

[**Last lecture:** ... Melnikov's method (derivation) ...]

The “unperturbed” system: 2-dimensional Hamiltonian vector field

$$\dot{x} = f_0(x), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^2, \quad (4.5)$$

$$f_0 = \begin{pmatrix} f_{10} \\ f_{20} \end{pmatrix}, \quad f_{10} = \frac{\partial H}{\partial x_2}, \quad f_{20} = -\frac{\partial H}{\partial x_1},$$

and we assume

(4.5) has a hyperbolic saddle equilibrium p_0^0 ,

and an orbit $\Gamma_0 = \{x^0(t)\}$ homoclinic to p_0^0 , (M.0)

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \pm\infty} x^0(t) = p_0^0.$$

“Perturbed” 2-dimensional system of *nonautonomous, periodic* ODEs

$$\dot{x} = f_0(x) + \alpha f_1(x, \omega t), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^2, \alpha \in \mathbb{R}^1, \quad (4.6)$$

expressed as an equivalent, 3-dimensional autonomous system

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{x} &= f_0(x) + \alpha f_1(x, \theta), & \tilde{x} &= (x, \theta) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \times \mathbb{S}^1, \alpha \in \mathbb{R}^1. \\ \dot{\theta} &= \omega, \end{aligned} \quad (4.7)$$

Fixed, “vertical” cross-section for (4.7) for *all* α (near 0)

$$\Pi = L \times \mathbb{S}^1 = \{(\tilde{x} = (x, \theta)) \in X : x \in L, \theta \in \mathbb{S}^1\}$$

where

$$L : \quad x = x_0^0 + \beta \frac{\eta_0}{\|\eta_0\|}, \quad |\beta| < \varepsilon,$$

$$\beta = \frac{\langle \eta_0, x - x_0^0 \rangle}{\|\eta_0\|}, \quad \eta_0 = f_0^\perp(x_0^0) \in \mathbb{R}^2, \quad x_0^0 = x^0(0).$$

Transversal intersections of the stable and unstable manifolds \tilde{W}_α^s and \tilde{W}_α^u with the “vertical” cross-section Π

$$(s(\theta, \alpha), \theta \pmod{2\pi}) \in \Pi, \quad \theta \in \mathbb{S}^1,$$

$$(u(\theta, \alpha), \theta \pmod{2\pi}) \in \Pi, \quad \theta \in \mathbb{S}^1,$$

The **split function** (“M version”)

$$\beta(\theta, \alpha) = \frac{\langle \eta_0, u(\theta, \alpha) - s(\theta, \alpha) \rangle}{\|\eta_0\|}$$

measures the *signed* “horizontal” distance (i.e. at $\theta = \text{constant}$) *from* \tilde{W}_α^s *to* \tilde{W}_α^u , along Π .

The **Melnikov function**, is the numerator of $\beta(\theta, \alpha)$,

$$M(\theta, \alpha) = \langle \eta_0, u(\theta, \alpha) - s(\theta, \alpha) \rangle$$

For $\alpha = 0$, \tilde{W}_0^s and \tilde{W}_0^u coincide along Π ,

$$M(\theta, 0) = 0 \quad \text{for all } \theta \in \mathbb{S}^1$$

and therefore we can “factor out” α from the Taylor expansion of $M(\theta, \alpha)$

about $\alpha = 0$

$$M(\theta, \alpha) = \alpha \tilde{M}(\theta, \alpha), \quad \tilde{M}(\theta, \alpha) = M_\alpha(\theta, 0) + O(|\alpha|)$$

Now we define two “time-dependent Melnikov functions”

$$M^u(t, \theta, \alpha) = \langle f_0^\perp(x^0(t)), x^u(t, \theta, \alpha) \rangle, \quad -\infty < t \leq 0,$$

$$M^s(t, \theta, \alpha) = \langle f_0^\perp(x^0(t)), x^s(t, \theta, \alpha) \rangle, \quad 0 \leq t < +\infty.$$

When $t = 0$, we have

$$M(\theta, \alpha) = M^u(0, \theta, \alpha) - M^s(0, \theta, \alpha).$$

Taking the α -derivative at $\alpha = 0$, and the t -derivative at any t (using result of HW 2 problem 1, etc.) after a long calculation we have

$$\dot{M}_\alpha^u(t, \theta, 0) = \langle f_0^\perp(x^0(t)), f_1(x^0(t), \omega t + \theta) \rangle$$

=====

Now we integrate, to recover $M_\alpha^u(0, \theta, 0)$

By the fundamental theorem of calculus,

so we have

We calculate

and we have

$$M_\alpha^u(0, \theta, 0) = \int_{-\infty}^0 \langle f_0^\perp(x^0(t)), f_1(x^0(t), \omega t + \theta) \rangle dt.$$

Similarly (**Exercise**),

$$M_\alpha^s(0, \theta, 0) = - \int_0^\infty \langle f_0^\perp(x^0(t)), f_1(x^0(t), \omega t + \theta) \rangle dt$$

and finally

which is the Melnikov integral (4.8).

Summary/review

Theorem 4.2. $(\dot{\theta} = \omega > 0, \theta \in \mathbb{S}^1)$

$$M_\alpha(\theta, 0) = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \langle f_0^\perp(x^0(t)), f_1(x^0(t), \omega t + \theta) \rangle dt$$

If (M.0) and (M.1):

Theorem 4.3. $(\dot{\gamma} = 0, \gamma \in \mathbb{R}^1)$

$$M_\alpha(\gamma, 0) = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \langle f_0^\perp(x^0(t)), f_1(x^0(t), \gamma) \rangle dt$$

If (M.0) and (M.2):

Generalizations of Theorems 4.2 and 4.3.

Melnikov's method can be generalized to work in situations where the unperturbed system is not Hamiltonian. For example, a useful generalization can be made in the setting of Theorem 4.1 (the Andronov-Leontovich theorem on the homoclinic bifurcation in \mathbb{R}^2): recall we have a 1-parameter family of 2-dimensional vector fields (autonomous ODEs)

$$\dot{x} = f(x, \alpha), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^2, \quad \alpha \in \mathbb{R}^1. \quad (4.1)$$

and the unperturbed ($\alpha = \alpha_0$) vector field satisfies

$$f(p_0^0, \alpha_0) = 0 \quad (\text{equilibrium}), \quad (\text{SL.0.i})$$

$$A_0 = f_x(p_0^0, \alpha_0) \text{ has eigenvalues } \lambda_{10} < 0 < \lambda_{20} \quad (\text{hyperbolic saddle}), \quad (\text{SL.0.ii})$$

$$\dot{x} = f(x, \alpha_0) \text{ has an orbit } \Gamma = \{x^0(t)\} \text{ that is homoclinic to } p_0^0 \quad (\text{bifurcation}). \quad (\text{SL.0.iii})$$

In Theorem 4.1, if the unperturbed vector field $f(\cdot, \alpha_0)$ is not Hamiltonian (if it is Hamiltonian, then (SL.2) *cannot* be satisfied), consider a Melnikov integral

$$M_\alpha(\alpha_0) = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \langle \eta(t), f_\alpha(x^0(t), \alpha_0) \rangle dt,$$

where (see the textbook, p. 211)

$$\eta(t) = \exp \left[- \int_0^t \left(\frac{\partial f_1}{\partial x_1} + \frac{\partial f_2}{\partial x_2} \right) \Big|_{(x,\alpha)=(x^0(\tau),\alpha_0)} d\tau \right] f^\perp(x^0(t), \alpha_0),$$

and the condition on the derivative of the (“A-L”) split function (SL.1) is equivalent to an analytic expression (for the “M” split function)

$$M_\alpha(\alpha_0) \neq 0. \quad (\text{SL.1}')$$

Also, the vector function $\eta(t)$ can be characterized as the unique-up-to-a-scalar-multiple bounded solution of the “adjoint variational equation”

$$\dot{\eta} = -A(t)^\top \eta, \quad \eta \in \mathbb{R}^2, \quad t \in \mathbb{R}$$

(i.e. the adjoint variational equation has a 1-dimensional function space of bounded solutions, $\text{span}\{\eta(t)\}$), where $A(t) = f_x(x^0(t), \alpha_0)$ is the linearization of the unperturbed vector field at the homoclinic solution.

For perturbations of systems with homoclinic orbits in dimensions $n \geq 3$, and also for perturbations of systems with heteroclinic solutions, generalized Melnikov integrals are defined in terms of an inner product with an $\eta(t) \in \mathbb{R}^n$, a unique-up-to-a-scalar-multiple bounded solution of the adjoint variational equation. This approach generalizes to infinite dimensions (PDEs, DDEs), and is useful, e.g. for studying stability and bifurcation of travelling waves in reaction-diffusion systems.

Transverse homoclinic points and homoclinic tangles

We return to the setting of Theorem 4.2. Suppose, for the 3-dimensional autonomous system (4.7) that (M.0) and (M.1) hold, so for any sufficiently small $\alpha \neq 0$, Theorem 4.2 gives the existence of some $\hat{\theta}(\alpha) \in \mathbb{S}^1$ such that the split function $\beta(\hat{\theta}(\alpha), \alpha) = 0$.

Therefore the Poincaré map $\hat{P}(\cdot, \alpha)$ based on the cross-section

has a **transverse homoclinic point** \hat{q}_0 , a point where the stable and unstable manifolds for $\hat{P}(\cdot, \alpha)$, of its the hyperbolic saddle fixed point $\hat{p}^0(\alpha)$, have a transversal intersection (the tangent vectors to the manifolds at \hat{q}_0 are linearly independent).

Since $\hat{q}_0 \in W^s(\hat{p}^0(\alpha))$, and since $W^s(\hat{p}^0(\alpha))$ is an invariant manifold, all the iterates under $\hat{P}(\cdot, \alpha)$ satisfy

$$\hat{q}_k \in W^s(\hat{p}^0(\alpha)), \quad \text{for all } k \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

Similarly,

$$\hat{q}_k \in W^u(\hat{p}^0(\alpha)), \quad \text{for all } k \in \mathbb{Z},$$

thus

$$\hat{q}_k \in W^s(\hat{p}^0(\alpha)) \cap W^u(\hat{p}^0(\alpha)), \quad \text{for all } k \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

Furthermore, the fact that $\hat{P}(\cdot, \alpha)$ is a local diffeomorphism implies

Near the hyperbolic saddle fixed point $\hat{p}^0(\alpha)$, the Poincaré map $\hat{P}(\cdot, \alpha)$ expands distances in the unstable direction and contracts distances in the stable direction, and one can prove that this results in a “homoclinic tangent” (see, e.g. Wiggins)

Ingredients of chaos

Consider a dynamical system, with either continuous time ($\dot{x} = f(x)$, $x(t) = \varphi^t(x_0)$) or discrete time ($x \mapsto f(x)$, $x_k = f^k(x_0)$), and suppose Λ is an invariant set.

a. Sensitive dependence

The dynamical system has **sensitive dependence** on Λ , if there is some $\varepsilon_0 > 0$ such that, for any $x \in \Lambda$ and any $\delta > 0$, there is always some $y \in \Lambda$ with $\|x - y\| < \delta$ and

$$\|\varphi^t(x) - \varphi^t(y)\| \geq \varepsilon_0 \quad \text{for some } t > 0, t \in \mathbb{R}$$

or

$$\|f^k(x) - f^k(y)\| \geq \varepsilon_0 \quad \text{for some } k > 0, k \in \mathbb{Z}$$

b. Topological transitivity

A subset U of a closed set Λ in \mathbb{R}^n is **relatively open** in Λ , if it is the intersection of Λ with an open subset of \mathbb{R}^n . The dynamical system is **topologically transitive** on a closed invariant set Λ , if for any two relatively open subsets U, V in Λ we have

$$\varphi^t(U) \cap V \neq \emptyset \quad \text{for some } t > 0, t \in \mathbb{R}$$

or

$$f^k(U) \cap V \neq \emptyset \quad \text{for some } k > 0, k \in \mathbb{Z}$$

e.g. for any point in Λ , its forward orbit eventually visits arbitrarily close to every other point in Λ , or “wanders everywhere” in Λ .

Some examples of topologically transitive invariant sets

For flows:

i. $\Lambda = \{ \text{ an equilibrium in } \mathbb{R}^n \}$ is a topologically transitive invariant

set.

ii. $\Lambda = \{ \text{ a cycle in } \mathbb{R}^n \}$ is a topologically transitive invariant set.

iii. $\dot{\theta}_1 = 1, \dot{\theta}_2 = \omega, (\theta_1, \theta_2) \in \mathbb{S}^1 \times \mathbb{S}^1 = \mathbb{T}^2$

if ω is irrational, then all of the 2-torus $\Lambda = \mathbb{T}^2$ is a topologically transitive invariant set.

For maps:

i. $\Lambda = \{ \text{ a fixed point in } \mathbb{R}^n \}$ is a topologically transitive invariant

set.

ii. $\Lambda = \{ \text{ a cycle in } \mathbb{R}^n \}$ is a topologically transitive invariant set.

iii. See HW 1, problem 4, $x \mapsto Ax$, $x \in \mathbb{R}^2$ discrete rotation:

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} \cos(\phi) & -\sin(\phi) \\ \sin(\phi) & \cos(\phi) \end{pmatrix}.$$

If $\phi/2\pi$ is irrational ($\theta \mapsto \theta + \phi$ in \mathbb{S}^1), then the circle $\Lambda = \{ x \in \mathbb{R}^2 :$

$\|x\| = 1 \} \cong \mathbb{S}^1$ is a topologically transitive invariant set.