

MATH 552 (2023W1) Lecture 34: Fri Dec 1

[**Last lecture:** ... Melnikov's method (derivation). Generalizations.
Transverse homoclinic points and homoclinic tangles ...]

We returned to the setting of Theorem 4.2. Suppose, for the 3-dimensional autonomous system (4.7) that (M.0) and (M.1) hold, so for any sufficiently small $\alpha \neq 0$, Theorem 4.2 gives the existence of some

$$\hat{\theta}(\alpha) = \theta_0 + O(|\alpha|) \in \mathbb{S}^1$$

such that the split function $\beta(\hat{\theta}(\alpha), \alpha) = 0$ (exactly, for all α near 0).

Therefore the Poincaré map $\hat{P}(\cdot, \alpha)$ based on the cross-section

$$\Sigma_{\hat{\theta}(\alpha)} = \{ (x, \theta) \in X : x \in \mathbb{R}^2, \theta = \hat{\theta}(\alpha) \pmod{2\pi} \}$$

has a **transverse homoclinic point** \hat{q}_0 , a point where the stable and unstable manifolds for $\hat{P}(\cdot, \alpha)$, of its the hyperbolic saddle fixed point $\hat{p}^0(\alpha)$, have a transversal intersection (the tangent vectors to the manifolds at \hat{q}_0 are linearly independent).

It follows that the entire orbit of \hat{q}_0 under the Poincaré map $\hat{P}(\cdot, \alpha)$ consists of intersections of the stable and unstable manifolds

$$\hat{q}_k \in W^s(\hat{p}^0(\alpha)) \cap W^u(\hat{p}^0(\alpha)), \quad \text{for all } k \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

=====

Furthermore, the fact that $\hat{P}(\cdot, \alpha)$ is a local diffeomorphism implies all the intersections at \hat{q}_k are transversal.

Near the hyperbolic saddle fixed point $\hat{p}^0(\alpha)$, the Poincaré map $\hat{P}(\cdot, \alpha)$ expands distances in the unstable direction and contracts distances in the stable direction, and it can be proved that this results in a “homoclinic tangle” (see, e.g. Wiggins)

Ingredients of chaos

Consider a dynamical system, with either continuous time ($\dot{x} = f(x)$, $x(t) = \varphi^t(x_0)$) or discrete time ($x \mapsto f(x)$, $x_k = f^k(x_0)$), and suppose Λ is an invariant set for the dynamical system.

a. Sensitive dependence

The dynamical system has **sensitive dependence** (on initial conditions) on Λ , if there is some $\varepsilon_0 > 0$ such that, for any $x \in \Lambda$ and any $\delta > 0$, there is always some $y \in \Lambda$ with $\|x - y\| < \delta$ and

$$\|\varphi^t(x) - \varphi^t(y)\| \geq \varepsilon_0 \quad \text{for some } t > 0, t \in \mathbb{R}$$

or

$$\|f^k(x) - f^k(y)\| \geq \varepsilon_0 \quad \text{for some } k > 0, k \in \mathbb{Z}$$

b. Topological transitivity

A subset U of a closed set Λ in \mathbb{R}^n is **relatively open** in Λ , if it is the intersection of Λ with an open subset of \mathbb{R}^n . The dynamical system is **topologically transitive** on a closed invariant set Λ , if for any two relatively open subsets U, V in Λ we have

$$\varphi^t(U) \cap V \neq \emptyset \quad \text{for some } t > 0, t \in \mathbb{R}$$

or

$$f^k(U) \cap V \neq \emptyset \quad \text{for some } k > 0, k \in \mathbb{Z}$$

e.g. for any point in Λ , its forward orbit eventually visits arbitrarily close to every other point in Λ , or “wanders everywhere” in Λ .

Some examples of topologically transitivity on invariant sets:

For flows

- i. A flow in \mathbb{R}^n is topologically transitive on $\Lambda = \{ \text{an equilibrium} \}$.
- ii. A flow in \mathbb{R}^n is topologically transitive on $\Lambda = \{ \text{a cycle} \}$.

iii.

$$\dot{\theta}_1 = 1, \quad \dot{\theta}_2 = \omega, \quad (\theta_1, \theta_2) \in \mathbb{S}^1 \times \mathbb{S}^1 = \mathbb{T}^2$$

If ω is irrational, then the flow is topologically transitive on all of the 2-torus $\Lambda = \mathbb{T}^2$.

For maps

- i. A map in \mathbb{R}^n is topologically transitive on $\Lambda = \{ \text{a fixed point} \}$.
- ii. A map in \mathbb{R}^n is topologically transitive on $\Lambda = \{ \text{a cycle} \}$.

iii. See HW 1, problem 4, $x \mapsto Ax$, $x \in \mathbb{R}^2$ a discrete rotation where

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} \cos(\phi) & -\sin(\phi) \\ \sin(\phi) & \cos(\phi) \end{pmatrix}.$$

If $\phi/2\pi$ is irrational ($\theta \mapsto \theta + \phi$ in \mathbb{S}^1), then map restricted to the unit circle is topologically transitive on $\Lambda = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^2 : \|x\| = 1\} \cong \mathbb{S}^1$.

c. Compactness

A subset of \mathbb{R}^n (or of any finite-dimensional manifold) is **compact**, if it is closed and bounded. It can be proved that a dynamical system on a compact invariant set Λ is topologically transitive if and only if there is a forward orbit that is **dense** in Λ :

i.e. there is some x_0 in Λ such that, for any y_0 in Λ and $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists some $t > 0$ (or some $k > 0$) such that

$$\|\varphi^t(x_0) - y_0\| < \varepsilon \quad (\text{or } \|f^k(x_0) - y_0\| < \varepsilon).$$

E.g. there is a forward orbit in Λ that eventually visits arbitrarily close to every other point in Λ , or “wanders everywhere” in Λ .

Chaos

A dynamical system restricted to an invariant set Λ (or the invariant set Λ itself) is **chaotic** if

- a. the dynamical system has sensitive dependence on Λ ,
- b. the dynamical system is topologically transitive on Λ ,
- c. Λ is compact.

Theorem 4.4. (Smale & Birkhoff) *If a smooth map $x \mapsto f(x)$, $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $n \geq 2$, has a hyperbolic fixed point p^0 and there exists a point $q_0 \neq p^0$ of transversal intersection between the stable manifold $W^s(p^0)$ and unstable manifold $W^u(p^0)$, then there exists a positive integer N such that f^N has a compact invariant set Λ , containing a countably infinite number of cycles of arbitrarily long period and an uncountably infinite number of nonperiodic orbits, on which f^N is chaotic.*

Thus, Melnikov's method (Theorem 4.2) can be used to show that a specific system (such as the nonlinear oscillator Example 4.A) has a Poincaré map with a chaotic invariant set (i.e. the system “has chaos”).

Another example: the vector field in HW 5 problems 2 and 3 arises as an *approximation*, in the analysis of a vector field in \mathbb{R}^n , $n \geq 3$, near a limit cycle that loses stability, as two parameters are varied, due to a

generic double-one eigenvalue for the Poincaré map linearized at the fixed point corresponding to the limit cycle. So a homoclinic orbit in the 2-dimensional vector field may imply chaos in the higher-dimensional vector field (but the analysis is quite involved and the results are subtle).

Ideas from the proof of the Smale-Birkhoff theorem; the Smale horseshoe

The Smale horseshoe map is discussed in the textbook, section 1.3.2.

The Smale-Birkhoff theorem (Theorem 4.4) has been described as

“fish” \Rightarrow “horseshoe”