic saddle point  $p_0^0$ . The homoclinic orbit together with the equilibrium,  $\Gamma \cup \{p_0^0\}$ , is sometimes called a “saddle loop”, or “separatrix loop”, or “separatrix cycle”.

Consider a 1-parameter family of 2-dimensional vector fields

$$\dot{x} = f(x, \alpha), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^2, \quad \alpha \in \mathbb{R}^1. \quad (4.1)$$

Suppose there exist  $p_0^0 \in \mathbb{R}^2$ ,  $\alpha_0 \in \mathbb{R}^1$  such that

$$f(p_0^0, \alpha_0) = 0 \quad (\text{equilibrium}), \quad (\text{SL.0.i})$$

$$A_0 = f_x(p_0^0, \alpha_0) \text{ has eigenvalues } \lambda_{10} < 0 < \lambda_{20} \\ (\text{hyperbolic saddle}), \quad (\text{SL.0.ii})$$

$$\dot{x} = f(x, \alpha_0) \text{ has an orbit } \Gamma = \{x^0(t)\} \text{ that is homoclinic to } p_0^0 \\ (\text{bifurcation}). \quad (\text{SL.0.iii})$$

Thus for  $\alpha = \alpha_0$ , (4.1) has a hyperbolic saddle equilibrium  $p_0^0$  and there is a homoclinic orbit  $\Gamma = \{x^0(t)\}$ , with  $\lim_{t \rightarrow \pm\infty} x^0(t) = p_0^0$ . Generically,

for  $\alpha \neq \alpha_0$ ,  $\alpha$  near  $\alpha_0$ , there is no homoclinic orbit for (4.1) in some open neighbourhood  $U$  (fixed in  $\mathbb{R}^2$ , for different  $\alpha$ ) of  $\Gamma \cup \{p_0^0\}$ . We would like to know if anything of interest happens for (4.1), in  $U$ , if  $\alpha \neq \alpha_0$ .

By the now familiar arguments (e.g. HW 3), the implicit function theorem can be used to solve  $f(x, \alpha) = 0$ , to obtain a unique, locally defined, smooth solution

giving a smooth curve  $(p^0(\alpha), \alpha)$  of isolated equilibria through the point  $(p_0^0, \alpha_0)$  in  $\mathbb{R}^2 \times \mathbb{R}^1$ .

And, since the eigenvalues of  $A_0$  are simple, the matrix of the linearization  $A(\alpha) = f_x(p^0(\alpha), \alpha)$  has real eigenvalues  $\lambda_1(\alpha)$ ,  $\lambda_2(\alpha)$  that depend smoothly on  $\alpha$  near  $\alpha_0$ , with  $\lambda_j(\alpha_0) = \lambda_{j0}$ ,  $j = 1, 2$ , and thus by continuity

and  $p^0(\alpha)$  remains a hyperbolic saddle equilibrium, for all  $\alpha$  sufficiently near  $\alpha_0$ . So, locally (i.e. in a sufficiently small open neighbourhood of  $p_0^0$ ), “nothing much happens” when  $\alpha$  is perturbed from  $\alpha_0$ . Globally, it might be more interesting.



As mentioned above, condition (SL.0.iii) is structurally unstable: generically the stable and unstable manifolds of  $p^0(\alpha)$  will “split” for  $\alpha \neq \alpha_0$ .

The usual coordinate shift

$$x = p^0(\alpha) + u \tag{I}$$

transforms (4.1) into

$$\dot{u} = f(p^0(\alpha) + u, \alpha) = \hat{f}(u, \alpha) \tag{4.2}$$

where now  $\hat{f}(0, \alpha) = 0$ , i.e.  $u = 0$  is always the equilibrium, for all  $\alpha$  near  $\alpha_0$ .

Then a linear coordinate change

$$u = T(\alpha) v \tag{II}$$

transforms (4.2) into

$$\begin{pmatrix} \dot{v}_1 \\ \dot{v}_2 \end{pmatrix} = \tag{4.3}$$

so that the linearization is in real normal form (i.e. diagonal) for all  $\alpha$  near  $\alpha_0$ , and the nonlinear terms are

In these  $v$ -coordinates, the stable subspace  $T^s$  is the  $v_1$ -axis and the unstable subspace  $T^u$  is the  $v_2$ -axis (for all  $\alpha$  near  $\alpha_0$ ), and there exist local stable and unstable manifolds  $W_{loc}^s(0, \alpha)$ ,  $W_{loc}^u(0, \alpha)$  tangent at the origin to  $T^s$ ,  $T^u$  respectively.

Then, there exists (see the textbook p. 203 for a proof) a *global* change of coordinates (a diffeomorphism, a “local linearization”)

$$v = H(y, \alpha) \tag{III}$$

that transforms (4.3) into

$$\begin{pmatrix} \dot{y}_1 \\ \dot{y}_2 \end{pmatrix} = \tag{4.4}$$

where now in the  $y$ -coordinates, the local stable and unstable manifolds

actually *coincide* with intervals of the new coordinate axes near the origin,  
for all  $\alpha$  sufficiently close to  $\alpha_0$

with

for all  $(y_2, \alpha)$  near  $(0, \alpha_0)$ ,

for all  $(y_1, \alpha)$  near  $(0, \alpha_0)$ .