

MATH 552 (2023W1) Lecture 29: Mon Nov 20

[ **Last lecture:** ... two-dimensional homoclinic (saddle-loop, Andronov-Leontovich) bifurcation ... ]

A 1-parameter family of 2-dimensional vector fields

$$\dot{x} = f(x, \alpha), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^2, \quad \alpha \in \mathbb{R}^1. \quad (4.1)$$

with

$$f(p_0^0, \alpha_0) = 0 \quad (\text{equilibrium}), \quad (\text{SL.0.i})$$

$$A_0 = f_x(p_0^0, \alpha_0) \text{ has eigenvalues } \lambda_{10} < 0 < \lambda_{20} \\ (\text{hyperbolic saddle}), \quad (\text{SL.0.ii})$$

$$\dot{x} = f(x, \alpha_0) \text{ has an orbit } \Gamma = \{x^0(t)\} \text{ that is homoclinic to } p_0^0 \\ (\text{bifurcation}). \quad (\text{SL.0.iii})$$

The implicit function theorem, coordinate changes, 2-stage Poincaré map.

1st stage, near the hyperbolic saddle equilibrium, approximate the flow with linear flow to get an approximate map

$$\Delta(\xi_0, \alpha) \approx \varepsilon^{1+(\lambda_{10}/\lambda_{20})} \xi_0^{-\lambda_{10}/\lambda_{20}}.$$

So far, in the analysis of the 2nd stage, near the homoclinic orbit,

$$Q(\cdot, \alpha) : \Pi \rightarrow \Sigma, \quad (\eta, \varepsilon) \mapsto (\varepsilon, \xi_1), \quad \xi_1 = Q(\eta, \alpha)$$

for  $-\varepsilon < \eta < \varepsilon$  (notice,  $\eta$  is allowed to be zero or negative).  $\eta = 0$  corresponds to the initial value  $(y_1(0), y_2(0)) = (0, \varepsilon) \in W^u(0, \alpha)$ :

$$\beta(\alpha) = Q(0, \alpha)$$

For all  $\alpha$  near  $\alpha_0$ ,  $\beta(\alpha)$  is a **split function** (“A-L version”) that measures the *signed* distance, measured along the *oriented* cross-section  $\Sigma$ , *from*  $W^s(0, \alpha)$  (branch 1) *to*  $W^u(0, \alpha)$  (branch 1), and the Taylor expansion of  $\beta(\alpha)$  about  $\alpha_0$  is

$$\beta(\alpha) = a \cdot (\alpha - \alpha_0) + O(|\alpha - \alpha_0|^2),$$

where we assume a generic condition holds,

$$a = \beta'(\alpha_0) \neq 0. \quad (\textit{transversality}) \quad (\text{SL.1})$$

=====

From the theory of ODEs, we know  $Q(\eta, \alpha)$  is as smooth as the family of vector fields that generates it, so assuming (4.1) is smooth, we can make a Taylor expansion of  $Q$ , about  $\eta = 0$  for any fixed  $\alpha$

then expand the  $\alpha$ -dependent coefficients about  $\alpha = \alpha_0$

What can we know about the Taylor coefficient  $Q_\eta(0, \alpha_0)$  ?

For  $\alpha = \alpha_0$  in particular, we know  $Q(\cdot, \alpha_0) : \mathbb{R}^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^1$  must be a local diffeomorphism, smoothly invertible, and thus at  $\eta = 0$

Furthermore, ODE theory says that orbits cannot cross

and therefore

Thus we know  $Q(\eta, \alpha)$  must have a Taylor expansion of the form

with  $Q_\eta(0, \alpha_0) > 0$ .

*The family of Poincaré maps.* Now we compose  $P = Q \circ \Delta$ , i.e.

Assume another generic condition holds

or equivalently,

$$\sigma_0 = \lambda_{10} + \lambda_{20} = \operatorname{div}(f(p_0^0, \alpha_0)) \neq 0 \quad (\text{nondegeneracy}) \quad (\text{SL.2})$$

where  $\operatorname{div}(f) = \frac{\partial f_1}{\partial x_1} + \frac{\partial f_2}{\partial x_2} = \operatorname{tr}(f_x)$ , which is practical to verify in the original family (4.1).

It has been proved, under our five assumptions, that for all sufficiently small  $\varepsilon > 0$ , the approximations we made do in fact determine the dynamics of the family of Poincaré maps.

**Theorem 4.1. (Andronov & Leontovich)** *If  $f : \mathbb{R}^2 \times \mathbb{R}^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$  is  $C^2$  and satisfies the five conditions (SL.0.i)–(SL.2), then (4.1) has a family of Poincaré maps that is locally topologically equivalent to*

$$\xi \mapsto \beta + b \xi^{-\lambda_{10}/\lambda_{20}}, \quad \xi > 0, \quad \text{at } (0, 0),$$

*where  $b$  is a positive constant. In particular, for all  $\alpha$  sufficiently near  $\alpha_0$  there is an open neighbourhood  $U$  of  $\Gamma \cup \{p_0^0\}$  in  $\mathbb{R}^2$ , in which a unique limit cycle  $L_\beta$  for (4.1) bifurcates from  $\Gamma \cup \{p_0^0\}$  for  $\alpha$  on only one side of  $\alpha_0$ . If  $\sigma_0 < 0$ , then  $L_\beta$  exists only for  $\beta > 0$  and is stable, while if  $\sigma_0 > 0$ , then  $L_\beta$  exists only for  $\beta < 0$  and is unstable.*

Notice that the “saddle quantity”  $\sigma_0$  in (SL.2) is computed directly from the original vector field (4.1) with  $\alpha = \alpha_0$ .

The defined split function  $\beta = \beta(\alpha) = a(\alpha - \alpha_0) + O(|\alpha - \alpha_0|^2)$  changes sign as  $\alpha$  increases past  $\alpha_0$ . The sign of the coefficient  $a$  in (SL.1) can be deduced from numerical experiments, by observing how the homoclinic orbit  $\Gamma$  splits, as  $\alpha$  increases past  $\alpha_0$ . There is also an analytic way to verify (SL.1), see the textbook p. 211.

**Exercise.** Work out what happens in the case  $\sigma_0 > 0$ .

If  $\alpha \rightarrow \alpha_0$  from the appropriate side of  $\alpha_0$ , then three things happen:

(i)  $\beta \rightarrow 0$ , (ii) the limit cycle  $L_\beta$  approaches the separatrix cycle  $\Gamma \cup \{p_0^0\}$ ,  
and (iii) the period of  $L_\beta$  approaches infinity.

## Melnikov's method

Melnikov's method is a global perturbation method for detecting homoclinic solutions. In its most basic form, we perturb from a 2-dimensional Hamiltonian vector field that has a homoclinic solution, to analytically determine if a nearby non-Hamiltonian system has a homoclinic solution (or not). The basic method has been generalized to a variety of settings.

We start with a 2-dimensional Hamiltonian vector field (the “unperturbed” system) that is generated by a Hamiltonian function  $H : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ ,

$$\dot{x} = f_0(x), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^2, \quad (4.5)$$

where

$$f_0(x) = \begin{pmatrix} f_{10}(x_1, x_2) \\ f_{20}(x_1, x_2) \end{pmatrix}, \quad f_{10} = \frac{\partial H}{\partial x_2}, \quad f_{20} = -\frac{\partial H}{\partial x_1},$$

and we assume

$$\begin{aligned} (4.5) \text{ has a hyperbolic saddle equilibrium } p_0^0, \\ \text{and an orbit } \Gamma = \{x^0(t)\} \text{ homoclinic to } p_0^0, \\ \lim_{t \rightarrow \pm\infty} x^0(t) = p_0^0. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{M.0})$$

Now we consider a family of “perturbed” 2-dimensional systems of *nonautonomous, periodic* ODEs

$$\dot{x} = f(t, x, \alpha) = f_0(x) + \alpha f_1(x, \omega t), \quad t \in \mathbb{R}, x \in \mathbb{R}^2, \alpha \in \mathbb{R}^1, \quad (4.6)$$

where  $\omega = 2\pi/T_0 > 0$  is fixed,  $\alpha$  is a parameter near 0, and  $f$  is periodic in  $t$  with period  $T_0 > 0$

$$f(t + T_0, x, \alpha) = f(t, x, \alpha) \quad \text{for all } t, x, \alpha,$$

and so  $f_1$  is periodic in  $\omega t$  with period  $2\pi$

$$f_1(x, \omega t + 2\pi) = f_1(x, \omega t) \quad \text{for all } t, x.$$

Denote the unique solution  $x(t)$  of (4.6) that satisfies the initial condition

$$x(t_0) = x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^2,$$

by

$$x(t) = \varphi(t, t_0, x_0, \alpha) \in \mathbb{R}^2.$$

Recall from the basic existence-uniqueness-smoothness Theorem 2.1, that  $\varphi(t, t_0, x_0, \alpha)$  is smooth in all its variables. We will have occasion to study



the derivative with respect to the parameter  $\Theta(t) = \varphi_\alpha(t, t_0, x_0, \alpha)$  (see HW 2 problem 1(b)).

Defining a new variable  $\theta = \omega t$ , we write the family of 2-dimensional nonautonomous differential equations (4.6) as a family of 3-dimensional *autonomous* differential equations, or vector fields (see Examples 2.D–E),

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{x} &= \\ \dot{\theta} &= \end{aligned} \tag{4.7}$$

Define a global cross-section for (4.7) for all  $\alpha$  (near 0)

and we study the family of 2-dimensional Poincaré maps for (4.7),

The unique solution of (4.7) that satisfies the initial condition

$$\tilde{x}(0) = (x(0), \theta(0)) = (x_0, 0 \pmod{2\pi}) \in \Sigma_0$$

is

$$\tilde{x}(t) = (\varphi(t, 0, x_0, \alpha), \omega t \pmod{2\pi}) \in X$$

and the natural coordinate representation of the Poincaré map is

For the unperturbed  $\alpha = 0$  system, the Poincaré map  $P(\cdot, 0)$  just happens to be the time- $(2\pi/\omega)$  (stroboscopic) map for the 2-dimensional flow  $\varphi(t, 0, x_0, 0)$ , of the unperturbed autonomous Hamiltonian system (4.5), therefore  $P(\cdot, 0)$  has a hyperbolic saddle fixed point  $(p_0^0, 0 \pmod{2\pi}) \in \Sigma_0$ , whose 1-dimensional stable and unstable manifolds intersect, and happen to coincide along the smooth curve  $\Gamma_0 \times \{0 \pmod{2\pi}\}$  in  $\Sigma_0$ .

Then, taking points in  $\Sigma_0$  as initial values at  $t = 0$  for  $(4.7)_{\alpha=0}$ , the hyperbolic saddle fixed point  $(p_0^0, 0 \pmod{2\pi})$  for the 2-dimensional Poincaré map  $P(\cdot, 0)$  in  $\Sigma_0$  generates a  $(2\pi/\omega)$ -periodic hyperbolic limit cycle  $\tilde{L}_0 = \{ \tilde{p}(t, 0) = (p_0^0, \omega t \pmod{2\pi}) \}_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$  for the 3-dimensional vector field  $(4.7)_{\alpha=0}$  in  $X$ , and the 2-dimensional (global) stable and unstable manifolds  $\tilde{W}_0^s$  and  $\tilde{W}_0^u$  of  $\tilde{L}_0$  intersect, and happen to coincide along a smooth 2-dimensional *homoclinic manifold*  $\tilde{\Gamma}_0 = \Gamma_0 \times \mathbb{S}^1$  in  $X$ .