

# HIGHER TRACE FORMS AND ESSENTIAL DIMENSION IN CENTRAL SIMPLE ALGEBRAS

Z. REICHSTEIN

ABSTRACT. We show that the essential dimension of a finite-dimensional central simple algebra coincides with the essential dimension of its  $r$ -linear trace form,  $(a_1, \dots, a_r) \mapsto \text{tr}(a_1 \dots a_r)$ , for any  $r \geq 3$ .

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Throughout this paper  $A$  will be a central simple algebra of degree  $n$ ,  $K$  will be the center of  $A$  and  $k$  will be a subfield of  $K$ . I will denote the (reduced) trace function  $A \rightarrow K$  by  $\text{tr}$ . Let  $F_r$  be the  $r$ -linear trace form of  $A$ , given by

$$F_r(a_1, \dots, a_r) = \text{tr}(a_1 \dots a_r).$$

The main question motivating this paper is to determine how much information about  $A$  is carried by the trace form  $F_r$ .

The bilinear form  $F_2$  has been studied by many authors. Suppose  $\text{char}(K) \neq 2$ . If the degree  $n$  of  $A$  is odd then after an odd degree splitting extension  $L/K$ ,  $F_2$  becomes isomorphic to the trace form of the matrix algebra  $M_n(L)$ . Using Springer's theorem (cf. e.g., [3, Theorem 7.2.3]), one readily deduces that the quadratic form associated to  $F_2$  is isomorphic to

$$(1) \quad n <1> \oplus \frac{(n^2 - n)}{2} <1, -1>$$

over  $K$ . In particular, in this case  $F_2$  carries no information about  $A$ .

The situation is different if  $n$  is even. It is well known that for  $n = 2$  the algebra  $A$  is completely determined by its bilinear trace form  $F_2$ ; cf. e.g., [3, Proposition III.2.5]. Recently Rost, Serre and Tignol [8] gave a description of  $F_2$  for algebras  $A$  of degree 4, assuming  $K$  contains a 4th root of unity. They showed that in this case  $F_2$  also encodes many of the algebra properties of  $A$ . In particular, one can tell whether or not  $A$  is cyclic or biquaternion by looking only at  $F_2$ . (For related results in characteristic two, see [9].)

On the other hand, the bilinear trace form  $F_2$  does not, in general, capture the *essential dimension* of  $A$  for any  $n \geq 3$ ; cf. Remark 6. The purpose of this paper is to show that the essential dimension of  $A$  is captured by the  $r$ -linear trace form  $F_r$  for any  $r \geq 3$ . Before stating this formally I will briefly recall the definition of essential dimension.

---

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 11E76, 16K20.

Partially supported by an NSERC research grant.

Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a functor from the category of field extensions of  $k$  to the category of sets. I will say that  $\alpha \in \mathcal{F}(K)$  descends to a subfield  $K_0 \subset K$  if  $\alpha$  lies in the image of the natural map  $\mathcal{F}(K_0) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(K)$ . The essential dimension  $\text{ed}(\alpha)$  is defined as the minimal value of  $\text{trdeg}_k(K_0)$ , where  $\alpha$  descends to  $K_0$ ; cf. [1, 5]. In this paper we will be particularly interested in the functors  $\text{CSA}_n$  and  $\text{Forms}_{r,m}$ , where

$\text{CSA}_n(K) = \text{set of central simple algebras } A/K \text{ of degree } n, \text{ up to } K\text{-isomorphism}$

and

$\text{Forms}_{r,m}(K) = \text{set of pairs } (V, F), \text{ where } V \text{ is an } m\text{-dimensional } K\text{-vector space and } F \text{ is an } r\text{-linear form on } V, \text{ up to equivalence. Here } (V, F) \text{ and } (V', F') \text{ are considered equivalent if there is an isomorphism } V \rightarrow V' \text{ of } K\text{-vector spaces, which takes } F \text{ to } F'.$

I will view  $A$  as an element of  $\text{CSA}_n(K)$  and  $F_r$  as an element of  $\text{Forms}_{r,n^2}(K)$ . With these notations, the main result of this paper is the following theorem.

**Theorem 1.** *Let  $A/K$  be a central simple algebra of degree  $n$  and  $F_r$  be the  $r$ -linear trace form in  $A$ . Suppose  $\text{char}(K)$  does not divide  $n$ . Then  $\text{ed}(F_r) = \text{ed}(A)$  for any  $r \geq 3$ .*

Note that the inequality  $\text{ed}(F_r) \leq \text{ed}(A)$  is obvious. Indeed, if  $A$  descends to a subfield  $K_0$  of  $K$  then clearly  $F_r$  also descends to  $K_0$ . The proof of the opposite inequality given below does not show that if  $F_r$  descends to  $K_0$  then so does  $A$ . I don't know whether or not this is true. Instead, I will show that if  $F_r$  descends to a subfield  $K_0 \subset K$  then  $A$  descends to a subfield  $K_1$  such that  $K_0 \subset K_1 \subset K$  and  $[K_1 : K_0] < \infty$  (in fact,  $K_1 = K_0(c)$ , where  $c^r \in K_0$ ).

## 2. PRELIMINARIES

The remainder of this paper will be devoted to proving Theorem 1. In particular, I will always assume that  $\text{char}(K)$  does not divide  $n$  and set  $m = n^2 = \dim_K(A)$ . As usual,  $[ , ]$  will denote the natural Lie bracket in  $A$ , defined by  $[a, b] = ab - ba$ .

The following simple lemma will be used in the proof of Theorem 1.

**Lemma 2.** *Let  $A/K$  be a central simple algebra of degree  $n$  and let  $b_1, \dots, b_m$  be a  $K$ -basis of  $A$ . Then*

(a) *for every  $d \geq 1$ , monomials of degree  $d$  in  $b_1, \dots, b_m$  span  $A$  as a  $K$ -vector space.*

(b) *Let  $A_0 = \{a \in A \mid \text{tr}(a) = 0\}$ . Then for any  $d \geq 2$ , elements of the form  $[b_{i_1}, [b_{i_2}, \dots, [b_{i_{d-1}}, b_{i_d}] \dots]]$  span  $A_0$  as a  $K$ -vector space.*

Note that part (a) and its proof below remain valid for any  $K$ -algebra  $A$ .

*Proof.* (a) Use induction on  $d$ . The base case,  $d = 1$ , is obvious. For the induction step suppose that  $d \geq 2$  and that the lemma holds for monomials

of degree  $d - 1$ . In particular, the identity element of  $A$  can be written as

$$1_A = c_1 X_1 + \cdots + c_m X_m$$

where  $X_1, \dots, X_m$  are monomials of degree  $d - 1$  and  $c_1, \dots, c_m \in K$ . Then for each  $i = 1, \dots, m$ ,

$$b_i = b_i \cdot 1_A = c_1(b_i X_1) + \cdots + c_m(b_i X_m)$$

is a linear combination of monomials of degree  $d$  in  $b_1, \dots, b_m$ . Since  $b_1, \dots, b_m$  form a  $K$ -basis of  $A$ , this shows that monomials of degree  $d$  span  $A$  over  $K$ .

(b) The assertion of part (b) is equivalent to  $[A, [A, \dots [A, A]] \dots] = A_0$  (where the Lie bracket is applied  $d \geq 2$  times). Thus it suffices to show that

$$[A, A] = [A, A_0] = [A_0, A_0] = A_0.$$

The first two of these identities are obvious and the third one is a consequence of the fact that  $A_0$  is a simple Lie algebra (it is a form of  $sl_n$ ). In concrete terms, in order to prove the identity  $[A_0, A_0] = A_0$ , one may pass to the separable closure  $K^{\text{sep}}$  of  $K$ , i.e., replace  $K$  by  $K^{\text{sep}}$  and  $A$  by  $M_n(K^{\text{sep}})$ . In the case where  $A$  is the matrix algebra, it is easy to see that elements of the form  $[e_{ab}, e_{cd}]$  span  $A_0$ , as  $a, b, c$  and  $d$  range from 1 to  $m$ . (Here  $e_{ij}$  are the matrix units.)  $\square$

Before we proceed with the proof of Theorem 1, we record the following special cases of the definitions in the previous section.

A central simple algebra  $A/K$  descends to  $K_0 \subset K$  if there is a  $K$ -basis  $b_1, \dots, b_m$  of  $A$  such that the structure constants of  $A$  relative to this basis lie in  $K_0$ .

The  $r$ -linear trace form  $F_r$  descends to  $K_0 \subset K$  if there is a  $K$ -basis  $b_1, \dots, b_m$  of  $A$  such that  $\text{tr}(b_{i_1} \dots b_{i_r})$  lies in  $K_0$  for every  $i_1, \dots, i_r = 1, \dots, m$ .

### 3. PROOF OF THEOREM 1

Since the inequality  $\text{ed}(F_r) \leq \text{ed}(A)$  is obvious (see the paragraph after the statement of Theorem 1), I will focus on proving the opposite inequality,  $\text{ed}(A) \leq \text{ed}(F_r)$ . The following lemma was motivated by [2].

**Lemma 3.** *Suppose for some  $r \geq 3$  there exists a  $K$ -basis  $b_1, \dots, b_m$  of  $A$  and a subfield  $K_0 \subset K$  such that  $\text{tr}(M) \in K_0$  for every monomial  $M$  in  $b_1, \dots, b_m$  of degree  $r$  or  $r - 1$ . Then  $A$  descends to  $K_0$ .*

Note that Lemma 3 (and its proof below) remain valid for any semisimple  $K$ -algebra  $A$ .

*Proof.* Let  $c_{ij}^h$  be the structure constants of  $A$  with respect to the basis  $b_1, \dots, b_m$ . That is,

$$(2) \quad b_i b_j = \sum_{h=1}^m c_{ij}^h b_h,$$

for  $i, j = 1, \dots, m$ . Our goal is to show that each  $c_{ij}^h$  lies in  $K_0$ . In order to do this, I will fix  $i$  and  $j$  and try to solve (2) for the  $m$  coefficients  $c_{ij}^1, c_{ij}^2, \dots, c_{ij}^m$ .

By Lemma 2(a), with  $d = r - 2$ , there exists a  $K$ -basis  $Z_1, \dots, Z_m$  of  $A$  where each  $Z_i$  is a monomial in  $b_1, \dots, b_m$  of degree  $r - 2$ . Since the (bilinear) trace form on  $A$  is nonsingular, (2) is equivalent to the system

$$(3) \quad \begin{cases} \text{tr}(b_i b_j Z_1) = \sum_{h=1}^m \text{tr}(b_h Z_1) c_{ij}^h \\ \text{tr}(b_i b_j Z_2) = \sum_{h=1}^m \text{tr}(b_h Z_2) c_{ij}^h \\ \vdots \quad \vdots \\ \text{tr}(b_i b_j Z_m) = \sum_{h=1}^m \text{tr}(b_h Z_m) c_{ij}^h \end{cases}$$

of  $m$  linear equations in  $m$  unknowns,  $c_{ij}^1, c_{ij}^2, \dots, c_{ij}^m$ . Since  $b_1, \dots, b_m$  and  $Z_1, \dots, Z_m$  are both  $K$ -bases of  $A$ , and the (bilinear) trace form on  $A$  is nonsingular, an easy exercise in linear algebra shows that the matrix of this system,

$$\begin{pmatrix} \text{tr}(b_1 Z_1) & \text{tr}(b_2 Z_1) & \dots & \text{tr}(b_m Z_1) \\ \text{tr}(b_1 Z_2) & \text{tr}(b_2 Z_2) & \dots & \text{tr}(b_m Z_2) \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ \text{tr}(b_1 Z_m) & \text{tr}(b_2 Z_m) & \dots & \text{tr}(b_m Z_m) \end{pmatrix},$$

is nonsingular. Note the  $b_h Z_l$  and  $b_i b_j Z_l$  are monomials in  $b_1, \dots, b_m$  of degree  $r - 1$  and  $r$  respectively. Thus, by our assumption, every coefficient of the system (3) lies in  $K_0$ . Solving this system by Cramer's rule, we conclude that every  $c_{ij}^h$  lies in  $K_0$ .  $\square$

The inequality  $\text{ed}(A) \leq \text{ed}(F_r)$  (and thus Theorem 1) is now an immediate consequence of Proposition 4(b) below.

**Proposition 4.** *Suppose  $b_1, \dots, b_m$  is a  $K$ -basis of  $A$  and  $K_0$  is a subfield of  $K$  such that  $\text{tr}(M) \in K_0$  for every monomial  $M$  in  $b_1, \dots, b_m$  of degree  $r \geq 3$ .*

(a) *There exist  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_r \in K_0$  such that  $\sum_{i=1}^m \alpha_i b_i = c \cdot 1_A$  for some  $0 \neq c \in K$ .*

(b) *There exists a finite extension  $K_1$  of  $K_0$  such  $K_0 \subset K_1 \subset K$  and  $\text{tr}(N) \in K_1$  for any monomial  $N$  in  $b_1, \dots, b_m$  of degree  $\leq r$ .*

*Proof.* By Lemma 2(b), with  $d = r - 1$ , there exists a  $K$ -basis  $Y_1, \dots, Y_{m-1}$  of  $A_0$  such that each  $Y_i$  has the form

$$Y_i = [b_{i_1}, [b_{i_2}, \dots, [b_{i_{r-2}}, [b_{i_{r-1}}, b_{i_{r-1}}] \dots]]$$

for some  $i_1, \dots, i_{r-1} \in \{1, \dots, m\}$ .

Now observe that the orthogonal complement to  $A_0$  in  $A$ , with respect to the trace form, is precisely  $K \cdot 1_A$ . Thus,  $J \in A$  lies in  $K \cdot 1_A$  if and only if

$$(4) \quad \begin{cases} \text{tr}(Y_1 J) = 0, \\ \text{tr}(Y_2 J) = 0, \\ \dots \\ \text{tr}(Y_{m-1} J) = 0. \end{cases}$$

Writing  $J = \alpha_1 b_1 + \dots + \alpha_m b_m$ , with indeterminate coefficients  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_m$  and expanding (4), we obtain the homogeneous linear system

$$\begin{cases} \text{tr}(Y_1 b_1) \alpha_1 + \dots + \text{tr}(Y_1 b_m) \alpha_m = 0, \\ \text{tr}(Y_2 b_1) \alpha_1 + \dots + \text{tr}(Y_2 b_m) \alpha_m = 0, \\ \dots \\ \text{tr}(Y_{m-1} b_1) \alpha_1 + \dots + \text{tr}(Y_{m-1} b_m) \alpha_m = 0. \end{cases}$$

of  $m - 1$  equations in  $m$  variables. By our choice of  $Y_1, \dots, Y_{m-1}$  every coefficient  $\text{tr}(Y_i b_j)$  lies in  $K_0$ . Thus this system has a nontrivial solution  $(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_m) \in K_0^m$ . For these  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_m$ ,

$$J = \alpha_1 b_1 + \dots + \alpha_m b_m \neq 0$$

satisfies (4) and hence is of the form  $c \cdot 1_A$  for some  $0 \neq c \in K$ .

(b) Let  $J = \alpha_1 b_1 + \dots + \alpha_m b_m = c \cdot 1_A$  be as in part (a). We do not know that  $c \in K_0$ ; however, I claim that  $K_1 = K_0(c)$  is a finite extension of  $K_0$ . Indeed, since  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_m$  lie in  $K_0$ ,  $nc^r = \text{tr}(J^r)$  is a  $K_0$ -linear combination of elements of the form  $\text{tr}(b_{i_1} \dots b_{i_r})$ , which, by our assumption, lie in  $K_0$ . Thus  $nc^r \in K_0$ , and since  $\text{char}(K)$  does not divide  $n$ , we conclude that  $c^r \in K_0$ . This shows that  $c$  is algebraic over  $K_0$  and thus proves the claim.

It remains to show that  $\text{tr}(b_{i_1} \dots b_{i_s})$  lies in  $K_1$  for any  $1 \leq s \leq r$  and any  $i_1, \dots, i_s = 1, \dots, m$ . Since  $c \neq 0$ , we have

$$(5) \quad \text{tr}(b_{i_1} \dots b_{i_s}) = \frac{1}{c^{r-s}} \text{tr}(b_{i_1} \dots b_{i_s} J^{r-s}).$$

Expanding  $\text{tr}(b_{i_1} \dots b_{i_s} J^{r-s})$  and remembering that  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_m$  lie in  $K_0$ , we see that  $\text{tr}(b_{i_1} \dots b_{i_s} J^{r-s})$  lies in  $K_0$ . Equation (5) now tells us that  $\text{tr}(b_{i_1} \dots b_{i_s})$  lies in  $K_1$ , as claimed.  $\square$

#### 4. CONCLUDING REMARKS

**Remark 5.** The conclusion of Proposition 4(b) can be strengthened as follows:  $\text{tr}(N) \in K_1$  for every monomial  $N$  in  $b_1, \dots, b_m$ . To prove this, we argue by induction on  $\deg(N)$ . The base case, where  $\deg(N) \leq r$ , is given by Proposition 4(b), and the induction step is carried out by using the relations (2) to lower the degree of  $N$ . (Recall from the proof of Lemma 3 that the structure constants  $c_{ij}^h$  lie in  $K_1$ .)

**Remark 6.** If  $r = 2$ , Theorem 1 fails for every  $n \geq 3$ . That is, for every  $n \geq 3$  there exists a central simple algebra of degree  $n$  such that  $\text{ed}(F_2) < \text{ed}(A)$ .

*Proof.* For the purpose of constructing  $A$ , I will take the base field  $k$  to be the field  $\mathbb{C}$  of complex numbers. As usual,  $K$  will denote a field extension of  $k = \mathbb{C}$ . If  $A/K$  is non-split then clearly  $A$  cannot descend to  $\mathbb{C}$ , i.e.,  $\text{ed}(A) \geq 1$  (in fact, we even have  $\text{ed}(A) \geq 2$  by Tsen's theorem; cf. e.g., [6, Corollary 19.4a]). Thus it suffices to construct an algebra  $A/K$  of degree  $n \geq 3$  whose bilinear trace form  $F_2$  descends to  $\mathbb{C}$ . In this case we will have  $0 = \text{ed}(F_2) < \text{ed}(A)$ , as desired.

Note that if the quadratic trace form  $q_A: a \mapsto \text{tr}(a^2)$  descends to  $\mathbb{C}$  then so does the bilinear trace form  $F_2: (a, b) \mapsto \text{tr}(ab)$ , since  $F_2$  can be recovered from  $q_A$  by polarization. Thus we only need to construct examples of non-split algebras  $A/K$  of degree  $n \geq 3$  such that the quadratic trace form  $q_A$  descends to  $\mathbb{C}$ .

If  $n$  is odd, the argument in the introduction shows that  $q_A$  descends to  $\mathbb{C}$  for every  $A$ ; cf. (1). If  $n = 2s \geq 4$  is even, consider algebras  $A$  of degree  $n$  and index  $s$ , i.e., algebras of the form  $A = M_2(D) = M_2(K) \otimes_K D$ , where  $D/K$  is a division algebra of degree  $s \geq 2$ . The quadratic form  $q_A$  is easily seen to be the tensor product of  $q_{M_2(K)}$  and  $q_D$ . Since  $\mathbb{C} \subset K$ , the form

$$q_{M_2(K)} \equiv \langle 1, 1, 1, -1 \rangle$$

is split over  $K$  and hence, so is  $q_A = q_{M_2(K)} \otimes q_D$ . In particular,  $q_A$  descends to  $\mathbb{C}$ .  $\square$

**Remark 7.** A more interesting example, where the equality  $\text{ed}(F_2) = \text{ed}(A)$  fails, is given by a generic division algebra  $A/K$  of degree 4. In this case  $\text{ed}(F_2) = 4$  (see [4, Theorem 1.5]), while an unpublished theorem of Rost [7] asserts that  $\text{ed}(A) = 5$ .

**Remark 8.** To see where the proof of Theorem 1 breaks down for  $r = 2$ , note that it relies on Lemma 2(a) with  $d = r - 2$  (used in the proof of Lemma 3) and Lemma 2(b) with  $d = r - 1$  (used in the proof of Proposition 4). Clearly Lemma 2(a) fails for  $d = 0$  and Lemma 2(b) fails for  $d = 1$ .

I will conclude this paper with an open question.

**Question 9.** Does Theorem 1 remain valid if the central simple algebra  $A/K$  is replaced by a finite field extension  $L/K$  (and  $F_r$  is the  $r$ -linear trace form in  $L/K$ )? The proof of Theorem 1 presented in this paper does not carry over to this context, because it relies on Lemma 2(b), which clearly fails in the commutative setting.

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I am grateful to V. Chernousov and R. Parimala for stimulating discussions and to J.-P. Serre and the referee for helpful comments on earlier versions of this paper.

## REFERENCES

- [1] G. Berhuy, G. Favi, *Essential dimension: a functorial point of view (after A. Merkurjev)*, Doc. Math. 8 (2003), 279–330.
- [2] A. Freedman, R. N. Gupta, R. M. Guralnick, *Shirshov's theorem and representations of semigroups*, Olga Taussky-Todd: in memoriam, Pacific J. Math. 1997, Special Issue, 159–176.
- [3] T. Y. Lam, The algebraic theory of quadratic forms. Mathematics Lecture Note Series, W. A. Benjamin, Inc., 1973.
- [4] M. Lorenz, Z. Reichstein, L.H. Rowen, D. J. Saltman, *Fields of definition for division algebras*, Journal of the London Mathematical Society, **68**, 03 (2003), 651–670.
- [5] A. Merkurjev, *Essential dimension*, preprint (2000).
- [6] R. S. Pierce, Associative algebras, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, 88, Springer-Verlag, 1982.
- [7] M. Rost, *Computation of some essential dimensions*, preprint, 2000.  
Available at <http://www.mathematik.uni-bielefeld.de/~rost/ed.html>
- [8] M. Rost, J.-P. Serre, J.-P. Tignol, *La forme trace d'une algèbre simple centrale de degré 4*, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris, Ser. I 342 (2006), 83–87.
- [9] J.-P. Tignol, *La forme seconde trace d'une algèbre simple centrale de degré 4 de caractéristique 2*, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris, Ser. I 342 (2006), 89–92.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIA, VANCOUVER,  
BC V6T 1Z2, CANADA

*E-mail address:* `reichst@math.ubc.ca`