

## Homework set 9 – Solution

**Problem 1.** Assume that  $f$  is analytic at  $z_0$ . Then

$$\left| \frac{\ell(f(z)) - \ell(f(z_0))}{z - z_0} - \ell(f'(z_0)) \right| \leq \|\ell\| \left\| \frac{f(z) - f(z_0)}{z - z_0} - f'(z_0) \right\|$$

vanishes as  $z \rightarrow z_0$ , proving weak analyticity. Reciprocally, assume that  $f$  is weakly analytic. Let  $\ell \in V^*$ . The Cauchy integral formula for  $F_\ell$  yields

$$\begin{aligned} \ell \left( \frac{f(z) - f(z_0)}{z - z_0} - \frac{f(w) - f(z_0)}{w - z_0} \right) &= \frac{F_\ell(z) - F_\ell(z_0)}{z - z_0} - \frac{F_\ell(w) - F_\ell(z_0)}{w - z_0} \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint_\gamma \left( \frac{1}{z - z_0} \left( \frac{1}{\zeta - z} - \frac{1}{\zeta - z_0} \right) - \frac{1}{w - z_0} \left( \frac{1}{\zeta - w} - \frac{1}{\zeta - z_0} \right) \right) \ell(f(\zeta)) d\zeta \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint_\gamma \frac{z - w}{(\zeta - z)(\zeta - z_0)(\zeta - w)} \ell(f(\zeta)) d\zeta \end{aligned}$$

where  $\gamma$  is the circle or radius  $r$  around  $z_0$  oriented positively containing both  $z, w$ . Since  $\ell(f(\zeta))$  is continuous on the compact  $\gamma$ , it is bounded, namely  $|\ell(f(\zeta))| < C_\ell$ . Let  $\mathcal{F} = \{\mathcal{I}(f(\zeta)) : \zeta \in \gamma\}$  be a family of bounded linear functionals on  $V^{**}$ , where  $\mathcal{I}$  is the canonical isomorphism  $V \rightarrow V^{**}$ . By the above,  $\{|\ell(f(\zeta))| : \zeta \in \gamma\}$  is bounded for any  $\ell \in V^*$ . By the principle of uniform boundedness,  $\sup\{\|\mathcal{I}(f(\zeta))\|_{V^{**}} : \zeta \in \gamma\}$  is finite, namely there is  $C$  such that

$$\sup\{\ell(\mathcal{I}(f(\zeta))) : \zeta \in \gamma\} \leq C\|\ell\|.$$

Hence, for  $|z - z_0|, |w - z_0| < r/2$

$$\left| \ell \left( \frac{f(z) - f(z_0)}{z - z_0} - \frac{f(w) - f(z_0)}{w - z_0} \right) \right| \leq \frac{2\pi r}{2\pi} \frac{|z - w|}{r^3/4} C\|\ell\| = \frac{4C\|\ell\|}{r^2} |z - w|$$

and hence

$$\left\| \frac{f(z) - f(z_0)}{z - z_0} - \frac{f(w) - f(z_0)}{w - z_0} \right\| \leq \frac{4C}{r^2} |z - w|$$

Let now  $(z_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  be a sequence converging to  $z_0$ . Plugging  $z_n = z, z_m = w$  above shows that quotient forms a Cauchy sequence and it therefore convergent in  $V$ .

(ii) If  $f$  is analytic in  $\Omega$ , then for any  $\ell \in V^*$ ,  $F_\ell(z)$  is analytic in  $\Omega$  hence bounded on the compact  $K \subset \Omega$ . It follows that  $\|f(z)\| = \sup\{|F_\ell(z)|/\|\ell\| : \ell \in V^*\} < \infty$  by the principle of uniform boundedness.

(iii) For any  $\ell \in V^*$ , the Cauchy integral formula yields

$$\ell(f(z)) = F_\ell(w) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint_\gamma \frac{\ell(f(z))}{z - w} dz = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \ell \left( \oint_\gamma \frac{f(z)}{z - w} dz \right)$$

which yields the claim since linear functionals separate.

(iv) The claim follows from  $f(z) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint_\gamma \frac{f(\zeta)}{\zeta - z} d\zeta$  as in ordinary complex analysis by picking a circle  $\gamma$  of radius  $r > 0$  centred at  $z_0$  containing  $z$  in its interior and using

$$\frac{1}{\zeta - z} = \frac{1}{\zeta - z_0} \left( 1 - \frac{z - z_0}{\zeta - z_0} \right)^{-1} = \frac{1}{\zeta - z_0} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \left( \frac{z - z_0}{\zeta - z_0} \right)^j.$$

The series  $\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{f(\zeta)}{\zeta - z_0} \left( \frac{z - z_0}{\zeta - z_0} \right)^j$  converges in norm, uniformly in  $\zeta$  since  $\left| \frac{z - z_0}{\zeta - z_0} \right| = \frac{|z - z_0|}{r} < 1$ . Hence it commutes with the integral and yields

$$A_j = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint_{\gamma} \frac{f(\zeta)}{(\zeta - z_0)^{j+1}} d\zeta.$$

**Problem 2.** (i) Follows from the fact that the set of invertible operators is open, since  $\|(\lambda 1 - T) - (\mu 1 - T)\| = |\lambda - \mu|$ .

(ii) If  $\lambda \in \rho(T)$ , then  $(\lambda 1 - T)$  is invertible with bounded inverse. Then so is  $(\lambda - \mu)1 - T$  for  $|\mu| < \|(\lambda 1 - T)^{-1}\|^{-1}$ , and

$$((\lambda - \mu)1 - T)^{-1} = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} ((\lambda 1 - T)^{-1} \mu)^j (\lambda 1 - T)^{-1} = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} (\lambda 1 - T)^{-j-1} \mu^j$$

proving that  $\lambda \mapsto \lambda 1 - T$  has a convergent power series expansion around any  $\lambda \in \rho(T)$ .

(iii) Let  $\lambda \in \rho(T)$ . From the above, we conclude that  $|\mu| < \|(\lambda 1 - T)^{-1}\|^{-1}$  implies  $(\lambda - \mu) \in \rho(T)$  and hence  $(\lambda - \mu) \in \sigma(T)$  implies  $|\mu|^{-1} \leq \|(\lambda 1 - T)^{-1}\|$ .

(iv) The spectrum is closed as the complement of the resolvent set which is open. Moreover,  $\lambda 1 - T = \lambda(1 - \lambda^{-1}T)$  is invertible whenever  $|\lambda|^{-1} \|T\| < 1$ , proving that  $\sigma(T) \subset B_{\|T\|}(0)$ . Moreover, if  $|\lambda| > \|T\|$

$$(\lambda 1 - T)^{-1} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} T^n \lambda^{-n-1} \tag{1}$$

is the Laurent series of  $\lambda \mapsto \lambda 1 - T$  around  $\infty$ , and the coefficient of  $\lambda^{-1}$  is 1. Hence  $-\oint (z 1 - T)^{-1} dz = 2\pi i 1$  (as an operator equality). On the other hand, if the spectrum was empty, then  $\lambda \mapsto \lambda 1 - T$  would be analytic in all of  $\mathbb{C}$ , in which case the integral above would vanish. Contradiction.

(v) Recall that  $r(T) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|T^n\|^{1/n}$  and let  $R(T) = \max\{|\lambda| : \lambda \in \sigma(T)\} \leq \|T\|$ . From (1),

$$\frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint \zeta^k (\zeta 1 - T)^{-1} d\zeta = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} T^n \frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint \zeta^{-n+k-1} d\zeta = T^k \tag{2}$$

where as above, the contour is a large circle of  $r = R(T) + \delta$  for some  $\delta > 0$ .  $(\zeta 1 - T)^{-1}$  being uniformly bounded, we conclude that  $\|T^k\| \leq C(R(T) + \delta)^{k+1}$  and hence

$$\limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} \|T^k\|^{1/k} \leq \limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} C^{1/k} (R(T) + \delta)^{1+1/k} = R(T) + \delta$$

Since  $\delta$  is arbitrary, we conclude that  $r(T) = \limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} \|T^k\|^{1/k} \leq R(T)$ . Finally, we note that in this calculation,  $\|T\|$  could be replaced by  $\max\{|\lambda| : \lambda \in \sigma(T)\}$ . Reciprocally, let  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  be fixed and let  $n = kl + m$ . Then

$$\left| \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{T^n}{\lambda^{n+1}} \right| \leq \sum_{m=0}^{k-1} \frac{|T^m|}{|\lambda|^{m+1}} \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \left( \frac{\|T^k\|}{|\lambda|^k} \right)^l,$$

and the series is convergent for  $\|T^k\| < |\lambda|^k$ . Hence,  $|\lambda| > \|T^k\|^{1/k}$  implies  $\lambda \in \rho(T)$ . Hence,  $R(T) \leq \|T^k\|^{1/k}$  for any  $k$  and in particular  $R(T) \leq \inf\{\|T^k\|^{1/k} : k \in \mathbb{N}\} = r(T)$ .